

PIML 96062610 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

["Partners in Power" is available from Unlimited Thought Bookstore
in San Antonio, Texas, 210 525-0693. Highly recommended reading.]

PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 1996 07:23:30 GMT

To: uwsa@shell.portal.com

From: reply@remail.ecafe.org (ECafe Anonymous Remailer)

Subject: *** Best Book on Clinton Yet ***

Date: Mon, 24 Jun 1996 13:58

Subject: "Partners in Power: The Clintons and Their America"

Biography by Roger Morris

<http://www.washtimes.com/>

[The Washington Times] [Front Page] [Image]

Published in Washington, D.C. June 24, 1996 "America's Newspaper"

Book says Clinton had CIA ties

By George Archibald

THE WASHINGTON TIMES

Was Bill Clinton, as a graduate student at Oxford, a boy spy for the CIA?

One of the intriguing mysteries of Mr. Clinton's life at Oxford, where

he was a Rhodes scholar during the Vietnam War, is how a young man of relatively modest means from a small town in Arkansas managed a luxury tour of Moscow at Christmas 1969. The president himself has never offered a full explanation.

A new biography of the president and the first lady, by Roger Morris, who resigned from Richard Nixon's National Security Council to protest the Vietnam War, offers a startling solution to the mystery:

The young Bill Clinton was an informant for the Central Intelligence Agency, paid to spy on his friends and classmates, reporting anti-war activities in London.

By Mr. Morris' telling in "Partners in Power: The Clintons and Their America," Mr. Clinton's connection to the CIA continued through his tenure as governor of Arkansas. Mr. Clinton, he writes, once encouraged his favorite security officer to work for the CIA in support of a program of flying aid to the Nicaraguan Contras out of the airport at Mena, a small town nestled in the dense forests in the Ouachita Mountains of remote western Arkansas.

-- Continued from Front Page --

The White House declined to respond to specific allegations in the book. Mark Fabiani, the president's special associate counsel, said in a terse statement released through a spokeswoman that the allegations are "bizarre and they speak for themselves." CIA spokesman Mark Mansfield said: "It's our long-standing policy not to comment on allegations of affiliation with the agency."

Mr. Morris writes that several CIA officers he knows do not believe that Mr. Clinton became an

agency "asset" during the Vietnam era. But others, whom he believes to be "more plausible," say he was. His chief source had made a record of Mr. Clinton's listing within the CIA as an informant for "Operation Chaos" in the late 1960s. The CIA purged the files during the 1970s, when the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, under the late Sen. Frank Church, Idaho Democrat, investigated CIA spying activities.

Mr. Morris describes the source as "a retired [CIA] officer who had been in many foreign postings as well as in the agency in Washington."

"This was a man who was on the operations side, I gather would have been under some degree of cover when he was working abroad, [and] had been in several different stations in Latin America, the Middle East, and Europe," he continues.

This agent was with the CIA for 20 or 25 years "and had not been purged or, I gathered, was not let go" when he left the agency during the 1980s. "He had served out a normal tenure, but had come to be one of those people who later became very critical of the intelligence operation in a very discreet way."

Among the book's other explosive revelations and conclusions:

- * The Mena operation was a major conduit for cocaine shipments from Colombia's Medellin drug cartel, and its distributors in Arkansas

included Roger Clinton, the governor's half-brother, whose drug trafficking helped support a decade-long "four-gram-a-day" cocaine addiction. Mr. Morris describes the Mena operation as "a multibillion gun-running, drug-smuggling and money-laundering operation, an enormous criminal traffic carried on for at least five years with what the U.S. government's own documents secretly recorded as the collusion of organized crime, the CIA, and other Washington institutions."

* Mr. Clinton, fully aware of his brother's addiction and drug peddling, received cocaine from Roger Clinton, who described the governor on a police surveillance videotape as having "a nose like a vacuum cleaner." Roger Clinton also supplied cocaine to Dan Lasater, an investment broker with operations in Arkansas and Florida who was among the most generous contributors to Mr. Clinton's campaigns.

* In early 1984, undercover police filmed Roger Clinton telling a drug contact he needed \$10,000 "for my brother to take care of EPA regs and other environmental oversight problems" involving a land development project owned by Mr. Lasater. Arkansas police forwarded the surveillance evidence to the Justice Department's criminal division to investigate Mr. Clinton for corruption, but no action was taken.

* Mr. Clinton persuaded Mr. Lasater to hire his brother and send him to Florida to get him out of Arkansas. But Roger Clinton was indicted in August 1984, was convicted the following January and served a reduced two-year prison sentence after agreeing to testify against Mr. Lasater, who in December 1986 also received a 30-month prison sentence for cocaine distribution and conspiracy.

Though once the governor's favorite security agent and bodyguard, state Trooper L.D. Brown fell from favor after he told Mr. Clinton that Barry Seal, a CIA contract pilot, was running arms for the Contras from Arkansas to Honduras and returning to Arkansas with cocaine. Barry Seal was later shot dead on a street near his home in Baton Rouge, La., in a hit believed to have been ordered by the Colombian cartel.

Mr. Morris, who is now a history professor at the University of New Mexico, says his 526-page book is the result of a three-year investigation, based on almost 300 separate interviews of law enforcement, political, business and media sources in Arkansas, and friends and colleagues of the president and first lady Hillary Rodham Clinton. Much of it had first been reported in The Washington Times.

"It's very much like a jigsaw puzzle, it's very much a matter of fitting pieces together from different angles," he says in an interview. "It

wasn't meant to be a collection of muck or of smut. It was intended to be what I hope it is -- a serious dual biography of these two people and a serious look at the American political system." Mr. Morris, who holds a doctorate in government from Harvard, served as a Foreign Service officer and as an aide to President Johnson, Secretary of State Dean Acheson and Vice President Walter F. Mondale.

Most of his key sources asked anonymity for fear of political retaliation, he says in an interview.

"Presidents Johnson and Nixon had a reputation for being tough and ruthless and smearing their opponents," he says, "but I don't think either one of them hold a candle to the Clinton campaign. ... The Clintons have this reputation of being young and congenial and much more modern. They're very old-fashioned in their retaliation."

In a 28-page chapter detailing sources, he writes that he "followed the historian's rule of requiring documentary support for every major assertion of fact or state of mind and at least two and usually three verifying sources for any quoted statement."

Mr. Morris, who is the author of an acclaimed biography of the 37th president, "Richard Milhous Nixon, The Rise of an American Politician," says that he believes Mr. Clinton has a "deeply flawed" character like Richard Nixon's, and, like him, may also be forced to resign the presidency in disgrace.

"I think that probably he'll be re-elected and

probably Kenneth Starr's investigation will be a slow-drip undoing of this administration which, I think, is going to be a crisis for the country. It's a sad prospect."

Mr. Starr, the independent counsel, he says, is "a very methodical and cautious, careful man. I don't think he's driven by any election calendar. I don't know him personally, but if you look at his track record, it's a very careful and conservative record. He doesn't take risks and he's not very political at all in his approach. I think he'll be very documentary and very methodical. I think the heart of his case will be financial."

Mr. Clinton's bodyguards in Arkansas, who say they overheard many conversations involving Whitewater and other disputed financial matters, will provide important supportive evidence as they did in the writing of his book, he says.

"The state troopers, who have been vilified so much and attacked on all sides for their credibility, I think proved to be accurate 99 percent of the time ... about the Clintons and the events, the incidents, on sworn statements and depositions. ... Since they deal often with criminal matters, they were liable to have been called up to a grand jury on perjury issues." He credits the troopers' revelations, first reported in the Los Angeles Times and the American Spectator magazine, as "the expose that led indirectly to the media and legal inquiries into

Whitewater."

QUOTES FROM the BOOK: "Partners in Power: The Clintons and Their America."

By: Roger Morris

Espionage, drugs among the topics

Roger Morris, National Security Council aide for Presidents Johnson and Nixon and author of an acclaimed critical biography of Richard Nixon, writes in his dual biography of Bill and Hillary Clinton that not since Watergate "has a White House been so under suspicion for acts of wrongdoing both before and during the presidency." The following are excerpts from "Partners in Power: The Clintons and Their America."

>>>>>>> Bill Clinton, CIA informant?:

" 'Bill Clinton's ties to the intelligence community go back all the way to Oxford and come forward from there,' says a former government official who claims to have seen files long since destroyed.... [T]he Central Intelligence Agency's infamous Operation Chaos of the 1960s had

been directed at uncovering
some discredited foreign hand
in antiwar activities at home and
abroad, to the point of recruiting
American student informants
and placing provocateurs
among the demonstrators....

"One more CIA retiree would
recall going through archives of
Operation Chaos at the Langley
headquarters -- part of an
agency purge amid the looming
congressional investigations of
the mid-1970s--and seeing Bill
Clinton listed, along with others,
as a former informant.... 'He
was there in the records ' the for-
mer agent said 'with a special
designation.' Still another CIA
source contended that part of
Clinton's arrangement as an in-
former had been further insur-
ance against the draft."

>>>>>>> Bill Clinton and drugs:

"Roger Clinton had begun using
cocaine in the late 1970s and . . .
eventually was slave to a four-
gram-a-day habit. He supported
the addiction and a rakish life-

style by dealing drugs himself with contacts in New York winding all the way to the Medellin drug cartel in Colombia.... On one of the 1983-84 videotapes filmed by 10 Gal narcotics officers, Roger Clinton was said to tell a supplier jauntily, 'Got to get some for my brother. He's got a nose like a vacuum cleaner.' ;;

>>>>>>> Cash payoffs to the governor:

"According to the local narcotics officers who made the tapes video surveillance footage showed Roger discussing various payoffs of \$30,000 to arrange government approval of sewer lines for a large development that was an interest of a close Clinton friend and major contributor, multimillionaire bond broker and later convicted drug dealer Dan Lasater.

"'I need \$10,000 for my brother to take care of EPA regs and other environmental oversight problems,' the officer quoted Roger as saying on the tapes, which were turned over to the state police, never to be pre-

sented at trial. City police officers who shot the tapes were told the portions dealing with imputed involvement of the governor had been forwarded to the Public Integrity office of the Justice Department in Washington early in 1984, but then they heard no more."

Roger Clinton's drug-trafficking conviction: "[Dissident state police] managed to get details of the case to Hillary Rodham Clinton as well, counting on her to force the governor to keep his hands off as a political precaution. By the dissidents' account, the first lady reacted exactly as they hoped, rushing to Clinton with her own report on Roger and ordering that he do nothing to warn his half-brother or stave off the arrest, actions that might be exposed and used against them in the 1984 re-election campaign or later.

" 'I don't think she ever knew how much coke Bill had snorted with Roger or how many girls they'd done together, said one

state policeman, 'but we knew she'd tell him to feed ole Roger to the feds for the sake of his career, and that's what he ended up doing.' "

>>>>>>> Mrs. Clinton and Vincent W. Foster Jr.:

"There would be several sources--including a former U.S. attorney, sometime aides, a number of lawyers, social friends, and many of the same troopers who testified about the governor's illicit acts --who described the first lady's affair, dating to the mid-1980s, with Rose partner Vince Foster.

"A relationship evident in the semi-private kisses and furtive squeezes at parties and dinners described by the security guards, it was also an intimate professional bond between two attorneys who worked together on some of their firm's most sensitive cases....

"Foster was known to treat her with the dignity, respect, and abiding love she was missing in her marriage. 'He adored

her,' said a fellow lawyer. Under other circumstances, it might have been one of those relationships that remained private and without any relevance to the Clinton presidency. What set it apart was that, once in the White House, the Clintons would install the first lady's confidant in one of the nation's most Sensitive positions as deputy counsel to the president, where he would handle controversial matters stemming from their Arkansas past as well as highly classified presidential affairs."

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062609 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Federal Reserve names named.] PIML

=====

From: FireTheFed@email.corenet.net

Subject: Breadwinner4

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 1996 10:30:27 +0000

THE BREADWINNER

PATRIOT EXCHANGE

PO Box 081335

Racine, WI 53408-1335

FireTheFed@wi.net <http://www.moneymaker.com/brdwinnr>

In 1992, Mr. Tom Schauf (CPA), after 3 months of extensive research, informed the Breadwinner American that THE FEDERAL RESERVE (FED) is a private banking corporation.

The FED began with approximately 300 people or banks that became owners (stockholders purchasing stock at \$100 per share-stock is not publicly traded) in the Federal Reserve Banking System. They make up an international banking cartel of wealth beyond comparison. The FED banking system collects billions of dollars (usury) annually and distributes the profits to its shareholders. The Congress gave the FED the right to print money (through the treasury) at no usury to the FED. The FED creates money from nothing, and loans it back to us through banks, and charges us usury on our currency. The FED also buys government debt with money printed on a printing press and charges U.S. taxpayers usury. Many Congressman and Presidents say this is fraud.

Who actually owns the Federal Reserve Banks? The ownership of the 12 Central Banks, a very well kept secret, has been revealed.

Rothschild Bank of London	Warburg Bank of Hamburg
Rothschild Bank of Berlin	Lehman Brothers of New York
Lazard Brothers of Paris	Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York
Israel Moses Seif Banks of Italy	Goldman, Sachs of New York
Warburg Bank of Amsterdam	Chase Manhattan Bank of New York

These Bankers are connected to London Banking Houses which ultimately control the FED. When England lost the Revolutionary War with America they planned to control us by controlling our banking system, with the profit on the printing of our money, and our ever increasing debt.

The individuals listed below owned banks which in turn owned shares in the FED. The banks listed below have significant control over the New York FED District which controls the other 11 FED districts. These banks also partly foreign owned and control the New York FED District Bank.

First National Bank of New York	James Stillman
National City Bank, New York	Mary W. Harnman
National Bank of Commerce, New York	A. D. Jiuillard
Hanover National Bank, New York	Jacob Schiff
Chase National Bank, New York	Thomas F. Ryan
Paul Warburg	William Rockefeller
Levi P. Morton	M. T. Pyne
George F. Baker	Percy Pyne
Mrs. G. F. St. George	J. W. Sterling
Katherine St. George	H. P. Davidson
J. P. Morgan (Equitable Life/Mutual Life)	Edith Brevour T. Baker

For your update, order the two videos, that were more than two years in

the making, narrated by Mr. Bill Still, and leaves no doubt as to how we Americans have been robbed, lied to, misrepresented, and cheated. They are fully documented and many scenes are at the same site as when these intrusions were planned. Both videos for a contribution of \$50.00, which includes paper back book, "Inflation Expose'", while supplies last. Send check or money order to the above address. Tapes alone are \$29.95 post paid.

Internet address: FireTheFed@wi.net

World Wide Web: <http://www.moneymaker.com/brdwinnr>

God be with you

WE ARE THE COVENANT THAT MERGES THE INDIVIDUAL INTO THE
IMMEASURABLE STRENGTH OF PEOPLE STANDING TOGETHER

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: A Nation in Hock!

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 96 15:12:00 EST

Doesn't this just make you so mad you could spit nails?
Or is everyone so burned out they just don't give a damn
any more?

Roger Cravens

3866 Appaloosa Trail

Douglasville, GA 30135

Subj: A Nation in Hock

Date: 96-06-24 17:05:18 EDT

From: comminc@fortnet.org (Committee to Restore the Constitution)

A NATION IN HOCK

On 7 March 1983 Archibald Roberts, Director, Committee to Restore the Constitution, appeared before the Idaho Senate State Affairs Committee, Honorable Walter H. Yarbrough, Chairman, to testify in support of House Joint Memorial #3, calling for repeal of the Federal Reserve Act of 1913.

Senate hearings resulted in passage on 14 March propelling Idaho into ranks of states challenging the constitutionality of the Federal Reserve Act.

A NATION IN HOCK *

An even more damning indictment of the Federal Reserve System was made by the late Lewis T McFadden, Chairman of the Banking and Currency Committee, United States Congress. Mr. McFadden stated, "Every effort has been made by the Fed to conceal its power, but the truth is the Fed has usurped the government and it controls everything here (in Congress) and it controls all of our foreign relations. It makes and breaks governments at will."

Mr. Chairman, it is obvious that when the power to control money is transferred from the people to a private banking monopoly, as it is now proven the case in America, that the sovereignty of the people is surrendered too. Control of wealth confers upon those who control it final decision in the domestic and international affairs of nations. When an invisible government of monetary power usurps the coin of the realm, the people are disfranchised and real political authority is transferred into the hands of a financial aristocracy, Mr. Chairman, I believe that an invisible government of monetary power will continue to control the American destiny and the lives of the people until informed citizens dismantle the Federal Reserve System.

As I suggested at the beginning of this presentation, Mr. Chairman and members, we do have good news. Returning America to fiscal sanity and political responsibility has already begun. We believe that the first State to introduce legislation challenging the constitutionality of the Federal Reserve Act is Arizona. The 21st of January 1982 is perhaps the most significant date of this century. On this date members of the Arizona State Legislature, in both the House and Senate, memorialized the President and Congress to enact such legislation as is necessary to repeal the Federal Reserve Act. The Arizona resolution is identical to the proposal now before this Committee.

I quote from a statement made by Representative D. Lee Jones, principal sponsor of the Arizona resolution. "We are determined to oust the Federal Reserve System out and away from our national pocketbook."

Asserting that only the Congress has the power to borrow money on the credit of the United States, and to coin money and regulate the value thereof, Arizona lawmakers, by a booming majority, affirmed that Congress is without authority to delegate these powers to private banking interests.

Again, I quote the Arizona resolution. "The United States," they warned, "is facing in the current decade an economic debacle of massive proportions due in large measure to a continuing erosion of our national currency and the resulting high interest rates caused by the policies of the Federal Reserve Board."

Mr. Chairman, quick to follow the Arizona lead, the following States also introduced companion resolutions: Washington State, Utah, Nebraska, Alabama, Indiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, Pennsylvania and Montana. All challenging the constitutionality of the Federal Reserve Act. Since that time we have had additional states join this most important movement.

The latest of these being the state of Arkansas, where I testified before the Arkansas State Affairs Committee on the 15th of February and endorsed their resolution to rescind the Federal Reserve Act.

Without quoting any of the points of the Arkansas action I merely point out that it is the same resolution as is before this Committee.

Mr. Chairman, I believe that in this very brief presentation we have pointed out three important factors for consideration by this panel. First, the trillion dollar national debt is not owed to ourselves as government handouts would have you believe. It is owed to a private banking monopoly, the Federal Reserve System. Therefore, Mr. Chairman, the national debt is a lien against all property in the United States both public and private. Two, interest on the national debt, which is over \$100 billion for this year, \$115 billion as a matter of fact, is paid to the Class A stockholders of the Federal Reserve System, a private banking monopoly. Three, the Federal Reserve Open Market Committee, that is the policy making body of the Federal Reserve System, determines interest rates, sets the volume of Federal Reserve notes in circulation, controls the stock market and rules on other public economic factors which determine whether Americans will live in a prosperous or a bankrupt society. We have also found, Mr. Chairman, that the Federal Reserve System, which is the source of our economic crisis, exists outside the Law; that is, in violation of prohibitions of the Constitution. Mr. Chairman, it must be put down.

I believe, Mr. Chairman, that the issue is clearly before us. Survival is not a spectator sport but requires the attention and consideration of all concerned Americans. This is the reason why I have been invited by your constituency to appear and present some of the facts behind the Federal Reserve System for your consideration.

MAGIC OF COMPOUND INTEREST

By 1996 revealed public debt exceeded five trillion dollars. Off budget indebtedness exceeded an additional thirteen trillion. Total lien against US citizens and their descendants is over eighteen trillion and rising exponentially.

* * * * *

Extract, THE MOST SECRET SCIENCE (1984)

by Archibald E Roberts, LtCol, AUS, ret

200 pages (8 1/2 X 11) Library of Congress Card #84-80100: \$12.00

Archibald E. Roberts, LtCol, AUS, ret, Director

Committee to Restore the Constitution, Inc

Post Office Box 986 Fort Collins CO 80522

--OAA01240.835727178/emout19.mail.aol.com--

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062608 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[More Ambrose Evans-Pritchard on Clinton's troubles - the view from
England.] PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 1996 09:08:20 GMT

To: uwsa@shell.portal.com

From: reply@remail.ecafe.org (ECafe Anonymous Remailer)

Subject: Ambrose: Whitewater's Rising Tide

Newsgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

Subject: Whitewater rising tide may drown worried Democrats

Date: Sun, 23 Jun 1996 22:07:33

International News

Electronic Telegraph

Monday June 24 1996

Whitewater rising tide may drown worried Democrats

By Ambrose Evans-Pritchard in Washington

THE Democrats are running out of time.

Unless they take steps to install a new presidential candidate
over the next few weeks they risk being chained to a ticking
time-bomb - scheduled to detonate during the autumn campaign,
if not before.

That, at least, is how many Clinton
supporters view the events of last week which saw the First
Lady denounced as a liar and one of Clinton's closest aides

accused of criminal conspiracy.

The party will not find it easy to force Bill Clinton off the ticket once he has been nominated at the Democratic Convention in August. By then there will be no turning back. Those who know the President well predict that he will not step down even if Hillary Clinton is indicted on charges of perjury, obstruction of justice, and bank fraud, opting instead for a counter-attack against 'Republican' prosecutors. Such an occurrence would cause a civil war in the party.

Earlier this month Ted Van Dyk, a veteran Democrat consultant, warned his party in a Wall Street Journal article entitled "Whitewater May Drown Democrats" that the party could be "destroyed by a Clinton implosion". Unless action was taken soon, he foresaw a Republican tidal wave in November.

Until recently he was a voice in the wilderness. But there are growing signs of dissent in the Democratic Party. "We have an abuse of power," said Democratic Senator Paul Simon on Thursday during hearings into the misuse of 408 FBI files on political opponents. "I am not suggesting it is by the president, but it is in the White House, and we should treat it very, very seriously."

It has become impossible to believe the White House story that FBI file requests were the result of a "bureaucratic snafu". Craig Livingstone, the White House security chief responsible for the scandal, has worked as a nightclub bouncer and for a casino in Atlantic City between campaign stints for the Democrats.

Democrats on the Senate Committee

investigating Whitewater are already worried that they may have gone too far in trying to defend the Clintons

He was fired from the 1984 presidential campaign of Gary Hart for going beyond the bounds of decency in digging up dirt - and attempting to use it - on rival campaigns. A former FBI agent who spent five years in the White House has come forward to say that Livingstone knew exactly what he was doing, and that he was apparently taking instructions from Hillary Clinton.

It is now up to the special prosecutor, Kenneth Starr, to determine whether the First Lady was operating a dirty tricks unit at the White House. The special prosecutor knows as well as everybody else in Washington that misuse of the FBI was one of the articles of impeachment drawn up against President Nixon in 1974. Nixon's special counsel, Charles Colson, went to prison for feeding an FBI file to a reporter.

The temperature is rising on Capitol Hill.

Democrats on the Senate Committee investigating Whitewater are already worried that they may have gone too far in trying to defend the Clintons. Their Minority Report was a shallow, partisan brief that absolved the Clintons. In a preposterous conclusion it stated that: "the American people deserve to know, and can now take comfort in knowing, that this year-long investigation shows no misconduct or abuse of power by their President or First Lady."

This could come back to haunt the Democratic Party. The Whitewater hearings of Senator Al D'Amato

may have been a failure in some respects - the Senate, after all, is not an investigative body, and D'Amato is something of a clown - but it did establish beyond doubt that Hillary Clinton orchestrated a cover-up after the death of White House aide Vincent Foster, and that she has not told the truth about her activities as a lawyer in a land scam in the 1980s.

Clearly the Democrats were lulled into a sense of false security by Bill Clinton's comfortable lead in the opinion polls. They gambled that the various Whitewater scandals were not gaining the sort of "traction" that could endanger the presidency. But this was a fatal mistake. In the end the fate of the Clintons is not going to be decided by opinion polls or image control; nor by Senator D'Amato and his hapless committee; nor even by the Washington press corps, that bastion of yuppie liberalism. It will be decided by the criminal justice system.

Mr Starr is just a notch away from the pinnacle, with a smorgasbord of scandals to choose from

Last week a White House aide, Bruce Lindsey, was named as an "unindicted co-conspirator" in the latest Whitewater trial in Little Rock. Lindsey is President Clinton's closest male friend on earth. He is the guardian of the temple, keeper of the secrets. Next week he may be indicted by the special prosecutor, who appears determined to pile on the pressure until he cracks.

For two years Mr Starr has been pursuing a "pyramid strategy" against the Clintons, working from the bottom up. He has been picking off the Friends of Bill one by one: the former Associate Attorney-General of the United States,

Webb Hubbell; the Governor of Arkansas, Jim Guy Tucker; the Clintons' Whitewater partners, Jim and Susan McDougal; and now he has Bruce Lindsey in his sights.

The process is moving inexorably upwards.

Mr Starr is just a notch away from the pinnacle, with a smorgasbord of scandals to choose from: Whitewater, Travelgate, Filegate, the Foster death, perjury referrals, Flowerwood Farms - all now within his jurisdiction.

It may be a few months before he is ready for the final act. Or he may strike suddenly, one hot afternoon on the steps of the DC federal courthouse, before the summer is out. But strike he will.

Electronic Telegraph is a Registered Service Mark of The Telegraph plc

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062607 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

=====

Date: 25 Jun 96 13:02:44 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:Wiretaps too

Apparently people in the current administration and/or within the FBI may be playing fast and loose with wiretaps as well as files. Why am I not surprised?

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is **not* *safe**.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

24-Jun-96 17:16 EDT

Sb: Wiretaps, too?

Fm: BRENDA JINKINS 383-4248 > INTERNET:BJINKINS@a1.stim.tec.tn.us

Can anyone please provide more information about the contents of the Washington Post Magazine article referenced in this post?

crossposted from alt.politics.org.fbi

Subject: FBI Black Bag Jobs Continue

Date: Sun, 23 Jun 1996 20:52:47 GMT

From: shanklin@idsonline.com (carter shanklin)

Last year the Justice Department authorized almost 700 wiretaps, outside normal judicial review, as called for in the 4th Amendment to the constitution. This little known but increasingly used authority stems from the authority vested in the Attorney General to authorize wiretaps where national security may be involved. Within the Justice Department there is a secretive and not much talked about special section known as the Office of Intelligence Policy and Review. This organization is empowered to issue secret wiretaps under the authority granted by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978. The real problem is that this office has targeted US Citizens and organization who have not been accused or even suspected criminal activity, much less espionage. These kinds of wiretaps, fewer than a 100 a decade ago are becoming increasingly a method of choice for the FBI to use when it wants a quick and dirty surveillance job done which is totally secret and the victim may in fact never know it occurred. While most of the targets of these wiretaps and surveillance techniques are foreign embassies or foreign terrorists groups, increasingly American citizens and organizations have been targeted, usually without reasons which would be sufficient to obtain a wiretap through the normal judicial process. Congress knows about this, but for now has chosen not to do anything to rein in the Department of Justice. A more complete story about this matter is reported in the June 22, 1996 issue of the The Washington Post Magazine, by Jim McGee and Brian Duffy. I highly recommend that you obtain a copy of this publication and read all about it for yourself.

=====

This mailing list is processed through Majordomo at Oakland University. If you wish to unsubscribe from this mailing list, send electronic mail to majordomo@oak.oakland.edu. In the message body put: unsubscribe okcty

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
 - * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
 - * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062606 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

=====

Date: 25 Jun 96 13:01:46 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:Waco legal suits

Information about several of the suits that have been filed against the government and other entities as a result of the Waco debacle. This article then focuses mainly on the suit ex-attorney general Ramsey Clark is helping with.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is **not* *safe**.

----Forwarded Message(s)----

24-Jun-96 13:07 EDT

Sb: Waco Suits

Fm: Patricia Neill > INTERNET:pnpj@db1.cc.rochester.edu

The Washington Times, Sunday June 23, 1996

Waco's long siege begets a longer drama in court

The legal battles may last five years, and Ramsey Clark will try to vindicate the survivors.

- --By Hugh Aynesworth

WACO, Texas -- Get ready for Jarndyce vs. Jarndyce, Western style.

The aftermath of the 1993 siege here, which ended with more than 80 Branch Davidians dead, is turning into a battle of a different kind, this one to be fought in court.

Like the legendary legal war in Charles Dickens' "Bleak House," some predict the court fight -- not counting appeals -- will last at least five years, maybe even longer.

Although the first of several trials won't begin until September at the earliest, dozens of lawyers have filed hundreds of pages of motions and everyone seems to be suing everyone else -- survivors and relatives of those who died in the inferno suing the U.S. government, federal agents suing Waco news organizations and an ambulance service, and federal agents suing superiors.

"Can someone give a summary of what I need to rule on?" an exasperated Judge Walter Smith said after hearing several pleas for limiting discovery time and scope -- one plaintiff's group wants to depose 75 government agents and get access to 45,000 government documents.

The U.S. District Court judge, who presided over the 1994 criminal trial of several survivors convicted of killing six federal agents in the botched government raid that precipitated a 51-day siege, is expected to take center stage again.

Joining the judge in the spotlight is former U.S. Attorney General Ramsey Clark, who represents a host of the survivors in their suit against two federal agencies. He wants Judge Smith removed from that case.

Mr. Clark charges that the judge's sentencing of those convicted of taking part in the Feb. 28, 1993, shootout that started as Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) agents stormed the Branch Davidian compound "would have to be considered draconian." Most defendants were handed maximum 40-year sentences after the judge technically rearranged and reinterpreted the jury verdicts.

Mr. Clark's remarks were made in an exclusive interview with The Washington Times on June 7 following a hearing in Judge Smith's court aimed at ironing out details involving several civil lawsuits that have arisen out of the raid at Waco.

Some lawyers hesitate to ask a judge to step aside, even if they have a strong case for bias, for fear the judge will refuse to move the case and may lean slightly on major points of contention in the eventual trial. Mr. Clark doesn't hesitate to pinpoint what he considers his clients' problem.

He said Judge Smith has overstepped his authority and has clearly proven himself biased against the Branch Davidians.

"Here you've got a judge who has spoken out in harsh terms on every issue -- beyond jury findings and beyond issues in the case," said the

69-year-old Dallas native who headed the Justice Department three decades ago.

He said Judge Smith had apparently disregarded citizens' rights to choose and practice their preferred religions.

Free exercise of religious choice, along with free speech, Mr. Clark

said, "is a part of the same idea -- that you don't mess with the heads and spirits of other people."

Mr. Clark was chagrined at statements made by Judge Smith, who publicly called Davidian leader David Koresh a "false prophet" and mockingly maligned the lifestyles and motives of his followers.

"What is he? Is he a deacon of the church now, and decides who is a false prophet and who is a true prophet?" said Mr. Clark. "What's the point of him deciding that? He decided it was part of the faith of these people that they had to violently confront the government. Well that's absolutely not true."

He said the Waco federal judge not only didn't accept the jury's verdicts, but illegally substituted his own.

As part of an attempt by Mr. Clark's clients to remove Judge Smith from participation, he has filed an affidavit by Sarah L. Bain, jury foreman of the first Waco-related trial in San Antonio.

"I believe Judge Smith not only ignored our verdict of not guilty of murder, but he misused our jury and verdict to punish people he had come to scorn," Mrs. Bain said in her affidavit.

Mr. Clark said he could not recall a similar instance in his many years of practicing law where the trial judge set aside a jury verdict on a count, discharged the jury and then reinstated the charge.

All of those cases are now on appeal.

But many of the same facts -- some disputed, some agreed upon by both sides -- will be at issue again in many of the impending trials.

The events of the April 19 raid that both sides agree on are these:

After negotiating, pleading, promising and debating, the FBI moved in with heavy tanks that punched holes in walls and inserted tear gas canister in an attempt to drive the Branch Davidians out and end the stalemate.

Fire suddenly erupted and within minutes more that 80 Branch Davidians -
- - almost two-thirds of them women and children -- burned to death.
Nearly every other aspect of the raid, however, is disputed.

While there is an even larger group of plaintiff survivors and relatives suing the government in a separate suit, some of their allegations are vastly different -- and milder -- than those espoused by Mr. Clarks' clients.

"We have basically different perspectives on the case," said Mr. Clark, now a New York lawyer. "They don't sue [U.S. Attorney General] Janet Reno, yet she takes responsibility -- and has some responsibility. And we will prove what it is, ultimately."

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062605 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

=====

From: Mike Bonner <mikeb@mlode.com>

Subject: RE: PIML 96062503 - MJN: Kemp on Liberty

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 1996 06:01:10 -0700

As an interesting aside. I heard on the news yesterday that the FBI has files on 75,000,000 Americans. This with a total population of some 230,000,000 would mean a file on almost every adult. As a licensed insurance broker, I know I have a file. But now I begin to question something. Ever since the 60's fingerprints were sent to the FBI. (For checking on previous felonies). Insurance is regulated by the various states. Therefore, if a felon commits a crime in AZ, would he not be traceable to AZ, upon relicensing in a new state? Then why the need for the FBI? Now if the FBI has a file on me, then probably Interpol has one also. After all, if there is some need to track me from state to state, why not apply the principal to; country to country. Now to the irony. Who pays all those people to prepare the file, analyze the information, keep the file up to date, manage the time the file is to be kept, formulate the authorities for keeping these files, review these files, make recommendations to the file and distribute information where necessary, refer the file for recommendations and follow up when some bureaucrat decides something should be looked into, cross refer, or refile? And working of the files. Now the White House is admitting to and returning over 1,000 files to Freeh.. There are now two thousand un-accounted accounted for. Wonder of one of those files is mine? Wonder if one of those is yours..? Khrushchev said in the '50's "We will sell them the rope with which to hang themselves." Funny; now looking back at that statement, knowing who is paying the taxes, I'm seeing us buying a lot of rope!

Yours, Mike B.

=====

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 1996 01:16:28 -0700

From: David M Sampson <sampson@inet1.inetworld.net>

Subject: Police against the NWO

I have developed a deep distrust for law enforcement over the recent past. As some of you may already know, there ARE honest men and women of integrity who are part of the law enforcement community. I just wanted to pass this address off to any of you who may not be aware. This is done in the spirit and hope that we may ALL work together toward the end of saving this great nation and that sacred Constitution.

<http://www.police-against-nwo.com/index.htm>

David M Sampson

1341 East Valley Parkway #145

Escondido, Calif. 92027

Internet: sampson@inet1.inetworld.net

Fax: (619) 480-2884

CIS: 74672,50

=====

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 1996 07:20:28 -0400

From: EBRyans <ebryan19@fellini.syr.vcomm.net>

Subject: NWO Website

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

If you want to get some of the latest info directly from the NWO, go to

<http://www.worldforum.org> this site will jump you to

<http://www.well.com/user/wforum>

There's even an "Opening Keynote Address" from Gorby himself! Everything from the environment, to Global Governance. Here's a quote to get you started:

"The preferred state means a world community where there are no weapons..."

Have fun!

Eric

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: EBRyans <ebryan19@fellini.syr.vcomm.net>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062604 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Another phoney politician (and congressional bill) exposed.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: HOT! UN Bill Hoax?

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 96 18:07:00 EST

Well now! Just isn't THIS a swell kettle of fish!

I knew it was probably too good to be true! Isn't
this more than enough to just frost ya!

Never! Ever! Trust Doctors, Lawyers, and certainly politicians.

Roger Cravens

Atlanta

From: brigade-owner

Subject: [Brigade] UN Bill Hoax??????

Date: Saturday, June 22, 1996 7:00PM

Dear Brigade and Eagles,

Check out what Dot has to say about this bill. This makes ALot of
sense!

Linda

----- Forwarded Message Follows -----

From: DotHB@aol.com

Date: Sat, 22 Jun 1996 09:38:39 -0400
Subject: Re: A Bill to Withdraw U.S. From U.N.

Dear John:

Yes, this is a Dear John letter.

Joe Scarborough is MY congressman from District 1 Florida.

You have to read this bill very carefully and DIGEST it. Then you will realize that little Joe is a PAWN of Newt Gingrich (and others) and this bill means absolutely nothing - except a good propaganda piece to try to get Joe re-elected.

He "explains" why he gets us out in 4 years - instead of demanding that we get out NOW - by saying that the "work" being carried on by the U.N. will be "moved" to other organizations - thus not interrupting the "many good things that the U.N. does". Well, I understand this - since the NWO organization will be fully implemented in FOUR years - and he is right. The U.S. will be out of the U.N. in four years. YOU BET!!!

Also, this bill will not see the light of day. It was not intended to see the light of day.

I stuck my neck out for Joe to get him elected - because he carried the Citizens Rule Book in his pocket and waved it at everybody during the Campaign. I ran a Democrats for Scarborough for him - and since this District is more than 3 to 1 Democratic - I would say I played a BIG role in getting him elected. BUT I have found out so much about him that had I known before the election, of course, I would not have done this. The Democrat was a big No No - so I have to wonder which one would have been worse.

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: UN Withdrawal Act

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 96 14:18:00 EST

----- Forwarded Message Follows -----

Date: Thu, 20 Jun 1996 08:51:18 -0500

From: ADHDDOC@aol.com

Dear Linda,

Rep. Joe Scarborough, R-FL, has introduced a bill to get the US out of the UN! The number is H.R. 2535. We need to call our own congressional members and ask them to bring this bill to the floor for a vote. I feel that any member who does not vote to remove us from the UN should be soundly defeated in Nov. and we should tell them we will vote for their opponent.

ADHDDOC@aol.com

=====

Dear Brigade and Eagles, here is the text of H.R. 2535.

Please contact your Reps, THANKS!

PS, Why not make ALL congress who are up for election sign a pledge to support and co-sponsor this bill? At least we will know who our friends are!

Linda

=====

FILE h2535.ih

HR 2535 IH

104th CONGRESS

1st Session

To provide for withdrawal of the United States from the United Nations.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

October 25, 1995

Mr. SCARBOROUGH introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on International Relations

A BILL

To provide for withdrawal of the United States from the United Nations.

[*Italic->*] Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, [*<-Italic*]

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the `United Nations Withdrawal Act of 1995'.

SEC. 2. REPEAL OF UNITED NATIONS PARTICIPATION ACT.

(a) REPEAL- Effective 4 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 (Public Law 79-264) is repealed.

(b) CLOSURE OF UNITED STATES MISSION TO UNITED NATIONS- Effective 4 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the United States Mission to the United Nations shall be closed and all staff and any

remaining functions of such office shall be carried out through the Secretary of State and the Department of State.

(c) NOTICE- Not later than 1 year before the effective date of the repeal under subsection (a), the Secretary of State shall notify the United Nations of the withdrawal of the United States from the United Nations as of the effective date of the repeal under subsection (a).

SEC. 3. REPEAL OF UNITED NATIONS HEADQUARTERS AGREEMENT ACT.

(a) REPEAL- Effective 4 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the United Nations Headquarters Agreement Act (Public Law 80-357) is repealed.

(b) NOTICE- Not later than 1 year before the effective date of the repeal under subsection (a), the Secretary of State shall notify the United Nations that the United States will unilaterally withdraw from the agreement between the United States of America and the United Nations regarding the headquarters of the United Nations (signed at Lake Success, New York, on June 26, 1947, which was brought into effect by the United Nations Headquarters Agreement Act) as of the effective date of the repeal under subsection (a).

(c) NEGOTIATIONS FOR NEW AGREEMENT- It is the sense of the Congress that the President should enter into such negotiations as are necessary for a new agreement with the United Nations for essential and necessary services such as utilities and police protection and compensation for such services. Any such new agreement shall be submitted to the Congress for approval prior to implementation.

SEC. 4. UNITED STATES ASSESSED AND VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE UNITED NATIONS.

(a) REDUCTION- Except as provided in subsection (c), for the first fiscal year beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act and for each of the 3 subsequent fiscal years, the total amount which is authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for assessed and voluntary contributions of the United States to the United Nations shall be the total amount appropriated or otherwise made available for the previous fiscal year reduced by 25 percent.

(b) TERMINATION- For any fiscal year beginning more than 4 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, no funds are authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for assessed or voluntary contributions of the United States to the United Nations.

(c) LIMITATION- The provisions of this section shall not apply to any independent or voluntary agency of the United Nations.

SEC. 5. SPECIAL ENVOY.

(a) SPECIAL ENVOY- Effective 4 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, shall appoint a special envoy to represent the United States in all matters concerning the United States and the International Atomic Energy Agency and the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, who shall have the rank of ambassador.

(b) PROHIBITION- Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the duties and functions of the special envoy appointed pursuant to subsection (a) shall be limited to representation of the United States in matters concerning the International Atomic Energy Agency and the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty.

SEC. 6. UNITED NATIONS PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS.

(a) REDUCTIONS- For the first fiscal year beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act and for each of the 3 subsequent fiscal years, the total amount which is authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for United States assessed or voluntary contributions for peacekeeping operations of the United Nations shall not exceed the amount appropriated or otherwise made available for such peacekeeping operations for fiscal year 1995.

(b) TERMINATION- For any fiscal year beginning more than 4 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, no funds are authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for any United States contribution to any United Nations peacekeeping operation.

(c) LIMITATIONS ON UNITED STATES PARTICIPATION IN UNITED NATIONS PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS- For any fiscal year beginning more than 4 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, no funds may be obligated or expended to support the participation of any member of the Armed Forces of the United States as part of any United Nations peacekeeping operation or force.

SEC. 7. REPEAL OF UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC, AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION ACT.

(a) REPEAL- Effective 4 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization Act (Public Law 79-565) is repealed.

(b) NOTICE- Not later than 1 year before the effective date of the repeal under subsection (a), the Secretary of State shall notify the United Nations that the United States will withdraw from membership in the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization as of the effective date of the repeal under subsection

(a).

SEC. 8. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING UNITED NATIONS HEADQUARTERS
PRESENCE IN THE UNITED STATES.

It is the sense of the Congress that the United States should request
the withdrawal of the United Nations headquarters (and its affiliated
missions) from the United States.

=====end=====

*****{{{*****}}}}*****

Un-Official News and Opinion from

Linda Muller Web Author lmuller@iquest.com

Member Northern Alabama Kitchen Militia

Use the Ballot Box - Not the Cartridge Box!

.....

=====

And the jury box - FIJA - 1-800-TEL-JURY PIML

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062603 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[This is fine for an information network. However, it will not of itself get patriots elected, nor will it act to restore limited, constitutional government. Spreading information is necessary and we should continue, but NOW is the time for positive action.] PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 1996 13:34:38 -0700

To: David M Sampson <sampson@inet1.inetworld.net>, lmuller@iquest.com (USTP),

lphq@digex.net, donal@lightspeed.net, confrd01@panix.com

From: Tom Clark <clarktj@valley-internet.net>

Subject: Re: Band Together

Cc: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>, buffalo@dfw.net, fjgu66d@prodigy.com,

republic-of-texas@colossus.net

David,

In response to your eloquent post. I concur with your opinion that we must band together. The problem is that we have no conduit for advocacy. I notice in your CC: you have the USTP, and the ROT. They, I believe, have already committed to a special cause. What we need to do, IMHO, is backup and put together A CREDIBLE network that will represent the general Liberty/Patriot/Constitution Movement. That is a network WITHOUT a special agenda. See my proposal below for more info.

If anyone would like to work on this idea with me, just let me know.

- STATUS -

A general treatise on the state of the patriot movement is in order

before traversing upon the subject of Freedom Points.

As a minor player in some patriot efforts I have been witness to many opinions that what the patriot movement needs is organization and cohesion. Upon considering the matter, one can easily see that these points are the only points that stand against us.

It has been said that only three percent of the colonial population had the guts to stand up against the English. By my unscientific math, I believe it can be said that modern day patriots far and away exceed the per capita watermark.

Working against us today is the incredible mobility of the adversary. Whether we are talking about actual soldiery or just the long arm of the Department of Justice, the Aristocracy can move into our community at lightening speed and overwhelm the few that are making a stand. Case in point: Waco, Ruby Ridge, Justus Township. Also, working against us is the science that the adversary possesses. The most formidable is their ability to track virtually anyone they select. The cold hard truth is that most of us can be tracked quite easily. As time goes on the Aristocracy ability to keep tabs on us will be improved. It is quite obvious to technology watchers that time is closing in.

Today, however, we also have some technology that the Colonists did not. Many patriots have fax machines or Internet access. Now then comes the question on how to use these technologies to help build an organized and cohesive patriot movement.

- A NERVOUS SYSTEM -

The human body has a nervous system, the patriot body lacks a nervous system. The idea behind Freedom Points is to lay in the nervous system for any part of the patriot movement to tell the entire patriot movement

about ways to counter the adversary.

Unlike most patriot organizations that have a particular cross to bear, and usually have a top down structure, the idea behind Freedom Points is radically different. Freedom Points are dedicated to the restoration of the constitutional Republic, and as a whole, have no specific cross to bear. An individual Freedom Point might be United States Tax Party volunteer, a Fully Informed Jury Association contact, a Militia Headquarters, a Committee of Safety, a Jural Society, a Patriot Newsletter, or even the otherwise unaffiliated concerned citizen, etc.

Freedom Points are Republican in nature. Each Freedom Point has an equal say as to what the network would be willing to work on. Upon a simple majority, the Network announces that the Freedom Points are going to be involved in this or that, but at all times each Freedom Point retains the authority to pass on any particular advocacy. All that we ask is if the Freedom Point is not going to support the majority in a task that they forward all inquiries to an appointed Freedom Point that has agreed to handle the traffic.

The function of a Freedom Point can be different in each cause, but generally, the Freedom Point will accept suggestions from the general public (or come up with an idea on its own). If the Freedom Point thinks it is a good idea, that Point can forward it to all the other Freedom Points for discussion, a vote, and then action by the entire Freedom Point Network.

The Freedom Points would then serve their local area in servicing inquiries from the public, etc. Generally, someone will have put together a general proposal that the Freedom Point can distribute via fax (if not long distance), by U.S. mail (if the inquirer sends a SASE), or by Email. Additionally, some proposals will ask for the Freedom

Points to tabulate pledges or volunteers or other data critical for the task at hand. This information will be forwarded to Freedom Point Headquarters for compilation of the data.

- FREEDOM POINT NETWORK ORGANIZATION -

The Freedom Point Network will be republican in nature. Each state will appoint their own Freedom Point HQ for the state. Each state will decide for itself how to handle the appointment. The national HQ will assume that the first Freedom Point to emerge in each state is the appointed HQ for the state in question.

The Freedom Points may at a later time wish to create districts and appoint regional HQs for these districts. They may want to do this to relieve stress on the national HQ. Corresponding with 50 Freedom Points could become a burden in a hurry.

- QUALIFICATIONS -

The current qualifications to become a Freedom Point are:

1. A desire to see the constitutional Republic restored.
2. A mailing address
3. A voice phone
4. A fax machine or email
5. A willingness to work with other Freedom Points

It is strongly recommended that a Freedom Point have an answering machine, and that the contact is generally available to discuss Freedom Point proposals, etc.

- FORSEEABLE PROJECTS -

We have been in contact with some of the leading non-barred counselors at law over the internet. There is a general willingness of many of these counselors to fly off to a local community and help in a cause that would further the patriot movement. The Freedom Point Network could organized a pledge drive to cover the efforts and expenses of a team of counselors. If we had more Freedom Points we could have counselors look into the alleged abuses surrounding the treatment of Bob Starr and Jim McCranie.

A current effort is ongoing that is designed to form Grand Juries independent of the established government. Freedom Points are currently supporting a proposal for such an Independent Grand Jury to investigate allegations concerning the Freemen of Justus Township Montana and related government activities. The main goal of this proposal is to establish a method for calling a People's Grand Jury.

- PRAYER -

I hope that you can see the potential of the Freedom Point idea. Basically, the goal is to pool the patriot movements resources to counter landmark actions of the Aristocracy. I pray that God will move your heart, and that you will want to become a part of the Freedom Point Nervous System. If you do, please just let me know.

Tom Clark

Response Coordinator

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062602 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[This request makes good sense to me. As a practical matter, since the Libertarian Party will be the only third party on the November ballot in all 50 states, and since it has far more candidates at all levels of government than any fourth party, does it not make sense for us all to band together in support of the Libertarian Party now? After we have restored limited, constitutional government, then we can have a dozen parties and sort out the details.] PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 1996 04:33:49 -0700

To: lmuller@iquest.com (USTP), lphq@digex.net, donal@lightspeed.net,
confrd01@panix.com

From: David M Sampson <sampson@inet1.inetworld.net>

Subject: Band Together

Cc: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>, buffalo@dfw.net,
Tom Clark <clarktj@valley-internet.net>, fjgu66d@prodigy.com,
republic-of-texas@colossus.net

To All Constitutional Parties

I formally request that all political parties who share a fundamental belief that our federal government is functioning outside of its Constitutional framework, and who believe that great document is in immanent danger of overthrow, set aside their differences, other than that which will be necessary to set our Constitution back as the supreme law of the land, and band together that we may gain the numbers necessary to win this war over the hearts and minds of America.

I don't claim to know under what framework this should function but I

simply ask for some dialog to begin which may permit all of us to work together.

Let us NOT say "My party is the best to accomplish that end" or "I will not support their party because they believe in saving trees".

Let us say "What form should our joint effort take to win this war over such a formidable enemy?"

Our enemy has control over the minds of the great majority of the nation through the spokes of their media. They are capable and willing to murder to sustain their strangle hold over our nation. Every time a new 3rd party forms, even if it supports the truth, works against us and for them by diluting our numbers.

Let us prayerfully approach this most serious of all matters with humility and in the name of the Savior Jesus Christ.

"...we can see that the Lord in his great infinite goodness doth bless and prosper those who put their trust in him" Helaman 12:1

"...trust no man to be your...[leader], except he be a man of God,...keeping his commandments" Mosiah 23:14

"...the Lord giveth no commandments...save he shall prepare a way [to] accomplish the thing which he commandeth them" I Nephi 3:7

David M Sampson

Internet: sampson@inet1.inetworld.net

1341 East Valley Parkway #145

Fax: (619) 480-2884

Escondido, Calif. 92027

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062601 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Dean:

You have sent a well reasoned message and, as a courtesy, I am willing to post it to PIML. I will refrain from interjecting my comments in the body of your message but I do choose to exercise my editorial prerogative to make introductory comments. Had you sent your message to my address instead of my wife's, it would have gone out with Tuesday's postings.

You seem to be the kind of man I would want to vote for if I lived in your district and the only other choice was a Democrat. Unfortunately, your kind of man seems to very much be in the minority. In my own district in Texas, my incumbent Republican opponent for Texas House of Representatives, District 45, won by a 75% vote in the primary over a "libertarian Republican" candidate who said all the right things. Fortunately, those who voted in the Republican primary will not constitute a majority of voters in the November election. The incumbent has his politician act down pat but the time is soon coming when politician acts will no longer suffice.

Based on your thirty years of experience you say ". . .the American people are totally conditioned to classify everyone in politics as either a Democrat, a Republican, or, a crazy radical fringe weirdo who is not trying to win." This may have been largely true during the past thirty years. What you fail to recognize is that our entire world is on the verge of a political and societal change that is totally unprecedented in recorded history. When the American people finally awaken, and they are close to doing so, and they realize what has been done to them by both the Democrats and the Republicans, in their righteous wrath the American people will throw out all Democrats and

Republicans, the few worthwhile ones included.

The time to attempt reform within the Republican Party is over. The rot is too deep, it can not be done. Look at what you are still calling the "enormous non violent Revolution that fundamentally altered the American political landscape forever in the November 1994 elections." What has your Republican revolution produced? Nothing, other than business as usual and less Liberty for the people, as the freshmen Republicans follow orders from New World Order Newt. History will record 1994 as the last chance of the Republicans, a chance which they threw away.

There is indeed "more than one path to enlightenment", just as there is more than one path which can never lead to enlightenment.

I am encouraged to hear that you and some other Republicans have made a positive difference in North Carolina. If you are elected I hope you choose to act swiftly to change parties, while you still can, and come back to the Libertarian Party - America's third party - soon to be America's first party.

for Liberty,

Bill Utterback

=====

Date: Tue, 25 Jun 1996 02:32:56 -0500

To: butterb@sagenet.net

From: dean@blueridge.net (Dean Allen) (by way of Ann Utterback <autterb@sagenet.net>)

Subject: please post to - PIML - REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE REPLIES

Dear Bill Utterbeck:

I have been on the internet for about two months and a subscriber to your list for about a month. We have a lot in common. I lived in Texas for about thirty years and ran for Congress in the 9th district of Texas as a Libertarian in 1982.

Currently I am a Republican Nominee for the North Carolina House of Representatives. I defeated two other candidates in the May 7, 1996 primary to win the nomination. The incumbent is not seeking re-election and I have an excellent chance to win the election.

I cannot resist writing a reply to the LP veep candidate's message trashing (I believe very erroneously) all Republican candidates. The first campaign I was active in was the '64 Goldwater effort. I am probably one of the very few people to have ever served at the state level on the platform Committee of the American Party (Texas 1972), The Republican Party (Texas 1976, youngest member ever elected), The Libertarian Party (South Carolina 1980) and the Libertarian Party (Texas 1982). I have been a delegate to over 23 State conventions and about 18 of those were as a Republican.

I want to share several observations gained fighting in the trenches for over thirty years:

1. Like it or not, the American people are totally conditioned to classify everyone in politics as either a Democrat, a Republican, or, a crazy radical fringe weirdo who is not trying to win. Those are the facts, you get to pick one of these three.
2. You cannot protect liberty, cut spending, cut taxes, balance budgets, defend rights or promote free enterprise - UNLESS YOU GET ELECTED! There is no prize for coming in second in politics. Politics is not the

Olympics, there are no silver medals.

3. There are no committee Chairmanships and no Speakerships for the minority party even after you are elected. That means no ability to control the calendar or pass bills if you are in the minority party. These things are NECESSARY even if those bills are written to dismantle government programs. I want even my Libertarian friends to comprehend the utter necessity of majority party status to dismantle government programs.

4. There was an enormous nonviolent Revolution that fundamentally altered the American political landscape forever in the November 1994 elections. To uninformed casual observers and Republican partisans there was the belief that the Revolution of 94 was about giving political power to Republicans. That is not what happened at all. The truth is the long awaited awakening of the American people finally happened. The people loudly and emphatically declared the forty some year experiment in state socialism to be a failure. They did not so much "give power" to Republicans, rather they "took" power from Democrats.

5. There are many fine decent patriotic Americans in both the Republican party and in the Libertarian party. People of good will who are sincerely committed to cutting the size, power, cost, intrusiveness and arrogance of government at every level.

6. Before the LP candidate for veep tars us all with the same brush he should become better informed. A few examples. Our County Commission went from 4-1 Democrat to 4-1 Republican. The new Republican majority froze hiring. Froze the budget, and has cut the tax rate two years in a row. They also REJECTED an application for a \$1,500,000.00 federal block grant after I made a presentation and showed them that 80% of the funds went to administrative costs. [Note: to Libertarians I could have said the fed's have no money, the grant will raise taxes, the funds will not

accomplish their intended aim of poverty reduction, etc., etc. However I would have been ignored. By zeroing in on the administrative waste I persuaded the 3-2 majority of the Commissioners to reject the grant.]

One other example: The North Carolina Legislature adjourned last Friday because the House {Republican majority since the Revolution of 94} voted to adjourn and go home. Big deal you are thinking, the law required them to adjourn last Friday and they were just doing what is right. Why is that a political miracle? Because for over 100 years the Democrats just unplugged the official clock in the capital at 5:00 PM on Friday and stayed in session another 2 to 4 weeks arguing. Now the liberal newspapers in this state are having a field day whining that the sky will fall because the House adjourned [as it was required to do by law] and went home without agreeing with the state Senate passed bill to increase pay for school teachers and state employees.

It is not only possible for Republicans to make a difference, we are doing it! This leads to my final point. There is a battle royal going on inside the Republican party, here in Rutherford County, in North Carolina and at the national level. The battle is, in the words of Pat Buchanan [my third choice for President] "a battle for the heart and soul of the Republican party."

The factions are: The old guard "Rockefeller" Republicans; The Populists; the Libertarians; the conservatives; and the Christian Coalition types. The last four groups need to be working together finding 95% friends rather than 5% enemies. The "big tent" theory of a party is fine but we need to make sure our coalition controls the gavel, the purse and the committee chairmanships under the "big tent."

I know this is a long letter but I feel it is important for my Libertarian friends to know that there are a lot of us libertarians

working in the Republican party, winning elections and putting our principles into effect through the legislative process.

Many ancient masters have all taught there is more than one path to enlightenment. I hope the LP candidate for veep has been enlightened that he has friends he may not have known before. I am holding out an olive branch and asking Libertarians and libertarians to unite against common enemies. Let's fight opposing philosophies rather than party structures.

Finally, I am really serious about winning my race in the 48th District of NC and ask every gentle reader who is able to render any possible assistance to my campaign.

Warmest Personal Regards,

Dean Allen

P.O. Box 1732

Forest City, NC 28043

dean@blueridge.net

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062510 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[One of these days, before long, Clinton will be wishing he had never heard of Mena, Arkansas.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: FW: Leach's Mena Investigation

Date: Mon, 24 Jun 96 12:37:00 EST

Subject: Leach's Mena Investigation

Date: Monday, June 24, 1996 12:10PM

Everyone, and I mean **EVERYONE** should contact Leach's office and encourage him to look into this widely known aspect of corruption by our "agents" of government!!!

=====

GEOFFREY STEWART NIMMO

THE INTERNET RADIO NETWORK

E-mail: nextwave@one.net

Story (forwarded to me) by Anthony Kimery

Worried expressions are daily becoming more evident on the faces of many of the men who lurk in the shadows of power. These worried men are the ones who cut deals in the name of national security. Their deals are almost always questionable, sometimes illegal, and now very worrisome.

Typically cool under fire, the glints of perspiration are showing on the brows of these powerful politicians, the bureaucrats, and the businessmen becoming fearful of how deeply James Leach, the unpredictable Republican chairman of the House Banking and Financial Services Committee, will dare to probe into the sordid Arkansas underworld of money laundering and drug trafficking.

Leach already has committee investigators sifting through [Image] evidence on the First National Bank of Mena, evidence that the bank was used to launder money from the late drug smuggler Barry Seal's multi-million dollar narcotics trafficking operations out of Mena's mountaintop Intermountain Regional Airport. Both Seal and that obscure airstrip have previously been linked to Reagan era covert intelligence activities, and Jackson Stephens, linked to the bank, has been a backer of Bill Clinton.

Leach's probe, which is supported by other committee members convinced that activities in Mena have been covered-up at the highest levels, is the first formal investigation into all the evidence that's been collected in nine former federal and state probes of drug trafficking, money laundering, and gun running out of Mena.

Go To: Failed Banks and Farhad Azima

Leach seems intent on following the course of the investigation wherever it leads, and it appears to stretch from the razorback hog-infested hills of Mena to the state house in Little Rock, and all the way to the Reagan and Bush White House, and now, peripherally, Clinton's White House.

An iconoclastic liberal foreign policy dissenter among the ranks of die-hard anti-Communist Republicans, Leach is well-suited to his Mena mission. An outcast GOP leader, he not only broke ranks with his

colleagues to oppose Reagan's militaristic policies toward Central America, but he was also a vehement critic of U.S. funding of the contras, so much so, that he teamed with California Democrat Mel Levine to introduce a bill to prohibit private aid to the contras.

Still outraged over the Iran-contra foibles after all these years, Leach is set to lift the nearly ten year old cloak of national security that's been draped over the 1980's activities in tiny Mena. This veil allegedly covers evidence of:

- o Clandestine flights of Iran-contra connected arms to Central America
 - o Cargos of drugs on the arms flights return trips;
 - o Money laundering through southwest Arkansas banks; and
 - o Former White House administration's interventions in both state and federal investigations.
- and federal investigations.

[Image] Agents of the IRS, the FBI, the U.S. Customs Service, and the Arkansas State Police worked for years in the mid-to late-1980s compiling substantive evidence of drug smuggling and money laundering by Seal's outfit at Mena. The evidence was so strong that indictments were drawn up, but never pursued by then U.S. Attorney for the region, J. Michael Fitzhugh, or by the IRS, or by any other federal or state agency. Why were these indictments not pursued? Because, investigators told Congress, their probes were squashed from on high.

In testimony before a House subcommittee that briefly probed the matter, IRS agent Bill Duncan testified that he was ordered to "withhold information from Congress and perjure myself," and added that he had never experienced "anything remotely akin to this kind of interference. Alarms were going off!" In 1988, a Senate subcommittee on narcotics

concluded that "despite the availability of evidence sufficient for an indictment on money laundering charges and over the strong protests of state and federal law enforcement officials, the cases were dropped" following grand jury probes into the activities in the Mena area.

Also in 1988, after hearing the recurring charges that Fitzhugh was deliberately dragging his feet on orders from Washington, Arkansas Congressman Bill Alexander asked the General Accounting Office (GAO), to investigate whether federal agencies had acted improperly in handling the Mena case. Before the GAO could get anywhere, though, the National Security Council stepped in and ordered the State, Justice, and Defense Departments not to cooperate with the GAO on grounds of national security.

From that point until today there has been little done at the federal level to get to the bottom of all the mysterious goings-on. It wasn't until the House banking committee's Whitewater investigations began tripping over the Mena activities that Leach decided something had to be done.

"In the course of the committee's work on Whitewater," Leach told committee members in a recent memo, "a number of tangential issues have come to the fore. One relates to allegations of money laundering at Mena Airport in Southwestern Arkansas. The Mena-related allegations involve possible improper conduct spanning several federal administrations."

Other Republican members of the House banking committee are also convinced there's more to what went on at Mena. Those members seem to be serious about the evidence that the Mena airport was used for covert arms shipments to the contras and other anti-insurgency forces around the world. And they are taking very seriously evidence that federal and state officials allowed drugs to be carried on return trips, and that the filthy drug profits were laundered through area banks; and that some

of the money may have been stuffed into the back pockets of Arkansas officials to get them to look the other way.

Leach has directed the committee's investigators to look into whether "past government reviews and investigations associated with Mena Airport were handled properly," and to probe whether businesses at the Mena airport "engaged in systematic money laundering" for Seal's other operations.

Evidence amassed by Leach's committee (which includes records [Image] of former federal and state investigators who had Mena under the microscope) clearly indicates that money was being laundered by Seal and his associates, and that federal authorities, including IRS and bank regulators, failed to take civil and criminal actions that were called for in reports and indictment proposals.

Tens of thousands of dollars in cash transactions, in amounts below the \$10,000.01 threshold that triggers filing an IRS CTR (Currency Transaction Report) were made at three Mena banks throughout the early-to mid-1980's. The banks involved were The Union Bank of Mena, First National Bank of Mena, and Security National Bank.

Boxes of records of federal and state criminal investigators made available to SOURCES show that there was a concerted effort made by Seal and his associates, with the help of bank officials and employees, to avoid filing CTRs on these transactions. These same records also show that the evidence of laundering was convincing enough to the investigators that there were grounds for several dozen indictments. Indeed, draft indictments were drawn up, but they were never presented to the grand jury.

The Union Bank of Mena (\$90 million in assets) is of particular interest

to Leach's committee because it served as a depository for much of the cash federal investigators had tracked from the Mena airport. The investigators suspected several bank officials of complicity in money laundering, one of whom was named in a draft indictment.

Union Bank clearly had a close relationship with the Mena airport. A 1992 FDIC report on the bank's lending practices reviewed by SOURCES states the bank's management was involved with the "Mena Airport Commission" and "has provided financing for aircraft hangers at the Mena airport." A number of financial transactions by Seal associates pertaining to the loans for the hangar construction were questioned by the criminal investigators probing Mena.

What Leach's committee has dug up so far suggests that federal bank examiners uncovered the suspicious transactions, but then their bosses in Washington failed to bring enforcement actions for the banks' apparent failure to comply with anti-money laundering laws.

According to banking committee sources, regulatory records on the banks requested from the FDIC and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or OCC, indicate bank examiners did their jobs, but that officials in Washington at the time may have refused to take enforcement actions based on what the examiners found. SOURCES found no enforcement actions taken by either the FDIC or the OCC against any of the banks since 1989 when bank enforcement records were made public.

Members of Leach's banking committee may seek to question former top bank regulators about what they knew, and when they knew it, committee sources are saying. What they will likely want to know the answers to are questions about what bank examiners may have uncovered, and, why, if there truly were indictable offenses, no enforcement actions were taken against the banks.

The revelation that bank regulators ignored scores of violations of anti-money laundering laws buttresses recurring charges that efforts to investigate the goings-on at Mena were squashed at every level. But the revelation may also reverberate more widely. The evidence of laundering raises questions about whether bank regulators regularly ignore violations by banks that are used to launder or channel money associated with intelligence operations, banks such as BCCI. Scores of BCCI violations of money-laundering laws have now been acknowledged by regulators. Some of these violations were missed, but others, they admit, were deliberately ignored.

Evidence gathered by the criminal investigators who probed Seal's operations at Mena reveals numerous potential violations of money laundering laws by all three banks at Mena which are now under the scrutiny of Leach's committee. The evidence shows that at least tens of thousands of dollars in cash deposits were made at the banks over a four-to-five year period. Deposits made in such a way that they should have set off alarm bells in the minds of bank regulators, particularly as they occurred at a time when bank regulators were getting tough on banks for failing to comply with these laws.

<=====>

"The openness of the web is a powerful attraction. Everyone can not only read what's on the web, but contribute to it. Everybody is in a sense equal. There's a sense of boundless opportunity." --Tim Berners-Lee, inventor of the world wide web.

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062509 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Yes. I'm convinced. The feds have gone totally insane.] PIML

=====

From: Jack@minerva.com

To: UWSA%shell.portal.com@cerf.net

Subject: Our Wondrous Government in Action

Date: Mon, 24 Jun 96 19:29:43 PDT

My! The July American Spectator came

Lots of excerpts from Emmet Tyrell's new book about Clinton as well as a long article by James Bovard on the Governments GOON squad: OFCCP (Office of Federal Contract compliance) and the ways it uses to bankrupt companies that do not hire enough of the worst and most expensive filth available

And now to a few of the more entertaining / distressing examples from the American Spectator.

On April 20, 1994 Kentucky's Commonwealth Aluminum Corp was banned from bidding on federal contracts by the OFCCP. A little known but extremely powerful branch of the labor department. The OFCCP sought to strike a blow for handicapped rights, condemning the company for refusing to hire several individuals with serious back injuries and hernias for heavy lifting jobs at its aluminum processing plant. One deserving applicant according to the labor department was "blind in the left eye, had 60% hearing loss in the left ear and an 18% permanent back disability. Yet the OFCCP hounded commonwealth demanding that it provide back pay and retroactive seniority benefits to the applicants -- even tho they never

worked for the company

[clip]

Not surprisingly the virtual mandate to find disparity inevitably leads to preposterous situations -- ordering companies to hire men with hernias to perform heavy lifting, for example. On May 23, 1995, the OFCCP triumphantly announced that the Jack B Kelley trucking firm of Amarillo Texas, had agreed "to pay \$76,749 in back wages to five qualified people that were denied jobs based on physical examinations. The company's drivers routinely handle hazardous waste, missile propellents, sulfuric acid and nitric acid. Drivers and maintenance personell must be able to move heavy loads while wearing respirators that make breathing significantly more difficult. According to Lee Drury, who handled the OFCCPs charges for the company, two of the applicants the company rejected were heavy smokers who showed sharply diminished lung capacity as well as possible signs of ephysema. Another case involved a man with eliptic seisures: even tho the seisuizes could not be fully controlled, the OFCCP ruled that the man should have been hired and put behind the wheel of a truck full of hazardous waste.

To be continued!

The day overflows with bad news for the Clintons. TPD was 385,000 bytes.

No end of good cheer for WJC and evil wife

Jack

Jack Perrine		ATHENA Programming, Inc		818-798-6574	
-----		1175 No. Altadena Drive		fax 398-8620	
jack@minerva.com		Pasadena, CA 91107		US	

=====

From: Jack@minerva.com
To: UWSA%shell.portal.com@cerf.net
Subject: Talk About Arrogance!
Date: Mon, 24 Jun 96 19:59:23 PDT

To continue our horror story of OFCCP

The OFCCP also penalized the company for not hiring a man, who due to a recent operation, lacked the strength in his hands and arms to drive a large truck. Even tho Department of Transportation regulations prohibit someone in that condition from driving a heavy truck. The OFCCP found the company guilty, claiming its own rulings trumped DOT safety regulations. If the company had hired the man and had subsequently been involved in a fatal accident the company would have likely been found guilty of negligence in hiring him

[HOW ANYONE CAN EXPECT ANY SOCIETY TO SURVIVE AS LONG AS IT CONTAINS OBSCENE LIBERALS and their never ending protection of all of life's failures is a great mystery]

[Clip to the really humorous rolling in the Aisles part

Despite the flagrant abuses, however, Shirley Wilcher [Head of this totally EVIL ORGANIZATION] refuses to admit that her agency does anything but good, she announced earlier this year, for example that "the employer is never never required to hire a person who does not have the qualifications needed to perform the job successfully or hire an unqualified person in preference to another applicant who is qualified [All of which only proves that the Clintons are totally ignorant of TRUTH and would not recognize it at high noon on main street if it was the

only thing in view but they make it a necessary qualification to work in the Clinton administration to NEVER TELL THE TRUTH]

[clip]

Last year, the agency reached a settlement with Prestolite Wire Corp., an automotive parts manufacturer, compelling it to pay over \$1.2 million to 231 job applicants who were not hired allegedly because they were black or or handicapped. Illegal job tests were the crux of OFCCP's case: Prestolite issued a press release confessing that it :had retained the services of an expert testing firm during 1993 to administer certain per-employment tests and was not aware had a discriminatory impact on minority applicants [How silly! Valid test of necessity has a discriminatory impact or they would not test anything]

But, as Herman Belz, author of that unheralded masterpiece EQUALITY TRANSFORMED, observes, "Since 1966, the underlying purpose of the federal civil rights test guidelines was to place enough obstacles in the way of employee selection so that employers would chose to hire by race rather than objective criteria of merit" [And people wonder why industry moves jobs as fast as possible elsewhere where they can hire by merit instead of quota] Since blacks and Hispanics tend to score significantly lower on written tests of cognitive ability than do whites [or Asians] the OFCCP routinely presumes that practically any written test can be evidence of discrimination. Indeed the OFCCP Compliance Manual is written based on the assumption that any hiring standards that do not generate the correct diversity of employees is presumptively illegal [Marveling at the surprising development that any jobs are left in this country] In the agencies view, the fact that a company believes its workers need to to read at a certain level is an injustice to every illiterate person who applies for the job [If with arrogance like that designed to make sure that only the most incompetent can be hired if the sole guiding principle of the EVIL LIBERALS IS NOT TO DESTROY THE WORLD

one really wonders what it might be]

[One would really think that having forced all this trade legislation to enhance the marvelous market economy and create a more competitive country they would fire employees for not striving to have the most competent workforce instead of the opposite of firing them for any attempt at having competent workers. Sigh]

[To Be Continued]

Jack

Jack Perrine		ATHENA Programming, Inc		818-798-6574	
-----		1175 No. Altadena Drive		fax 398-8620	
jack@minerva.com		Pasadena, CA 91107 US			

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062508 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[First we elect patriots to state legislatures. Then the states
go after the feds.] PIML

=====

From: Roger Voss <rogerv@microsoft.com>

Subject: RE: Freeman: Betrayed!!!

Date: Mon, 24 Jun 1996 11:08:06 -0700

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

I agree in essence with the position on personal sovereignty that the
Freemen and others espouse. However, we cannot deny the reality that is
clearly in the recorded history of our laws (and the nature and cause of
their contrivance) -- as I produced to this list server from Senate
Report 93-549. In other words, the view of the majority apparatus of our
system of federal government is that under Congressional statutory acts,
such as 12 U.S.C. 95, and many others, the executive can essentially
suspend the supreme authority of the Constitution on the pretext of the
President unilaterally proclaiming a national emergency. Over the years
both the Judiciary and the Congress have repeatedly upheld and submitted
to this authority.

Now I personally believe that the Congress CANNOT create such new
enumerated powers for the office of the president -- that only a
constitutional amendment could accomplish such in any manner that could
be counted legitimate or lawful.

The Constitution itself makes no provision for it being suspended due to either declaration of war or insurrection and only allows for the suspension of the Writ of Habeas Corpus in time when the President has proclaimed there to be a rebellion (a very very narrow suspension compared to the ability to broadly suspend -- or defy -- the Constitution as per 12 U.S.C. 95).

As far as I am concerned 12 U.S.C. 95 as amended in 1933 is not legitimate law. However, the federal government apparatus at large has been operating over the last several decades on the presumption that it is legitimate law with pretty much unanimity of the three branches of government.

I suggest this course of action:

1) Educate your state legislature and your federal congressional representation to Senate Report 93-549 -- politely and firmly demand that the work then began in 1973 by the Senate Special Committee On The Termination Of The National Emergency now be reformed and resumed.

2) Any success in the federal Congress in this may indeed be problematic. The entire power structure apparatus of Washington D.C. now is firmly entrenched upon there being a perpetual state of national emergency and the war powers statutes reigning as the supreme law of the land instead of the Constitution. Hence it is imperative that we definitely concentrate on our respective state legislatures. The States ultimately ratified the Constitution. The States can demand an end to the perpetual national emergency which constitutes a de facto usurpation of their sacred contract, the U.S. Constitution. If necessary, the States can ultimately dissolve the existing illegitimate federal government and reconstitute a new Constitutional and lawful government where the Constitution and Common Law reign as supreme law of the land.

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Roger Voss <rogerv@microsoft.com>

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062507 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[PIML does not usually forward news concerning areas outside the U.S., as there is plenty happening here at home. However, Gordon Michael Scallion has said "watch Turkey" and he has an amazing proven accuracy rate on his predictions. I think it would pay for us to watch Turkey if for no other reason than the Bilderbergers are involved with that country.] PIML

=====

From: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

Date: Mon, 24 Jun 1996 21:55:14 -0700

Subject: (Fwd) Who's got the Cajones?

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

Date: Thu, 20 Jun 1996 19:42:47 -0400

From: MSmith7829@aol.com

Subject: Who's got the Cajones?

Subject: BILDERBERG 1996: THE FALLOUT CONTINUES...(Fwd)

From: jamesjs@unixg.ubc.ca (James Shannon)

Date: 9 Jun 1996 06:34:28 GMT

Date: Sun, 9 Jun 1996 00:21:02 -0400 (EDT)

From: "John K. Whitley" <jwhitley@inforamp.net>

Subject: BILDERBERG, 1996: the fallout continues....

Less than a week after the 1996 Toronto Bilderberger meeting ended, the fall-out continues.

As reported by THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE, two intriguing attendees at what is invariably an annual meeting of the super-wealthy and -influential European and North American elite were from Turkey: Gazi Ercel, Governor of the Central Bank of Turkey, and Emre Gonensay, Turkish Foreign Minister.

On Thursday, four days after they returned home from this secretive and tightly-guarded conference, the Turkish government fell.

In a surprise move, Mesut Yilmaz, Turkish Prime Minister, abruptly quit, thus dissolving the coalition government patched together between the True Path Party, led by conservative ex-Prime Minister Tansue Cille, and his centrist Motherland Party.

That left the way open for Necmettin Erbakan, head of the Welfare Party, to form the next Turkish government. The Welfare Party is substantially pro-Islamic. Yesterday, the President of Turkey officially invited Mr. Erbakan to do so.

This creates an the potential for an increasingly- radicalized Islamic state right on Europe's southern flank, just in time for the next Bilderberger action to take advantage of it.

What **is** the next Bilderberger action?

Why, a nice, protracted war in Bosnia, of course.

After the U.S. Presidential election has been disposed of and Commander-in-Chief Clinton doesn't have to worry about U.S. electors rejecting both him and his planned "Vietnam of the '90's", that is. He's not going to miss **this** war.

As a preliminary step, the Pentagon announced on Thursday that it was "rotating" Admiral Leighton Smith, the NATO commander in Bosnia.

Admiral Smith, it appears, has been placing too high a priority on the safety of American troops under his command and thus not enforcing the provisions of the Dayton accord vigorously enough. Haunted by the memory of Somalia, he has apparently repeatedly expressed private concern that U.S. troops might be drawn into nasty and widespread civil conflicts, and thus appears to have done everything possible to prevent that from happening.

For example, peacekeepers under his command stood by and did not intervene when Muslims forced Serbs out of some of their Sarajevo suburbs by the simple expedient of burning them down. The same treatment was accorded to a number of Serb villages, again without "peacekeeper" intervention. These areas had been signed over to the Muslims as part of the Dayton accord.

NATO forces have consistently avoided opportunities to arrest Radovan Karadzic, leader of the Bosnian Serbs, and General Ratko Mladic, chief of his military forces, who have been indicted for war crimes by the International War Crimes Tribunal at the Hague.

The President of that Tribunal, Judge Antonio Cassese, asked for sanctions against both Serbia and the Bosnian Serbs for their refusal to surrender both men to stand trial.

A conference will be held in Florence next week to check the implementation of the Dayton accord, and Judge Cassese intends to recommend to Carl Bildt, the European Union's High Representative, that the two indicted men be arrested or that sanctions be imposed until they are turned over.

Mr. Bildt, however, has now suddenly changed his mind on the issue of sanctions, an idea he publicly favoured as recently as last month. He has also recently relegated the arrest of the two wanted men as just "one of many problems" which might interfere with the goal of holding the Bosnian elections mandated by the Dayton accord to be held by December.

Instead of being able to begin the withdrawal of the 20,000 or so U.S. troops from Bosnia in November or December, with the aim of completing it by December 31st, this might not now begin, according to the White House, until January - which means that American troops would not have been fully disengaged until March or April.

On the other hand, their replacements, the first of which will be the British-French Joint Command Task Force, reportedly 30,000 strong, will soon begin taking over the responsibilities of the current IFOR force, twice its strength. A NATO summit, held last weekend in Berlin at the same time as the Toronto Bilderberg meeting, authorized NATO European Joint Command Task Forces for peacekeeping in Bosnia, of which the British-French force is the first. The French have, conveniently, just returned to NATO.

Among the units now preparing for early-1997 deployment in Bosnia is the 20th Armoured Brigade, part of what was once termed BAOR, the British Army of the Rhine, in Germany.

The abrupt change in White House policy concerning U.S. troop withdrawal seems to indicate a surprising and unexpected disagreement in policy between the White House, the State Department and the Pentagon. The reason being proffered for this unexpected change by the White House is concern that U.S. forces may not be able to disengage smoothly from Bosnia by the original target date of December 31st.

So - conveniently overlapping at the end of this year and the early part of next year, will be U.S. and European forces in Bosnia totalling up to *90,000* troops. The U.S. presidential elections will be over, and Clinton, the elite's designated political front man, will be back in the Oval Office for another term.

Then, THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE suggests, those elusive war criminals will suddenly be hunted down in earnest. Clashes will occur with the Serbs, in consequence, which will rapidly escalate into full-scale conflict. The Turks, appalled at further Serb assaults upon their Muslim brethren in the region, will send weaponry and even "Jihad" fighters to their support. Refugees will stream into neighbouring countries. Germany may be required to convert some car production lines into military or armoured vehicle assembly facilities, particularly if the new Russian regime backs their fellow-Slavs in Serbia with armour and missile supplies and NATO armoured and vehicle losses are high. If losses are really substantial, some U.S. car or truck production lines may required to be converted to support the depleted NATO stocks.

Sound incredible? Perhaps, and then again, perhaps not.

Present at the Toronto Bilderberg meeting, which by Conrad Black's own statement, discussed Bosnia were:

- * Carl Bildt, the High Representative for Bosnia
- * William Perry, U.S. Defence Secretary
- * George Stephanopulos, Senior Advisor to President Clinton.

- * Flavio Cotti, Foreign Affairs Minister for the
Czech Republic
- * Cornelio Sommarugu, President, Czech Red Cross
- * Franz Vranitzky, Chancellor of Austria
- * Jurgen Schrempp, Chirman, Daimler-Benz, Germany
- * Alex Trotman, Chairman of Ford Motor Co.
- * Andrzej Olechowski, former Foreign Affairs Minister,
Poland.
- * Winston Lord, U.S. Assistant Secretary of State
- * Henry Kissinger, former Secretary of State.
- * Lloyd Axworthy, Canadian Foreign Affairs Minister
[Canada's Armed Forces, including its detachment in
Bosnia, are no more than a UN-Rent-A-Trooper
franchise]

and others with expertise, experience, or contingent interests or
borders in that region, including the Conference chairman, Lord
Carrington, a previous Secretary-General of NATO.

We won't have long to wait to find out whether the Serbian promise to
"send the body bags [of U.S. troops] home in earnest" is finally, after
much Bilderberg maneuvering, fulfilled.

Bilderger attendees can't be accused of letting the grass grow under
their feet, once they know what is expected of them.

THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE revealed that the 1996 Bilderberg Conference would discuss the privatization of the massive Ontario Hydro utility.

Yesterday, the announcement came forth that one-third of Ontario Hydro was to be privatized.

THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE pointed out that political leaders were "anointed" and overthrown as a result of Bilderberg meetings. Two days ago, the Prime Minister of Turkey quit: there were two key Turkish representatives at the Bilderberg Conference. Two days ago, Dole's Republican vice-presidential search committee stunned observers by announcing it wanted Senator Sam Nunn to be Dole's vice-presidential running mate: Nunn attended the 1996 Bilderberg.

THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE widely publicized the fact that a planned U.D.I by Quebec was on the Bilderberg agenda for early 1997. Immediately after the Bilderberg Conference, separatist Premier Lucien Bouchard turned "flip-flops" according to astonished reporters, saying that Quebec separation was now "on the back burner" and that Quebec's economy was the real issue. In this he was rapidly joined by Prime Minister Chretien, a Bilderberg attendee, who had a post-Bilderberg private meeting with him; and Ontario Premier Mike Harris, also a Bilderberg attendee, who had had a pre-Bilderberg meeting with him.

So anxious was Bouchard to distance himself from the separation issue after the Bilderberg conference, that he denied seven times that the Governor of New Hampshire, during Bouchard's visit to that state this week, had asked him what would happen to Canada if Quebec left. It was only after being informed by his aide that an aide for the Governor was actually simultaneously *confirming* that he had been asked this direct

question that Bouchard suddenly "remembered" it. This led to him being publicly called "a liar" later in the Quebec National Assembly.

When the Bilberbergers come to town, get ready for the unexpected!

Abstracted from an article for the June,
1996 issue of THE NEW WORLD ORDER
INTELLIGENCE UPDATE, an analytical monthly
which provides up-to-date news, comment and
geopolitical forecasts on issues relating to
liberty, world events and the New World Order.

Visit our Web site at

<http://www.inforamp.net/~jwhitley>

for subscription and review copy information,
and for a comprehensive listing of books and
videos on the New World Order.

THIS ITEM MAY BE RE-POSTED IF THIS CREDIT
IS INCLUDED

Anomalous Images and UFO Files

<http://www.linex.com/ufo>

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062506 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Makes sense to me.] PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 24 Jun 1996 23:07:22 -0400

From: Bill Teague <bteague@ixc.net>

Subject: Re: USTP Leaders & Key Issues

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

abc wrote:

>On Sat, 22 Jun 1996 14:17:07 -0700 David M Sampson

<sampson@inet1.inetworld.net> writes:

>>I think that all political partys who believe our Constitution is being
>>threatened by the One Worlders and do not want this to happen.... Maybe
>>I should word this differently: Any political party who believes our
>>Constitution is threatened and its fall is eminent and wants to save it
>>should ban together and create a platform that covers only those points
>>which will restore our Constitution to its rightful place as the
>>ultimate law of this country.

>>If we are divided on points of Abortion, AIDS, Trees, Pornography, Sugar
>>in our diets... etc. and because we are divided we lose the Constitution
>>we have done exactly what they want us to do and all of our pretty words
>>and strong feelings will become our doom.

>>Anyone who can rightfully call themselves a Patriot must have one focus:

>>Save our Constitution!!!! All other issues can be debated 'till hell

>>freezes over AFTER we have the protection from that document.

>Yes, exactly. That is exactly why all true Patriots and

>Constitutionalists should join the only political party that supports a

>return to the Constitution and the principles upon which it was written

>-- the United States Taxpayers Party, at <http://www.ustaxpayers.org>

Nice try abc ... but you aren't *really* agreeing with even the spirit
of Mr Sampson's message at all.

After all the *number one* plank in the 'taxpayer party' is to *outlaw*
abortion completely, is it not?

Which, to *this* patriot, actually goes quite against the true spirit of
individual freedom and sovereignty that this country was founded on
and which is protected by the Constitution that you would say you'd like
to return to.

No, I think the political party you are looking for, *if* you are the
true patriot that you suggest you are that is, is the *only* 3rd party
that has a real chance of winning the Whitehouse this November and
returning this country to it's Constitutionally defined limits ... the
Libertarian Party.

Harry Browne for President - <http://www.HarryBrowne96.org/>

4094 Majestic Lane, Suite 240, Fairfax, VA 22033

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Bill Teague <bteague@ixc.net>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
 - * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
 - * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062505 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[So this is what it's like to live in a police state.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: U.S. v. Grandma

Date: Mon, 24 Jun 96 13:57:00 EST

Outrageous! Congress needs to repeal these forfeiture laws immediately. This is not because I advocate drug use, but because U.S. Government agents as well as local law enforcement authorities have so abused this law, that it is now time to repeal this and use some other method of defense against drug traffickers.

Roger Cravens

Atlanta, GA

Subj: Re: GRLR's Book Review

Date: 96-06-21 23:40:26 EDT

From: GRLR@aol.com

This is one way I have been trying to help reform the civil seizure and forfeiture laws. The law is not being used entirely for what it was intended, primarily because there is no provision in it for proof or absent/innocent owner protection. Charles Miller.

Book Review: by Kathy Bergman

March 1996 F.E.A.R. Chronicles / Forfeiture Endangers American Rights

U.S. v. GRANDMA

In October of 1989, Mary Miller's youngest son was indicted by a midwestern grand jury for trafficking in marijuana. Over the next four years, Mary was forced to pay, literally, for her son's crimes.

Never charged with a crime herself, 75 year old Mary Miller had \$70,000 in cash, her home and several pieces of rental property seized by the federal government, because they believed she knew of her son's crimes. Her money, the government said, was not her life's savings as she claimed, but rather, the ill-gotten gains of Toby Miller's life of crime. In fact, she couldn't use the old dates on the cash for her own evidence because the FBI had destroyed it by depositing it into a bank. Her real estate, the government went on to reason, was used to "facilitate" Toby's crimes when he lived as a tenant in Mary's duplex, and therefore should be forfeited also.

>From October 1989 through August 1995, 122 newspaper headlines in a small midwestern city focused on Mary Miller's troubles. Her oldest son, Charles, and his family were subjected to local scrutiny and discussion also. In an attempt to regain his mother's property by producing an accurate chronologic record, Charles Miller set about detailing the facts surrounding Mary Miller's forfeitures, which eventually resulted in a book he titled U.S. v. Grandma.

Because we were so impressed with Mr. Miller's first-hand account of his battle with the forfeiture squads on behalf of his innocent mother, F.E.A.R. has agreed to publish a soft-back version of U.S. v Grandma.

Purchase this book and use it to educate yourself to the realities of how our country's forfeiture laws are being used. Then, call or write your Congressman and Senators and demand they change the law. In fact, why not purchase a second book for your legislators, and request they read it!

U.S. vs. Grandma is available for \$15 from F.E.A.R. Foundation, 20
Sunnyside, Suite A-204, Mill Valley, CA 94941. 1-415-388-8128
<http://www.fear.org>

Congress names and addresses in Washington DC can be had at 1-202-224-3121

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
 - * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
 - * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062504 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Complaints to currently elected officials are probably wasted effort but there is still some value in contacting those officials about pending legislation.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOSA1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: Congressional E-mail - MAILBOT

Date: Mon, 24 Jun 96 12:45:00 EST

Subject: Congressional E-mail

On National issues if you want to send a message to every one with an E-mail address you can use MAILBOT which will automatically? forward your message to each Representative and/or Senator that has an E-mail address. If you want a response include your mailing address.

Size of the message is limited to 5,000 bytes, about one page.

Usage is limited to one message in 72 hours. One each to the House and Senate is ok.

Abusive or obscene messages will get you on the rejection list.

You will get an acknowledgement from each office, so be prepared.

The Address for MAILBOT is: House@mailbot.com or Senate@mailbot.com.

The above information is from the office of State Rep. Dave Chappell.

Do not be surprised to get returns from DAEMON! According to a feature

in the Seattle P-I, the computer and software used by Congress has a terminal case of old age, 10 or 15 years out of date. That is why so much E-mail comes back via DAEMON as undeliverable.

For individual House of Representatives e-mail addresses check out:

gopher://gopher.house.gov:70/0F-1%3A207%3AHouse%20Email%20Addresses

For individual Senate e-mail addresses check out:

<http://www.senate.gov/senator/membmail.html>

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOSA1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: Congressional free telephone numbers

Date: Mon, 24 Jun 96 12:45:00 EST

Subject: Congressional free telephone numbers

The following telephone numbers will connect anyone in the U.S.A. to the Capitol switchboard from where they can connect to any congressional office:

800-962-3524

800-972-3524

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

- * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062503 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[One patriot's clear view of our situation.] PIML

=====

Date: 24 Jun 96 16:33:30 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:Kemp on Liberty

Comments by Mike Kemp on just what this country is coming to. Well worth reading.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is **not* *safe**.

24-Jun-96 14:34 EDT

Sb: ABDICATION?

Fm: Mike Kemp > INTERNET:minutemn@pcl.net

To all:

As I look at fifty, breaking over the horizon yonder, I am forced to ponder the events of the last forty or so years, those being the ones of which I have firm memory.

Those of you mathematically inclined understand the effects of a square function, a logarithmic curve approaching infinity. My childhood and adolescence was in the middle fifties to middle sixties. The effects of the follies of the nineteen teen's 1930's were felt, with a secret police which kept files on Americans (FBI), a fearsome though chained

beast by which you must walk every day and feed to distract (IRS), and a *you can't fight city hall* attitude- but, then again, you hardly needed to.

You couldn't have automatic weapons or gold, but who in their right mind wants their life savings tied up in inanimate metal, and who can afford ammunition for anything other than a .22, anyway? I reload mine one at a time, and derved if I would want anything that shot two weeks worth of work in about forty-five seconds. Every forty-five seconds.

I cut grass and bought a shotgun, at a hardware store. Nobody wanted any paper. I could own a pistol, particularly if Dad could be persuaded to go along and smile benignly while the deal was struck, assuring the seller that the teenager knew guns and would shoot responsibly.

You had to have a license and tags to drive, but other licensing was minimal and none of it was particularly intrusive. Taxation was obvious but minimal.

We were still in the flat part of the curve. Today, we are *up* on the curve, way up, and the slope, the day to day increase of applied power by government is increasing. Do we become more and more alienated by this taking of our Liberty, of our country, our resources, our children, and withdraw from the system? Do we shut up and stop voting, much less expressing an opinion to an elected public official? Do we stop asserting our sovereignty? The attitudes of the fifties, work to get ahead and ignore the government, other than to feed it, has become the nineties, where you must work double just to keep a breathing tube, a schnorkel, above water.

The kids, dumbed down by school, brainwashed by the tube, aare left rudderless as the parents both work, probably divorced under the strain, no stable job and therefore stable roots anyplace, high density living... and taxation at am acknowledged 40%.

Who pays taxes for the corporation that manufactures, ships, and sells you a widget? Why, you do, of course. It is an integral part of the

price at every stage of the journey from raw material to end user.

Why does so much go to government? To fulfill the obligations which our government has supposedly done in our names. We have ceded responsibility, and therefore ceded our rights, abdicated as sovereigns, on a million and one items along the way.

We can't stop (them) drinking! became Prohibition. After the predictable warfare over sales territories (Capone and co.), automatic weapons and silencers were outlawed to *protect us.*

Stabilize our currency became the fed reserve. *Save our bacon* became the alphabet soup bureaucracy that grew from the thirties and Roosevelt. *The Great Society* is the horror story of battle zones in the cities and government running without the governors, running to serve itself. Does anyone remember Viet Nam, and Robert McNamara's (architect of the war) confession of knowing that the whole thing was a mistake, but doing it anyway?

War on the Drugs has become an excuse for the public to accept gangs of blacked out, armored and armed thugs kicking down doors with, if at all, shaky warrants. GUNS are seen as the enemy; juries are not to be trusted (by government-thus Ruby Ridge? Waco?). Parents are threatened with jail for exercising their parental (that is, God given) rights.

If I have a store, which is successful, and it grows to a chain of stores, I need managers for those stores, being unable to occupy multiple coordinates of space at the same time. I probably will need one manager to look after it all, while I go out on my boat, named for the business: *Beans and Bullets.*

If I go to Jamaica on an herb run, come back and find my bank accounts drained, the business gone to rot, and my manager surly and threatening me, what am I to do? After pistol whipping the manager, that is? Call the sheriff and get warrants or whatever, and cure the problem. However, when you find the sheriff sitting behind what was formerly YOUR desk, and the judge is a brother in law of the manager, you begin to get the idea of the situation we are now in.

Government operates by delegated powers. Period. Thus the basis of the common law. If you drag me before the *bar of justice,* you better have a real good reason, an injury or a compelling interest to enter my life in any such fashion.

I can drag you into court if you have damaged me, endangered me, killed me, or otherwise intruded into my life. The authority to right a wrong is the only power which can be delegated. Power (authority) from the individual to government to exercise in his stead. There is no other premise for this Constitutional republic. If I do not possess a right, I can hardly cede that right to government to exercise.

Perhaps this is the key to the, to me, otherwise unexplainable silence and passivity of the American people. They realize that they have ceded their individual rights to government, and really don't have a leg to stand on. Until government finally comes around and actually does kick the legs out from under them, individually, when they stumble or (Gasp!) get out of line. Then by George, you got a pi***ed off American, eh?

Frankly, I'm hoping that these puddles all over- and I do mean ALL over- the place correspond to the number of beforesaid Americans. How far up us will we let that big dog at the gate lift his leg as we feed him? Must we let him piss in our faces before we get mad enough and use a stun gun on him where it does the most good?

William Michael Kemp

In Liberty,

Mike Kemp

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062502 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[But Morris Dees told me that all patriots were racist
hate-mongers. . .] PIML

=====

Date: 24 Jun 96 16:34:33 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN: B&W Churches

Commentary by Patty about "black" and "white" churches.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is
being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any
other assumption is *not* *safe*.

24-Jun-96 13:41 EDT

Sb: Black and White Churches, a few thoughts

Fm: Patricia Neill > INTERNET:pnpij@db1.cc.rochester.edu

This is kind of stream of consciousness thinking here doesn't
really go anyway. Just some things I've been thinking about.

This weekend, June 20th, the media was finally beginning to fess up that
white churches were burning too -- but they phrased it as "60%" black
and left it at that. And they only interviewed black church members
about the burnings and put a heavy emphasis on the "racist" element of
this. You know, I doubt there are many liberal media reporters who
actually live in a mixed neighborhood -- it would be interesting to see

how many of them live in the cities and how many in the suburbs -- I know quite a few white liberals who would *never* think themselves racist, but they also wouldn't live where I live -- in a mixed neighborhood. To them, you see, black skin = crime. To me, black skin = neighbors, but I'm keeping a wary eye on the young ruffians (black and oriental, for the most part) down the street ... :)

And I was thinking about something that I didn't even think about at first, and I haven't heard anyone else mention it, so I thought I'd throw it out there.

Why are there black churches and white churches in the first place? Are we all Christians? Isn't that "racist"? Don't you'd think the liberal media would say something about that? Are there any truly mixed churches? I've been in a few that had a fairly mixed congregation, but it is true that there are black and white churches. I wonder if people in other countries noticed this about our churches when they heard this story and the reporting, or if their churches are the same way.

Now, I know for a fact that folks are more comfy with their own kind, and there is nothing abnormal about that. I think we can all accept that as a fact of life.

I remember a while back when I used to go to a black Baptist church because I loved the wonderful gospel singing and the general spiritual richness of the worship. I'm white, but after a few odd glances, I was more or less accepted or at least ignored by some members, welcomed by other members. I liked it.

And there've been some white churches I've been in where I got even odder looks and less welcome.

Congress, of course, is once again busy passing an unconstitutional law

to "deal with this crime." Seems these days every time I turn around Congress is passing some totally intrusive, unconstitutional law or another. Clinton and Reno, needless to say, hypocritically hopped on the "church-burning" wagon right off the bat.

Of course, if the CIA would quit smuggling guns out of and drugs into this country and the FBI and BATF would quit terrorizing people and shooting, burning, and killing them, and bombing various buildings like the World Trade Center and probably OKC, then we wouldn't need all these unconstitutional laws. We could leave well enough alone.

Maybe even visit each others' churches once in a while.

Patty

Distribution:

To: INTERNET:JAD1@VILLAGE.IOS.COM

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062501 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Votescam is also available in the mass (small) paperback version from Unlimited Thought Bookstore in San Antonio, Texas, 210 525-0693. This book is highly recommended. It does us no good to vote for patriot candidates if we don't have volunteers for poll watching at all levels.] PIML

=====

Date: 24 Jun 96 16:10:07 EDT

From: Michael Williams <100705.1252@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: Votescam

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

Votescam

Are Americans being robbed of their most fundamental right? Is the Voter's News Service a tool for manipulating elections?

The 1992 book "VOTESCAM: The Stealing of America", by Jim Collier and his deceased brother Ken Collier, exposed the results of an investigation into the methodical rape of America's once free and honest election process. There is strong evidence that VOTESCAM is alive and well in the current Republican primaries.

They key culprit in this fraud is the Voter's News Service (VNS), a private corporation created and mutually owned by ABC, CBS, NBC, CNN and the Associated Press. As the New York Times recently reported, "All the

networks, and other news organizations that pay to receive voter-poll information, get their data from the same source, the Voters News Service." ("3 Networks Admit Error in Arizona Race Reports", February 29, 1996)

Polling data is sent via modem from polling sites to VNS, leaving the data open to any number of manipulations before reaching the hands of the TV news anchors. Results of computer voting can easily be skewed, leaving no trace whatsoever of tampering.

The exit poll dilemma suggests that VNS is used to "rig" national elections. Exit and entrance polls can sometimes be used to guess the outcome of an election, but it takes a significant amount of time to interview voters, tabulate results and call them in. Yet election results are often accurately predicted within 1 percent on TV news broadcasts just minutes after the polls open. Is it prescience on the part of Peter Jennings and Dan Rather, or vote fraud on a mass scale?

Jim Condit, Jr., head of the Cincinnatus PAC, a Cincinnati, Ohio group investigating VOTESCAM, recently appeared on the satellite talk show CDR INFO HOUR to discuss voting fraud in the Republican primaries.

The facts Condit presents strongly indicate that Buchanan may have been the victim of voting fraud in pivotal Republican primaries. Regardless of past and present misdeeds, Buchanan and his followers have a right to be heard at the ballot box.

LOUISIANA

The entire state had only 42 voting locations. Voters drove hundreds of miles to cast their votes, only to stand in lines that circled the blocks. At 10 p.m. that night, a local news station reported that Buchanan beat Phil Gramm by a two-to-one margin, a figure which was not

mentioned again anywhere in the media. It was later reported that Buchanan won 13 delegates, with Gramm taking 8 delegates.

IOWA

The Iowa primary was especially interesting. Using alleged "entrance poll" data provided by VNS, Peter Jennings of ABC news predicted a win for Dole at 6:56 p.m., four minutes before the caucus opened. His prediction, of course, was accurate.

Throughout the night, reports showed a tight race, with Dole holding steady at 26 percent, and Buchanan close behind with 23 percent of the votes. At 10 p.m., the Associated Press and other sources announced that "vote counting had been halted for the night."

Citizen volunteers in Dubuque County, however, totaled results from 41 precincts, showing Buchanan with 870 votes, Dole with 339 and under 250 each for the other candidates. Dubuque County used paper ballots, and counting was verified by eye-witnesses and representatives of each candidate, according to Jim Condit, head of the Cincinnatus PAC, a group of citizen VOTESCAM investigators in Cincinnati, Ohio. To further ensure accuracy, the votes were posted in the precinct before they were called in.

As per instructions from Iowa Republican Party Chair Brian Kennedy, vote totals were called in to the VNA, not the Secretary of State, Board of Elections or the State Republican Party. The next day, the Des Moines Register, using data from VNS, reported that Buchanan took 757 votes in Dubuque County, 13 percent less than the actual tally reported.

Likewise, Lamar Alexander's count was bumped up 5 percent more than the actual tally sent to VNS.

VNS attempted to explain this discrepancy by claiming that only 38 out of 41 precincts were counted, but witnesses in Dubuque County, who actually counted the votes, verified that all 41 precincts had, indeed, been counted. The only way VNS could have gotten different numbers is if the numbers were altered after being sent from Dubuque County.

It is not outrageous to assume that if results from one Iowa county were altered, results from other counties may also have been skewed. A loss for Dole in Iowa probably would have mortally wounded his campaign effort. Considering Dole's narrow "victory", it is not inconceivable that Buchanan may have actually won the Iowa primary.

ARIZONA

The Arizona Republican primary was also quite interesting. For the first time in the state's history, voters were told they "could vote in any precinct they wanted" rather than their local precinct. Another first: 60,000 voters received duplicates of a special white ballot card, allowing them to vote twice by simply driving to a neighboring precinct after casting their first vote.

According to VOTESCAM investigator Jim Condit, eyewitnesses willing to affirm their statements under oath watched representatives from the Board of Elections removing ballot boxes from precincts in heavily populated Maricopa County at 2 p.m., hours before the polls closed.

At 4 p.m., Governor Fife Symington called Buchanan state headquarters to congratulate Buchanan on his win. According to NBC poll estimates, Buchanan would win the primary by a wide margin, as expected. The networks reported Buchanan's lead all day. Then, suddenly, Steven Forbes leaped ahead of the pack, with Bob Dole second, and Buchanan third.

Flabbergasted political analysts tried in vain to explain the anomaly,

then brushed it under the carpet as the rat race continued. They ignored the most obvious and simplest explanation: someone was screwing with the voting results. As usual, VNS was the common denominator. A solid victory in the Arizona primary would have breathed new life into the Buchanan campaign, giving him a fighting chance for the Republican nomination for president.

On the basis of the 60,000 duplicate cards alone, the primary should be discarded. But with Buchanan's campaign becoming more and more irrelevant as Dole prepares his acceptance speech for the Republican Convention, it is doubtful that he will challenge the illegal procedures in Arizona.

Computer voting is dangerous and unnecessary. Ballot boxes cannot be programmed; manipulating physical ballots requires much more effort than hacking a vote tabulation program. Where votes are tallied by computer, citizens have little hope of uncovering and proving the existence of vote fraud, in spite of whatever circumstantial evidence may exist.

Work at the precinct level to re-establish ballot boxes in your area, and observe voting practices in your locality. Take notes, and videotape procedures whenever possible. Tape conversations, and be sure to have witnesses with you. Take the day off work and head for the closest precinct. (And don't feel bad about it -- voting day should be a holiday in America anyway.) Organize with VOTESCAM investigators in your area, or start your own group. Demand paper ballots!

Your actions will accomplish several goals: you will help uncover voting abuses, and you will act as a deterrent to corruption at the ballot box. Not only that, but you'll soon develop a more intimate understanding of the voting process and realize what it means to be a REAL American: paranoid, butt-headed, and NOT to be screwed with. Also write your state

and national legislators to alert them of any information you uncover.
Hold their feet to the fire, and demand an investigation of the Voters
News Service.

To assist Jim Condit's investigation, call 513-471-1500, or write
Cincinnatus PAC, P.O. Box 11339, Cincinnati, Ohio 45211. Also check out
the Cincinnatus PAC web site at <http://www.networkusa.org>

Read Jim and Ken Colliers' book, "VOTESCAM," available for \$12.50
(shipping & handling included) from The Baker Report, 3615 W. Waters
Ave., Suite 308, Tampa, Florida 33614. Audio cassettes of Condit's
interview on The CDR Info Hour are also available from The Baker Report
for \$10.00.

You can order a copy of "VOTESCAM" online at
<http://www.copi.com/votescam/default.htm>

For more information on voting fraud in American see the November 7,
1988 issue of New Yorker Magazine.

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com
-> Posted by: Michael Williams <100705.1252@CompuServe.COM>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List
* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
* constitutional government
* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062410 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Good information from Jo Jorgensen, America's third party
candidate for Vice-President.] PIML

=====

Date: Fri, 21 Jun 1996 20:06:27 -0400

To: butterb@sagenet.net

From: "R.Gould" <uhclem@usit.net>

Subject: PERSONAL

Not really, but thought you'd like it.

Ray

=====

WHY REPUBLICAN POLITICIANS KEEP SELLING OUT FREEDOM

by Jo Jorgensen -- Libertarian for Vice-President 1996

Republican Politicians give us the political equivalent of 1-900 Phone Sex. They tell us that we're special. They ask us what we really want - and tell us that they want it, too. Then they start breathing heavy and getting excited by what we want. They tell us their fantasy for us. They tease us and tempt us. They arouse our passions. They tell us how much they want it and how good it's going to be.

When we hang up from the 1-900 Republican Tax Cut Fantasy Line, we realize 3 things: they cost us a lot of money...the real thing is a lot more satisfying...and they're never going to come across.

There are many fine Republican voters. Many fine Barry Goldwater
Republicans. Many fiscal conservatives in the Republican Party.

But where are the Republican Politicians who aggressively pursue
de-regulation, sizable tax cuts and radical reductions of government?

Why do Republican Politicians keep selling out freedom?

Individual freedom and government power are polar opposites. More
government means less freedom. More taxes, more regulations, more laws,
more policies, more programs, more government employees and more
government spending...all grow at the expense of our freedom.

More individual freedom means less government.

Voting for more government is voting for less freedom.

Republican Politicians regularly vote for more government.

Republican Politicians regularly voted for the Nixon Federal Budgets,
the Ford Budgets, the Reagan Budgets and the Bush Budgets. Each budget
was larger than the previous year's federal budget.

Ronald Reagan's lowest deficit was higher than Jimmy Carter's highest
deficit.

In 8 years as President, Ronald Reagan never even proposed a balanced
budget.

In 8 years as President, Ronald Reagan proposed higher federal
spending every single year.

And Republican Politicians voted with Reagan. They voted for higher
federal spending and higher federal debt.

Then, for 4 more years, Republican Politicians supported George
Bush's spending hikes, increases to the federal debt...and even the
Bush TAX HIKES.

Republican Politicians voted for more government power. More government
power means less individual freedom.

Why do Republican Politicians sell out freedom?

FIRST, We do not sell out our most precious values.

Since Republican Politicians are selling out freedom for government power, we know that freedom is not sacred to them.

In DEAD RIGHT, David Frum says,

"Conservatives are not libertarians. They do not believe that maximum personal liberty is a good in and of itself, without regard to its consequences. 'The effect of liberty to individuals is, that they may do what they please,' wrote Edmund Burke, the hero of American conservatives, 'we ought to see what it will please them to do, before we risk congratulations.'"

Libertarians always put freedom first.

Republican Politicians sometimes put 'National Security' first. Sometimes they put 'Public Order' or 'the Public Interest' first. Sometimes they put 'Character' or 'Virtue' first. Sometimes they put 'Western Civilization' or 'Religion' or 'American Values' first.

Sometimes Republican Politicians put Special Interests in their districts first.

We cannot add to government programs, we cannot add to government priorities without subtracting from freedom. When Republican Politicians give government responsibility for other values, they take away freedom.

SECOND, Politics is priorities. Although many Republican Politicians

evangelically preach the doctrine of cutting taxes, cutting back government and cutting out government waste - they go Brain Dead when you ask them exactly what they'll cut out of the Federal Budget.

In 1992, Policy Review, a publication of the Heritage Foundation, asked 20 moderate-to-conservative Republican Senators what they would do to cut \$25 Billion from the federal budget. That was less than 2% of the budget.

Only 5 of the 20 Republican Politicians responded. And only Hank Brown of Colorado could find \$25 Billion to cut from the federal government.

Republican Politicians now have more important priorities than cutting back Big Government.

Conservative author George Will wrote, "A conservative doctrine of the welfare state is required if conservatives are even to be included in the contemporary political conversation."

Years ago, Irving Kristol said, "The welfare state is with us, for better or worse, and...conservatives should try to make it better rather than worse."

Newt Gingrich personally added a revealing sentence to the 1992 Republican platform. Gingrich said that Republicans must try to, and I quote, "transform the bureaucratic welfare state into a government that is customer-friendly, cost-effective and improving constantly."

Think about a 'customer-friendly, cost-effective and improving constantly' Internal Revenue Service. How about the Drug Enforcement Administration? Or a lean and mean Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms?

Republican Politicians think we are badly taxed, not over-taxed.

Badly regulated, not over-regulated. Badly governed, not over-governed.

If you back them into a corner, Republican Politicians will say they want to cut waste from the budget. I've been through the federal budget. There is no line item labeled 'waste'.

I have a message for the Republican Politicians: Stop trying to be all things to all people. Stand up and tell the truth. Exactly what are you going to cut out of the budget?

They have higher priorities than freedom. They are trying to make government efficient and effective. They are streamlining statism.

In the words of Fred Barnes, these Republican Politicians are "Big Government Conservatives." They no longer seek to dramatically reduce the size and power of government. They seek to use that power to re-shape America in the image of their conservative values.

THIRD, the Republican Political Strategy is to slow down or stop government growth, not turn it around.

Decades ago, William F. Buckley, Jr. said, "A Conservative is a fellow who is standing athwart history yelling 'Stop.'"

George Bush said, "Read my lips: no new taxes."

Nancy Reagan said, "Just say 'No'."

Slow-growth statism or even stand-still statism is a loser strategy. One expands and empowers the government.

The other preserves and protects government.

"Holding the line" on taxes and government is a prescription for failure. On D-Day, we didn't want to "hold the line" at the English Channel. We wanted to move the line forward. To free Europe of Nazi domination.

"Holding the line" on government means protecting and respecting all the new taxes, new spending, new bureaucracies, new laws and new policies that have been added to government since the Constitution was first ratified.

"Holding the line" means letting the Big Government advocates keep what they have stolen from us.

We must begin by dramatically cutting the size and scope and power of government. We must move the line forward to freedom.

We've had enough negativism. We don't want politicians who only say 'No'.

Libertarians just say 'Yes' to huge budget cuts, 'Yes' to dramatic tax cuts, 'Yes' to fiscal restraint. We say 'Yes' to significantly reducing government power. We say 'Yes' to restoring individual freedom and responsibility.

If government growth were a Video tape, liberals would be pushing the 'Fast Forward' button, moderates the 'Forward' button, Republican Politicians would talk about hitting the 'Pause' button, while they left the tape on 'Forward'. Libertarians are the only people trying to push the 'Rewind' button.

FOURTH, as Randolph Bourne wrote, "War is the Health of the State."

Government grows dramatically during war time. Martial Law is

instituted, curfews imposed and civil liberties are curtailed.

War fuels government growth.

In days bygone, we had World Wars, brushfire wars and Cold Wars.

Today we have Trade Wars against Japan or Mexico. We have to protect our borders against this invasion of foreign goods.

We have a Drug War. Certain liberties have been suspended to help the government win this conflict. Bank accounts are looked into. Privacy must take second place to victory. Properties of suspected drug traffickers are seized to bankrupt the enemy.

And let's not forget Pat Buchanan's Cultural War.

Truth is not the first casualty. Freedom is .

If the War metaphor doesn't work, there's the old fallback position:
"National Security."

"Our `National Security' depends on strong and vibrant industries. America's security requires good jobs and strong businesses. We need trade protection and tariffs for our basic industries."

"Our `National Security' requires secure borders. We must beef up our Border Patrol and protect our southern border."

Republican Politicians litter their speeches with this War Rhetoric. War talk contributes to government growth in the name of preparedness. War talk justifies the growth of government. War talk erodes freedom.

FIFTH, campaign contributions affect political priorities. As detective

novels advise: Follow the Money.

Bob Dole votes for farm subsidies and crop price supports. How much support do you think he gets from the agricultural industry?

Jesse Helms champions the rights of smokers. Do you think he has trouble raising money from the tobacco industry?

Orren Hatch fights the FDA for the right to freely use vitamins. Which state has the lion's share of vitamin companies? Do you think Senator Hatch has trouble getting campaign contributions from them?

Special interests take care of the politicians who take care of them.

There's one problem: most special interests thrive at the expense of taxpayers or the competitors that they're protected from. Most special interests don't want a level playing field. They want to fix the game so they always win.

Government favors to special interests cost us tax dollars, higher consumer prices and freedom.

SIXTH, until recently, no one challenged Republican Politicians for the freedom section of the political spectrum.

No one cared enough about freedom to make it the first and last priority.

Libertarians are challenging the weak, watered-down, compromising Republican Contract with America.

When Republican Politicians say they're for "Limited Government", Libertarians will ask:

Limited to what?

Limited by what?

How big will your limited government be?

Bigger than what we have today? The same size?

Smaller? How much smaller?

What are you going to get rid of?

When Republican Politicians say they're for "Personal Responsibility",
Libertarians will ask:

What do you mean by "personal responsibility"?

Responsible to whom?

Responsible for what?

Since there's no responsibility without authority, how much
personal authority will your government recognize and respect?

How much individual liberty and personal responsibility will
your government not interfere with?

When Republican Politicians say they're reducing the federal deficit,
Libertarians will ask:

How much are you over-spending this year?

How much are you adding to the federal debt this year?

Republican Politicians don't deserve the support of pro-freedom
Republican voters. They haven't earned it.

Now America has a real choice: Libertarians.

We'll either succeed our way to success - or fail our way to success.

We may well elect hundreds of Libertarians across America in 1996 and

beyond. Our elected Libertarians will dramatically cut taxes and hack back government.

Or opportunist politicians may co-opt our ideas, hijack our government slashing policies and do it for us.

We will either succeed our way to success - or fail our way to success.

But the eclipse of freedom is almost over.

We'll soon stand in the bright sunlight of freedom in our time.

Let me be blunt: I believe that we will have to succeed our way to success. If we really want to be free, we have to do it ourselves.

In the past, voting Libertarian was a way of sending Washington a message. Well, their phone's off the hook, they're not reading the mail and their FAX machine's out of paper. They are not getting the message.

If Republican Politicians were capable of getting the message, they would have already gotten it.

We're through sending them a message. Now we're going to elect the messengers.

We're going to elect Libertarians - we are going to elect the messengers.

Every law we repeal...

Every government program we shut down...

Every tax we slash or abolish...

will deliver a consistent Libertarian message to America:

We are committed to Freedom In Our Time.

-the end-

=====

Don't like Bill or Bob?

Not sure?

Try Harry!..... <http://www.HarryBrowne96.org/>

Feel free to export the following:

```
#!/bin/perl -sp0777i<X+d*1MLa^*1N%0]dsXx++1M1N/dsM0<j]dsj
$/=unpack('H',$_);$_=`echo 16dio\U$k"SK$/SM$n\Esn0p[1N*1
1K[d2%Sa2/d0$^Ixp"|dc`s/\W//g;$_=pack('H*',/((...)*$/)
-----
```

Thanx!!

R.

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

Forwarded message:

Subj: Good Ol' Boys latest info - IMPORTANT

Date: 96-06-23 23:02:38 EDT

From: CopWatch

To All:

Press Release - Please distribute

For the past several weeks, the Gadsden Minutemen, and other unnamed parties, have been investigating criminal acts surrounding the ATF sponsored Good Ol' Boys Roundup. Through confidential sources inside government agencies and other, unnamed sources, it has been brought to our attention that a Fort Lauderdale police officer, an ex-member of Gene Rightmyer's Good Ol' Boy "MOB," was engaged in the act of firearms trafficking along with other co-conspirators. These weapons were being transported to Mexico on a regular basis.

Also, revealed, through additional, unreleased photos and video tape, are pictures of the Mexican gun buyers. We also have good information that Andreas Strassmeir, who is connected with the Oklahoma City bombing may have attended the Good Ol' Boys Roundup. We are currently going over many photos of the GOB Roundup and recent photos of Strassmeir to verify his attendance. Mr. Strassmeir was sponsored into the US by a man named Vincent Petruskie, Mr. Petruskie is a known international arms dealer, also linked to providing mercenary personnel. Strassmeir fled to Mexico shortly after the OKC bombing. We are in the process of directly linking Strassmeir to ATF control. Strassmeir is an avowed white supremacist, this connection could explain a lot of the racism and "Aryan" control

over key players inside ATF ... and would easily explain the the reasoning behind the "whites only" attitude demonstarted at the GOB Roundup.

From what we have gathered so far, the GOB Roundup was a yearly "star chamber," meeting where many of the key players met to discuss business other than drinking, klan skits and nigger hunting license. Now, we see why ATF readily admitted to the racism ... to cover for the major illegal acts that were taking place.

Michael Bromwich, DOJ inspector, was the man who insisted on interrogating the people involved in exposing the GOB Roundup. Mr. Bromwich's claim to fame is his failed attempt at prosecuting Oliver North in the Contra scandal. One can only assume that Mr. Bromwich wanted to see how much the militia knew about the ties between ATF's gun running business, any photos or info we may have on Strassmeir's connection to ATF and the OKC bombing.

Many other people, both government and non-government, are being linked to this ordeal.

The recent issue of SOF magazine (July) has an article about an ATF agent named Joe Miedzianowski who is in serious trouble dealing machine guns, silencers and coke to Chicago street gangs ... We have pictures that may also place him at the GOB Roundup.

Inside sources with ATF and other agencies have been providing current and past information on this late breaking story. Will keep you posted as other developments come forth.

Jeff Randall

CopWatch

THE DTC - A NINE TRILLION DOLLAR SECRET?

by Shep Shephardson, Applesauce Publications

This article credited originally to North Bridge News, issue 14, Nov. 95)

The Depository Trust Co. (DTC) is the best-kept secret in America. Headquartered at 55 Water St. NYC, this "financial institution" is perhaps the most powerful in the world, yet the public doesn't have a clue as to who they are or what they do. How can a "bank" hold assets of over 9 trillion dollars and be unknown?

In dealing with the trust department of a major New Jersey bank, one of our staff wanted to transfer his trust assets, comprising stocks and bonds, to a new trust he had set up in another state. The bank said that it would take at least six weeks to do so as none of his assets were currently held in his own name or in the name of his trust account! In a panic, he brought this before our entire staff and asked if we could investigate. We did, and the can of worms we've opened should frighten every American.

After encountering numerous "no comments" and "that's not my department" excuses, we eventually spoke to Mr. Jeff McNeil [transcriber: may be "McNeff" or "McNell" -JW], director of training at the DTC. He says he's been employed there for 19 years and was very proud of his employer. By law, he should have disclosed to us that his employer[s] was recording our telephone conversation [our electronic equipment picked up on this immediately].

He informed us that "DTC is the largest limited trust company in the world, with assets of \$9.1 trillion." Can you imagine? An unknown banking company could pay off the national debt, and then some! Jeff then went on to say "DTC is a brokerage and transfer center. We're a private bank for securities. We handle the book entry transactions for all banks and

brokers. Every bank and brokerage firm must secure their membership with us in case they become insolvent, so your assets are secure with DTC." Yes, you read that correctly,

DTC is a private entity that processes every stock and [paper securities] for all U.S. banks and brokerage houses. The big question is "just who gave this company such a broad range of financial power and clout?"

The reason the public doesn't know about the DTC is that they're a private depository bank for institutions and brokerage firms only. They process all of their book entry settlement transactions. Jeff told us: "There's no need for the public to know about us... It's required by the Federal Reserve and that DTC handle all transactions." The Federal Reserve Corp. is a private company, not an agency of our federal government. They mandated that DTC process every securities transaction in the U.S. It's no wonder that DTC is owned by the same stockholders as the Federal Reserve Corp. In other words, the DTC is really a front for the Federal Reserve Corp. Now let's see how this affects the average working American.

You go to the bank or broker and instruct them you want to purchase 100 shares of IBM stock, for example. They set up an account for you and act as your agent with power of attorney to conduct business on your behalf, upon your buy and sell instructions. The broker will place your stock or bond purchase into their safekeeping

[?] Under a "street name." According to DTC, no bank or broker can place the stock into their firm's own name due to Federal Trade Commission and Security and Exchange Commission regulations.

The broker or bank must then send the transaction to DTC for "ledger posting"

or "book entry settlement" under mandate by the Federal Reserve Corp.

Remember, since your bank or broker can't use their name on the certificate, they use a fictitious entity name or "street name." This artificial entity is always related to the broker or bank. Then the "street name" stock or bond certificate is automatically transferred to, or credited to, the DTC. Since DTC is a bank, they can't hold the certificate in their name either, so DTC transfers the certificate to their own holding company or "nominee name,"

We're not quite sure of the spelling, but the DTC's holding company is either "CD and Company" or "Cede and Company."

The bankers AND brokers are merely "custodians." By federal law, they cannot hold any assets in your name, the customer. The assets must be held, eventually, in the name of DTC's holding company. That's how DTC has \$9.1 trillion of assets in trust...or is it really in "trust" if the Federal Reserve Corp. is technically holding it in their "unknown" entity's name? Obviously, if stock and bond certificates you've purchased aren't in your name, then the holder [the Federal Reserve Corp.] could theoretically refuse to surrender them back to you under a "national emergency" according to the 1917 trading with the enemy act. Is this the collateral being held by the private Federal Reserve Corp to pay of the national debt owed to them by our Federal government?

According to Mr. Mcneil, the DTC was a former member of the NYSE. and "our sister company is the National Securities Clearing Corporation." Simply put, the depository trust company absolutely controls every paper asset transaction in America and they physically hold the majority of stock and bond certificates in their name. If you have stock or bonds in your name buried in your back yard or under your mattress, we suggest you keep them there.

Now we're about to reveal to you the most shocking discovery we've come across during our research into this matter. Most of us remember a few years back the alleged "computerized" selling of stocks that resulted in "Black Monday." The stock exchanges had dramatic record losses, and a record volume of shares was traded on that infamous Monday in October. We all asked ourselves how computers could have done this by themselves without someone knowing all about it, what to do and when to do it.

During our telephone conversation, Mr. Mcneil was trying to assure our researcher that they have never lost a certificate or made a mistake in a book ledger transaction. In attempting to give us an example of how

trustworthy they are, he said: DTC's first controlled test was 4 or 5 years ago. Do you remember black Monday? There was a very proud to inform us that "DTC cleared every transaction without a single glitch!" Read these quotes again. He state that: "Black Monday" was a controlled test! "Black Monday" was a deliberately manipulated disaster for many Americans at the "controlled test" by the DTC and the FDC owners.

What was the purpose of this test? Common sense tells us that you test something before you intend to use it. It's quite obvious that the stock markets are going to crash and burn at some future date and for some unknown reason since the controlled test, since the controlled test was so successful. The great depression is about to be repeated and it will be as deliberate and manipulated as the first one that began with the stock market crash of 1929.

On June 7, 1995, the federal government issued new regulations requiring stock and bond transfers to be cleared in 3 days instead of the previous 5 day time period. This means that brokers and banks must get your stock or bond transaction into the name of the DTC withing 3 working days. That's hard to do considering that banks claim that it takes 3 or mare days to clear a cheque and you've submitted to pay for a stock purchase. But there's a reason for tis new regulation and it conincides with the introduction of the new fiat "dollars."

In 1996, "the DTC will flip the switch", according to Mr. Mcneil. What switch, we asked? This is the day that "clearing house" funds will no longer be accepted for stock or bond transactions. Instead only "fed funds" will be accepted. Fed funds, or a "fed wire", are electronic transfers between Federal Reserve Corp. member banks. No cheques or drafts will be involved or allowed from that day on. This is called "a cashless transaction." We call it the reality of the "mark of the beast." This is the manifestitation of our new god, the new world order.

Consider this. All pension funds and other institutional "managed funds" are comprised of paper asset investments such as stocks and bonds. These certificates are technically in the name of DTC's holding company. DTC is technically owned by the private Federal Reserve Corp.. Congress is moving

right now to pass legislation allowing certain pension funds to be used by the government as alleged loans. All the Federal Reserve Corp. has to do is hand it over! But what happens to the people counting on those pension fund investments to feed themselves? Too bad... You're out of luck because for "the good of the nation" you must share your wealth. Since the Federal Reserve Corp. already holds our stocks and bonds in their fictitious name, then perhaps they'll cash them in for the federal government's failure to repay the loans that have become way overdue.

In 1933, all gold was taken from the hands of private citizens. Under the war powers act, a national emergency was declared due to the deliberately- calculated stock market crash that preceded the great depression. Where did this gold end up? Into the hands of the Federal Reserve Corp.. The majority is stored in the impervious rock beneath NYC. Is it any surprise that DTC holds our stock and bond certificates in the same place?

Technically, our entire nation is still under the war powers act and in a continual state of national emergency. The president can enforce any new emergency at any time under executive order or presidential directive. [SOMETIME IN 1996, expect a new national emergency to be declared.] They'll blame it on infamous drug dealers who are allegedly destroying our currency. Old dollars will be called in and exchanged for new ones. If you don't do it within a given time period, you may be sent to prison if you're caught. This is what happened to those Americans holding gold after 1933.

This national emergency will most likely call for the confiscation of all gold bullion again. Who will end up with it? The Federal Reserve Corp., just like before. Then, perhaps, they'll peg a new dollar to gold prices, as many experts have already reported. What will stocks and bonds purchased with old dollars be worth then? Pennies on the dollar, so to speak. Who ends up being the only winner? The Federal Reserve Corp. stockholders. People will be at the mercy of the government for daily food and for jobs. Without a fed fund ATM type card you won't be able to transact business, get your food ration, or pay for the electric bill. Checks will be phased out

totally during 1996. The switch is being turned on in February. This is not speculation! This is the truth of reality. It's already been tested and their new system works.

THE DAY HAS COME WHEN YOU MUST DECIDE TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE BEAST. CALL

CONGRESS TOLL FREE 1-800-962-3524

(90% of the statements made in this article have been confirmed.)

Shep Shephardson, Applesauce Publications

eMail us at APLsauce@AOL.Com Phone or fax 813 942-1725.

Mailing address is P.O. Box 3251, Holiday, FL, 34690

=====

SAFAN Editors: Jan Dodge, Jeannie Cox, Jammie

Featherstone,

and Dot Bibee (DotHB@aol.com) Ph/FAX (904) 453-3656

=====

PRAY FOR AMERICA FOR INDEED SHE IS IN TROUBLE!

PIML 96062407 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[This is a GREAT video tape.] PIML

=====

Date: 22 Jun 96 19:03:26 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:Aaron Russo

Aaron Russo gets Mad as Hell about the abuses of our government and the coming police state.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is *not* *safe*.

22-Jun-96 13:16 EDT

Sb: Aaron Russo (sp) of "Mad as Hell"

Fm: BRENDA JINKINS 383-4248 > INTERNET:BJINKINS@a1.stim.tec.tn.us

FYI:

****NOTE: I HAVE NOT CHECKED OUT THE PHONE NUMBER NOR ADDRESS LISTED. NOR HAVE I CHECKED OUT THE "MAD AS HELL" FOUNDER IN THE ARTICLE BELOW.****

Aaron Russo (sp) is a Hollywood producer gone activist. He was a guest on the Roger Fridenberg (sp) radio show last night. Russo

lists some of his achievements as Trading Places, The Rose, and the Half Shell Review. He promoted Bette Midler when she was an unknown.

He has made a video of his speaking presentation on the danger of the police state in America. He is concerned with the unConstitutionality of almost all our laws. He believes that large numbers of people who are now apathetic or active in widely divergent groups can work together to "lop off the head" as it were instead of nibbling at the tentacles.

Russo says that most people he speaks to liberal, conservative, young, old, middle-class, poor ,etc., are uneducated as to this police state. They know something is wrong, but not exactly what. One example he uses in his presentation is that of Donald Scott of California whose home was raided on trumped up marijuana charges so his land could be grabbed by the Park Service. Scott was killed in this raid trying to defend himself and his wife. According to Russo the land ownership is now in limbo because of heirs who are suing, and the widow, Francis Scott, is living on it "in a teepee".

Mad as Hell is both an organization and a very informative and entertaining- according to Roger- 90 minute video. Time on cable has been bought as Infomercials for the group and the video and these ads will be appearing shortly. The large networks would not air the video as a show (surprise,surprise) after a campaign through such venues as advertising in the newsletter of Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership. Russo says all profit from the video sales will go to air more ads and to promote the organization.

Russo wants 5 to 20 million people to be linked together to stop the law-making by severe pressure on the Congress and President.

Then he wants to concentrate on electing Constitutionally minded candidates. His website is under construction. Roger will have a link to Russo's site, and Roger's site is <http://www.regularguy.com>

Russo said the Libertarian party was a good ideologically, but had not gotten far in 25 years. He wants to build a new *inclusive* political vehicle to stop the momentum of the police state. Neither Bob Dole nor Bill Clinton will stop the growth of the police state. He thinks his cause has general appeal as Americans of all ideological stripes and races do not desire to become slaves to the Feds and then to a few global masters.

Russo's phone number is--

1-310-289-4633; leave message and phone number

Russo's address for mail and tape ordering is--

Mad as Hell

PO Box 27740

Las Vegas, NV 89126

To order, send check or money order for \$20 (\$15 for video and \$5 for shipping) made out to "Mad as Hell". Turn around time has been 10 days, (but from the response he got on Roger's national show, I bet it goes up rapidly).

Brenda C. Jenkins

PS My impressions, FWIW:

Russo is a positive thinker, motivational type speaker, and quick at answering listener questions effectively. He sounded sincere and said though it may seem strange to some since he is Jewish, he believes God called him to do this. He has put his career on hold and is speaking and promoting his group full time. Since I several more weeks until Fall semester begins, I am going to call and check this group out and consider volunteering.

BCJ

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062406 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Who was it that said there's not a dime's worth of difference between Clinton and Dole? I would have to agree; not even 15 cents worth of difference. And what have we come to when a person feels that they have to remove names and use an anonymous remailer to simply quote a passage from a published magazine article and book? Fear is running rampant. The people should never have to fear their Republican form of government; but our present government has good cause to fear the righteous wrath of an awakened American people. Remember, our present government was brought to you by the combined efforts of the Democratic and Republican Parties.] PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 24 Jun 1996 00:15:12 GMT

To: uwsa@shell.portal.com

From: reply@remail.ecafe.org (ECafe Anonymous Remailer)

Subject: *** Dole, CIA, ADM Scandal? ***

_____, this is for your eyes only. I found this and have given it to Carl Wigglesworth to do a show and to AP. If you can get it out with no mail name or any other indicator of its origination, get it out.

If this doesn't frighten you out of your socks, nothing will. According to Fortune Magazine, (May 13, 1996 issue, page 113) writer Ronald Henkoff reports that Dwayne Andreas, Chairman of the Archer Daniels Midland company, requested and received help from the CIA in an international industrial espionage sting operation, from September 1992 to November 1992.

This is clearly illegal since the CIA has no authority to operate on US soil and is not supposed to work for private companies. Henkoff alleges that Andreas's political connections are so powerfull that he managed to persuade agents of the CIA to violate their own rules.

The FBI and Justice Dept is investigating.
Who are Andreas's political connections? Any guesses?
Bill Clinton was just a candidate at the time.

>From Senator for Sale, by S. Hilton, St Martins Press:

" Why has Dole become ADM's gofer? According to FEC records, between 1980 and 1990 alone, Andreas and his family and ADM's PAC contributed more than \$80,000 to Dole's campaigns. In the 1970's and 1980's, Andreas, his family and ADM's PAC contributed \$2.7 million to Republican candidates and soft money donations to the GOP, and ADM jets frequently fly Dole across the country. In addition the ADM foundation has given \$185,000 to the Dole foundation.

The Andreas-Dole relationship culminated in a sweetheart deal on a cooperative apartment in the posh Sea View Hotel in Bal Harbour, Florida, in 1982. Andreas gave preferential treatment to the Doles for this unit, not only as to the purchase price but also as to making his own seventy-five shares in the co-op available to them....." pages 229-230

Dole was Republican leader of the Senate at the time and Bush President.
Did Dole or Bush help in this? Or is the CIA totally out of control?

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062403 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Frank Brady has identified the problem but his solution of contacting currently elected officials won't work. It was those same officials who brought us the problem. The solution is to get rid of all incumbents who have not been working to reduce the size of government, which means get rid of almost all incumbents. Vote Libertarian, or independent, or fourth party, or - as a last resort - if there is no other choice, vote Republican or Democratic AGAINST the incumbent. Plan now to volunteer on election day to assist your chosen organization in poll watching to prevent vote fraud.] PIML

=====

Date: Sun, 23 Jun 1996 19:06:51 -0500 (CDT)

To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>

From: bradyco@sky.net (Frank Brady)

Subject: Re: PIML 96052207 - L&J: 00 - A Letter to the IRS

Wake up, people! This is about your money and your future.

Unless you read this and ACT, you won't have either!

While we are stupidly working for a living and fighting among ourselves about whether it's better to be a "Democrat" or a "Republican," a bi-partisan gang of thieves is robbing us blind. They are betting we're too stupid to notice what they are doing to us until it's too late.

Pull out your last paycheck stub and take a look at the amount withheld under FICA and Medicare. These are your "contributions" to Social Security and Medicare. Every penny you "contributed" is gone, immediately stolen (along with an equal amount "contributed" by your employer). Not only will

you NEVER see any of this money again, these arrogant Washington criminals tax you on it as though this confiscated money is income and actually plan to TAX AWAY 82 CENTS OF EVERY DOLLAR YOU EARN to cover the shortage they caused by spending YOUR money on current beneficiaries. What about your future? TOUGH BOUNCE!

The Social Security and Medicare "trust funds" do not exist and the so-called "surpluses" they allegedly contain are only worthless accounting entries, not backed even by marketable government securities. Lying to the public about these imaginary surpluses has allowed politicians now in office to understate the budget deficit and the cumulative federal debt--and perhaps delay public wrath until they are safely out of office, enjoying their federal pensions. Except now they have run out of time!

That is why, this year, 22 out of 100 career United States Senators "suddenly" decided to retire. They don't want to be around when the crap hits the fan in the next 3 to 5 years. The "official line" is that the Medicare "trust funds" will run out of money in 2001 and the Social Security "trust funds" will run out of money in 2021. These dates are as false as the "trust fund surpluses." The claim that the funds will "run out of money by some future date," simply means that cash flow will no longer be able to pay current program beneficiaries. Long before then, the federal deficits these funds have been "masking" will skyrocket out of control, leading to impossibly confiscatory tax rates and financial disaster.

UNLESS THIS THEFT IS STOPPED IMMEDIATELY, WE WILL ALL BE GOVERNMENT SLAVES!

Demand that politicians stop lying about the Social Security and Medicare "Trust Funds" NOW!

Demand that government stop extorting Social Security and Medicare "contributions" from us NOW!

Call the President at 1-202-456-1414,

To get the telephone numbers for your House member and your two U.S. senators, dial the Capitol Hill Switchboard at 1-202-225-3121 and ask for them by name. If you do not know their names, the Capitol Hill Switchboard operator can identify them for you from your ZIP code. In major metro areas, they will also have local office numbers in the telephone directory.

If you want to have a life, help us stop the theft and the lies. Send me e-mail!

Today!

Frank Brady

Acting Chair

Volunteers for an American Future

bradyco@sky.net

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062402 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[If FEMA ever tries this it may well result in the second shot
heard 'round the world.] PIML

=====

From: HPBIII@aol.com

Date: Sun, 23 Jun 1996 22:54:06 -0400

Subject: FEMA MUST READ!!!

Heard on the radio that FEMA is planning on having an exercise. They want to
give the people a short period of time to get some of their personal
belongings and report to a designated area but, as they leave their house the
must leave their door unlocked.. Never explained why. The meeting was held
in NM. Have you heard about this ??? Heard it on the radio yesterday...
Please let me know if anyone else knows anything about this. Thanks
Frank

ANSWER:

This news is from the Resurrection News & Fax Network (RN&F) Sheila M.
Reynolds, Cornville, AZ 86325 Phone (520) 634-9269 FAX 1486 BBS 0431

She writes:

I just received a FAX from some very serious sovereign Americans in New
Mexico - - if this does not prove, beyond the shadow of any doubt, that the
Nazi scum bags are here - then you are too brain dead to know the truth. The
FAX reads as follows:

FEMA held a public EVACUATION orientation meeting here last night. A summary of that meeting is:

- 1) In case of emergency of any type, which can be called by county commissioners or the Governor for a multitude of reasons, FEMA will be in charge of troops, national guard, state police, sheriff's deputies, et al., who will FORCE and escort ALL residents to "safety zones".
- 2) You will given short notice to gather up your valuables to take with you.
- 3) This is apply for trial evacuations (fire drills) as well.
- 4) You will be required to leave your front door unlocked as you leave.
- 5) These meetings are being held all over the Grant County this week.
- 6) Come to Lake Roberts Thursday if you want to hear the pitch. FEM will be presenting the package there at 7 p.m.
- 7) A planned fire drill total evacuation is planned for this area. Date not known.

My Comments: (per Sheila Reynolds)

Every warning bell in my head went off. What's to prevent the troops from collecting and searching every house for weapons while everyone is at the safety zone? Nothing! With every house left open, no one can be charged with breaking and entering. And what's to prevent every house to be wired with listening devices (bugs)? Nothing. Folks might want to ask themselves one question, "What is the only thing in the world that stands in the way of the full implementation of a worldwide socialistic central corporate government (NWO) and eliminating every trace of Sovereignty and Americanism in existence.

OUR WEAPONS - OF COURSE

The way I see it, the Branch Davidian Massacre was the Boston Massacre. The search and confiscation of all firearms will be Lexington and Concord.

RN&FN Note: I can say only one thing to the sovereigns of New Mexico and

every other freedom loving sovereign throughout America. DO NOT ALLOW this to happen. DO NOT LEAVE your homes. DO NOT ALLOW them to force you to leave your homes. The various Militias of Sovereign America should make this a cause for war. should one Sovereign American be forced to leave his or her home. There is no excuse for this. This is Nazism at its peak. This is what they have been practicing for when all the brain dead sheeple were telling us that we did not know what we were talking about. I am a Sovereign American. This is my home. I will not leave it of my own free will. Try to make me. Leave me alone or prepare for war. Let the cowards and traitors be damned.

God bless and take care.

Sheila M. Reynolds

P.S. WHY IS A GERMAN AIR BASE REALLY IN NEW MEXICO? Yes New Mexico - the same state where they are holding the FEMA "practice" evacuations.

May 1, 1996, marked an historic event in American history, but who noticed?

Secretary of Defense William Perry took part in a ceremony at New Mexico's Holloman Air Force Base marking the official opening of a German military installation there. The facility is the first permanent military installation established in the United States by a foreign nation.

WAKE UP: The creation of an official German base in New Mexico is another step toward the creation of an internationally controlled military force.

(Excerpts of a FAX from Johnny Johnson - Houston (715) 530-5511 FAX 7600

Dot Bibee

Pensacola

Ph/FAX (904) 453-3656

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062401 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Norm Olson's essay on militia and Paula Demers' commentary.

People = militia and militia = people.] PIML

=====

From: HPBIII@aol.com

Date: Sun, 23 Jun 1996 18:57:37 -0400

Subject: IS THE CITIZEN MILITIA LAWFUL?

Subj: Re: Fwd: WHAT IS A MILITA?

Date: 96-06-23 18:27:15 EDT

From: nolso@sunny.ncmc.cc.mi.us (Norman Olson)

Paula and others, Please repost far and wide. The following essay is for you information and use.

IS THE CITIZEN MILITIA LAWFUL?

Is the citizen militia legitimate and lawful? Our Governor, the Lawmakers, and others say no. You've heard their lies. Now here are the facts:

The Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution recognizes the inherent right of states to form militia units. That amendment reads: "A well-regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed."

Not only does the Constitution allow the formation of a Federal Army, it specifically recognizes state militias, and

confirms that the citizen and his personal armaments are the foundation of the citizen militia. The arming of the militia is not left to the state but to the citizen. Should the state choose to arm its citizen militia, it is free to do so under the United States Constitution (bearing in mind that the Constitution is not a document limiting the citizen, but rather one that establishes and limits the power of government). Should the state fail to arm its citizen militia, the right of the people to keep and bear arms becomes the source of the guarantee that the state will not be found defenseless in the presence of a threat to its security. It makes no sense whatsoever to look to the Constitution of the United States or that of any state for permission to form a citizen militia.

Logic demands that the power to grant permission is also the power to deny permission. Brought to its logical conclusion in this case, a state may deny the citizen the right to form a militia. If this were to happen, the state would assert itself as the principle of the contract making the people the agents. Liberty then would be dependent on the state's grant of liberty. Such a concept is foreign to American thought. While the Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution acknowledges the existence of state militias and recognizes their necessity for the security of a free state and while it also recognizes that the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed, the Second Amendment is not the source of the right to form a militia nor to keep and bear arms. Those rights existed in the states prior to the formation of the federal union. In fact, the right to form militias and to keep and bear arms existed from antiquity. The enumeration of those rights in the Constitution only underscores their natural occurrence and importance. The Tenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution reads: "The Powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States

respectively, or to the people."

Ultimate power over the militia is not delegated to the United States by the Constitution nor to the states, but resides with the people. Consequently, the power of the militia remains in the hands of the people. Again, the fundamental function of the militia in society remains with the people. Therefore, the Second Amendment recognizes that the militia's existence and the security of the state rests ultimately in the people who volunteer their persons to constitute the militia and their arms to supply its firepower. The primary defense of the state rests with the citizen militia bearing its own arms. Fundamentally, it is not the state that defends the people, but the people who defend the state. The secondary defense of the state consists in the statutory organization known as the National Guard. Whereas the National Guard is solely the creation of statutory law, the militia derives its existence from the inherent inalienable rights of man which existed before the Constitution and whose importance are such that they merited specific recognition in that document. While the National Guard came into existence as a result of legislative activity, the militia existed before there was a nation or a constitutional form of government. The militia consisting of people owning and bearing personal weapons is the very authority out of which the United States Constitution grew. This point must be emphasized. Neither the citizen's militia nor the citizen's private arsenal can be an appropriate subject for federal legislation or regulation. It was the armed militia of the American colonies whose own efforts ultimately led to the establishment of the United States of America! While some say that the right to keep and bear arms is granted to Americans by the Constitution, just the opposite is true. The federal government itself is the child of the armed citizen. We the people are the parent of the child we call government. The increasing amount of federal encroachment into the territory of the Second Amendment in particular and the Bill of Rights in

general indicates the need for parental corrective action. In short, the federal government needs a good spanking to make it behave.

One other important point needs to be made. Since the Constitution is the limiting document upon the government, the government cannot become greater than the granting power, that is the servant cannot become greater than his master. Therefore, should the Chief Executive or other branch of government, or all branches together act to suspend the Constitution under a rule of martial law, all power granted to government would be canceled and defer back to the granting power, the people. Martial law shall not be possible in this country as long as the people recognize the Bill of Rights as inalienable. The present actions of this country's government have been to convince Americans that the Bill of Rights controls the people. The Bill of Rights has nothing to do with control of the people, nor control of the government established by the people. The Bill of Rights stands as immutable and unaffected by any change determined upon the Constitution by government.

In Michigan , the militia is the subject of Article III, '4. [and I presume, the constitutions of other states will echo this] "The militia shall be organized, equipped and disciplined as provided by law." The law alluded to speaks of militias of the state, to be equipped, supported and controlled by the Governor. A thoughtful consideration of this arrangement leads immediately to the question of "Who really governs the militia?" Article I, '1 of Michigan's Constitution says, (And it may be presumed that other state constitutions also say,) "All political power is inherent in the people. Government is instituted for their equal benefit, security and protection." Once again we see the inherent right of citizen militias vested in the people. The organizing and support of a state sponsored militia of the state is a power granted to the Governor. This fact is further supported by Art. I, '7, "The military shall in all cases and at all times be in strict subordination to the civil power." But which military? It cannot be the citizen

militia since the agent of a contract can hold, but cannot control the principle. Therefore, the military spoken of is the military force permitted to be formed by the state, which is the National Guard. Neither can it be the citizen militia because, like the Federal Constitution, the Constitution of Michigan is the child of vested power reserved to the people forever. There is no possible way that the Governor of this State or the Chief Executive of the United States, or any legislative body can "outlaw" the citizen's militia for to do so would rob inherent power from the people and thereby transform the limited Constitutional Republic to a government controlled state. If that were to happen, our entire form of government would cease.

How than can the citizen militia be controlled? In simplest terms, it cannot be. It is the natural occurrence of the people who gather to defend against a preceived threat. Historically, citizen militias emerge when a clear and present danger exists, threatening the well-being of the people. It would stand to reason that power granted to the Governor to form a militia for the security of the people is intended to reduce the need for the citizen militia. Simply, if the National Guard did it's job in securing the state, the citizen militia would not emerge. That it has emerged so dramatically seems to indicate that the people do not feel secure. Nor can the people be given promises of security. Well-being is not measured by promise, but by experience. Surely our experience has been that security is lacking, hence the emergence of the citizen militia. When safety and security are reestablished in Michigan, the citizen militia will return to its natural place, resident within the body of the people, only to emerge again when security is threatened. Security is the common desire of all mankind. We can no more control the militia than we can change the nature of men. For their safety and security, people everywhere will form militia if

and when necessary.

By now it should be clear that the militia predates state and federal constitutions. Its right to exist among the citizenry cannot be subjected to legal challenge. The only effective challenge to citizen militias would be political engineering. One may envision an effort to amend both the state and federal constitution specifically abolishing the right for citizens to form militia units. Should such a venture be dared, the natural need of the citizens militia would increase, actually drawing more free people to it. By now also, one should draw the conclusion that the militia is inherent to all social, interactive people concerned about the well-being of fellow citizens. This conclusion is that which is so clearly stated in the Bill of Rights. No man-made law can abolish the citizen militia since such a law would be in fact an unlawful act designed to dissolve power vested in the people. Such an effort would reveal an intent of any tyrant to transform limited government created by the people into a government limiting the people. Most tyrants know that such a move must be well timed. It is no wonder then, that power-hungry central government and groomed courts view the Second Amendment as applying only to organized militias, i.e. armies of the individual states, that is, the National Guard.

To summarize: Citizen militias in Michigan are historic lawful entities predating all federal and state constitutions. Such militias are "grandfathered" into the very system of government they created as clearly revealed in both the Constitution of the United States and that of Michigan. These constitutions grant no right to form militias, but merely recognize the existing natural right of all people to defend and protect themselves. The governments created out of well armed and free people are to be constantly obedient to the people. Any attempt to take the means of freedom from the people is an act of

rebellion against the people. Currently in Michigan, the citizen militia is subject only to the historic role of American militias as defined in Black's Law Dictionary: Militia: The body of citizens in a state, enrolled for discipline as a military force, but not engaged in actual service except in emergencies, as distinguished from regular troops or a standing army. In order to conform to this definition, and to remain able to oppose a rebellious and disobedient government, the citizen militia must not be connected in any way with that government lest the body politic lose its fearful countenance as the only sure threat to a government bent on converting free people into slaves.

Norman E. Olson, Co-Founder, Michigan Militia Corps

Kind Regards

=====

Date: 24 Jun 96 01:54:55 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:Paula's commentary

Some very cogent commentary from Paula Demers about the state of things in our country today.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any

other assumption is *not* *safe*.

23-Jun-96 14:50 EDT

Sb: Fwd: WHAT IS A MILITA?

Fm: INTERNET:HPBIII@aol.com

FROM: Rdy4Battle (Paula Demers)

Folks,

For all who know me personally, this "bitch session" will not come as a surprise to anyone.

I am really disturbed by the division among freedom loving Americans. We don't have to agree with everyone, but we sure don't need to waste our time cutting others down. I have never seen so much garbage as that which cuts freedom loving American citizens down.

First of all, we need to understand what the Milita is. It is all able bodied men (and now women) who claims to be an American citizen. That's why it's "unorganized". If you want a list of people involved in the militias, look in the phone book. It's all of us. If anyone out there thinks we will even come close to what George Washington had, you better think again. UN troops are all over the United States. Ready to attack. Our own military is training to disarm citizens. us. (Yes, I've been told by military people) They have the ability to cut off communications and will be doing so.

The New World Order is so big and so wide spread that they attack constantly on all levels. Yes, we do hear about some, such as the Waco, Ruby Ridge, the Freeman, Starr...., but there is much more then that going on. Just not all of it get coverage. And not all get support, because no one knows. And the milita is fighting it at all levels. And they aren't necessarily nationally

known either.

The thing that really concerns me, is with some of the garbage I am seeing coming in, is that some folks give the impression that they have waited long enough and want their war. I recently learned that before our Revolutionary war, they educated people for seven years. Most of the people I know have been involved in this movement have been involved for less than three years. And they have no concept of the total power of the "enemy".

When it really hits, it will hit nation wide. with no communication. We need to get our act together and work together to reach as many people as possible. Time is short.

Paula

=====

=

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062109 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Beware of the coming debit card/national ID card/national health
card.] PIML

=====

Date: Thu, 20 Jun 1996 00:59:41 -0700
From: Cleo <cleo@athena.gmu.edu>
Organization: Terra Industria
Subject: Mastercard SmartCard world takeover plan
Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

The implications of Mastercards SmartCard are extremely insidious. Plans
for are not only for monetary transactions, but part of government health
programs as well.

--

Terra Industria Magazine		The Galactic Web
PO Box 2127		***We Are Not Alone***
Fairfax, Virginia 22031-2127		fixed stars and constellations
USA		alien life
email: sbrowser1@gmu.edu		conspiracy
Noisenet: http://apollo.gmu.edu		http://athena.gmu.edu/~cleo/star.html
/~maz/noisenet		

MOTOROLA WINS TWO EUROPEAN SMARTCARD CONTRACTS

More than 40 million cards to be issued eventually in Spanish Social

Security and Czech trial health card projects

London, Tuesday 13th February, 1996. Motorola, the world's leading supplier of microchips for smartcards, announced today that it has won contracts, worth \$10s of millions, to supply microchips which will form the heart of two new European government health and social security card projects. Under the initiatives, smartcards, credit card-sized devices incorporating a built-in computer chip, will eventually be issued to the entire Spanish and Czech populations.

Motorola will provide seven million chips for the first phase of the nationwide program in Spain, which will eventually see 40 million social security smartcards issued to the entire population. In the Czech Republic, Motorola is supplying 10,000 chips for a pilot health insurance smartcard project in the Litomerice region. A countrywide health card project for 10 million people is intended for introduction during 1997/8.

Speaking at the Smartcard '96 trade exhibition in London, Allan Hughes, Worldwide Smartcard Operations Manager for Motorola, said: "These two contracts are prime examples of the growing trend amongst governments across the world to look at smartcard solutions for the administration of public sector services and benefits. Taken together with the continuing expansion in financial and telecoms applications, it's only a matter of time before everyone in Europe carries some form of smartcard."

Motorola has already predicted a huge expansion in the worldwide demand for smartcards and recently announced that it is increasing its smartcard chip production capacity tenfold by the year 2000 to meet this demand. Chips for both new projects will be manufactured in Scotland, UK, where Motorola has invested almost \$1bn in semiconductor facilities since 1969, including \$350mn in 1995 alone.

The Spanish Social Security project will use a card manufactured by Spanish

card embedder FNMT to store each user's social security and identity details. Eventually, individuals will be able to use their smartcards at any one of over 1,500 kiosk terminals and local healthcare computer networks nationwide. With access to information protected by fingerprint recognition devices, individuals will be able to carry out a range of unassisted transactions from checking their benefit contributions and pension entitlements, to looking at the latest job opportunities or even registering with a new doctor. The nationwide roll-out of the smartcard project is to be completed by 1999, when 40 million cards will be operational at an overall cost of \$400 million.

Commenting on behalf of the Spanish Social Security Ministry, Mr. Merino, Managing Director for the smartcard project said: "The use of smartcards represents a fundamental advance in making our service more accessible to citizens. The smartcards will enable multiple transactions with the Social Security to be carried out in a more secure manner, and their use can easily be extended to other services."

Mr. Merino continued: "We have chosen Motorola as a supplier because its proposal was the most technically advanced and competitive."

In the Czech Republic, Motorola chip-based smartcards are one of the tools for improvements in the national healthcare and health insurance system. During the EU-Phare sponsored pilot project, 10,000 smartcards incorporating Motorola microchips and manufactured by the German card producer, Orga Kartensysteme GmbH, will be issued. Carrying selected health insurance and identity details, the smart health cards will enable speedier delivery of healthcare services to the individual as well as providing instant access to key medical information, particularly valuable in emergency situations. For healthcare providers and insurers the project should help to reduce administration costs and incidents of fraud.

Mr. Neuwirt, head of the Czech health smartcard project steering committee and representative of the Czech Healthcare Ministry, commented: "Our decision to use a smart health card based on Motorola microchips is fundamental to the improvements we are making in our national healthcare system. Like many other Western European countries, we recognize that microprocessor-based smartcards, rather than other available cards, provide a more efficient and secure method of handling this type of information.

"The smartcard system will also have the capacity to evolve in the future as we extend the health insurance card project to include additional information such as social security details, and work towards the goal of compatibility with other European medical information systems."

CONTACTS:

At the Smartcard '96 show:

Kathleen Reid

Motorola

Stand C20

Otherwise:

Paul Dawson or Clare Lucraft

Hill and Knowlton/UK

Tel: +44 171 413 3138/ 3145

Mobile: +44 385 701231

email: pdawson@hillandknowlton.com

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062108 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Remember the Alamo and the Mexican government of that time -
remember the USS Liberty and the American government of our time.]

PIML

=====

From: "Linda Muller" <lmuller@iquest.com>

Date: Wed, 19 Jun 1996 23:59:24 +0000

Subject: [Brigade] USS Liberty

Reply-To: brigade@iquest.com

Dear Brigade,

If you havent already, please visit the USS Liberty Home Page:

<http://www.halcyon.com/jim/ussliberty/>

As a mother of a US Marine who is at this moment in a very dangerous
situation, I am outraged at the continued refusal by our government to
investigate the attack on the USS Liberty.

I remember Pat telling me that he wrote an article about it over 20
years ago. I sure would love to get a copy if any of you have it in
your files.

I copied this from the intro to the USS Liberty Home Page:

"The USS Liberty Home Page is a creation of Jim Ennes and Joe
Meadors, survivors of the attack, with support and encouragement from
other survivors

Joe was a signalman on the bridge during the attack and is a former chairman and president of the USS Liberty Veterans Association

Jim was an officer on the bridge when the attack started and is author of Assault on the Liberty (Random House 1980; Ballantine 1986) which tells the story.

The University of Kansas Military History Department has named this The Top Military History Web Site in the United States.

This web site is dedicated to the memory of thirty-four fine young men who gave their lives on June 8, 1967, defending the USS Liberty against a sustained air and sea attack by the armed forces of the State of Israel.

During the Six Day War between Israel and the Arab States, the American intelligence ship USS Liberty was attacked for 75 minutes in international waters by Israeli aircraft and motor torpedo boats. Thirty-four men died and 171 were wounded.

The attack has been a matter of controversy ever since. Survivors and many key government officials including Secretary of State Dean Rusk and former JCS Chairman Admiral Thomas Moorer say it was no accident. Israel and its supporters insist it was a "tragic case of misidentification" and charge that the survivors are either lying or too emotionally involved to see the truth.

Israel claims they mistook our ship for the out-of-service Egyptian horse carrier El Quseir and that we brought the attack upon ourselves by operating in a war zone without displaying a flag. Not so. We were in international waters, far from any fighting, and flew a bright, clean, new American flag. The flag we flew is on display at the National Cryptologic Museum, Fort Meade, Maryland and can be seen

there, or in the the USS Liberty Images Archive.

Our commanding officer, Captain William Loren McGonagle, was awarded the Congressional Medal of Honor for conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty during the attack. The Congressional Medal of Honor is the highest award our country can bestow. To avoid embarrassing our attackers, Captain McGonagle's Medal of Honor was presented in a quiet ceremony in the Washington Navy Yard instead of in the White House by the President as is customary.

The USS Liberty Web Page presents part of the story along with some historical information and links to other sources...."

Friends, take a moment to check out their site. Not only is it filled with lots of info but it is very well designed. My personal thanks to Jim Ennes and Joe Meadors for all their work and dedication and for reminding all of us to never forget the USS Liberty.

Linda

----- Forwarded Message Follows -----

Date: Wed, 19 Jun 1996 17:37:00 -0500
To: "Linda Muller" <lmuller@iquest.com>,
From: Joe Meadors <joe@jlma.com>
Subject: Re: Cc: info@gramm96.org, senator@bumpers.senate.gov, info@k

>Date: Wed, 19 Jun 1996 12:45:35 -0500
>To: Senator Frist <Senator_Frist@frist.senate.gov>
>From: Joe Meadors <joe@jlma.com>
>Subject: Re: Cc: info@gramm96.org, senator@bumpers.senate.gov, info@k

>At 07:56 PM 6/18/96 -0400, you wrote:

>Good evening,

>With the second session of the 104th Congress half over, and the 1996
>elections fast approaching, I'm interested in your views on important
>issues facing the electorate today. Your previous e-mail to my
>office assures me that you are concerned about the future of our
>country and that you pay close attention to what is going on in
>Washington, D.C. and how it affects the rest of the nation. I would
>greatly appreciate your response to the following questions, which
>will help me to determine the direction Congress should take in the
>coming months. Thank you for your participation.

> Sincerely,
> Bill Frist, M.D.
> United States Senator

>Please Type an "X" next to the appropriate answer in each of the
>following six questions.

>1. Do you (a) approve or (b) disapprove of the way Congress is
>handling its job? (a) (b)

My God, Bill!

My USS Liberty shipmates and I have lobbied you and your colleagues
in both Houses of Congress literally for decades with a simple
request to investigate the USS Liberty incident - with included war
crimes - only to have our pleadings totally ignored.

The result is that you and your colleagues have placed your tacit
stamp of approval upon the deliberate machine gunning of American
life rafts in the water - among other war crimes that you have

allowed to be committed against the United States with impunity -
during the pre-planned and deliberate attack upon our ship.

And you've got the balls to ask me how I think Congress is doing its
job?

If you were in our position, and elected officials - such as yourself
- told us to take a flying leap every time we asked them to show just
a modicum of concern about the war crimes that were committed against
us how would you characterize the way Congress is doing it's job?

I suspect this note - along with every other bit of correspondence
from USS Liberty survivors - is received with peals of laughter
reverbrating within the Halls of Congress just prior to being
unceremoniously placed in the "O" file.

Am I right in concluding that it will be a cold day in Hell before
you or any of your colleagues give us the courtesy of explaining to
us - USS Liberty victims of war crimes - why you have decided to
allow those war crimes to be committed against the United States with
impunity?

Sincerely, Joe Meadors

Past President and Past Chairman USS Liberty Veterans Association
P.O. Box 260822 Corpus Christi, TX 78426-0822 Tel: (512)387-6699

=====end=====

*****{{{}}}}*****

Un-Official News and Opinion from

Linda Muller Web Author lmuller@iquest.com

Member Northern Alabama Kitchen Militia

Use the Ballot Box - Not the Cartridge Box!

.....

Pat Buchanan Internet Campaign Headquarters

<http://www.buchanan.org>

.....

US Taxpayers Party Internet Headquarters

<http://www.ustaxpayers.org>

.....

GO PAT....GO BRIGADES....GO USTP!!!!!!!

*****{{{*****}}}}*****

=====

GO HARRY....GO GO LP!!!!!!!!!!

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062107 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Some positive news for a change.] PIML

=====

Date: Thu, 20 Jun 1996 09:11:18 -0400 (EDT)
From: "Donna J. Logan" <revcoal@pcnet.com>
Subject: L&J: Class Project Leads to Pris Releases (fwd)
Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

Date: Tue, 18 Jun 1996 22:08:47 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: Class Project Leads to Pris Releases
From: Bob Witaneck <bwitaneck@igc.apc.org>

Posted owner-prisonact-list@igc.org Tue Jun 18 15:43:28 1996
Subject: Freed from death row - student project

||| News forwarded by the Prison Issues Desk |||

Thanks to mnovickttt@igc.org

3 Inmates Freed After Students Probe Sentences

Saturday, June 15, 1996 L.A. TIMES

It started as a class project for three college journalism students:
Take another look at a real-life crime and seates desr the right people
were punished.

The assignment took the students and their professor on a six-month
odyssey from the campus of Northwestern University to crack houses and

prisons across Illinois.

It ended Friday -- Graduation Day -- when three men who had spent 18 years in prison for murder were released based on DNA evidence and the dogged efforts of the class group.

"That four people are going to be walking out of -- I want to call it a hellhole -- where they've been sitting for 18 years, and to know you had some direct impact on that is a good feeling," said Stacey Delo, one of the students.

The inmates, Kenneth Adams, Willie Rainge and Dennis Williams, had maintained their innocence in the 1978 murders of a gas station worker and his fiancée. Twice, juries decided otherwise.

Six months ago, professor David Protess, who specializes in investigating possible wrongful convictions, wrote details of four cases on the chalkboard in his investigative reporting class.

One case was included at the urging of a man executed last year. Hours before his death, the man made Protess promise to do his best to save the life of a fellow death row inmate: Williams. His was the case Delo and fellow seniors Laura Sullivan and Stephanie Goldstein chose for their class project.

Williams, Adams and Rainge were convicted of killing Larry Lionberg and Carol Schmal, who had been abducted from the suburban Homewood gas station where Lionberg worked. Schmal was raped, then both were shot in the head. Rainge was sentenced to life, Adams to 75 years. Williams and a fourth man, Verneal Jimerson, were sent to death row; Jimerson recently won an appeal and is free on bail.

Legwork and determination led the women across the city to Ford Heights,

known as East Chicago Heights when Adams, Rainge and Williams lived there.

They spoke to Paula Gray, whose testimony helped convict the three men and Jimerson. Gray had told police that she and the men killed Lionberg and Schmal, but she changed that story so often she eventually went to prison for perjury and murder. Gray told the students she was pressured into testifying against the others.

The students also found Marvin Simpson, who said he knew the real killers and told authorities so just six days after the crime. But the police records of his interview appeared "sanitized," Protess said.

Finally, in an interview in a southern Illinois prison, convicted murderer Ira Johnson told the Northwestern team that he, his now-dead brother and two other men killed Schmal and Lionberg. Another man named by Simpson and others, Arthur "Red" Robinson, also signed a statement that said he was an accomplice. The fourth man named by Johnson has not been found.

The discoveries persuaded prosecutors to agree to DNA tests on semen found in Schmal. Prosecutors said the results showed that none of the men committed the rape.

As the students and their teacher watched in court Friday, Judge Thomas Fitzgerald ordered the men released with electronic monitoring while officials consider dropping the charges. The judge turned down the convicts' request to attend the women's graduation, saying security could not be arranged.

Copyright Los Angeles Times

<----->

Prison Activist Resource Center | Institute for Global Communications

<parcer@igc.org> | <outreach@igc.org>

PO Box 3201 Berkeley CA 94703 | PO Box 29904 San Francisco CA 94129

Home of JusticeNet & the Prison Issues Desk <prisondesk@igc.org>

+++ RESOURCES FOR ORGANIZERS, EDUCATORS, ACTIVISTS +++

SEE OUR WEB SITES: www.igc.org & www.igc.org/justice (or "/prisons")

<----->

For progressive/radical info on prison & justice issues, send blank e-mail to <parc-info@igc.org> or <justice-info@igc.org> (auto-reply). For info on IGC, use <igc-info@igc.org>. IGC... INTERNET FOR PEOPLE - NOT FOR PROFIT

<----->

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062106 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[The Michael Williams story with new info on Donna Rice.] PIML

=====

Date: 20 Jun 96 11:47:06 EDT

From: Michael Williams <100705.1252@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: Senator Gary Hart

Lean forward in your chairs a little more. Get a little closer to the monitor. You need to read every word of this, and slowly.

Senator Gary Hart, the man the overwhelming majority of Americans wanted to be their President in 1988, was eliminated from the U.S. Presidential race he was expected to win with the biggest landslide in American history. His elimination from the race was engineered by vice president (and former C.I.A. Director) George Bush, whose father, Senator Prescott Bush, personally financed the political career of Adolf Hitler.

Bush employed the use of the C.I.A. and other less official criminal organisations to accomplish his goal of eliminating Hart from the race after I refused to sabotage the Hart campaign and set Hart up for a false arrest. The key C.I.A. operative in the scheme to eliminate Hart from the race was international prostitute, Donna Rice.

After Bush and his band of scary men succeeded in eliminating Hart from the race, I convinced him to re-enter it, something no one had ever done before. I formed the "Draft Hart Committee", resurrected his campaign, and managed it, until he was once again eliminated

from the race by Bush and his C.I.A. co-conspirators.

As my "reward" for my work with the Man Who Would Be President, the fascist criminal tyrant, George Bush, had the F.B.I. arrest me (without any warrant or indictment), torture me for two years, break up my family, arrange for the kidnap of my two small daughters, seize virtually all of my significant assets and property, and, after several assassination attempts failed (as had the attempted Bush-ordered C.I.A. assassination of Senators Gary Hart and William Cohen when they flew to Nicaragua on an Iran-Contra fact-finding mission) exile me to Switzerland, where I have remained ever since, unable to safely return to America, the land of my birth.

The story has never been told. Not one American publisher has the courage to publish it.

It is fashionable in the United States to be a coward today. In the past nine years, I have been unable to find an attorney willing to properly represent me in my single-handed fight against the F.B.I. and U.S. government, which continues to this day, lasting longer than World War II.

So, as it stands, the American people did not get the President they wanted. Instead of President Hart, they got President Bush, who, along with Richard M. Nixon and Lyndon B. Johnson led the conspiracy to assassinate President John F. Kennedy on 22. Novemeber 1963 and the coup d'etat it began. Each of the three men then took turns playing "El Presidente"...each of them were nothing more than four-year dictators.

For my role in defending America's liberty and right to vote, I lost everything I had. The story.....has never been told. Just like the story of the JFK assassination. You can visit my web site at:

<http://www.iahushua.com/mbw.html>

There, you will find enough information about what George Bush and the fascist U.S. government did to me and my family to ruin your dinner.

Eight days ago, when George Bush visited his numbered bank accounts here in Berne, one of the highest-ranking officers of the United States government threatened my life in person. As a matter of fact, I am risking my life by writing this. Even though very few, if any of you, give a damn about what happened to me last Wednesday, or that fateful day of 18. March 1988, when jack-booted the whethe badges broke into my peaceful Rocky Mountain home, I am here to tell you about it, because, as Americans, all of you who sit there on your sofas with your cans of beer and bags of potato chips, doing nothing while your country sinks even farther down the tubes, into a fascist police state, the likes of which the world has never known...you are all, each and every one of you, equally as responsible for my pain and for the pain of your fellow patriotic countrymen as George Bush and his gang of liars, traitors and thieves.

Little by little, the truth is trickling out. As I risk my life today, I now give to you the opportunity to read an article put out by another group of brave people. You lost your chance for President Hart to save your nation. Now, read for yourself how the fascist criminal tyrant drug dealer, George Herbert Walker Bush, who introduced heroine and other hard drugs to the children of America, prevented you from voting for the only man who loved his country enough to save it from what it has now become.

Michael Williams

Patriot in Exile

The following is brought to you thanks, in part, to the kind assistance of CyberNews and the fine folks at Cornell University.

Conspiracy Nation -- Vol. 8 Num. 19

=====

("Quid coniuratio est?")

WHO IS DONNA RICE HUGHES?

=====

Following the recent much-cheered ruling by 3 federal judges which, for the moment anyway, has over-ruled the Clinton law against "indecentcy" on the Internet, I noticed a woman named Donna Rice Hughes appearing on the TV networks. She was said to be with a group called "Enough is Enough", said to be organized to protect children against pornographers supposedly lurking everywhere in cyberspace.

Donna Rice Hughes. Take away the "Hughes" and what do you get? You get "Donna Rice", nemesis to 1988 Democratic Party presidential candidate Gary Hart. You may remember how Hart, looking strong as the potential candidate, was sunk by allegations of his shocking (as in "I am shocked... *shocked*") affair with "party girl" Donna Rice. Seems pretty tame, compared with Lothario Bill Clinton's escapades, but for "some reason" the mainstream press really sat up and took notice, playing up the affair for the couch potatoes in TV land.

So *if* this is the same Donna Rice, one wonders if she has "got religion" or if the CIA has merely given her a new assignment: working to shut down freedom of speech under the guise of saving our children from pornography. (You remember "saving our children", don't you? Like with President Nixon in 1969 saying he wants to "save our children" from drugs?)

Reading in the recent book by Dr. Roger Morris, *Partners in Power*, one finds further background on former candidate Hart. On March 27, 1987, Billy Clinton is sucking up to Hollywood types on the west coast. At an exclusive dinner, King Clinton dines with, among others, Don Henley, formerly of the Eagles rock band. Close friend to Henley is Donna Rice, who is at about that time boarding a yacht called the *Monkey Business*.

Young Senator Hart had been on the Church committee which investigated the CIA and its ties to organized crime. After that, he was on the Senate Intelligence Oversight Committee where, says Morris, he continued a relentless effort to uncover CIA hanky-panky. Hart strongly opposed the Nicaraguan Contra war and was skeptical of the official "Oswald did it" version of the JFK assassination. Mobster Santos Trafficante is alleged to have stated, regarding Hart: "We need to get rid of the son of a bitch."

Hart seems to have been set up, says Morris, and gives evidence to back up the claim. Readers of Conspiracy Nation are most likely well-aware as to how CIA/Mafia have often used "party girls" to compromise and/or ruin politicians. Was Donna Rice just a "party girl", or was she more than that? And just who *is* this person called "Donna Rice Hughes" of an organization

called "Enough is Enough"? Did "somebody" get a promotion?

I encourage distribution of "Conspiracy Nation."

If you would like "Conspiracy Nation" sent to your e-mail address, send a message in the form "subscribe cn-l My Name" to listproc@cornell.edu (Note: that is "CN-L" *not* "CN-1")

For information on how to receive the improved Conspiracy Nation Newsletter, send an e-mail message to bigred@shout.net

Want to know more about Whitewater, Oklahoma City bombing, etc?
(1) telnet prairienet.org (2) logon as "visitor" (3) go citcom

See also: <http://www.europa.com/~johnlf/cn.html>

See also: [ftp.shout.net pub/users/bigred](ftp://ftp.shout.net/pub/users/bigred)

Aperi os tuum muto, et causis omnium filiorum qui pertranseunt.
Aperi os tuum, decerne quod justum est, et judica inopem et pauperem.
-- Liber Proverbiorum XXXI: 8-9

=====
* Patriot Information Mailing List
* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
* constitutional government
* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062105 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Mark Howerter's thoughts on our Prez Klinton (the military man).]

PIML

=====

From: "Mark E. Howerter" <otherside@misslink.net>

Organization: <http://www.cris.com/~dhathaw/otherside>

To: C-News <c-news@world.std.com>

Date: Thu, 13 Jun 1996 15:08:39 -0600

Subject: C-NEWS: Klinton or Clinton?

Somebody started spelling our president's name with a "K" instead of a "C" a while back and I picked up on it and have been doing the same ever since. I think it makes a statement and I like what it says. I have taken some heat recently for doing so of late and i wanted to reply to those who think i am being too disrespectful of the office of president.

As I lay in bed one morning half asleep and half awake my mind was going over this in a prayerful way. (I get my best sermons and columns during those times, probably because my mind is so active during the work day that is easier for God to get through to me at those times while I am still groggy.)

I am not totally convinced that I have the answer, but let me throw something out for consideration.

I have often thought that if one had lived in Nazi Germany during Adolf Hitler's reign of terror, how those people would have handled

the Scriptures about respecting our leaders. Not that I think our present leadership is quite as bad as Hitler, he hasn't killed nearly as many--yet.

My point being, when ungodly leadership is in charge of any office how do we who are Christians react? The answer that came to me (and I am still open to new ideas) is that there is a difference between the office and the man personally.

If I were writing a letter to the president I would not address it to Slick Willy or Bill Klinton. If the president were in town and I had opportunity to meet him (he was here in Galesburg, IL, and I didn't bother to go across the street to see him, even though I had a press pass) I would treat him with all the respect that the office of president of the United States deserves.

On the other hand, when I am referring to Bill Klinton the person as opposed to Bill Clinton the president I do not feel so constrained.

IMHO Jimmy Carter was almost as bad of a president as the present one. I did not think of Jimmy Carter in anywhere near the same way as I do what we have now, however. He was a good man, but a poor president. I never doubted his integrity or desire to do what he thought was right. I disagreed with nearly every move he made, almost as much as I do now, but the difference is that this president has no integrity--none. There is no true north on his moral compass.

Bill Klinton is not merely a man of little integrity, he is a man of no integrity and a criminal who belongs behind bars besides. He may very well end up there. They are getting closer and closer all the time. It isn't just a matter of difference in political philosophy between me and this president like it was with Jimmy Carter. With this president we have someone who shouldn't qualify to run as dog catcher

in a town of 50 people, let alone for the highest office in the land to be the most prominent representative of our nation to the whole world.

Our president is a national embarrassment. In my humble opinion he is an accomplished manipulator, drug smuggler, liar, thief, adulterer, and indirectly at least, a murderer to name just a few character traits. These things were not true of, say a Jimmy Carter, who was every bit as poor a president. I disagreed with Carter just as strongly. I just never doubted his character, integrity or his intentions.

I guess that Bill Clinton has crossed the line so many times that he no longer has any credibility with me at all, whether he is speaking as the president of the United States or if he was speaking as a spokesman for Wheaties cereal. (A friend of mine said, "General Foods wouldn't have him!") I don't even allow him on my television set! If he pops on there even my kids yell, "Get that man off daddy's TV set!"

It doesn't matter what he says because he doesn't mean anything he says anyway. He will just turn around the next day when it is more convenient to say just the opposite and do so without any regard for the truth, or what he said the day before. I have never known a man with any less integrity than Bill Clinton. He is absolutely incredible and would literally sell his own mother if she were still living and he could get a few bucks for her. (The same friend I referred to earlier says, "I doubt he would sell her...he would rent her out instead and take a tax loss.")

I don't necessarily feel I have the answer for everyone and I am not asking anyone else to call him anything other than Clinton. I am just

trying to let anyone who asks know where I am coming from.

The messenger is the message and with Bill Clinton what he is doing and has done is speaking so loudly that I am surprised anyone can hear anything he is trying to say with his lying lips. I am continually amazed that anyone listens to him or takes him the least bit seriously, even if he happens to be president of the United States of America at the moment.

Mark E. Howerter author of "The Other Side of the News"

on the net at: <http://www.cris.com/~dhathaw/otherside/>

Originating from the rural cornfields of Monmouth, Illinois

Mark Howerter and Dave Hathaway of "The Other Side of the News" would like to announce a brand new free subscription service which will allow you to automatically receive the latest article. Please send a message to dhathaw@cris.com with the subject containing "Subscribe Other Side" (no quote marks). No text in the body is required.

To unsubscribe from c-news, send the message UNSUBSCRIBE c-news to majordomo@world.std.com. Contact owner-c-news@world.std.com with questions.

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

- * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062104 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Essay on Medical Savings Accounts.] PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 1996 13:52:26 -0500

From: Eagle Forum <eagle@eagleforum.org>

Subject: C-NEWS: June 1996 Phyllis Schlafly Report -- We Need Medical Savings
Accounts Now

The Phyllis Schlafly Report

-- Vol. 29, No. 11 * Box 618, Alton, Illinois 62002 * June 1996 --

We Need Medical Savings Accounts Now

Health care is still a major national domestic issue. It didn't go away with the defeat of the Clinton totalitarian proposal in 1994. The Democrats, led by President Bill Clinton and Senator Ted Kennedy, are still trying to achieve their longtime goal of national (socialized) health care, but they are now trying to do it incrementally instead of whole hog. Their piecemeal approach is the Kennedy-Kassebaum health bill, which was demanded by Clinton in his 1996 State of the Union Message.

All Americans who care about individual freedom and privacy should be up in arms against all Clinton/Kennedy/Kassebaum proposals. At stake is our right to choose our own doctor, select our own health treatment,

keep our personal medical records off a government computer, and spend our own money.

The Kennedy-Kassebaum health bill pretends to address the need for what is called "portability." When workers switch jobs or are laid off by downsizing, they find they can't take their health insurance with them because it's owned by their employer. Then, when they try to join a health insurance plan with their new employer, or try to buy health insurance as an individual, they sometimes are denied insurance because of a "preexisting condition." Lack of portability is a particular problem for many mothers who would like to switch to part-time or self-employment, but are tied to their jobs ("job lock") by their health insurance.

The system of having your employer own your health insurance policy is bad government policy, stupid economic policy, and very unfortunate for the individual worker. There is no more reason why your boss should own your health insurance than your automobile insurance. When you switch jobs, your car and your car insurance go with you, and your health insurance should, too. Yet 90 percent of Americans who have private health insurance are tied into employer-based health insurance.

The reason why this system is so widespread is the discriminatory provision of federal tax law which gives tax-deductibility to company-owned health insurance but, for the most part, denies tax deductibility to individually-owned health insurance. The tax-deductible feature is a powerful incentive to perpetuating a system that is wrong from every standpoint and grievously unfair. It means that the officers of big corporations enjoy gold-plated group health insurance paid for with tax-deductible dollars, but the waitress, the part-timers, the self-employed, and those who work for small firms that can't afford fringe benefits must buy costly individual health insurance and pay for it with after-tax dollars.

The Clinton/Kennedy/Kassebaum solution to this problem is to increase federal control of our health care system through more federal regulations. That's the wrong way to go. It would reduce our freedom, be costly in many ways, and lead inevitably to more federal controls, price fixing, and the rationing of health care. It is the stepping stone to the national (socialized) system that Clinton and Kennedy have always sought.

The correct solution instead is to move toward a system in which individuals can own their own health insurance and be treated by federal laws at least as well as corporations are treated.

Medical Savings Accounts (MSAs) -- owned by individuals -- are a necessary step toward solving all the problems connected with health care: portability, affordability, preserving your right to choose your own doctor, pre-existing conditions, job lock, uninsured Americans, gatekeepers, capitation, deductibles, co-payments, paperwork, long-term care, Medicare going bankrupt, and even the decline in real wages.

At least 1,000 employers, both private and public, have already instituted a type of Medical Savings Account plan for their employees, so we know how they work. Instead of a traditional high-cost, low-deductible company plan, your employer buys lower-cost, high-deductible health insurance and deposits the premium savings in your individual Medical Savings Account (up to \$2,000 per year for singles and \$4,000 for families).

Your MSA would grow as your employer puts in a new payment every year. Only 11 percent of all insured individual Americans spend more than \$2,000 a year on health care, so 89 percent would save some portion of the contribution to their MSA every year. You can use this ready cash

for your medical costs with the doctors, hospitals and clinics you choose, and the high-deductible policy covers you for additional expenses.

Unfortunately, MSAs now in existence do not have the same tax deductibility that traditional company-paid plans have. All money deposited in MSAs today must be treated as additional wages subject to income and payroll taxes. Congress should change the law to provide for deductibility, so that whatever you don't spend stays in your MSA and builds up as a tax- free, interest-bearing savings account.

Our present system is unjust, discriminatory, and intolerable because it grants tax- deductibility only to plans owned by employers, but not to plans that allow individual employees to own their own health insurance, control their own health care spending, or set up their own plan. Congress should change the tax code so that individual MSAs will be treated the same as company-paid group insurance.

If MSAs become widespread, health care costs will fall dramatically because MSAs put consumer discipline into the marketplace and provide incentives to save. Nobel Prize-winning economist Milton Friedman said that MSAs could cut health care costs in half.

MSAs would be available now and in the future for many medical expenses often not covered by company plans (such as eye glasses, dental care, or preventive care) or by Medicare (prescriptions and long-term care). MSAs would also be available from the first dollar of medical expense (which would be helpful to the single mother whose child gets ill on New Year's Day and can't afford to pay the usual deductible).

MSAs will help us deal with the tremendous economic and political issues involved in jobs, wages and income. Real (inflation-adjusted) weekly wages have dropped almost 5 percent since 1979 -- for those who have jobs. Income is a lot less for those who have been downsized.

Business spokesmen are trying to downplay this data by arguing that employee fringe benefits have risen from 17 percent of total compensation in 1955 to 40 percent in 1994. Total compensation equals take-home pay plus fringe benefits, of which health care is a large component.

Workers aren't buying this argument because, while the employer's cost to provide the health-care benefit has risen, the value of the health care benefit to the employee has declined. Whereas in 1979, the employee's health-care package allowed him to go to any doctor or hospital of his choice, millions of employees are now being herded into HMOs (Health Maintenance Organizations) where they are at the mercy of Gatekeepers.

MSAs are a good answer to these problems. They would put extra money in the pockets of workers whose real wages have declined, they would encourage savings, and they would ease the strain of layoffs by allowing them to take some of their benefits with them when they leave the company.

MSAs Are the Alternative to HMOs

Republicans spent two years attacking the totalitarian Clinton health

plan because it would force all Americans into Managed Care, allow medical care only as permitted by Gatekeepers, deny our right to choose our own doctors, limit access to specialists, put everyone's medical history on government computers, and impose price fixing and rationing. Republicans won the argument, defeated all versions of Clintoncare in 1994, and used Clinton's health plan as a central issue in winning a Republican majority in Congress.

In 1993 and 1994, when "conventional wisdom" said that Clinton's health bill would surely pass, the five largest insurance companies invested heavily in Managed Care. As the national debate went on, they beamed their sales talk directly at corporations and persuaded many to convert their company health insurance to HMOs or some form of Managed Care. It's clear that this has made billions of dollars for HMOs. It's also clear that there is an enormous backlash against HMOs among the millions of individuals who were involuntarily forced into them.

According to the New York Times (5-19-96), 53 million Americans are now in HMOs and another 70 million are in other types of Managed Care plans. Most did not choose these plans; they were put in them by their employers.

HMOs suffer from a built-in conflict of interest; HMO Gatekeepers and HMO CEOs make more money if they deny your right to see a specialist. That's why opposition to the tactics of the HMOs, and to the windfall profits these tactics produce for HMO CEOs and stockholders, is bubbling over. At long last, the media (Time, Newsweek, 20/20) are starting to throw the spotlight of publicity on what they are calling HMO "horror stories." Some 400 bills to regulate HMOs practices have been introduced into state legislatures. Republican leadership in Congress, however, has been strangely silent.

The New York Times quoted a surgeon in White Plains, New York, Dr. Carl

Weber, as saying, "We believe the whole concept of managed care is spurious. It is predicated on financial incentives to restrict care and access to care." A Sacramento nurse, Kit Costello, has collected more than 800,000 signatures to put an initiative on the California ballot to restrict the use of financial incentives for denying or delaying appropriate care. She says, "We see the human wreckage every day."

Managed Care has introduced several new words into our vocabulary. A "Gatekeeper" is the primary-care physician you are required to see first, and whose permission you must get in order to see a specialist. The function of Gatekeepers is to discourage or deny the use of specialists because they are more expensive. (The now-defunct Clinton health plan would have required medical schools to reduce the numbers of specialists trained.)

"Capitation" is the practice of paying physicians a set amount (called a capitation fee) for each person who enrolls as their patient. Capitation has its own built-in financial incentives. The fewer times a doctor sees you, and the fewer tests he orders, the more profitable a patient you are. Every time you walk into the HMO doctor's office, you are costing him money and cutting into his leisure time. Sometimes the cost of the tests or specialist treatment comes out of the primary physician's fee.

"Withholds" are the portion of a physician's annual salary that is withheld until the end of the year as an incentive to keep costs below certain targets. Bonuses are frequently paid by the HMO to reward Gatekeepers who keep costs down by not referring patients to specialists.

The Managed Care lobby reputedly has \$9 billion (not million) in cash reserves, so it's not surprising that it is the biggest

special-interest group lobbying Congress today. The HMO trade group, the American Association of Health Plans, funnels its arguments to both Democrats and Republicans, who then try to tell us that people just love HMOs.

Sheila Burke, Bob Dole's chief of staff and one of the most powerful persons in Washington, played a key role for four years in building Republican leadership support for Managed Care plans rather than Medical Savings Accounts. Her husband, David Chew, held options to buy 18,200 shares of Aetna stock at the beginning of 1995. Aetna is one of the dominant insurance companies dealing in Managed Care. If Chew exercised his options in January, he could have purchased more than \$1.3 million in stock, making an instant profit of \$482,000. (Kansas City Star, 1-21-96)

The billions of dollars of windfall profits in the hands of the Managed Care industry are the only rational explanation for why Republican Congressional leaders in both Houses rarely, if ever, criticize HMOs. This big money is also the best rational explanation for why leading Democrats are launching false and demagogic attacks against MSAs. The Managed Care profiteers and their political spokesmen understand clearly that MSAs are the alternative to HMOs.

The Managed Care lobby recognizes that, if Americans have the freedom to choose the kind of health insurance they want, most will choose the freedom of MSAs rather than subservience to the Gatekeepers of HMOs. The liberal Democrats recognize that MSAs put us on the road to a free-market economy, while HMOs put us on the road to national health care and a planned (socialized) economy.

The Kennedy-Kassebaum health bill was presented as the solution to the portability problem, but its "solution" is to federalize the insurance industry by imposing what is called "guaranteed issue." That means requiring insurance companies to "guarantee" that they will "issue" individual insurance to anyone previously covered by group insurance, without regard to their health status and preexisting conditions. "Guaranteed issue" is intended to help those Americans who can't qualify for health insurance, the so-called uninsurables, but it is another bad idea that has dangerous and very costly unintended consequences.

Take the case of a worker whose job benefits for 20 years included a company-paid health plan. Then he becomes terminally ill and can't work any more. All the premiums paid while he was healthy were pocketed by the company-paid insurer and are now a total loss to the sick worker. There is no justice in requiring some other insurance company to "guarantee" coverage and pick up all the costs of his terminal illness.

The inevitable result of the Kennedy-Kassebaum regulation would be that insurance premiums would rise out of sight. When people can no longer afford the premiums, the number of the uninsured will increase. The public will demand relief. The Democrats will move to impose mandatory universal coverage (which the Kennedy liberals have always sought), and that can be achieved only by a government-run system.

Guaranteed issue of medical insurance would be like forcing banks to issue loans and mortgages without asking applicants about their financial condition. It would be like requiring insurance companies to insure houses after they are already on fire. It would be like requiring auto insurance companies to sign up drunk drivers. If you could buy insurance for your house when it is going up in flames, why

buy it ahead of time?

If the law guarantees the issue of health insurance coverage, why would people buy insurance when they are healthy? The answer is, they won't. People will just wait until they get sick and then buy coverage.

We already know how guaranteed issue works in practice. When only sick people buy medical insurance, rates increase. And when rates increase, more people cancel, and then rates go up again in a vicious cycle. Similar laws in New York and other states have had exactly this result.

What about those who can't qualify for health insurance, the people whom guaranteed issue is supposed to help? Look at the example of the states that have successfully dealt with this problem.

At least 29 states have established high-risk pools for people who can't get health insurance, much like the high-risk pools for drivers with bad records. Enrollees in the high-risk pool pay a premium for the coverage, which is capped at a certain percentage of standard rates, usually 135 percent. Since the high-risk pool spends more than it collects, the losses are made up through various funding mechanisms. Illinois uses general revenues. Wisconsin and 18 other states fund the pool by an assessment on insurance companies based on the amount of health insurance each company writes in the state. The cost this puts on insurance companies is acceptable. Published figures indicate that the average assessment on insurance companies is one-half of one percent of the health insurance premiums they collect in the state.

We certainly should not destroy normal market forces for the entire health insurance market in order to satisfy the needs of a relatively small high-risk pool.

New Health Care Crimes

One of the most obnoxious features of the Clinton health plan was its creation of a long list of new federal "Health Care Crimes." Title II of the Kennedy-Kassebaum health bill includes an equally obnoxious crime section. When Dr. Jane Orient, executive director of the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons (AAPS), read the bill, she discovered that much of this health crime section was copied from the Clinton bill.

The Kennedy-Kassebaum bill criminalizes what are called "federal health care offenses." The definition is excruciatingly broad: a violation or conspiracy that involves "any public or private plan or contract, affecting commerce, under which any medical benefit, item, or service is provided to any individual." And, hear this: "No proof of specific intent to defraud is required."

The bill specifies monetary penalties of "up to \$10,000 for each instance" of "failure to comply with statutory obligations," for "incorrect coding," or for providing "a medical or other item or service that a person knows or should know is not medically necessary." Big Brother, not your doctor, will decide what health services are "necessary." The new crime of "health care fraud" carries fines plus imprisonment of up to ten years. "If the violation results in serious bodily injury," imprisonment can be up to 20 years, and if death results it can be "for any term of years or for life."

A person who "intentionally misapplies" any assets of a health care benefit program "shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more

than 10 years, or both; but if the value of such property does not exceed the value of \$100 the defendant shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both."

"In any investigation relating to any act or activity involving a Federal health care offense, . . . [the Attorney General] may require the production of any records that may be relevant." "Whoever" makes a false statement to a health care plan is liable to a five-year prison term. "Whoever" can mean anybody, patient or provider. Failure to provide health information, "oral or recorded in any form or medium," to a criminal investigator from any government agency carries a five-year prison term. Information extracted under this provision can be used against the patient if "the action or investigation arises out of and is directly related to the receipt of health care or payment for health care."

The bill's exotic forfeiture provisions put health care offenses on a par with racketeering and drug dealing, and are actually broader than under the failed Clinton bill. "The court, in imposing sentence on a person convicted of a Federal health care offense, shall order the person to forfeit property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived, directly or indirectly, from gross proceeds traceable to the commission of the offense."

The bill requires the Federal Government to "establish a national health care fraud and abuse data collection program" and specifies that "the information in this database shall be available to Federal and State government agencies and health plans" pursuant to any procedures set by the Secretary of Health and Human Services (that's Donna Shalala). This will empower the Federal Government to use medical records to prosecute almost anybody Janet Reno wants to target.

These provisions mean the end of patient privacy and confidentiality of

the physician-patient relationship. They lay the foundation for forcing all medical professionals to submit electronic information on every medical encounter to a central computer, a practice that is already required by a new Maryland law that takes effect this month. This law requires all health care professionals to disclose to the government the details of every patient encounter, including diagnosis, test results, therapy, charges, and 32 pieces of demographic data on every patient. (See Forbes, 5-20-96.)

The effect of creating all these Health Care crimes will be to drive physicians in private practice out of business. Creating these crimes will make it unsafe for any doctor in private practice to bill a patient for any treatment or test, knowing that some HHS bureaucrat may afterwards decide that it was "not medically necessary" and therefore is criminal fraud. The HMOs will take over the entire practice of medicine and will have plenty of money to hire lawyers to defend their practices. The HMOs have already solved the problem of any physician ordering medical treatment that could be construed as "not medically necessary" by the financial incentives put on the Gatekeepers.

The winners in this process will be the HMOs whose profits are based on rationing by Gatekeepers. The losers will be the American people whose level of medical care will be drastically reduced.

We support MSA legislation to change federal tax law so that individual self-insurance is treated the same as HMO insurance. Anyone who wants to stay in HMOs can do so. No one would be forced to set up an MSA account but, on the other hand, individuals should be able to set up an MSA with the same tax treatment as HMOs. We want options, not requirements.

We know that President Clinton and House Minority Leader Dick Gephardt

have taken the side of the HMOs by opposing MSAs. Which side will the
Republicans take?

EAGLE FORUM -- eagle@eagleforum.org

PO Box 618

Alton, IL 62002 Phone: 618-462-5415

Be sure to get on our Eagle Forum Alert List!!

Tell a friend about us!

<http://www.eagleforum.org>

To unsubscribe from c-news, send the message UNSUBSCRIBE c-news to
majordomo@world.std.com. Contact owner-c-news@world.std.com with questions.

- =====
- * Patriot Information Mailing List
 - * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
 - * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062103 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[A somewhat cryptic message suggesting that white supremacist groups may have something planned for June 23. In the interest that the requested distribution of the message may have some effect on stopping or limiting the supposed plan, PIML is glad to forward this message.] PIML

=====

Date: Thu, 20 Jun 1996 08:28:28 -0400

To: butterb@sagenet.net

Subject: OPEN MESSAGE TO THE ARYAN NATIONS & PHINEAS ATTACK CELLS

Bill, Please see attached and distribute as widely as you can. Thanks,
Mike.

An Open Message to the Aryan Nations and Phineas Priesthood attack cells
regarding the 23rd of June:

Well, boys, you're on the move now. You've got the "Horst Wessel Leid"
blasting from your vehicle tape players and you think you're about to
strike a big blow for "Der Volk". Well, guess again.

Ask Bobby Norton, synagogue bomber and friend of Kirk Lyons and Andy
Strassmeir (John Doe #3), how it feels to be a dot on somebody else's
radar screen. Better turn the vans around before somebody aryan gets
dead. And if you actually succeed in killing more innocent Americans a
la OKC, well... have you ever speculated what the Constitutional
Militias of the this country would do if they knew where to find you and
your verminous kind? I think we'd probably have to hold you and all your
friends, and most especially your leaders, responsible for mass murder.
Can you say "Nuremberg"? Only say it quick.

-- Mike Vanderboegh, Bvt. Col.

1st Alabama Cavalry Regiment, Militia

Relaying a message from the Fifth Column.

Just another friendly message from the Head Cheese of the Rat Trap.

Sic Semper Rodentia.

CONSTITUTIONAL MILITIA LISTENING POSTS ARE REQUESTED TO GIVE THIS
MESSAGE MAXIMUM DISTRIBUTION. SEND IT TO EVERY PATRIOT OUTLET.
ESPECIALLY DISTRIBUTE IT TO EVERY KNOWN NEO-NAZI SLIMEBALL, SHEETHEAD,
PHINEAS PHELLER SITE.

HELP PREVENT GOVERNMENT-SPONSORED TERROR BOMBINGS. THE REPUBLIC YOU SAVE
MAY BE YOUR OWN.

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062102 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[A message a day helps to keep dictatorship away. Part 2 of a 2
part congressional e-mail address list.] PIML

=====

Date: Thu, 20 Jun 1996 07:17:30 -0700

To: snetnews@alterzone.com

From: Alan Neuman <mystery@jetlink.net>

Subject: House of Representatives Email addresses (2/2)

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

Hon. Susan Molinari

13th Congressional District, New York

Rm. 2435 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MOLINARI@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jim Moran

8th Congressional District, Virginia

Rm. 405 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

REPMORAN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. John Murtha

12th Congressional District, Pennsylvania

Rm. 2423 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MURTHA@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Sue Myrick
9th Congressional District, North Carolina
Rm. 509 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
MYRICK@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jerrold Nadler
8th Congressional District, New York
Rm. 109 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
NADLER@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Mark Neumann
1st Congressional District, Wisconsin
Rm. 1725 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
MNEUMANN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Charlie Norwood
10th Congressional District, Georgia
Rm. 1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
GA10@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jim Nussle
2nd Congressional District, Iowa
Rm. 303 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
NUSSLEIA@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. James Oberstar
8th Congressional District, Minnesota

Rm. 2366 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
OBERSTAR@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bill Orton
3rd Congressional District, Utah
440 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
ORTONUT3@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Ron Packard
48th Congressional District, California
2162 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
RPACKARD@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Ed Pastor
2nd Congressional District, Arizona
Rm. 223 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
EDPASTOR@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bill Paxon
27th Congressional District, New York
Rm. 2436 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
BPAXON@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. L.F. Payne
5th Congressional District, Virginia
Rm. 2412 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

LFPAYNE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Nancy Pelosi

8th Congressional District, California

Rm. 2457 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

SFNANCY@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Collin Peterson

7th Congressional District, Minnesota

Rm. 1314 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

TO COLLIN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Tom Petri

6th Congressional District, Wisconsin

Rm. 2262 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

TOMPETRI@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Owen Pickett

2nd Congressional District, Virginia

Rm. 2430 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

OPICKETT@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Earl Pomeroy

North Dakota, At Large

Rm. 1533 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

EPOMEROY@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Rob Portman

2nd Congressional District, Ohio
Rm. 238 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515
PORTMAIL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Deborah Pryce
15th Congressional District, Ohio
Rm. 221 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515
PRYCE15@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. George Radanovich
19th Congressional District, California
Rm. 313 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
GEORGE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Nick Rahall
3rd Congressional District, West Virginia
Rm. 2269 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
NRAHALL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jim Ramstad
3rd Congressional District, Minnesota
Rm. 103 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
MN03@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Pat Roberts
1st Congressional District, Kansas
Rm. 1126 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

EMAILPAT@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Tim Roemer

3rd Congressional District, Indiana

Rm. 407 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

TROEMER@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Charlie Rose

7th Congressional District, North Carolina

Rm. 242 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

CROSE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Toby Roth

8th Congressional District, Wisconsin

Rm. 2234 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

ROTH08@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bobby Rush

1st Congressional District, Illinois

Rm. 131 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

BRUSH@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Martin Sabo

5th Congressional District, Minnesota

Rm. 2336 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MSABO@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Mark Sanford

1st Congressional District, South Carolina

Rm. 1223 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

SANFORD@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Dan Schaefer

6th Congressional District, Colorado

Rm. 2353 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

SCHAEFER@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Andrea Seastrand

22nd Congressional District, California

Rm. 1216 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

ANDREA22@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. F. James Sensenbrenner

9th Congressional District, Wisconsin

Rm. 2332 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

SENSEN09@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jose Serrano

16th Congressional District, New York

Rm. 2342 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

JSERRANO@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Christopher Shays

4th Congressional District, Connecticut

Rm. 1502 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
CSHAYS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. David Skaggs
2nd Congressional District, Colorado
Rm. 1124 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
SKAGGS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Linda Smith
3rd Congressional District, Washington
Rm. 1217 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
ASKLINDA@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Nick Smith
7th Congressional District, Michigan
Rm. 1530 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
REPSMITH@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. John Spratt
5th Congressional District, South Carolina
Rm. 1536 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
JSPRATT@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. 'Pete' Stark
13th Congressional District, California
Rm. 239 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
PETEMAIL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Cliff Stearns

6th Congressional District, Florida

Rm. 2352 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

CSTEARNS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bart Stupak

1st Congressional District, Michigan

317 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

STUPAK@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. James Talent

2nd Congressional District, Missouri

Rm. 1022 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

TALENTMO@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Randy Tate

9th Congressional District, Washington

Rm. 1118 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

RTATE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Charles Taylor

11th Congressional District, North Carolina

Rm. 231 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

CHTAYLOR@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bennie Thompson

2nd Congressional District, Mississippi
Rm. 1408 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
MS2ND@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Karen Thurman
5th Congressional District, Florida
Rm. 130 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
KTHURMAN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Peter Torkildsen
6th Congressional District, Massachusetts
Rm. 120 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
TORKMA06@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. James Traficant
17th Congressional District, Ohio
Rm. 2446 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
TELLJIM@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Walter R. Tucker, III
37th Congressional District, California
Rm. 419 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
TUCKER96@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Fred Upton
6th Congressional District, Michigan
Rm. 2333 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

TALK2FSU@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bruce Vento

4th Congressional District, Minnesota

Rm. 2304 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

VENTO@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Enid Waldholtz

2nd Congressional District, Utah

Rm. 2515 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

ENIDUTAH@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Robert Walker

16th Congressional District, Pennsylvania

Rm. 2369 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

PA16@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Michael Ward

3rd Congressional District, Kentucky

Rm. 1032 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MIKEMAIL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Mel Watt

12th Congressional District, North Carolina

Rm. 1230 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MELMAIL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Curt Weldon

7h Congressional District, Pennsylvania

Rm. 2452 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

CURTPA7@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Dave Weldon

15th Congressional District, Florida

Rm. 216 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

FLA15@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Rick White

1st Congressional District, Washington

Rm. 116 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

REPWHITE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Ed Whitfield

1st Congressional District, Kentucky

Rm. 1541 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

EDKY01@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Charles Wilson

2nd Congressional District, Texas

Rm. 2256 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

CWILSON@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bob Wise

2nd Congressional District, West Virginia

Rm. 2434 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

BOBWISE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Lynn C. Woolsey

6th Congressional District, California

Rm. 439 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

WOOLSEY@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bill Zeliff, Jr.

1st Congressional District, New Hampshire

Rm. 1210 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

ZELIFF@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Dick Zimmer

12th Congressional District, New Jersey

Rm. 228 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

DZIMMER@HR.HOUSE.GOV

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CONSTITUENTS

The list above includes the electronic mail addresses of Members who are participating in the program. The primary goal of this program is to allow Members to better serve their CONSTITUENTS. In addition, constituents who communicate with their Representative by electronic mail should be aware that Members will sometimes respond to their messages by way of the U.S. Postal Service. This method of reply will help to insure confidentiality, a concern that is of utmost importance to the House of Representatives.

COMMITTEES OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
PARTICIPATING
IN THE ELECTRONIC MAIL SYSTEM.

Committee on Commerce

2125 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

COMMERCE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Subcommittee on Employer-Employee Relations

Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities

Rm. 320 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

SLABMGNT@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Committee on Resources

1324 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

RESOURCE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Committee on Science, Minority

822 O'Neill House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

SCIDEMS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Committee on Small Business

2361 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

SMBIZCOM@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Helsinki Commission (Commission on Security

and Cooperaton in Europe)

234 Ford House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

CSCE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

LEADERSHIP OFFICES OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES PARTICIPATING IN THE ELECTRONIC MAIL
SYSTEM

House Republican Policy Committee

2471 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

REPUBPOL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Democratic Leader's Office

H204 U.S. Capitol

Washington, D.C. 20515

DEMLDR@HR.HOUSE.GOV

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES PARTICIPATING IN THE ELECTRONIC MAIL
SYSTEM

House Document Room

Rm. B18 Ford House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

HDOCS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

You can send comments about our service to the Constituent Electronic Mail System Comment mailbox, at

COMMENTS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

We will make every effort to integrate suggestions into forthcoming updates of our system. Please note, that the intended purpose of this mailbox is to support public inquiry about the House Constituent Electronic Mail System. Messages intended for Members of Congress should be sent directly to the appropriate Member of Congress at their electronic mail or postal address.

UPDATES AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

From time to time, you may want to send another e-mail message to CONGRESS@HR.HOUSE.GOV to see the most recent version of the list of Members and Committees using Constituent Electronic Mail. The information is also available on the U.S. House of Representatives' Gopher server at

GOPHER.HOUSE.GOV

from the main menu by selecting House Email Addresses. The information is also available on the U.S. House of Representative's World Wide Web server at

WWW.HOUSE.GOV

To learn more about information available electronically from the House, send an e-mail message to

HOUSEHLP@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Thank you again for contacting the House of Representatives' Constituent Electronic Mail System. We are excited about the possibilities that e-mail has to offer, and will be working hard to bring more Members on-line and to expand our services.

*** Last updated on May 20, 1996 (hgs) ***

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062101 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[A message a day helps to keep dictatorship away. Part 1 of a 2
part congressional e-mail address list.] PIML

=====

Date: Thu, 20 Jun 1996 07:15:38 -0700

To: snetnews@alterzone.com

From: Alan Neuman <mystery@jetlink.net>

Subject: House of Representatives Email addresses (1/2)

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

I fetched this off the House's WWW Pages

UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

CONSTITUENT ELECTRONIC MAIL SYSTEM

We welcome your inquiry to the House of Representatives
Constituent Electronic Mail System, a program designed to give
constituents electronic access to their elected representatives. The
nature and character of the incoming electronic mail has demonstrated
that this capability will be an invaluable source of information on
constituent opinion. We are now in the process of expanding the
project to include other Members of Congress, as technical, budgetary
and staffing constraints allow.

* * * * *

Because this program is intended to provide an additional vehicle for Members to communicate with their constituents, it is critical that you include your name and address in your mail message, preferably at the top of the message. Most Congressional offices have adequate staff resources to respond only to their constituents and your inclusion of your full name and mailing address will insure that your Member can identify your residence within his or her Congressional District.

* * * * *

Many offices are using an automatic response to all in-coming messages, so you can expect to receive a message back from most of the addresses to which you send a message.

A number of House committees and leadership offices have also been assigned public electronic mailboxes. The names and electronic mailbox addresses of these offices are listed below after the information about participating Representatives.

The Document Room of the U.S. House of Representatives has also been assigned an electronic public mailbox. The name and electronic mailbox address of the House Document Room is listed below after the information about participating committees. The House Document room distributes House Bills, Resolutions, Reports, Documents, and Public Laws for the 104th Congress. House Reports, Documents, and Public Laws for the 103rd Congress are also maintained. The public can obtain 2 copies of up to 12 different House documents per day. Customers should include their telephone number with their mailing address. All document orders will be filled and mailed the same day they are received. For more detailed information or questions, call the Document Room at

(202) 225-3456, Monday through Friday, 9 a.m. to 6 p.m.

Please review the list of participating Representatives below, and if the Congressional District in which you reside is listed, follow the instructions to begin communicating by electronic mail with your Representative. If your Representative is not yet on-line, please be patient.

U.S. REPRESENTATIVES PARTICIPATING IN THE CONSTITUENT
ELECTRONIC MAIL SYSTEM.

Hon. Neil Abercrombie
1st Congressional District, Hawaii
Rm. 1233 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
NEIL@ABERCROMBIE.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Robert Andrews
1st Congressional District, New Jersey
Rm. 2439 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
RANDREWS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bill Baker
10th Congressional District, California
Rm. 1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
BBAKER@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. John Baldacci
2nd Congressional District, Maine
Rm. 1740 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

BALDACCI@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Cass Ballenger

10th Congressional District, North Carolina

Rm. 2238 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

CASSMAIL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Thomas Barrett

5th Congressional District, Wisconsin

Rm. 1224 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

TELLTOM@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Joe Barton

6th Congressional District, Texas

Rm. 2264 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

BARTON06@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Ken Bentsen

25th Congressional District, Texas

Rm. 128 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

BENTSEN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Brian Bilbray

49th Congressional District, California

Rm. 1004 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

BILBRAY@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Michael Bilirakis
9th Congressional District, Florida
Rm. 2240 RAYBURN House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
TRUEREP@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Sherwood Boehlert
23rd Congressional District, New York
Rm. 2246 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
BOEHLERT@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Rick Boucher
9th Congressional District, Virginia
Rm. 2245 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
NINTHNET@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. George Brown
42nd Congressional District, California
Rm. 2300 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
TALK2GEB@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Sherrod Brown
13th Congressional District, Ohio
Rm. 1019 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
SHERROD@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Sam Brownback
2nd Congressional District, Kansas

Rm. 1313 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
BROWNBK@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jim Bunn
5th Congressional District, Oregon
Rm. 1517 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
ASKBUNN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jim Bunning
4th Congressional District, Kentucky
Rm. 2437 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
BUNNING4@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Richard Burr
5th Congressional District, North Carolina
Rm. 1431 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
MAIL2NC5@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Dave Camp
4th Congressional District, Michigan
Rm. 137 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
DAVECAMP@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Tom Campbell
15th Congressional District, California
Rm. 2221 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515
CAMPBELL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Ben Cardin

3rd Congressional District, Maryland

Rm. 104 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

CARDIN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Saxby Chambliss

8th Congressional District, Georgia

Rm. 1708 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

SAXBY@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jim Chapman

1st Congressional District, Texas

Rm. 2417 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

JCHAPMAN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Helen Chenoweth

1st Congressional District, Idaho

1719 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

ASKHELEN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jon Christensen

2nd Congressional District, Nebraska

Rm. 1020 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

TALK2JON@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Dick Chrysler

8th Congressional District, Michigan

Rm. 327 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

CHRYSLER@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. James E. Clyburn

6th Congressional District, South Carolina

Rm. 319 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

JCLYBURN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Mac Collins

3rd Congressional District, Georgia

Rm. 1130 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

REP3MAC@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. John Conyers, Jr.

14th Congressional District, Michigan

Rm. 2426 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

JCONYERS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jerry Costello

12th Congressional District, Illinois

Rm. 2254 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

JFCIL12@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Christopher Cox

47th Congressional District, California

Rm. 2402 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

CHRISCOX@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bud Cramer

5th Congressional District, Alabama
Rm. 236 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
BUDMAIL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Thomas Davis

11th Congressional District, Virginia
Rm. 415 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
TOMDAVIS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Peter Defazio

4th Congressional District, Oregon
Rm. 2134 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
PDEFAZIO@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Peter Deutsch

20th Congressional District, Florida
Rm. 204 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
PDEUTSCH@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jay Dickey

4th Congressional District, Arkansas
Rm. 230 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
JDICKEY@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Lloyd Doggett

10th Congressional District, Texas

Rm. 126 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

DOGGETT@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jennifer Dunn

8th Congressional District, Washington

Rm. 432 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

DUNNWA08@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Richard Durbin

20th Congressional District, Illinois

Rm. 2463 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

DURBIN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Vernon Ehlers

3rd Congressional District, Michigan

Rm. 1717 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

CONGEHLR@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Robert Ehrlich, Jr.

2nd Congressional District, Maryland

Rm. 315 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

EHRlich@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bill Emerson

8th Congressional District, Missouri

Rm. 2268 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

BEMERSON@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Eliot Engel

17th Congressional District, New York

Rm.1433 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

ENGELINE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. John Ensign

2nd Congressional District, Nevada

Rm.414 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

ENSIGN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Anna Eshoo

14th Congressional District, California

Rm. 308 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

ANNAGRAM@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Terry Everett

2nd Congressional District, Alabama

Rm. 208 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

EVERETT@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Sam Farr

17th Congressional District, California

Rm. 1117 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

SAMFARR@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Harris Fawell

13th Congressional District, Illinois

Rm. 2159 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

HFAWELL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Vic Fazio

3rd Congressional District, California

Rm. 2113 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

DCAUCUS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Michael Forbes

1st Congressional District, New York

Rm. 502 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MPFORBES@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jon Fox

13th Congressional District, Pennsylvania

Rm. 510 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

JONFOX@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bob Franks

7th Congressional District, New Jersey

Rm. 429 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

FRANKSNJ@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Rodney Frelinghuysen

11th Congressional District, New Jersey

Rm. 514 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

NJELEVEN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Martin Frost

24th Congressional District, Texas

Rm. 2459 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

FROST@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. David Funderburk

2nd Congressional District, North Carolina

Rm. 427 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

FUNNC02@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Elizabeth Furse

1st Congressional District, Oregon

Rm. 316 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

FURSEOR1@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Sam Gejdenson

2nd Congressional District, Connecticut

Rm. 2416 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

BOZRAH@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Richard Gephardt

3rd Congressional District, Missouri

Rm. 1226 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

GEPHARDT@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Newt Gingrich

6th Congressional District, Georgia

Rm. 2428 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

GEORGIA6@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bob Goodlatte

6th Congressional District, Virginia

Rm. 123 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

TALK2BOB@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Gene Green

29th Congressional District, Texas

Rm. 1024 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

GGREEN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Luis Gutierrez

4th Congressional District, Illinois

Rm. 408 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

LUISG@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Gil Gutknecht

1st Congressional District, Minnesota

Rm. 425 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

GIL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Lee Hamilton

9th Congressional District, Indiana
Rm. 2314 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515
HAMILTON@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jane Harman
36th Congressional District, California
Rm. 325 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515
JHARMAN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Dennis Hastert
14th Congressional District, Illinois
Rm. 2453 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
DHASTERT@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Alcee Hastings
23rd Congressional District, Florida
Rm. 1039 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515
HASTINGS@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. J. D. Hayworth
6th Congressional District, Arizona
Rm. 1023 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
HAYWORTH@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Frederick Heineman
4th Congressional District, North Carolina
Rm. 1440 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

THECHIEF@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Maurice Hinchey

26th Congressional District, New York

Rm. 1524 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

HINCHEY@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Peter Hoekstra

2nd Congressional District, Michigan

Rm. 1122 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

TELLHOEK@HR.HOUSE.GOV

USAVOICE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Martin Hoke

10th Congressional District, Ohio

Rm. 212 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

HOKEMAIL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. John Hostettler

8th Congressional District, Indiana

Rm. 1404 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

JOHNHOST@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Tim Hutchinson

3rd Congressional District, Arkansas

Rm. 1005 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

TIMHUTCH@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Ernest J. Istook, Jr.

5th Congressional District, Oklahoma

Rm. 119 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

ISTOOK@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Sam Johnson

3rd Congressional District, Texas

Rm. 1030 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

SAMTX03@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Paul Kanjorski

11th Congressional District, Pennsylvania

Rm. 2429 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

KANJO@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Sue Kelly

19th Congressional District, New York

Rm. 1037 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

DEARSUE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Pete King

3rd Congressional District, New York

Rm. 224 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

PETEKING@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jerry Kleczka

4th Congressional District, Wisconsin
Rm. 2301 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
JERRY4WI@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Scott Klug
2nd Congressional District, Wisconsin
Rm. 1113 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
BADGER02@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jim Kolbe
5th Congressional District, Arizona
Rm. 205 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
JIMKOLBE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Tom Lantos
12th Congressional District, California
Rm. 2217 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
TALK2TOM@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Rick Lazio
2nd Congressional District, New York
Rm. 314 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515
LAZIO@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. John Linder
4th Congressional District, Georgia
Rm. 1318 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

JLINDER@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Zoe Lofgren

16th Congressional District, California

Rm. 118 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

ZOEGRAM@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Nita Lowey

18th Congressional District, New York

Rm. 2421 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

NITAMAIL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Bill Luther

6th Congressional District, Minnesota

Rm. 1419 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

TELLBILL@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Thomas Manton

7th Congressional District, New York

Rm. 2235 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

TMANTON@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Jim McCrery

5th Congressional District, Louisiana

Rm. 225 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MCCRERY@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Paul McHale

15th Congressional District, Pennsylvania

Rm. 217 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MCHALE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. David McIntosh

2nd Congressional District, Indiana

Rm. 1208 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MCINTOSH@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Howard McKeon

25th Congressional District, California

Rm. 307 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

TELLBUCK@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Michael McNulty

21st Congressional District, NewYork

Rm. 2442 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MMCNULTY@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Martin Meehan

5th Congressional District, Massachusetts

Rm. 318 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

MTMEEHAN@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. George Miller

7th Congressional District, California

Rm. 2205 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

GMILLER@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. David Minge

2nd Congressional District, Minnesota

1415 Longworth House Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20515

DMINGE@HR.HOUSE.GOV

Hon. Joe Moakley

9th Congressional District, Massachusetts

Rm. 235 Cannon House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

JMOAKLEY@HR.HOUSE.GOV

In HIS service,

Alan Russell,

Private Christian

Acting Cairman,

Ojai Jural Society

***** NO VICTIM, NO CRIME!! *****

"You can lead a man to knowledge, but you can't make him think!"

Alan Russell

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Alan Neuman <mystery@jetlink.net>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062010 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Wed, 19 Jun 1996 14:51:16 -0700

From: Joe Horn <6mysmesa@1eagle1.com>

Subject: L&J: (Fwd) Poetry - "A Visitor From The Past"

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

This might give everyone some insight into why militias are forming and from whence some of the rhetoric of the right arises. While probably no one reading this poem will agree with every plaint, I suspect most of us will find an item or two that ring a bell for us.

I think most Americans want to be loyal to the American Ideal. As Lincoln said, what makes an American is not birth, but allegiance to a set of principles....many of us feel we have grievously strayed from those principles.

When the conservatives talk about "Big Government", liberals will point out the the current administration has both reduced the number of employees and the cost of the central government. They don't seem to understand that Big Government is not measured by economic terms or the number of workers at any level of government. It is measured by its overwhelming and pervasive intrusiveness.

We live in a society where the deposit of a small amount of money or its transfer from one account to another triggers a reporting event from the bank to the national government....a society where property is "arrested" even though its owner has yet to be convicted or even charged with a wrongdoing....a society where people's homes are allowed to burn down in a wildfire lest the "homes" of a subspecies of desert rodent be disturbed. Other examples of intrusive, overbearing government.

And the beat goes on.....

Ron Moore

The following poem is from the West Virginia Muzzleloading
Association...

A VISITOR FROM THE PAST

I had a dream the other night, I didn't understand
A figure walking through the mist, with flintlock in his hand.
His clothes were torn and dirty, as he stood there by my bed,
He took off his three-cornered hat, and speaking low, he said:

We fought a revolution to secure our liberty.
We wrote the Constitution as a shield from tyranny,
For future generations, this legacy we gave.
Is this the Land of the Free and the Home of the Brave?

The freedom we secured for you, we hoped you'd always keep,
but tyrants labored endlessly while your parents were asleep.
Your freedom gone, your courage lost, you're no more than a slave,
In this, the Land of the Free and the Home of the Brave.

You buy permits to travel, and permits to own a gun.
Permits to start a business or to build a place for one.
On land you believe you own, you pay a yearly rent
Although you have no voice in choosing how the money's spent.

Your children must attend a school that doesn't educate,
Your religious values can't be taught according to the state,
You read about current news, in a regulated press,
You pay a tax you do not owe, to please the I.R.S.

Your money is no longer made of Silver and Gold,
You trade your wealth for paper, so your life can be controlled.
You pay for crimes that make our Nation turn from God in shame
You've taken Satan's number as you've traded in your name.

You've given government control, to those who do you harm,
So they can padlock churches, and steal the family farm.
And keep our country deep in debt, put men of God in jail,
Harass your fellow countrymen, while corrupted courts prevail.

Your public servants don't uphold the solemn oath they've sworn
Your daughters visit doctors, so their children won't be born.
Your leaders ship artillery and guns to foreign shores,
And send your sons to slaughter, fighting other people's wars.

Can you regain the freedom for which we fought and died?
Or don't you have the courage, or the faith to stand with pride?
Are there no more values for which you'll fight to save?
Or do you wish your children, to live in fear and be a slave?

People of the Republic, Arise and take a stand!
Defend the Constitution, The Supreme Law of the Land!
Preserve our Great Republic, and God-Given Right!
And pray to God, to keep the torch of freedom burning bright!

As I awoke he vanished in the mist, from whence he came.

His words were true, we are not free, and we, ourselves to blame.

For even now as tyrants trample each God-Given Right.

We only watch and tremble, too afraid to stand and fight!

If he stood by your bedside in a dream, while youre asleep,

And wonders what remains of our Rights he fought to keep.

What would be your answer if he called from the grave,

Is this the Land of the Free and the Home of the Brave?

Author Unknown

--- OffRoad 1.9p unregistered

Sent via WISe (WNPB's Information Service)

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"
in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062009 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Wed, 19 Jun 1996 16:18:31 -0500

From: "R. Knauer-AIMNET" <rckttexas@ix.netcom.com>

Subject: L&J: LIGHTS OUT ON KLINTOON

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

>From Ken Cook's Mailing:

RAY KERRISON ARTICLE FOR NY POST - 6/18/96

+++++

GET READY TO TURN OUT THE LIGHTS ON PRESIDENT CLINTON

by Ray Kerrison

From this morning on, the clock is ticking on the Clinton co-presidency.
The end is in sight.

The only question is: Will they jump, will the party push or will voters
evict?

As the Senate Whitewater Committee releases its 700-page report today - a
massive, damning indictment of Bill and Hillary Clinton over the past eight
years - there cannot be a national Democratic office-holder who is not
alarmed and dismayed at the exploding evidence of the First Couple's misconduct.

It is so bad, the Clintons are threatening to sink all the boats.

The chief culprit in this probe is Hillary Rodham Clinton, who is exposed
as a scheming, conniving manipulator who would stop at nothing to conceal a
record of sleazy misdeeds in office, matched only by a lust for power, money
and influence.

Hillary is accused of many things in the Whitewater report - destroying

documents, stealing secret files from a law firm, blocking investigators and trying to fudge the truth of the Clinton relationship with their crooked partners.

She played "a pivotal role," the report says. "As every important turn, crucial files and documents 'disappeared' or were withheld from scrutiny whenever questions were raised."

These things are bad enough, but the truly repugnant aspect of Hillary's deception is that she used friends and associates to do so much of her dirty work.

The consequences for those so abused have yet to be measured in full, but they are going to be devastating.

Hillary's first major strike came after White House deputy counsel [died] on July 20, 1993.

The Senate found that Hillary, in Arkansas at the time, "dispatched her trusted lieutenants to contain any potential embarrassment or political damage."

Those associates went through Foster's office like a tornado, sifting through papers and files, removing them by the armload. Others, acting on Hillary's direct instruction, blocked federal investigators from examining any papers.

Hillary had good reason to fear a federal search: Foster had worked on the Whitewater scam and the despicable firing of the Travel Office staff. She also reportedly had a [sexual] relationship with Foster.

But that was only the beginning. When the Senate investigation began, Hillary's "trusted lieutenants" were pitched into the dirtiest pool of all.

They were required to go before the panel and testify, under oath, about their roles after Foster's death.

Their performance was wretched, disingenuous and potentially criminal. They face prosecution for one of the most heinous of all crimes: perjury.

The Senate report singles out four main offenders: Maggie Williams, Hillary's chief-of-staff; Susan Thomases, a New York lawyer and Clinton pal; Bernard Nussbaum, the former White House counsel; and Webster Hubbell, formerly Clinton's associate attorney general, who is now in prison for embezzling \$500,000 in a savings-and-loan scandal.

Their testimony was "not candid," the report said. It was "inaccurate and incomplete" for only one reason: to protect Hillary.

Williams and Thomases suffered so many memory lapses and displayed so many evasions that their testimony was unbelievable. Lying to Congress is a criminal offense.

F. Lee Bailey once described perjury as one of the greatest of all crimes. It is so because the liar invokes God to bear witness to the lie.

When Hillary co-opted these "trusted lieutenants" into her schemes to steal and destroy documents, to stall and divert a federal investigation, she placed them in harm's way for the day they would be asked to testify under oath.

Hillary should not be permitted to get away with this treachery.

The Senate Republican majority is expected to ask independent counsel Kenneth Starr to prosecute Williams and Thomases for perjury.

They'd better. It's one thing to lie for Hillary, something else to go to jail for her. Besides, prosecution might instantly cure their amnesia.

It is amazing how many intimate friends and partners of the Clintons - from Web Hubbell to Jim and Susan McDougal, from Vince Foster to convicted drug dealer Dan Lasater - have come to grief through death, prosecution or imprisonment. Many more are on the way.

The Senate report shows Hillary is every bit as venal as her husband, if not more so.

Even now, she still has someone doing her dirty work. The latest sucker is White House associate counsel Mark Fabiani, who was pushed out to answer the Senate majority finding.

All he could do was assail the character of its chairman, Sen. Al D'Amato, while evading the specific charges. In his own way, Fabiani confirmed the allegations.

This is a nightmare for the Democratic Party. Each week, the news is worse, the polls are turning, the clock is ticking.

Either the Clintons go - or the party risks going down with them. Some hard decisions will need to be made between now and convention time.

+++++

--

A I M N E T Advanced Internet Marketing
Corporation World Wide Web Publishing

<websales@aimtec.com> <http://www.aimtec.com/>

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"
in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

STOP ALL FEDERAL ABUSES NOW

(S.A.F.A.N. Internet Newsletter No. 19, June 19, 1996)

WAR CRIMES: DESERT STORM = GULF WAR SYNDROME

by Julianne Hamden

Letter dated June 18, 1996

to Joe Jordan, National Vietnam P.O.W. Strike Force, 2614 Waugh Drive, Suite
217, Houston, TX 77006-2799

Dear Joe:

Thank you so much for your support of Persian Gulf War Veteran and your
stance that our biological evidence should not be destroyed. The Government
has engaged in this cover-up during the early years of CFIDS and AIDS
outbreaks by destroying the blood samples, inhibiting researchers' ability to
fully study these diseases. There have also been some interesting
developments worldwide on the issues of bio-warfare/population control that I
would like to share with you:

- * Prisoners in Delaware given food brought back from Desert Storm now ill.
- * Desert Storm food also given out at food banks in Florida to low-income
residents -
now sick.
- * Flu vaccines given to South American women by World Health Organization
(WHO) found to have birth control in them, Catholic Church protests.
- * HHS officials now acknowledge the CDC (Center for Disease Control) and
Kaiser Permanente at fault for 1,500 mostly black and Hispanic children
given "experimental" measles vaccines in Los Angeles in 1989. Their
parents were not informed. One child died from a bacterial infection.
- * Montana Freeman afraid of jail and receiving cancer and "no brains"
vaccines.

- * Japanese encephalitis vaccines forced upon military men in 1993 producing Kaposi's Sarcoma-like lesions and dementia.
- * Reports of babies born with "no human features" in military hospitals.
- * Native American children vaccinated without parents' knowledge now sick. Tribal elders report hantavirus outbreaks "after black helicopters spray".
- * Outbreaks of "mysterious" respiratory illness in nursing homes in Maryland, Virginia, etc., claiming lives.
- * Genetic cross-breeding of insects, plants, and animals. We now have "humanized" goats and pigs bread with human tissue creating mutants - and this is on the Evening News.
- * Gulf Vets and their family members dying of MS, hodgkins lymphoma, brain cancer, Lou Gehrigs' Disease, and "AIDS-like cancers, and wasting syndromes, crying blood, etc. in Virginia and military hospitals.
- * VietNam-era Veteran stayed in VA hospital room with 3 Gulf Vets dying of cancer is now sick with GWS.
- * Gulf Vet picket up by civilian paramedics told by radio to "Mask, GWS is airborne and contagious".
- * African tribesmen in Zaire reported they believed that Ebola outbreaks were caused by World Health Organization (WHO) doctors. They were dismissed as ignorant.
- * Military Veterans being offered "arsenic coma" treatment at Walter Reed Army Medical Center - "we will put you in a coma and then try to bring you back".
- * Deformed children born to Gulf War Vets who only received vaccines but did not deploy.
- * Reports of military pilots sick but afraid to come forward, crashing planes.

Joe, these are just the tip of the iceberg. By keeping the reports of outbreaks and illnesses sporadic, most people will miss the big picture. The scientists will tell you that "overuse of antibiotics, or airline travel, is responsible. That is bullshit - using animal tissue in vaccines and animal

transplants - they have introduced animal viruses (like HIV-SIV in Monkeys) into the human population and cross-bred simple organisms into killers - and they hope we are too stupid to notice. They give the American people and especially military Veterans no credit for being able to read and comprehend.

Thank you for all your support. We know this issue is not going away and that "the coming plague" is indeed true. The scientists would be smart to stop now, but obviously they were too stupid to acknowledge the consequences their experiments would bring and they would rather we all die blissfully unaware.

Julianne Hamden

%International Gulf War Illness Coalition Ph/FAX (704) 349-4285

=====

S.A.F.A.N. Editors: Jan Dodge, Jeannie Cox, Jammie Featherstone, and

Dot Bibee (DotHB@aol.com Ph/FAX (904) 453-3656

"It is NOT the function of our Government to keep the citizen from falling into error;

It is the function of the citizen to keep the Government from falling into error".

.....U.S. Supreme Court in American Communications Assn. v. Douds, 339 US 382,442

=====

STOP ALL FEDERAL ABUSES NOW

(S.A.F.A.N. No. 19, June 19, 1996)

WAR CRIMES - Desert Storm = Gulf War Syndrome (Part I)

by Peter Kawaja

(Copy of Letter to Joe L. Jordan, National VietNam P.O.W. Strike Force)

Dear Joe:

I received your recent Press Release regarding MD Anderson Cancer Center order to destroy the blood samples of Gulf War Veterans. Isn't this timely just after the Drs. Garth and Nancy Nicolson have been forced out under pressure from the U.S. Government? The Nicolsens will be out within three months, and moving to California. Because of lack of funds and Government pressure on the Anderson Administration, the blood testing fell 8-months behind - that is how many veterans sent their blood in, as this was the only place to get the Mycoplasma testing done.

So, once again I ask the question, "Is the Government trying to help American Veterans to get better, or to go to their grave? Is this about downsizing the U.S. Military for UN Occupation of the Republic states or not?"

The Veterans blood samples are evidence, and like the VA "hard files" evidence, have to be destroyed in some manner as the "accidental" bombing of the Murrah Building where they were stored. The "results" of the blood taken from returning Veterans after the Persian Gulf War and their military records would convict many high-ranking Americans. Is there any wonder why Tim McVeigh has not been brought to a "speedy trial" as was promised by Clinton and Reno, a long time ago?

By the way, Joe, the REAL Gulf War Crimes story on Gulf Syndrome has not yet

been told. It is not as simple as what some who claim to have investigated this say it is. Although the Riegler Report is accurate, you have stated one instance (of many) in your press release which PROVES what Peter Kawaja has been trying to tell America - that many Veterans who were never deployed to the Theater of Operations have the same illnesses, that GWS was not caused (solely) by Saddam Houssein using the biological cultures sold to him by American companies (that is 25% of the facts), but that the US itself deployed/used own own Chemical and Biological Weapons ON OUR OWN FORCES - using them as guinea pigs for testing PILLS, VACCINES, PROTECTIVE CLOTHING, GAS MASKS, etc., and to infect them with a slow-acting communicable disease, so they would live to come back to the United States, their blood drawn by the military/VA, the results as to what worked and what did not found, and to allow our Veterans to spread their disease to the civilian population, in order to accomplish GLOBAL 2000, population control, suspension of the Constitution by everyone being marked, accepting the National ID card - CHIP, etc.

This is NOT what other so-called investigators are putting out. There are those who have used the Gulf Syndrome as a Marketing tool, a money-making machine for fame and fortune at the expense of dying and dead Veterans.

Others have been collecting and turning evidence over to DOD, et al. You don't see Peter Kawaja's name on many of the billboards and at speaking engagements because my message is HARD and right on point - whilst there are others who steer away from the truth, passing the blame solely to Saddam Houssein (using the Riegler Report), that it was Iraq who used the Biologicals they bought which has caused Gulf War Syndrome. That is a small part of the story.

The fact is that Iraq did not deploy enough Scuds to accomplish the widespread illnesses/birth defects we are seeing, just a small example. To speed up American civilian infection, Walter Reed Army Medical instituted an incentive program for the American Red Cross to collect the contaminated blood of Veterans, to be distributed to civilian hospitals.

There should be no question in anyone's mind that there is in fact a concentrated effort by the NWO to make American "carriers" so we, the people, will have no choice but to go along with "The Program" of the NWO - United Nations, One World government, or be enslaved in a concentration camp or die.

Peter Kawaja

International Gulf War Illness Coalition

Voice/FAX (704) 349-4285

=====

SAFAN Editors: Jan Dodge, Jeannie Cox, Jammie Featherstone,
and Dot Bibee (DotHB@aol.com Ph/FAX (904) 453-3656

"Studies have determined that excessive Federal government is dangerous to the health of the Republic. In case of overdose, apply the Second Amendment liberally, and induce expulsion of the Sixteenth Amendment until swelling subsides. If symptoms persist, consult the Declaration of Independence - and implement!!!"

=====

ELECT CHARLES E. COLLINS FOR PRESIDENT Call 1-800-994-8322

PIML 96062006 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>
Date: Wed, 19 Jun 1996 19:51:16 -0700
Subject: Dead Men Tell No Tales (fwd) (3/3)
Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

the letters of credit of the BNL, which came from Switzerland, as well as a lot of other communications regarding the Gulf War that was to come. I recorded calls going to and coming from Baghdad, to and from the United States and London, CIA, FBI, FBI counter-intelligence, U.S. Customs, certain politicians and numerous other individuals. This is my information. It is not second-hand.

PK: What I found at the PIT plant was very heinous. I found that a strain of hydrogen cyanide called Prussian Blue was being tested on gas mask filters more than 1 year prior to the Persian Gulf War. This information was known to the President of the United States, George Bush. It was arranged through Trevor Armbrister, a CIA asset and a Senior Editor of Reader's Digest, to fly me to the steps of the White House. Time does not permit me to tell my story, but the information that I want to get out to the American people is that the Gulf War illnesses are actually communicable diseases. The microbes will live almost indefinitely, but for a minimum of seven years. It's on the gas masks, the clothing, the weapons -- any of the materials brought back from the Gulf War. I have reports from several different states where civilians that bought some of the clothing went home and wore them, and now the entire family is in wheel chairs; there have been some deaths as

a result of this.

C: Peter, is there any connection between this and "mad cow" disease and the wheat blight going on in Arizona?

PK: Mad Cow disease came out in Britain; however, a lot of this can be traced back to the Gulf War. We're not being told here in the United States that there is much more going on around the world. We are looking at a worldwide plague. It is not just the Gulf War veterans that have it; it is spreading around the world. A lot of the diseases that you're hearing about are not the result of natural causes; they are from the Persian Gulf war.

C: Why would they want to rub out those people on Ron Brown's plane?

JJ: Well, Ron Brown was a notorious person. He's had his finger in every crooked deal. He took a \$700,000 bribe from Hanoi to get the trade embargo dropped. He was involved in the Denver International Airport boondoggle, which went about a billion dollars over budget. He was involved in the S&L scandal, Iran-Contra, and he was a big money runner for the Democratic Party. If you wanted any kind of permit from the government, you had to grease Ron Brown's palm and he would take care of the Democratic front runners. He was getting too big for his britches; he was a problem for the New World Order. He also blackmailed Clinton, and told him if he made him Secretary of Commerce or Secretary of State, that he would see to it that Jesse Jackson did not get involved in the 1992 election, and it didn't happen. Brown was a problem. Brown would have been suspicious if they had asked him to fly to Bosnia with a bunch of low-level munchkins. The big shots from the corporations were sacrificial "pigs". Brown figured that if big shots like Bechtel and ABB were on the plane, that surely they wouldn't shoot the plane down. Bosnia is a popular killing field for unpopular and unwanted political figures who have outlived their usefulness.

C: Concerning the status of the maintenance worker who "committed suicide" over the weekend, did CBS report that he was the head of maintenance for the radar at the airport where the plane was to land?

PK: That would be the engineer for navigational aids at Dibrovnik airport. Yes, they ruled his death a suicide. Ron Brown was also marketing vice president of U.S. Arms Company, which of course involved the Gulf War and the weapons that went to Iraq. It has to do with the Reigel Report. We have a massive plague with no known antidote out there, coming to your family. The U.S. discharged 489,000 of the 697,000 troops that served in the Persian Gulf. In Iraq, according to the Nicholson's, at least 1 million people are either infected or dead. As a general global figure, Dr. Nicholson states that approximately 20-25% of the populations in the Middle East are already affected. [Editor Note: It is no small coincidence that George Bush and James Baker, as well as others, were stockholders in the very same biotechnology companies that were responsible for the biowarfare weapons used in the Gulf that caused this worldwide plague to begin, is it?]

Anomalous Images and UFO Files

<http://www.linex.com/ufo>

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062005 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

Date: Wed, 19 Jun 1996 19:51:16 -0700

Subject: Dead Men Tell No Tales (fwd) (2/3)

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

o Bridge Housing Corporation

o Bechtel Europe [Editor note: Involved in underground facility
construction]

o Interguard Corporation

o Insearch International

o AT&T Submarine Systems

o Foster-Wheeler Energy

JJ: Any of these companies reach out and ring any bells with you?

PK: Yes, a couple of them certainly do, and I'll talk about you
privately about them.

NR: Peter said that there were traces of thermite on the bodies
recovered from the Ron Brown aircraft, and that attendant Shelley Kelly
was alive and fairly well going into the helicopter. Is that correct?

JJ: That's correct. That's the information I got.

NR: From a good source?

JJ: A source that has been reliable in the past.

NR: Are you saying that Ron Brown's plane was blown up and that Shelley Kelly knew what happened, and that she was subsequently killed on the helicopter flight?

JJ: The information that I acquired was from someone that was present at the autopsies, and who cannot be identified. My source related that there was a three to four hour difference between the original bruises, cuts and scratches and the 3" incision wound. This indicates that she was deliberately cut where she would subsequently bleed to death. Someone who was alive and conscious on that aircraft would have been aware of the circumstances as the plane was crashing. For example, she would have been able to say, "we were on normal approach, and all of a sudden there was an explosion, and then all of a sudden I was on the ground." Of course, the story that they want is that the airplane simply crashed -- and dead men tell no tales.

PK: Dr. Resnick, you need to know that Mr. Jordan is former NSA and has ties. I trust his information and his sources. There are many suspicious things about this airplane crash.

NR: Joe, what have you done with your information?

JJ: We have several fax networks that we utilize, as well as a good computer network.

NR: Have you contacted any government agencies?

JJ: Many times I do, but they get it anyway because my phone is heavily tapped by no less than 18 federal agencies. That's all part of the game.

NR: Is there any way for people to get in touch with you?

JJ: Sure. They can call me at 713-680-3181.

NR: Peter, where shall we go from here?

PK: The balance of what I will talk about is not conjecture. For those of you who have not heard from me, I will say that my information is not from some second-hand investigation; it was not passed on to me. I am not a federal agent, not have I ever been one. However, I did operate on behalf of the U.S. government under a code name. My background is in security: 28 years in telephonics and in data acquisition and control. I have ties with the law enforcement community and with the U.S. military.

PK: The "Gulf War Syndrome" is a continuation of the biological warfare which has been tested not only on civilian populations over the years, but is an extension of Agent Orange and other types of testing. It shouldn't come as a surprise to the public that testing, research and engineering has been conducted for the ultimate "super weapon". You can look at this from either of two sides. On one hand, you can justify the development of biological warfare if you think that there are nations who are going to do it anyway, in defiance of the Geneva Convention and other laws. On the other hand, my investigation proves that biological agents were not only developed and used in the Persian Gulf war, but that they are in fact part of the Global 2000 population downsizing program. I can speak with authority on this, because of the evidence I have obtained. No one gave me the "spy handbook". I used my 28 years in security. I trained many of the agents and sold some of the spooks a lot of high-tech "toys" in the days of vector counter-intelligence and Ben

Jamil. I go back many years with some of the players.

PK: I got involved with a project called "Product Ingredient Technology" in Boca Raton, Florida. I was also involved throughout the United States with IBI (Ishan Barbouti International), the builder of Pharma 150, the chemical and biological weapons complex in Rabta, Libya. From my investigation, I found a lot of things that were not legitimate, and I ran. I was green about a lot of these things upon going in. I went to the CIA and FBI, and operated for the U.S. government under a code name, because they said these people were international terrorists and that they were going to prosecute them. However, they did not count on me bugging telephone lines, buildings, and certain other locations throughout the United States. I intercepted the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Banca Nazionale del Lavoro (CCC-BNL),

Anomalous Images and UFO Files

<http://www.linex.com/ufo>

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062004 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

Date: Wed, 19 Jun 1996 19:51:16 -0700

Subject: Dead Men Tell No Tales (fwd) (1/3)

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

The Resnick Interview with Peter Kawaja
and Ex-NSA Agent Joe Jordan

"Dead Men Tell No Tales"

Transcribed by Leading Edge Research Group -
<http://www.cco.net/~trufax>

Legend: PK (Peter Kawaja) NR: (Dr.Norm Resnick) JJ: (Joe Jordan) C:
(Caller)

NR: My guest this hour is Mr. Peter Kawaja, who will be talking about
the Gulf War Illness and terrorism. Pete, you're a big name in the
country now, so take off in any direction.

PK: The terms "Gulf War Illness" and "Gulf War Syndrome" are misleading,
in that they affect everyone in the United States, not just our
veterans. This is a communicable disease that is affecting the civilian
population as well. The first point I would like to make is that these
are warfare agents that were used in the Persian Gulf war, and it
affects your freedom, whether you will live or die. Whether it involves
the "chip", the new national medical ID card, the constitutional issues

that are going around, the Montana Freeman issue, or the recent downing of the aircraft carrying Ron Brown; it's everything and it's all tied together. We hear Terry Reed; we hear Mark Phillips and Kathy O'Brian; we hear everyone out there talking and saying basically the same thing: that we have one gigantic octopus and everything is connected! There is no such thing as coincidence, and all these things are tied to each other. This is tyranny and terrorism right here in the United States, and these crimes are being perpetrated against the American people. For anyone waiting for the fellow at the starting gate to fire his pistol and declare that the war is on, let me say that we are the enemies of certain individuals who have seized control of the American government.

NR: Peter, what's your take on the suicide of the Chief Medical Inspector of the airplane that Ron Brown was aboard, which just occurred on April 7th?

PK: It's interesting, isn't it? I'm sure that you are aware of the information that is being disseminated by the National Vietnam POW Strike Force?

NR: No.

PK: Well, let me say that the cadavers from the Ron Brown aircraft arrived at Dover AFB, Delaware, and they had traces of the chemical "thermite" on them. This is a chemical in bombs that are used to blow safes and bunker doors.

NR: How do you know this?

PK: That information was passed to me through Joe Jordan of the National Vietnam POW Strike Force, out of Houston, Texas. Joe Joran is a former National Security Agency (NSA) agent. In addition to that, Shelley Kelly, the flight attendant on the aircraft, walked onto the rescue

helicopter with minor cuts and bruises. However, upon arrival at the hospital, it was found that she had bled to death on the way. They found a 3 inch incision over the femoral artery. I accept this information as solid, due to its source. Those listeners who know of Mr. Jordan and his organization understand that he has very reliable sources within the government. Perhaps we could conference Mr. Jordan in.

NR: We'll do that.

PK: I want to talk about the terrorism that is being perpetrated against people such as the Freeman of Montana, as well as others like myself. I may not make it to Thursday, as there may be a raid on my home.

NR: Why?

PK: Because of incidents that are taking place. I was almost charged with contempt by the judge in a court case recently. They tried to put me behind bars, and chances are that I would not have come out alive again.

NR: Joe Jordan is with us. Mr. Jordan, who are you?

JJ: I'm a Vietnam veteran, active in the Vietnam POW issue, and as a knee-jerk reaction, I'm interested in anything that exposes government wrong-doing and corruption. By the way, Pete, have you seen the list of companies that were represented on the Ron Brown plane? This should have been in the newspapers, this list of corporate leaders and the businesses they represented. I'm told that some of these companies are involved in the Tulley lawsuit in Texas, which involves the Gulf War illness issue.

JJ: Let me run some of the names by you to see if any of them jump out at

you, as far as having anything to do with biological warfare:

- o Riggs International Banking
- o Asea, Brown and Bovert (ABB)
- o Air and Water Technologies
- o Parsons Corporation [Editor note: Involved in underground facility construction]
- o Harza Engineering

Anomalous Images and UFO Files

<http://www.linex.com/ufo>

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96062003 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: 20 Jun 96 00:17:09 EDT

From: William Winter <73163.3063@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: LP RELEASE: LP Qualifies in 33rd State

NEWS FROM THE LIBERTARIAN PARTY

2600 Virginia Avenue, NW, Suite 100

Washington DC 20037

For release: June 20, 1996

For additional information:

Bill Winter, Director of Communications

(202) 333-0008

Internet:73163.3063@CompuServe.com

Libertarian Party achieves ballot status in 33rd state

WASHINGTON, DC -- The Libertarian Party solidified its double-digit lead over all other third-party rivals this week by qualifying to be on the ballot in three more states -- boosting its total to 33 states.

Oklahoma, North Carolina, and Maine officials certified that the required number of signatures were submitted to earn the Libertarian Party's presidential candidate a spot on the ballot in the November election.

Meanwhile, the Libertarian Party's third-party challengers continue to

lag behind.

As of this week, the U.S. Taxpayer's Party is on the ballot in just 19 states; the Natural Law Party in 22 states, the Green Party in seven states, and the Reform Party in 22 states.

"The Libertarian Party's speed in getting on 33 state ballots demonstrates our grassroots strength and broad-based appeal," said Libertarian Party National Director Perry Willis.

When the Libertarian Party achieves its goal of 50-state ballot status sometime in mid-August, it will become the first third party in American history to get on all 50 state ballots for two presidential elections in a row.

The party will select a presidential candidate at its 1996 National Convention in Washington, DC, July 4th to 7th, at the Hyatt Capitol Hill hotel.

The Libertarian Party is America's third largest political party. There are more than 123,000 registered Libertarians in 28 states and over 170 Libertarians serving in public office.

#

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

STOP ALL FEDERAL ABUSES NOW

(S.A.F.A.N. Internet Newsletter, No. 21, June 19,.1996

POLICE/MILITARY ALERT. POST WIDELY

UNITED NATIONS TREACHERY EXPOSED, by Officer Rick Dalton,
Nat'l Guardsman Fred Willoughby, and Officer Jack McLamb (Ret)
(Excerpts from Special Edition Constitutional Issues No. 12, published for
members of Law Enforcement, Military, National and Coast Guard. Editors:
Police Officer Jack McLamb (Ret), Officer A. Rick Dalton, Natl' Guardsman
Fred Willoughby, Louis E. Stradling, and Peter Giordano. See Web Page
<http://www.goodnet.com/vampkill/>

Read this urgent report from your police and soldier colleagues NOW.

This special report on the UNITED NATIONS is the most important police
publication that you will read. It will inform our fellow officers and
soldiers about WHO and WHAT "our new boss" is. It is time that all of our
colleagues understand who and what we will be serving in the near future.

Many of our readers will remember what Globalist George Bush said at a
speech before the United Nations on February 1, 1992. It bears repeating
here, and we quote, "It is the SACRED principles enshrined in the UN Charter
to which we will henceforth pledge our allegiance." He meant it, and with
the help of his fellow Democratic and Republican Socialists in our nation,
they're pulling it off.

In the near future, we will each be making a life-altering choice as to whom
it is we will serve. Our choices will be between these two: a foreign,
Anti-God, GLOBAL ELITISTS' Socialist society, and our Constitutional
Republic/FREE American people, which we took a sacred oath to protect and
serve. In order to make this choice we must understand the nature of both of
these entities.

Today, between these pages, you will see the true face of the UNITED NATIONS.

Thus, you'll be better prepared to make one of the most important decisions of yours and your family's lives. Since we have gained this knowledge, many of us have already made our decision. However, the hour is late, after you read this intelligence alert we ask that you assist in getting this vital report into the hands of each our our fellow police officers and soldiers as quickly as possible.

THE CONSTITUTION VS. THE U.N. CHARTER

by Luis Stradling

No person can be loyal to the Constitution for the United States and uphold the Charter of the United Nations. They are as opposite as light and dark, good and evil, freedom and slavery, God and Satan. No man can serve two masters. Support of the United Nations by government officials and employees is a violation of their Oath. Wittingly or unwittingly, it is treasonous.

The United Nations was formed at San Francisco in 1945. A tremendous propaganda campaign mesmerized Americans into accepting it as an agency to end the scourge of war and uplift downtrodden peoples - a leap of freedom - the Last Hope for Peach. The Senate ratified the UN Charter in a brief hearing with little debate. Very few Senators even read it before voting.

Langer and Shipstead, who did read it, cast the only votes against it.

Roughly, the plan was as follows:

- 1 - Use the horrors of WWII as reason to establish a peacekeeping organization.

- 2 - Continue to promote communist wars of inexpressible horror, slaughtering and enslaving millions.

- 3 - The U.S. fights Cold War to "save the world from communism" pouring out billions and pretending to oppose communish conquests, but always betraying the victims, and the billions, into communist hands.

4 - Little by little transform Evil Empire Communists into Friendly Allies.

5 - Gradually weaken the U.S. internally, destroy the Constitution, abolish religion, corrupt the youth, foster drugs, immorality, crime, racial riots; break down the economy, bankrupt business and the nation through debt and inflation.

6 - Disarm citizens; transfer U.S. military to the U.N.

7 - Rule over defenseless Americans with terror and slavery as in communist countries.

As difficult as it is to believe, and as unwilling as we are to believe it, the above scenario accurately describes events of this century.

It is not our purpose to name perpetrators and traitors, since a person may act knowingly, or unwittingly. Lenin said the communist world will largely be built by non-communist hands, persons who do the work unknowingly. He called them "useful idiots". Patriotic Americans, including most of us at some time, have supported some of their programs.

To trushing Americans, it is unbelievable, but veteran readers know that the NEW WORLD ORDER is to be a socialist dictatorship.

The U.S. Constitution and the U.N. Charter compared outgrowth of centuries of Common Law, Laws of Nature, and Revealed Law of Scriptures.

RIGHTS: The divine endowment of the Creator to each person. They are unalienable - as inseparable as his heartbeat or the breath he breathes. No person or government has a right to infringe them. (Note that there is no mention of HUMAN or CIVIL rights).

The Bill of Rights is not a list of rights but prohibitions against government infringement of a few specified rights, with all unmentioned rights and powers reserved to the people and the states (see 10th Amendment).

The United Nations' UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS are a clumsy couterfeit and deceptive platitudes of "freedom, peace, and rights" taken away in the same breath they are given. All are subject go government Control. Actually, they are not rights at all, but an open-ended list of OFFENSES chargeable against anyone for real, contrived, or imagined violations.

WAR POWERS: From Declaration of Independence and U.S. Constitution - To Provide for the Common Defense ...Preamble: "Full power to levy war, conclude peace and contract alliances" - Declaration of Independence. And from the Constitution - Congress shall have the power to declare war, raise and support armies, maintain navy, make rules for regulation for armed forces; use National Guard to suppress insurrections, repel invasions. The President - Commander-in-Chief; negotiate treaties, conduct diplomacy through ambassadors. It is believed that all these provisions for our defense are abolished by the U.N. Charter. The very reason for government is destroyed.

Under the U.N. Charter, the Security Council has the sole power to decide when, where, and by whom any military action shall be taken. It was used to force Americans to fight winless wars in Korea and Vietnam; to overthrow Rhodesia, Katanga, and recently South Africa, to prevent self-defense by free nations against agressors. It is vital to know that the Conspiracy has absolute control of the Security Council, which has absolute control of the U.N., and that all member nations have agreed to obey every decision of the Security Council.

READ THAT AGAIN!!! When it sinks into your understanding, the whole picture becomes clear. When, and if, the U.N. has our military force, it will be clear in stark reality. The powers behind the U.N. have little concern for the armed forces of Russia, China and Cuba. They are on the same team; to conquer the United States is the goal and the prize. The Conspiracy controls the Security Council with the perpetual majority of "their own men" on it.

The U.S. representative, even if he himself is not hand-picked by them, is powerless. They also control the General Assembly through a hundred tribal "states" whose votes they control. Thus an evil few men, of perhaps even ONE man, could rule the world!---and this is the Satanic lust for power which drives them. (See Aid & Abet Police Newsletter, Special Issue No. 12 - Page 3).

The magnitude of the crime of 90 Senators against their country and humanity strains credulity - - that they could so carelessly betray all nations and persons on earth into the hands of a few human devils who, in secrecy behind the U.N. facade, plot the destruction of all that is good, and the killing or enslavement of every human.

TAXATION - U.S. Constitution - Indirect tax only, unless by apportionment. The Federal Government could not tax any individual nor inquire into his finances. The 16th Amendment (MARXIST INCOME TAX) was slipped into the Constitution by the same Conspiracy and in the same insidious manner as the U.N. Charter was manipulated through the Senate. Likewise, the FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM they set up has destroyed Constitutional money, robbing the people of billions through inflation.

The UNITED NATIONS has power to levy taxes on ALL member states limited only by its power to force collection. The Security Council can levy taxes for "peacekeeping" operations on any state or states it chooses. The U.N. requested 19 billion dollars from "certain" selected states of which the U.S. share was 7 and 1/2 billion. It was approved by Congress. Billions in foreign aid, opposed by most Americans, is dutifully approved by Congress to meet U.N. commitments. The World Bank and the IMF (International Monetary Fund) are indirectly UN "tax" payments. When it attains full "military" control, there will be a world tax system under the General Assembly, where we have ONE VOTE among 186. Guess who will be taxes most?

ELECTIONS: The Constitution provides for STATES to determine the qualifications of electors. The one-man-one-vote decision of the Supreme Court was in compliance with a UN Resolution.

JUSTICE - A primary purpose of the Constitution is to "Establish Justice".

Our system of justice is founded in the wisdom of God based on Bible laws preserved through centuries by Anglo-Saxons; developed, codified, and infused into our institutions, courts, laws, and customs. It surpasses all the world has ever achieved in securing "liberty and justice for all". Some provisions are: a Written Constituion as the Supreme Law of the Land; Rule of Laws not Men; Equality before the law; Due process; Presumption of Innocence; Probable Cause Warrant, Grand Jury Indictment, Venue; Jury, Counsel, Witnesses, Habeas Corpus, Appeal, Redress; Security of Persons, Houses, Peoples, and effects from Unreasonable Search and Seizure; Not Compelled to witness against oneself; Speedy, public trial; Impartial Jury; Subpoena, etc. All these great principles of liberty and justice are nullified under the UN Charter.

The U.N. Charter acknowledges NO GOD, no eternal law, no principles of wisdom above man's. The Charter contains no restraint that cannot be set aside by entrenched despots. It is rule of man, not law. The WORLD COURT holds the judicial power of the U.N., but unlike the Security Council, it is only a tool, under absolute control of the Conspiracy through their power to appoint and control the majority of judges. The U.S. Representative is, at best, inept; at worst he may be their man, too.

The structure of the U.N. World Court is an oligarchy without written law, binding precedent, due process or appeal; a conglomeration of 15 "judges" of different races, religions, economies, traditions. Will godless communists who killed millions of their own, and worked to overthrow our government, ever rule in favor of the U.S. or its citizens? The Senate which ratified the U.N. Charter has no idea of its evil effects on our laws.

=====

Posted to the Internet by "snetnews" to majordome@alterzone.com

Alan Neuman mystery@jetlink.net

=====

S.A.F.A.N. Editors: Jan Dodge, Jeannie Cox, Jammie Featherstone, and

Dot Bibee (DotHB@aol.com Ph/FAX (904) 453-3656

=====

PIML 96062001 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 1996 13:32:42 -0500

From: Eagle Forum <eagle@eagleforum.org>

Subject: C-NEWS: Eagle Forum - Capitol Hill Eagle Alert 6-14-96

Capitol Hill Eagle Alert

Eagle Forum Legislative Office, Washington, DC

Phone: (202) 544-0353

June 14, 1996

CAREERS Update:

The bill remains "in conference." The Members of Congress (not just staff) met twice two weeks ago, but came to no conclusions. Rep. Goodling and Senator Kassebaum are still hoping to resolve the bill soon, but many conservatives (thanks to your calls) are beginning to express their hope that the bill "dies" soon! Neither the Members or staff have met in the last two weeks.

On May 20, President Clinton sent a letter to Goodling and Kassebaum setting out his demands. The Clinton Administration stated that the final bill must include more money, must not repeal the School-to-Work program, and must "establish the Federal Government as a full partner," in developing federal goals, standards, and objectives for all job-training programs, and for approving State and local plans, among other things. In his letter, the President praised the committees for addressing "some of the key principles included in my GI Bill for America's Workers proposal," in the legislation.

ACTION: Contact your Senators and Representative. Tell them: No CAREERS this year! There are too many important things this Congress needs to do before the end of the year. We need some kind of assurance that this phoenix will not rise again from the fire!

Medical Savings Accounts:

Before Senator Dole left the Senate on Tuesday, an "agreement" was reached between the House and Senate on the MSA provision of the Health Insurance Reform bill. The compromise allows small businesses with fewer than 50 employees and the self-employed to have MSAs. The agreement also states that MSAs will be extended to larger employers and individuals in three years unless Congress specifically blocks the expansion at that time.

Eagle Forum supports this agreement, although we were hoping for the full MSA provision. Congressman Archer (R-TX) needs to be thanked for his commitment to MSAs throughout the negotiations. Without his leadership, we probably would have lost MSAs, months ago.

We have been told the "fraud and abuse" sections of the health insurance bill have been changed to address the concerns raised by Phyllis and others that the bill unfairly, targets physicians in private practice, and will force all doctors to work for Managed Care companies to be protected from liability. Unfortunately, we have not seen the bill language yet, so we are not sure whether the problems have adequately been fixed.

ACTION: Call Democrat Senators and Representatives from your state and urge them to support the MSA compromise. Senator Ted Kennedy is having a tantrum over the fact that this bill will allow some Americans to have greater control over their health care dollars and decisions, so other Democrats need encouragement to stand apart from Kennedy and support this proposal.

CAPITOL SWITCHBOARD: (202) 224-3121-Senate; (202) 225-3121-House

or call toll-free: 1-800-962-3524

EAGLE FORUM -- eagle@eagleforum.org

PO Box 618

Alton, IL 62002 Phone: 618-462-5415

Be sure to get on our Eagle Forum Alert List!!

Tell a friend about us!

<http://www.eagleforum.org>

To unsubscribe from c-news, send the message UNSUBSCRIBE c-news to
majordomo@world.std.com. Contact owner-c-news@world.std.com with questions.

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

- * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061908 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 19:13:24 -0700 (MST)

To: fsnw-1@freespeechnews.com

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Skull & Bones Part 3 of 3

From: RoadsEnd@aol.com

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 14:40:25 -0400

Subject: S&B 3/3

Everthing you wanted to know about Skull & Bones, but were afraid to ask.

Part 3 of 3

Bibliography

George Bush:

* George Bush; The Unauthorized Biography
Webster Griffin Tarpley and Anton Chaitkin
<ftp://tezcat.com/patriot>

* Two Faces of George Bush
Anthony C. Sutton
Wiswell Ruffin House 1988

* George Bush - An Intimate Portrait
Fitzhugh Green
Hippocrene Books

The Immaculate Deception - The Bush Crime Family Exposed
Russell S. Bowen (ret. Brgdr. General, U.S. Army)

America West Publishers 1991

Compromised: Clinton, Bush and the CIA - How the Presidency was Co-opted by
the CIA

Terry Reed & John Cummings

SPI Books 1994

The Mafia, C.I.A. & George Bush - Corruption, Greed and Abuse of Power in
the Nation's Highest Office- The Untold Story Of America's Greatest
Financial Debacle

Pete Brewton

SPI Books 1992

The Iran-Contra Connection: Secret Teams and Covert Operations in the
Reagan Era

Jonathan Marshall, Peter Dale Scott & Jane Hunter

South End Press 1987

October Surprise - America's Hostages in Iran and the Election of Ronald
Reagan

Gary Slick

Times Books, Random House 1991

The Iran-Contra Scandal: The Declassified History

Editors - Peter Kornbluh & Malcom Byrne

The New Press 1993

* "For Operation Zapata -

The Crisis Years: Kennedy and Khrushchev, 1960-63

Michael R. Beschloss

Edward Burlingame Books 1991

* For the names of the ships at the Bay of Pigs:

La Batalla de Giron

Quintin Pino Machado

La Habana: Editorial de Ciencias Sociales 1983

This source quotes one ship as the "Barbara J."

According to Quintin Pino Machado, the "Houston" had been given the new name of "Aguja" (Swordfish) and the "Barbara" that of "Barracuda" for the purposes of this operation."

Bay of Pigs, The Untold Story

Peter Wyden

Simon and Schuster 1979), which also has the "Barbara J."

Skull and Bones - Secret Societies:

* An Introduction to the Order

Anthony Sutton

Veritas publishing 1988

* America's Secret Establishment - An introduction to The Order of Skull & Bones

Anthony Sutton

Liberty House, 1986

* A Brief History of the Skull & Bones Society at Yale University

John Lawrence

Private Paper, 1991

* The Last Secrets of Skull and Bones

Ron Rosenbaum

Esquire Magazine, September, 1977 issue

* Yale Society Resitsits Peeks Into Its Crypt

David W. Dunlap

New York Times 11/4/88

* Skull and Bones - Bush's Boy's Club

Peggy Alder-Robohm (researcher)

Covert Action Quarterly No. 33 (Winter 1990)

* Skeleton in His Closet

John Schrag

Willamette Week September 19 -25, 1991

* The Cyclopedia Of Fraternities

Albert Stevens(Edtr.)

E. B. Treat and Company 1907

* The Philosophy of Right (1821)

The Philosophy of History

Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel

Great Books, Encyclopedia Britannica 1952

* Life of the Party - The Biography of Pamela Digby Churchill Hayward

Harriman

Christopher Ogden

Little and Brown and Company 1994

* Who's Who of the Elite

Robert Gaylon Ross, Sr.

RIE, 1995

* Youth from Every Quarter - A Bicentennial History Of Phillips Academy,

Andover

Frederick S. Allis, Jr.

Phillips Academy 1979

The Wise Men - Six Friends and the World They Made

Walter Issacson & Evan Thomas

Simon & Schuster, Touchstone Books 1988

Born of the Blood

John Robinson

Secret Societies and Psychological Warfare

Michael Hoffman II

Wiswell Ruffin House 1989/1992

The Occult Conspiracy - Secret Societies - Their Influence and Power in
World History

Michael Howard

Destiny Books 1989

The Sword and the Grail - Of The Grail and the Templars and a True
Discovery of America

Andrew Sinclair

Crown Publishers 1992

CIA and Intelligence:

* Cloak & Gown - Scholars of the Secret War, 1939-1961

Robin W. Winks

William Morrow, Quill Edition 1987

* OSS - The Secret History of America's First Central Intelligence Agency

R. Harris Smith

University of California 1972

* Defrauding America - A Pattern Of Related Scandals - Dirty Secrets Of The
CIA And Other Government Operations
Rodney Stich
Diablo Western Press 1993

The Secret Team: The CIA and Its Allies in Control of the U.S. and the World
Fletcher Prouty
Prentice Hall 1973

The Crimes of Patriots: A True Tale of Dope,
Dirty Money & the CIA
Jonathan Kwitney
Norton 1987

Offical and Confidential - The Secret Life of J. Edgar Hoover
Anthony Summers
Pocket Star Books 1993/1994

Drug Trafficking:

* Kiss The Boy's Goodbye - How The United States Betrayed It's Own POWs In
Vietnam
Monika Jensen Stevens & William Stevenson
Plume 1991

* The Chinese Opium Wars
Jack Breeching
Harcourt Brace Jovanovich 1975

* Dope, Inc. - The Book that Drove Kissinger Crazy
Editors of Executive Intelligence Review
Executive Intelligence Review 1992

* The Proper Bostonians

Cleveland Amory

E. P. Dutton 1947

The Politics of Heroin in S.E. Asia

Alfred McCoy

Harper & Row 1991

The Great Heroin Coup: Drugs, Intelligence

& International Fascism

Henrik Kruger

South End Press 1980

Double Cross: The Explosive, Inside Story

of the Mobster Who Controlled America

Sam & Chuck Giancana

Warner Books 1992

The War Conspiracy: The Secret Road to the Second

Indochina War

Peter Dale Scott

Bobbs-Merrill 1972

The Soong Dynasty

Sterling Seagrave

Harper & Row

Perennial Library 1986

America's Secret Aristocracy

Stephen Bingham

Berkley Books 1990

The Big White Lie - The Deep Cover Operation that Exposed the CIA Sabotage
of the Drug War

Michael Levine

Thunder's Mouth Press 1993

Agency of Fear

Edward Jay Epstein

Verso 1990

Out of Control: The Story of the Reagan Administration's Secret War in
Nicaragua, the Illegal Bombs Pipeline, and the ContraDrug Connection

Leslie Cockburn

Little Brown 1987

The Guns 'n' Drugs Reader

Various

Prevailing Winds Research 1991

Contrabandista!

Evert Clark & Nicholas Hoorock

Preger 1973

Blacklisted News, Secret History - from Chicago, '68 to 1984

The New Yippie Book Collective

Bleecker Publishing 1983

Storming Heaven - LSD and The American Dream

Jay Stevens

Harper Row 1987

JFK Assassination:

* Secret and Suppressed - Banned Ideas & Hidden History

Jim Kieth (Edtr)

Feral House 1993

* The Role of Richard Nixon and George Bush in the Assassination of

President Kennedy

Paul Kangas

The Realist Summer-1991

Treason For My Daily Bread

Mikhail Lebedev

Vallancey Press 1977

Hired To Kill Oswald And Prevent The Assassination Of JFK -

Richard Case Nagell Is - The Man Who Knew Too Much

Dick Russell

Carroll & Graf 1992

The Torbitt Document: "Nomenclature of an Assassination Cabal" (1970)

William Torbitt (David Copeland?)

Prevailing Winds Research 1991

Nazis & Communism:

Old Nazis, the New Right, and the Republican Party - Domestic Fascist

Networks and Their effect on U. S. Cold War Politics

Russ Bellant

South End Press 1989,1990,1991

The Borman Brotherhood

William Stevenson

HBJ 1973 NY

American Swastika - The Shocking Story of Nazi Collaborators in
Our Midst from 1933 to the Present Day
Charles Higham
Doubleday and Co, 1985

Operation Sunrise
Bradley F. Smith & Elena Agarossi
Basic Books 1979

Secret Agenda: The United States Government, Nazi Scientist and Project
Paperclip, 1945 to 1990
Linda Hunt
St. Martin's Press, 1991

Trading with the Enemy: An Expose of the Nazi-American Money Plot 1933-1949
Charles Higham
Delacorte Press 1983

Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution
Antony Sutton
Arlington House 1974

Conspiracy, Mind Control & The New World Order:

A Nation Of Sheep
William Lederer
W.W. Norton 1961

Who Rules America?: A Century of Invisible
Government
John McConaughy
Longmans, Green and Co. 1934

New World Order: The Ancient Plan of Secret Societies

William Still

Hunington House 1990

Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in our Time

Carroll Quigley

Macmillan Company 1966

The Secret life of Ronald Reagan

Larry Flynt & Donald Freed

Prevailing Winds Research 1991

Architects Of Conspiracy - An Intriguing History

William P. Hoar

Western Islands 1984

Trance Formation Of America

Cathy O'Brien & Mark Phillips

Global Trance Formation Info Ltd. 1995

Casebook On Alternative 3 - UFO's Secret Societies and World Control

Jim Keith

Illuminet Press 1994

Millennium - Peace, Promises, and the Day They Take Our Money Away

Tex Marrs

Living Truth Publishers 1990

The Franklin Cover-Up - Child Abuse, Satanism, and Murder in Nebraska

John DeCamp

AWT, Inc. 1992

Conspiracies, Cover-Ups and Crimes - From JFK to the CIA Terrorist Connection

Jonathan Vankin

Paragon House 1992 Dell edt

Witness To A Century

George Seldes

Ballantine Books 1987

The Power Elite

C. Wright Mills

Oxford University Press 1956

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061907 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 19:13:11 -0700 (MST)

To: fsnw-1@freespeechnews.com

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Skull & Bones Part 2 of 3

From: RoadsEnd@aol.com

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 14:42:43 -0400

Subject: S&B2/3

Everthing you wanted to know about Skull & Bones, but were afraid to ask.

Part 2 of 3

Many of our ambassadors to China, since Nixon opened-up China have been Skull & Bones. Including the first Chief U.S. Liaison Officer to the Peoples Republic of China, George Bush.

Why all this interest in China? Well, China, among other things, is the largest producer and user of opiates on the world.

For a while, in the 1800s, the Yankee Clippers, out of Connecticut and Massachusetts, were the fastest ship on the ocean. Whomever made the trip from Turkey/India to Macao/ Hong Kong/ Shanghai first got the most for their goods.

During the Opium Wars, the U.S. chose to stand on the sidelines and cheer for the English and French; knowing because of treaty obligations, the U.S. would share in the spoils. Russell and Company was sometimes the only trading house operating in Canton and advantageously used the opportunity to form strong commercial ties and handsome profits. And "... there were powerful national interests behind the drug trade, ... American traders as a body were badly in need of some article the Chinese would buy, since the seal breeding-grounds by now were almost wiped out. If Chinese had not bought opium from Americans, then United States imports of silk, porcelain and tea

would have to paid in coin. But there wasn't enough silver available ...".

Later, in 1843, when the Port of Shanghai was opened, Russell and Co. was one of its earliest traders.

In 1903, Yale Divinity School set up a program of schools and hospitals. Mao Zedong was among the staff. During the intrigues of China in the '30's and '40's, American intelligence called upon the resources of "Yale in China", and George Bush's cousin and fellow "Bonesman" Reuben Holden.

After stints as UN Ambassador and Chairman of the Republican National Committee for the beleaguered Nixon, George Bush was sent to look after the "China" trade. He and his family are still very much involved with economic activity in "Red" China

Many people contend that George Bush has been with CIA since the early fifties and one of his jobs was to consolidate and co-ordinate the worldwide narcotics trade, which happens to be the largest trade on this planet. There are those, who say, the Vietnam "Police Action" was a cover for that consolidation.

Before the Vietnam "War", the Golden Triangle was run by French Intelligence and Corsican mobsters, during, and since the "war" the triangle has been run by US Intelligence, with aid from Scillian mobsters. This is well documented in The Politics of Heroin in S. E. Asia by Alfred McCoy, The Great Heroin Coup by Henrik Kruger and Double-Cross by Sam & Chuck Giancana.

Vice-President George Bush, as Chairman of President Reagan's cabinet level working group and as the Director of the National Narcotics Interdiction System, was the highest U. S. governmental official in the "war on drugs".

Frances Mullen, Jr., the head of the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA), in 1984 said Bush's efforts were "an intellectual fraud" and "a liability rather than an asset". Soon, Mullen resigned and the resultant General Accounting Office (GAO) report was buried.

In July, 1985, that suppressed GAO paper, reported, there were "... no benefits from the National Narcotics Border Interdiction System, directed by George Bush. In fact, the overall effect was to encourage supply ..."

Monika Jensen-Stevenson, a "60 Minutes" producer quit her job after "60 Minutes" wouldn't let her tell the story she uncovered. Her book, Kiss The Boys Goodbye, relates how our intelligence community used the apparatus of the POW/MIA governmental agencies as a cover for the trafficking of opiates from the "Golden Triangle" .

President Reagan appointed Ross Perot to the President's Advisory Council on Foreign Intelligence. Reagan made Ross a special presidential investigator, looking into America's POW and MIAs from the Vietnam "War".

Ross took it to heart and spent time and money in pursuit of the quest. He was given special clearance and access. He asked questions and interviewed everyone he could find.

From Kiss The Boys Goodbye:

Relations between Bush and Perot had gone downhill ever since the Vice-President had asked Ross Perot how his POW/MIA investigations were going.

"Well, George, I go in looking for prisoners," said Perot, "but I spend all my time discovering the government has been moving drugs around the world and is involved in illegal arms deals.... I can't get at the prisoners because of the corruption among our own people."

This ended Perot's official access to the highly classified files as a one-man presidential investigator. "I have been instructed to cease and desist," he had informed the families of missing men early in 1987. [emphasis added]

The wholesale importation of cocaine into the U.S. during "Iran/Contra" is also well documented and George Bush is shown "to be in the loop" with many of the players keeping in contact directly

with his office.

Narcotics, such as cocaine and heroin, cannot be made without the precursor chemicals. The largest maker, of these precursor chemicals, is the E. J. Lilly Company of Indianapolis, Indiana. The Quayle family is a large stockholder; George Bush, has been on the Board of Directors. E. J. Lilly is also the company that first synthesized LSD, so the CIA could have a unlimited supply for their fun and games.

A story in, Defrauding America, by Rodney Stich, tells about a "deep-cover CIA officer" of a counter-intelligence unit code-named Pegasus. This unit "had tape-recordings of plans to assassinate Kennedy". The tapes were from a tap on the phone of J. Edgar Hoover. The people on the tapes were - "[Nelson] Rockefeller, Allen Dulles, [Lyndon] Johnson of Texas, George Bush and J. Edgar Hoover."

Could George Bush be involved in the JFK assassination?

In 1963, George was living in Houston, the "busy" president of Zapata Offshore. There was a note sent by the FBI's J. Edgar Hoover to "Mr. George Bush of the CIA" that was denied by George H. W. Bush. The CIA said they never commented on employment questions. Then the CIA relented and said, well, okay, just this once, we will tell you who this George Bush is; he is this "other" George Bush.

Well, some intrepid reporters tracked the "other", George Bush, down; he was just a lowly clerk shuffling papers, worked for about six months and, said, he never got any inter-agency messages from anybody at the FBI, let alone the Queen Mary.

It is also interesting that a CIA code word for Bay of Pigs was Operation Zapata and that two of the support vessels were named Barbara and Houston.

Many say George was high up on the CIA ladder, at the time, running proprietorial vehicles and in a commanding position, responsible for many of the Cubans recruited into "service" at the time. All through the Iran-Contra affair, Felix Rodriguez (The guy who captured and had Che Guevara killed for the CIA), seemed to always call Bush's office first.

From The Realist, (Summer, 1991):

"A newly discovered FBI document reveals that George Bush was directly involved in the 1963 murder of President John Kennedy. The document places Bush working with the now-famous CIA agent, Felix Rodriguez, recruiting right-wing Cuban exiles for the invasion of Cuba. It was Bush's CIA job to organize the Cuban community in Miami for the invasion. The Cubans were trained as marksmen by the CIA. Bush at that time lived in Texas. Hopping from Houston to Miami weekly, Bush spent 1960 and '61 recruiting Cubans in Miami for the invasion....

George Bush claims he never worked for the CIA until he was appointed Director, by former Warren Commission director and then president Jerry Ford, in 1976. Logic suggests that is highly unlikely. Of course, Bush has a company duty to deny being in the CIA. The CIA is a secret organization. No one ever admits to being a member. The truth is that Bush has been a top CIA official since before the 1961 invasion of Cuba, working with Felix Rodriguez. Bush may deny his actual role in the CIA in 1959, but there are records in the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba that expose Bush's role....

On the Watergate tapes, June 23, 1972, referred to in the media as the 'smoking gun' conversation, Nixon and his Chief of Staff, H.R. Haldeman, were discussing how to stop the FBI investigation into the CIA Watergate burglary. They were worried that the investigation would expose their connection to "the Bay of Pigs thing." Haldeman, in his book The Ends of Power, reveals that Nixon always used code words when talking about the 1963 murder of JFK. Haldeman said Nixon would always refer to the assassination as 'the Bay of Pigs.'

On that transcript we find Nixon discussing the role of George Bush's partner, Robert Mosbacher, as one of the Texas fundraisers for Nixon. On the tapes Nixon keeps referring to the 'Cubans' and the 'Texans.' The 'Texans'

were Bush, Mosbacher and Baker. This is another direct link between Bush and evidence linking Nixon and Bush to the Kennedy assassination."

So, why would an intelligence agency/secret society want to smuggle drugs and assassinate JFK?

Well, they make a lot of money, they garner intelligence assets with their participation. And there is the rationale that the world is a seamy and unseemly place and if your going to be the "big boy" on the block, you better know what's going on. And what better way of knowing, than by running it yourself. Also there are some who say it fits into their plans to de-stabilize American families and society. Through demoralizing and fracturing the body politic they can impose their will using psychological warfare and the Hegellian dialectic process.

James Shelby Downard's article Sorcery, Sex, Assassination and the Science of Symbolism, an underground classic, links American historical events with a wild, numerological, grand occult plan "to turn us into cybernetic mystery zombies". That the assassination of JFK, was the performance of a public occult ritual (The Killing of the King), designed as a mass-trauma, mind-control assault against our US national body-politic.

In operations - Sunrise, Blowback and Paperclip and others - thousands of German scientists, researchers and administrators were brought to the United States after World War II. Many were "smuggled" into the country against direct, written orders from President Harry S. Truman.

Project Monarch was the resumption of a mind-control project, started in Nazi Germany, called Marionette Programming. "The basic component of the Monarch Program is the sophisticated manipulation of the mind, to protect itself from extreme trauma by creating Multiple Personality Disorder (MPD)."

Mr. Downard feels that the perpetrators purposefully murdered JFK in such a way as to affect our National identity and cohesiveness. To fracture America's soul. Even the blatancy of their conspiracy was designed to show "their superiority" and "our futility".

There have been studies that show a correlation between the JFK

assassination and the rise in violence in society, distrust of government and other extensions of social ills.

Why this attack against our body politic?

A bolt of lightning struck a courier in 1785 en route from Frankfort-on-the-Main to Paris. Adam Weishopf's tract, ORIGINAL SHIFT IN DAYS OF ILLUMINATIONS, recovered from the dead messenger, contained the secret society's long-range plan for "The New World Order through world revolution".

The Bavarian Government outlawed the society and, in 1787, published the details of The Illuminati conspiracy in: THE ORIGINAL WRITINGS OF THE ORDER AND SECT OF THE ILLUMINATI.

In Adam Weishaupt's own words:

"By this plan, we shall direct all mankind in this manner. And, by the simplest means, we shall set all in motion and in flames. The occupations must be so allotted and contrived that we may, in secret, influence all political transactions."

There is disagreement among scholars as to whether or not the Illuminati survived its banishment. Nevertheless, the group had been quite successful in attracting members and had allied itself with the extensive Masonic networks.

The Illuminati was publicly founded May 1, 1776 at the University of Ingolstadt by Professor of Canon Law Adam Weishaupt. It was a very "learned" society, the "earliest members of Weishaupt's new order were drawn from among his students."

On December 5, 1776, students at William and Mary College founded a secret society, Phi Beta Kappa. A second chapter was formed, at Yale, in 1780. The anti-Masonic movement of the 1820s held groups, such as Phi Beta

Kappa in a bad light. Because of pressure, the society, went public and this "... was the direct cause of the appearance of Yale's senior society, Skull & Bones".

In The Cyclopedia Of Fraternities, a Genealogical Chart of General, Greek-Letter, College Fraternities In The United States, shows, Phi Beta Kappa, as "the parent of all the fraternal systems in [American] higher education. There is only one "side" lineal descendant, that, is to Yale in 1780. Then a continuous line to Skull and Bones in 1832 and on through the other "only at Yale" senior societies, Scroll & Key and Wolf's Head.

Phi Beta Kappa is the "first three Greek letters, for 'Philosophia Biou Kubernetes' or 'Love of wisdom, the helmsman of life'. A skull homophone is scull, a quick, gliding boat and part of Skull & Bones first nomenclature.

John Robinson, a professor of natural philosophy, at Edinburgh University in Scotland, and a member of a Freemason Lodge, says, he was asked to join the Illuminati. After study, he concluded the purposes of the Illuminati were not for him.

In 1798, he published a book called Proofs Of A Conspiracy:

"An association has been formed for the express purpose of rooting out all the religious establishments and overturning all the existing governments.... the leaders would rule the World with uncontrollable power, while all the rest would be employed as tools of the ambition of their unknown superiors."

Proofs of A Conspiracy, was sent to George Washington. In a letter, responding to the sender of the book, the president, said, he was aware the Illuminati were in America; he felt that Illuminati had "diabolical tenets" and that their object was "a separation of the People from their government."

In Proofs Of A Conspiracy, Robinson, printed the ceremony of initiation of the "Regent degree" in Illuminism, in it "a skeleton is pointed out to him [the initiate], at the feet of which are laid a crown and a sword. He

is asked 'whether that is the skeleton of a king, nobleman or a beggar.'

As he cannot decide, the president of the meeting says to him, 'The character of being a man is the only one that is importance'".

This is, essentially, the same as the writing in the "tomb",

"Wer war der Thor, wer Weiser, Bettler oder Kaiser?

Ob Arm, ob Reich, im Tode gleich,"

this reads,

"Who was the fool, who the wise man, beggar or king?

Whether poor or rich, all's the same in death."

Is the Order of the Skull & Bones part of the Illuminati?

When a person is initiated into Skull & Bones, they are given a new name, similar to the practice of the Illuminati. And many recorded Illuminati members can be shown to have contact and/or strong influences with many of the professors that taught "Bonesmen" in Berlin.

Now, when a secret society conspires against the sovereignty of a king, they need to organize, raise funds, bring their plans operational and hopefully fruition.

Could we have in the U.S., a secret society, that has used the "National Security State" as a cover for their nefarious plans?

From George Bush; The Unauthorized Biography:

"That September ['51], Robert Lovett replaced Marshall as secretary of defense. Meanwhile, Harriman was named director of the Mutual Security Agency, making him the U.S. chief of the Anglo-American military alliance. By Now, Brown Brothers Harriman was everything but commander-in-chief.

A central focus of the Harriman security regime in Washington (1950-53) was the organization of covert operations, and "psychological warfare." Harriman, together with his lawyers and business partners, Allen and John Foster Dulles, wanted the government's secret services to conduct extensive propaganda campaigns and mass-psychology experiments within the U.S.A., and paramilitary campaigns abroad....

The Harriman security regime created the Psychological Strategy Board (PSB) in 1951. The man appointed director of the PSB, Gordon Gray... Gordon's brother, R.J. Reynolds chairman Bowman Gray Jr., was also a naval intelligence officer, known around Washington as the "founder of operational intelligence." Gordon Gray became a close friend and political ally of Prescott Bush; and Gray's son became for Prescott's son, George, his lawyer and the shield of his covert policy."

So you have the Whitney/Stimson/Bundy clan and the Harriman/Bush boys having a great impact on American and world political, economical and social affairs and then you have Prescott Bush's buddy Nixon as an activist vice-president. Then, a nation-chilling assassination, some time under LBJ, (with the Bundy boys keeping things in line), then Nixon as President (with "Bonesmen aides Ray Price ('??) and Richard A. Moore. Some time out for a Trilateralist-Democrat-patsy-President and then Prescott's boy, as an activist vice-president under Reagan. Next, we get a Skull & Bones president, who declares a "New World Order", while beating-up "on" his business partner, Sadaam Hussein.

After twelve years of Republicans, Bush passes on the "game" to his drug smuggling buddy from Arkansas, Bill Clinton, who studied Yale Law School. And, some say, Bill is a CIA "operative", recruited by Cord Meyer, Jr, when Bill was a Rhodes Scholar at Oxford. Could this be the "old Hegallian historical dialectic process"?

What do you think, plan or accident?

Pamela Churchill Harriman, Averil's wife, is one of Democrat's biggest

fund-raisers and gave Bill a job as director of her "PAM PAC" when he was defeated for governor in 1980. Bill made her Ambassador to France.

Another Harriman/Bush friend is, Eugene Stetson ('34), he was an assistant manager for Prescott Bush at Brown Brothers, Harriman's New York office. He organized the H. Smith Richardson Foundation. The foundation, in the late 1950s, participated in the "domestic-covert" "psychological warfare" CIA "MK-Ultra" operation. The Richardson Foundation helped to finance the testing of "psychotropic drugs including LSD" at Massachusetts, Bridgewater Hospital, "the center of some of the most brutal MK-Ultra tortures."

During the Iran-Contra operations, the H. Smith Richardson Foundation was a "private donors steering committee", working with the National Security Council to co-ordinate the office of "Public Diplomacy". This was an effort "to propagandize in favor of and run cover for the Iran-Contra operations, and to coordinate published attacks on opponents of the program."

The H. Smith Richardson Foundation, also runs the "Center for Creative Leadership", at Langley to "train leaders of the CIA" and another near Greensboro, North Carolina, that trains CIA, Secret Service Agents and "virtually everyone who becomes a general" gets this 'training'".

And all this is just the tip of an iceberg. You have eugenics and population "control", suppressed history and technology, yearly retreats, profitable partnerships with many dictators, deals with "terrorists", the Knights of Malta, war-mongering and profiteering, more mind-control, secret societies for teens, ritual magic, and more.

There is a whole new crop of "Bonesmen". Including, George's son George W. Bush('68), Governor of Texas.

The secrecy, intimidation and lack of information is amazing!

When Don Schollander ('68), the Olympic gold-medalist and "only known Skull and Bones member living in Portland", was contacted by Willamette Week reporter, John Schrang, he said, "It's really something I can't talk about."

Not wouldn't, but "couldn't". Hmm....

After, Anthony Sutton's first ground-breaking exposes, the Sterling

Library at Yale "has refused to allow further researchers access to the Russell Trust papers".

Daniel Gilman, like most "Bonesmen", has no mention of Skull & Bones or the Russell Trust in his memoirs or biographies.

So, are we 'fodder' for a secret society, with satanic overtones, trying to form a one world government, with "them" in charge; or are they "just a bunch of 'frat' boys from Yale?"

(C) Little Red Hen 1996 All Rights Reserved

===== * Patriot Information Mailing List

- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061906 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 19:12:55 -0700 (MST)

To: fsnw-1@freespeechnews.com

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Skull & Bones part 1 of 3

From: RoadsEnd@aol.com

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 14:44:53 -0400

Subject: S &B 1/3

Everthing you wanted to know about Skull & Bones, but were afraid to ask.

(C) Little Red Hen 1996 All Rights Reserved

The story begins at Yale, there, three threads of American social history, - espionage, drug smuggling and secret societies intertwine into one.

Elihu Yale was born near Boston, educated in London, and served with the British East India Company eventually becoming governor of Fort Saint George, Madras, in 1687. He amassed a great fortune from trade and returned to England, in 1699. He became known as quite a philanthropist and upon receiving a request from the Collegiate School, in Connecticut, he made a donation and a gift of books. After subsequent bequests, Cotton Mather suggested the school be named Yale College, in 1718.

A statue of Nathan Hale, stands on Old Campus at Yale University. There is a copy of the statue in front of CIA's Langley, Virginia, headquarters. Another copy of the statue is in front of Phillips Academy in Andover, Massachusetts, where George H.W. Bush('48), another "spy" from Yale went to prep school and joined a secret society at age twelve.

Nathan Hale, along three other Yale graduates, was a member of the

"Culper Ring", one of America's first intelligence operations. Established by George Washington, it was successful through-out the revolutionary war, except for Nathan, who was found out. After speaking his famous regrets, he was hanged in 1776. Ever since the republic, and Yale was here before there was a republic; the relationship between Yale and the "Intelligence Community" has been unique

In 1823, Samuel Russell, established Russell and Company for the purpose of acquiring opium in Turkey and smuggling it to China. Russell and Company bought out the Perkins (Boston) syndicate in 1830 and moved the primary center of American opium smuggling to Connecticut. Many of the great American and European fortunes were built on the "China" (opium) trade.

One of Russell and Company's, Chief of Operations, in Canton was Warren Delano, Jr., grandfather of Franklin Roosevelt, other Russell partners included John Cleve Green (financed Princeton), Abiel Low (financed construction of Columbia), Joseph Coolidge (His son organized United Fruit and grandson, Archibald C. Coolidge, was a co-founder of the Council on Foreign Relations) and the Perkins, Sturgis and Forbes families.

William Huntington Russell('33), Samuel's cousin, went to Germany, in 1831-32, to study. Germany was hot-bed of ideas. The "scientific method" was being applied to all forms of human endeavor. Blaming the defeat of Prussian forces by Napoleon, in 1806, on soldiers only thinking about themselves in the stress of battle, Prussia, took the principles set forth by John Locke and Jean Rousseau and created a new educational system. Johan Fichte, in his Address to the German People, declares that the children will be taken over and told what to think and how to think it.

Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel took over Fichte's chair at the University Of Berlin, in 1817, and was a professor there until his death in 1831. Hegel was the culmination of German idealistic philosophy school of Immanuel Kant.

To Hegel, the world is a world of reason. The state is Absolute Reason and the citizen can only become free by worship and obedience to the state. The state is the "march of God in the world" and the "final end". This "final end has supreme right against the individual, whose supreme duty is to be a

member of the state". Both Fascism and Communism have their philosophical roots in Hegellianism. Hegellian philosophy was very much in vogue, during Russell's time in Germany.

When Russell returned to Yale, in 1832, he formed with Alphonso Taft ('33), a senior society. According to information acquired from a break-in to the "tomb", in 1876, "Bones is a chapter of a corps in a German University.... General Russell, its founder, was in Germany before his Senior Year and formed a warm friendship with a leading member of a German society. He brought back with him to college, authority to found a chapter here." So, William H. Russell, valedictorian of his class and fourteen others became the founding members of "The Order of Scull and Bones". Later changed to "The Order of Skull and Bones".

It is only at Yale. It is very secretive. Fifteen juniors are "tapped" each year by the seniors, for next years group. Each initiate is given \$15,000 and a grandfather clock. The group that is geared more to the success of its members in the post-collegiate world than a campus fun-house.

The family names, of Skull & Bones, roll off the tongue like an elite party list - Lord, Whitney, Taft, Jay, Bundy, Harriman, Weyerhaeuser, Pinchot, Rockefeller, Goodyear, Sloane, Stimson, Phelps, Perkins, Pillsbury Kellogg, Vanderbilt, Bush, Lovett and on...

William Russell went on to become a general and state legislator in Connecticut. Alphonso Taft was US Attorney General, Secretary of War, (a post many "Bonesmen" have held,) Ambassador to Austria and Ambassador to Russia, (another post held by many "Bonesmen"). His son, William Howard Taft ('87), is the only man to be both President of the U. S. and Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

The order "flourished" from the very beginning. There was dissension from some professors, who didn't like the secrecy and exclusiveness. And there was backlash from students, showing concern about the influence "Bones" was having over Yale finances and favoritism shown to "Bonesmen".

In October of 1873, Volume 1, Number 1, of The Iconoclast was published in New Haven. It was only published once and was one of very few openly

published articles on Skull & Bones, until an article in Esquire, September, 1977.

From The Iconoclast:

"We speak through a new publication. because the college press is closed to those who dare to openly mention 'Bones'"....

"Out of every class Skull and Bones takes its men. They have gone out into the world and have become. in many instances, leaders in society. They have obtained control of Yale. Its business is performed by them. Money paid to the college must pass into their hands, and be subject to their will. No doubt they are worthy men in themselves, but the many, whom they looked down upon while in college, cannot so far forget as to give money freely into their hands. Men in Wall Street complain that the college comes straight to them for help, instead of asking each graduate for his share. The reason is found in a remark made by one of Yale's and America's first men: 'Few will give but Bones men and they care far more for their society than they do for the college.'..."

"Year by year the deadly evil is growing. The society was never as obnoxious to the college as it is today, and it is just this ill-feeling that shuts the pockets of non-members. Never before has it shown such arrogance and self-fancied superiority. It grasps the College Press and endeavors to rule it all. It does not deign to show its credentials, but clutches at power with the silence of conscious guilt.

"To tell the good which Yale College has done would be well nigh impossible. To tell the good she might do would be yet more difficult. The question, then, is reduced to this - on the one hand lies a source of incalculable good - on the other a society guilty of serious and far reaching crimes. It is Yale College against Skull and Bones!! We ask all men, as a question of right, which should be allowed to live?"

At first the society held its meetings in hired halls; in 1856, the "tomb", a vine-covered, windowless, brown-stone hall was built. This is where they hold their "strange, occultish" initiation rites and meet each Thursday and Sunday.

A group calling itself "The Order of File and Claw", on September 29, 1876, broke into the "tomb". They found lodge-room 324 "fitted up in black velvet, even the walls being covered with the material." Upstairs was lodge-room 322, "the 'sanctum sanctorium' of the temple ... is furnished in red velvet" and on the wall a pentagram. In the hall are "pictures of the founders of Bones at Yale, and of members of the Society in Germany, when the chapter was established here in 1832." There is another interesting scene in the parlor, to room 322.

From The Fall Of Skull And Bones:

"On, the west wall hung , among other pictures, an old engraving representing an open burial vault, in which, on a stone slab, rest four human skulls, grouped about a fools cap and bells, an open book, several mathematical instruments, a beggar's scrip, and a royal crown. On the arched wall above the vault are the explanatory words, in Roman letters, 'We War Der Thor, Wer Weiser, Wer Bettler Oder, Kaiser?' and below the vault is engraved, in German characters, the sentence; 'Ob Arm, Ob Beich, im Tode gleich.' The picture is accompanied by a card, on which is written, 'From the German Chapter. Presented by D. C. Gilman of D. 50'."

Daniel Coit Gilman ('52), along with two other "Bonesmen" formed a troika still influencing American life, today. Daniel Gilman, Timothy Dwight ('49) and Andrew Dickinson White ('53) soon, after their initiation in Skull and Bones, went to study philosophy in Europe, at the University of Berlin. Gilman returned from Europe and incorporated Skull and Bones as Russell

Trust, in 1856, with himself as Treasurer and William H. Russell as President. He spent the next fourteen years in New Haven consolidating power of the order.

Gilman, was named assistant library in the fall of 1856 and was appointed Librarian at Yale in 1858. He, then, with political maneuvering, acquired funding for Yale's science departments (Sheffield Scientific School) and was able to get the "Morrill Land Bill" introduced, passed and finally signed by President Lincoln, after being vetoed by President Buchanan.

This bill, "donating public-lands for State College for agriculture and sciences", is now known as the Land Grant College Act. Yale was first among all schools to get the federal land scrip and grabbed all of Connecticut's share, at the time. Yale was pleased and made Gilman a Professor of Physical Geography.

Daniel was the first President of the University of California; helped found, and was the first president of John Hopkins.

Gilman was first president of the Carnegie Institution and involved in the founding of the Peabody, Slater and Russell Sage Foundations.

His buddy, Andrew D. White, was the first president of Cornell University (which received all of New York's share of the Land Grant College Act), U.S. Minister to Russia, U. S. Ambassador to Berlin, Chairman of the American delegation to the first Hague Conference, in 1899, setting up international judiciary and first president of The American Historical Association.

Timothy Dwight, a professor at Yale Divinity School, was installed as president of Yale in 1886. All presidents, since, have been either "Bonesmen" or related to them and their interests.

This trio, was also responsible for the founding of the American Economic Association, American Chemical Society and the American Psychological Association and have proceeded through their influences on, Thomas Dewey and Horace Mann, to have an enormous impact on education through today.

Anthony Sutton pointed out in his book the ability of this very secret society to build up both vertical and horizontal "chains of influence" that

ensure continuity for their "conspiratorial" schemes.

The Whitney-Stimson-Bundy- links are a vertical "chain".

W. C. Whitney('63), (Married Flora Payne of Standard Oil Payne's) was Secretary of the Navy and his attorney was Elihu Root. Root hired Henry Stimson ('88), out of law school. Stimson took over from Root as Secretary of War in 1911, appointed by his fellow Bonesman William Howard Taft. Stimson later became Coolidge's Governor-General of the Philippine Islands, Hoover's Secretary of State and Roosevelt's and Truman's Secretary of War.

Hollister Bundy ('09), was Stimson's special assistant and point man in the Pentagon for the Manhattan Project. He brought along his two sons, also members of Skull & Bones, William Bundy ('39) and McGeorge Bundy ('40), who were very active in governmental and foundation affairs.

The two brothers, from positions in the CIA, Department of Defense and State and as Special Assistant to Presidents Kennedy and Johnson, could have had significant impact on the flow of information and intelligence during the Vietnam "War".

William went on to be editor of Foreign Affairs, the influential quarterly of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). McGeorge became president of the Ford Foundation.

Another interesting group of "Bonesmen", is the Harriman/Bush crowd. Averil Harriman ('13), "Elder Statesman", of the Democratic Party and his brother Roland Harriman ('17) were very active members. Matter-of-fact, four of Roland's fellow "Bonesmen" from the class of 1917 were directors of Brown Brothers, Harriman including Prescott Bush ('17), George's father.

The two investment bank firms, Guaranty Trust and Brown Brothers, Harriman were both dominated by members of Skull and Bones, since the turn of the century. The two firms were involved in the financing of Communism and Hitler.

Showing the Skull & Bones affinity in using the Hegellian ideas of the historical dialectic. Using controlled conflict, thesis versus anti-thesis to create synthesis. A synthesis of their making and design, where the state is absolute and individuals are granted their freedoms by obedience to the state

- A New World Order.

Money and maneuvering, from "Bonesmen" and their allies, helped the Bolsheviks prevail. And in defiance of federal laws and bureaucrats, the cabal, financed industries, set up banks and developed oil and mineral deposits in the fledgling U.S.S.R.

Later, Averil Harriman, as minister to Great Britain in charge of Lend-Lease for Britain and Russia was responsible for shipping in entire factories and some people say nuclear secrets, plutonium and U.S. dollar printing plates.

In 1932, the Union Banking Corporation of New York City had four directors from the ('17) cell and two Nazi bankers associated with Fritz Thyssen, who had been financing Hitler, since 1924.

From George Bush; The Unauthorized Biography:

"President Franklin Roosevelt's Alien Property Custodian, Leo T. Crowley, signed Vesting Order Number 248[11/17/42] seizing the property of Prescott Bush under the Trading with Enemy Act. The order, published in obscure government record books and kept out of the news, Note #4 explained nothing about the Nazis involved; only that the Union Banking Corporation was run for the "Thyssen family" of "Germany and/or Hungary" -- "nationals ... of a designated enemy country."

By deciding that Prescott Bush and the other directors of the Union Banking Corp. were legally "front men for the Nazis", the government avoided the more important historical issue: In what way "were Hitler's Nazis themselves hired, armed, and instructed by" the New York and London clique of which Prescott Bush was an executive manager?

4. "New York Times," December 16, 1944, ran a five-paragraph page 25 article on actions of the New York State Banking Department. Only the last sentence refers to the Nazi bank, as follows: "The Union Banking

Corporation, 39 Broadway, New York, has received authority to change its principal place of business to 120 Broadway."

The "Times" omitted the fact that the Union Banking Corporation had been seized by the government for trading with the enemy, and the fact that 120 Broadway was the address of the government's Alien Property Custodian.

After the war, Prescott, went on to become a U. S. Senator from Connecticut and favorite golfing partner of President Eisenhower. Prescott claims responsibility for getting Nixon into politics and takes personal credit for getting our favorite, Dick, as Ike's running mate in 1952.

There were so many "Yalies", in the OSS, that Yale's drinking tune, the "Whiffenpoof Song", became an "unofficial" song of the OSS. Many in the OSS were "Bonesmen" or belonged to the other Yale senior societies.

Robert Lovett ('18), Harriman's childhood friend, had been tapped into Skull & Bones by Prescott Bush's cell of '17 and was a director at Brown Brothers Harriman.

"On October 22, 1945, Secretary of War Robert Patterson created the Lovett Committee, chaired by Robert A. Lovett, to advise the government on the post-World War II organization of U. S. intelligence activities ... The new agency would 'consult' with the armed forces, but it must be the sole collecting agency in the field of foreign espionage and counterespionage. The new agency should have an independent budget, and its appropriations should be granted by Congress without public hearings. Lovett appeared before the Secretaries of State, War, and Navy on November 14, 1945. ... Lovett pressed for a virtual resumption of the wartime Office of Strategic Services (OSS) ... the CIA was established in 1947 according to the prescription of Robert Lovett, of Jupiter Island."

Yale Professor of History Gaddis Smith, said, "Yale has influenced the Central Intelligence Agency more than any other university, giving the CIA

the atmosphere of a class reunion. And "Bonesman" have been foremost among the "spooks", virtually, building the CIA into a "haunted house".

F. Trubee Davison ('18), was Director of Personnel at the CIA in the early years. Some of the other "Bonesmen" connected with the intelligence community are Sloane Coffin, Jr. ('49), V. Van Dine ('49), James Buckley ('44), Bill Buckley ('50), Hugh Cunnigham ('34), Hugh Wilson ('??), Reuben Holden ('??), Charles R. Walker ('??), "Yale's 'unofficial' Secretary of War", Robert D. French ('10), Archibald MacLiesh ('15), Dino Pionzio ('50), CIA Deputy Chief of Station during Allende overthrow; William and McGeorge Bundy, Richard A. Moore ('3?), Senator David Boren ('63), Senator John Kerry ('??), and of course George Bush.

Bush tapped Coffin, who tapped Buckley.

Some, other, prominent Bonesmen are Henry Luce ('20), Time-Life; John Thomas Daniels ('??), founder Archer Daniels Midland; Gifford Pinchot ('89), President Theodore Roosevelt's chief forester; Frederick E. Weyerhaeuser ('96); Harold Stanley ('08), founder Morgan Stanley, investment banker; Alfred Cowles ('13), Cowles Communication, Henry P. Davison ('20), senior partner Morgan Guaranty Trust; Thomas Cochran ('04) Morgan partner; Senator John Heinz ('??); Pierre Jay ('92), first chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York; George Herbert Walker, Jr. ('27), financier and co-founder of the NY Mets; Artemus Gates ('18), President of New York Trust Company, Union Pacific, TIME, Boeing Company; William Draper III (??), the Defense Department, UN and Import-Export Bank; Dean Witter, Jr. ('??), investment banker; Senator Jonathan Bingham ('??); Potter Stewart ('36), Supreme Court Justice; Senator John Chaffe ('??); Harry Payne Whitney ('94), (married Gertrude Vanderbilt) investment banker; Russell W. Davenport ('23), editor Fortune Magazine, created Fortune 500 list; Evan G. Galbraith ('??), Ambassador to France and Managing Director of Morgan Stanley; Judge John Steadman ('??), the Court of Appeals in the District of Columbia; Richard Gow ('55), president Zapata Oil; Amory Howe Bradford ('34), married Carol Warburg Rothschild and was general manager for the New York Times; C. E. Lord ('49), Comptroller of the Currency; Winston Lord ('59), Chairman of CFR,

Ambassador to China and a Clinton assistant Secretary of State, John Lilley ('??), ambassador to China.

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061905 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 1996 23:29:56 -0700

From: crider@cts.com (Tracy Crider)

Subject: S. 1219 Action Alert!

ACTION ALERT

Attention Campaign Finance Reform Advocates

Cloture Vote on S. 1219 Set for June 25

After months of delay and obstruction, the senate will finally vote next week on campaign finance reform! The key vote on the Bipartisan Clean Congress Act, S. 1219, will probably take place on June 25, when reformers will try to get 60 votes to stop a filibuster by defenders of corrupt, special interest money. Your immediate action can make a difference between victory and defeat in this extraordinarily important vote. URGENT action is necessary. Please help by activating phone trees to get calls in to those senators not yet supporting the bill.

Key Elements of the Bipartisan Clean Congress Act:

*Provides free or reduced rate TV and radio time for candidates who agree to limit their spending

*Enacts tough new restrictions on special interest money in campaigns. The bill restricts "soft money," contributions made to political parties by wealthy individuals, corporations, unions and others, and bans "bundling," the practice used by lobbyists and corporate executives to evade contribution limits.

*Restricts the influence of PACs -- the most visible source of money and
the most obvious tool of special interests.

S. 1219 Co-Sponsors (19)

DEMOCRATS

Feingold (D-WI)

Wellstone (D-MN)

Graham (D-FL)

Dodd (D-CT)

Kerry (D-MA)

Kerrey (D-NE)

Moseley-Braun (D-IL)

Pell (D-RI)

Simon (D-IL)

Bingaman (D-NM)

Wyden (D-OR)

Glenn (D-OH)

Nunn (D-GA)

Murray (D-WA)

Kohl (D-WI)

REPUBLICANS

McCain (R-AZ)

Thompson (R-TN)

Kassebaum (R-KS)

Simpson (R-WY)

If your Senators are not on this list, please call them today and urge them
to vote FOR S. 1219 and FOR CLOTURE to end a filibuster.

Congressional Switchboard 202- 224-3121

For more information, call Tracy Reiman at Public Citizen at 202-546-4996
or e-mail to treiman@citizen.org.

THANKS ALL!!!

Tracy Reiman

Organizer

Public Citizen's Congress Watch

202-546-4996

treiman@citizen.org

=====

Tracy Crider

crider@cts.com

Cardiff by the sea, CA 619 943-6907

fax 619 943-6908

Try the web page <http://www.millennianet.com/crider>

=====

Quote: "Whatever you do will be insignificant, but it is very
important that you do it." --Mahatma Gandhi

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061904 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: ralph@teaminfinity.com

Date: Tue, 18 Jun 1996 19:45:43 -0400

Subject: L&J: >>>> CHURCH FIRES: Fanning the FLAMES of RACISM

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

WASHINGTON TIMES

PAGE A4 I TUESDAY, JUNE 18, 1996 *~

WESELY PRUDEN: wtletter@wt.infi.net

Setting fire on facts in pursuit of justice

With a little Hot Springs luck, the churches will burn into November. We can expect Bill Clinton to arrive on the scene just after the fire trucks.

The bad news for the White House is that they'll have to work hard to keep the focus on race.

The inconvenient fact is that the racists, firebugs, secular humanoids and bipartisan loonies who are torching the churches are torching more white churches and synagogues than black. These are the fires you won't hear much about.

Mr. Clinton's Burnt-Church Tour of '96 can't include every smoking holy ruin, of course, and we shouldn't be too hard on him for just being

who he is. But it's no crime -- not yet, anyway -- to try to set the record straight.

Burning a black church, which every decent person of every race finds abhorrent and wishes to be punished severely, is not, however, first an offense against blacks. It's first a sin and a crime against God, though the politicians will certainly pander to God last, since so far as the Federal Election Commission knows, God doesn't vote in U.S. elections. Besides, the politicians prefer to keep God out of sight, even when they're talking about churches.

But in the rush to judgment and, more important, the rush to get on the front page and on the evening news as being against burning a church, perspective is the first casualty. Anyone who puts in a good word for the facts risks being labeled racist, or worse, politically incorrect.

Nevertheless, a few facts:

The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) had catalogued 123 church fires over the past five years where arson is either proved or suspected -- this before the toll of the past several days, when three more churches were fired. Of these 123 fires, 85 were at white or predominantly white congregations, and 38 were at black or predominantly black churches. Among the white houses of worship were 12 synagogues. No mosques were on the ATF list, nor is it known whether the ATF counts as arson the Branch Davidian compound in Waco, which the ATF itself set afire.

Church-burning, like child-molesting, is a crime so heinous to most of us that merely to subject allegations to careful examination, the first step in effective law enforcement, is regarded by the hysterics as evidence of insensitivity. Mere allegation is proof of guilt, and when Bill Clinton included his home state in his indictment of Southern

racism -- even though no one, including past and present officials of the NAACP had ever heard of anyone burning a church in Arkansas -- no one in Washington rebuked him for it.

Attorney General Janet Reno, who has learned to be responsive to the president's whims and needs, quickly put a stop to aggressive investigation of the church burnings when some -- but not all -- black ministers objected to close questioning by federal prosecutors, who apparently had not got the word that what the Clinton campaign wants is not necessarily to stop the arson, but to cultivate an issue for the presidential campaign.

There is much work to do to stop the burning of churches. By one estimate, more than 600 of the nation's 300,000 churches and synagogues suffer fires every year. Some are set by earthquake, lightning, floods or what insurance company lawyers call "acts of God." (They are His houses, after all.)

Others are the work of firebugs, who like to watch buildings burn, particularly the tinderboxes that weathered wooden churches often have become, and assorted crazies. Scripps Howard News Service, citing ATF statistics, reports that one Protestant man torched 32 white Protestant churches and one Jewish man torched 12 synagogues. Investigators concluded that both of these men are "deranged." Still others of the 600 church fires reported in a typical year are set for malicious purposes without regard to race. Many are the satanic work of men (and even women) driven by the dark and evil urges of racist resentment, and deserve swift and harsh punishment.

There's another element in the story that the politically correct among us turn away from considering. The concerted campaign to drive religion

from the public square has marked the church, with its spire pointing to the unseen mystery that guides the hungry human soul, as irrelevant and worsened no longer entitled to the reverent deference that decent men and women have paid to the church, even when it was deference paid in the breach by coarse and unchurched men.

The president has been eloquent in his denunciation of those who would defile and destroy one of God's holy houses. He could be more effective if he would scorn the cheap politics, and tell it like it is.

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

- =====
- * Patriot Information Mailing List
 - * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
 - * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

 - * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061903 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: FW: Fwd: [Brigade] Spectatorship vs Participation

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 96 10:51:00 EST

Thought this was an excellent basic overview of the situation we face in our country, as well as the world, today.

RSS

From: lmuller@iquest.com (Linda Muller)

Reply-to: brigade@iquest.com

Date: 96-06-15 16:02:06 EDT

Date: Thu, 13 Jun 1996 16:25:33 -0600

From: comminc@fortnet.org (Committee to Restore the Constitution)

Subject: Spectatorship vs Participation

Revolutionaries in government have created economic chaos, shortages in food and fuel, confiscatory taxation, a crisis in education, the threat of war and other diversions to condition Americans for "The New World Order".

The technique is as old as politics itself. It is the Hegelian principle of bringing about change in a three-step process: Thesis, Antithesis and Synthesis.

The first step (thesis) is to create a problem. The second step (antithesis) is to generate opposition to the problem (fear, panic, hysteria). The third step (synthesis) is to offer THE solution to the

problem created in step one - change which would have been impossible to impose on the people without the proper psychological conditioning achieved in steps one and two.

Applying the Hegelian principle, and irresistible financial influence, concealed mattoids seek to dismantle social and political structures by which free men govern themselves - ancient landmarks erected at great cost in blood and treasure.

Their objective is to emasculate sovereign states, merge nations under universal government, contralize economic powers, and control the world's people and resources.

THE MOST SECRET SCIENCE (1984) by Archibald E Roberts, LtCol, AUS, retired (199 pages, Library of Congress Card #84-70100)

0

SPECTATORSHIP vs PARTICIPATION

The political reality of today is the fact that after fifty years of 'fighting communism' the great array of anticommunists have failed to deter the rising tide of revolution. Patriotic organizations still have no real strategy for effective action because of the failure to recognize the obvious; the real enemy of the people lurks in New York and Washington, DC.

Conservative leaders must come to realize that a mattoid 'elite' has seized control of policy-making and conflict management in the United States. International financiers and industrialists, in secret alliance with revolutionary forces, are merging America and Soviet societies under a master plan of infiltration, subversion and rebellion.

General reaction of the muzzled majority to increasing exploitation and oppression has been a defense of the status quo. Yet, it must be clear that a political system perpetually on the defensive is doomed to ultimate defeat. Somewhere, somehow, we must counterattack!

The problem might be considered as basically one of inducing movement and action. Relatively few people in America are pro-communist, or even socialists. Still, revolutionaries in government retain an iron grip on American domestic and foreign policy, manipulating economic, social and political disciplines to expand their dream of world empire at the expense of the Republic.

Meanwhile, the vast majority of the people, both captives and targets, remain relatively passive.

This passivity is not accidental. World government conflict managers have long realized the significance of the vast gulf between spectators and participants. Their whole strategy is geared to maximize the victim's spectatorship and minimize his participation in the struggle.

That principle was shown in South Vietnam. It is estimated that out of every hundred people in rural areas, twenty were actively aiding the Communist Viet Cong, forty were passively anti-communist, and forty were neutral. That active twenty was enough to turn the country into a major battlefield leading to ultimate defeat of American forces - aided and abetted, of course, by concealed conflict managers in New York and Washington.

Conservative attempts to influence the spectator-participant ratio have been mainly confined to vague educational programs, insipid

protest, and generalized talk - none of which has been able to inspire much favorable movement. Indeed, many conservative organizations obviously regard the national crisis as a popularity contest, not a war for survival.

In contrast, fear has been the mattoid's chief weapon; economic, political and social coercion, for maximizing passivity and spectatorship. Effective though it is, oppression is a two-edged weapon. Its application generates potential reaction. These suppressed reactions can explode with sudden violence. Channeling anger and frustration into constructive action is the task of knowledgeable Americans everywhere. The individual can do nothing to protect himself and his family until he is armed with knowledge and a plan of action.

Defeat of the mattoids now leading America into the twilight zone of national disaster demands intelligent acceptance of the facts behind the crisis. And, it requires a courageous marshalling of resources, and the commitment of motivated citizens who will take whatever action is necessary to reverse the mindless march toward dictatorship.

We have clearly lost control of our government. The solution to economic chaos, social rebellion, and political revolution is planned action at the county level of government to force the respective state legislatures to protect the lives and property of the people.

Political theorizing and personal knowledge of the conspiracy must be translated into practical plans and implemented at a level of government which the individual can effectively influence. American citizens, if they are to escape the socialist society planned for them, must bring their authority to bear at the point of jurisdictional decision: County and State government.

No amount of agonizing or protest to a distant congressman will change the design of the mattoids who seek to overthrow the Constitution and reduce Americans to the status of economic serfs on the land which once was theirs. Only the individual can demand that his County official act to defend and preserve Life, Liberty and Property. He must do this by a positive act, by challenging 'the secret government of monetary power' at its weakest point - the County.

Although all sovereignty originates from the State, the states delegated a few of their powers to their common agents in Washington. However, the vast governmental powers that touch our lives every day are placed in the hands of County Governments, that are closest to the people.

One historian who has commented on the point is R. J. Rushdoony, whose book, The Nature of the American System, first published in 1965, has an analysis of the County in early American history. Important as the States are, they are not the basic unit of the American system. The basic unit is clearly and without question the COUNTY, said Rushdoony.

"Significantly, one of the first steps toward independence was taken by Mecklenburg County, North Carolina, May 31, 1775, in order to prevent a legal vacuum

First, the PROPERTY TAX remained in the hands of the county, which early established its jurisdiction. The people of an area thus controlled their tax assessor and their county supervisors, so that the taxing power was not beyond their jurisdiction. When the power to tax leaves the county, tyranny will then begin in the United States. Socialism or communism will be only a step away. The people of a county will be helpless as their property is taxed to the point of expropriation.....

Second, CRIMINAL LAW was and is county law in essence. That was an important safeguard against tyranny and against the political use of criminal law. Law enforcement officers, including judges, were and are officers of the county, in the main, or of its constituent units. As T. Robert Ingram has pointed out, not too many years ago executions were also held at the county seat. Police power and criminal law are thus matters of local jurisdiction in the American system.

The third, CIVIL LAW, is also county law to a great degree, enforced by local courts and by locally elected officials. The American citizen is thus for the most part under county government. His basic instruments of civil government are local, residing in the county, and the county is his historic line of defense against the encroachments of state and federal governments. In early America, town and county elections were properly regarded as more important than state and federal elections, and property qualifications were strict on the local level." (End of quote)

Plan of action enabling the individual to harness powers of county and state governments to individual effort for survival in a hostile political environment is offered by Committee to Restore the Constitution. Citizens will be transformed from 'spectator' to 'participant' in the struggle for Life, Liberty and Property.

=====

For application of the remedy send self-addressed, stamped #10 envelope (ask for "The Republic: Decline and Future Promise")

Archibald E Roberts, LtCol, AUS, ret, Director

Committee to Restore the Constitution, Inc
 Post Office Box 986 Fort Collins CO 80522

=====end=====

*****{{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ }}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}*****

Un-Official News and Opinion from
 Linda Muller Web Author lmuller@iquest.com

Member Northern Alabama Kitchen Militia
 Use the Ballot Box - Not the Cartridge Box!

Pat Buchanan Internet Campaign Headquarters
<http://www.buchanan.org>

US Taxpayers Party Internet Headquarters
<http://www.ustaxpayers.org>

GO PAT....GO BRIGADES....GO USTP!!!!!!!

*****{{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ {{{ }}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}*****

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061902 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Tue, 18 Jun 1996 18:51:50 -0500 (CDT)
From: Brian Redman <bired@duracef.shout.net>
Subject: Conspiracy Nation -- Vol. 8 Num. 17
Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

The following is brought to you thanks, in part, to the kind
assistance of CyberNews and the fine folks at Cornell University.

Conspiracy Nation -- Vol. 8 Num. 17

=====

("Quid coniuratio est?")

SOME OBSERVATIONS ON THE NON-NEWS

=====

By J. Orlin Grabbe

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Some Observations on the Non-News

by J. Orlin Grabbe

The most notable feature of the current state of journalism in the U.S. is the total dereliction of duty on the part of national political reporters. More than fifty congresscritters, senators, and state governors have announced their retirements in the past year, after they received packets from a group of hackers called the Fifth Column detailing illegal and unreported income from bribes, kickbacks, payoffs, and whatnot. This story of the wholesale sell-out of the U.S. political process should rank as one of the top stories of the decade. But the national media blandly reports the contrived explanations ("I just want to spend more time with my heretofore neglected family") and speculates on the sad loss of Washington country-club camaraderie that used to keep such fine people in public office forever.

This lack of discernment reflects a level of stupidity that should make unsurprising the general media dismissal of the importance of Whitewater (prior to the recent convictions obtained by Kenneth Starr), the head-in-the-sand stance on the murder of Vince Foster (even though virtually all official Washington knows Foster was murdered), the gullible acceptance of the official story on the downing of Ron Brown's plane (destroyed by a bomb), the lackadaisical acceptance of Bob Dole's claimed reasons for resigning from the Senate (he got a Fifth Column packet two days before the announcement), the air-head discussions of the coming "Clinton-Dole" election battle (as though there is going to be any such thing), and the "smell of roses" interpretation of the putrefying stench arising from almost everything the Clinton administration touches.

To be sure, some journalists have picked up part of the story. But often they have pursued the partial picture with a monomania that has turned their entire effort into a circus sideshow. One example is that of Ambrose Evans-Pritchard and Chis Ruddy who, while still trying to convince who-knows-whom that the death of Vince Foster was not a suicide, managed to acquire a Pet Witness to the disposal of Foster's body at Ft. Marcy Park--a witness whom they paraded forth with fanfare, accompanied by tales of swarthy Middle-Eastern lurkers who scowled fiercely in broad daylight (a staged event effective in impressing naive journalists). When the Special Prosecutor, Kenneth Starr, failed to drop everything he was doing and devote himself to enhancing these journalist's own sense of self-importance, he became, naturally, part of the cover-up. But Starr wisely continued to follow his mandate to untangle Whitewater-related malfeasance on the part of the Clintons, and left the sideshow antics to these misguided journalists who hadn't a clue as to what was going on. Starr, after all, knew what he was doing. (Although, to be sure, it took Starr a while to realize that the FBI was out and about intimidating his own witnesses, a fact Ruddy was to point out.)

The goal of the FBI in all this has been to keep reporters in a state of somnambulism. But last week Louis Freeh suddenly discovered his bread wasn't buttered on the side of Bill Clinton, announcing that the White House request for FBI files on prominent Republicans and others outside the Clinton administration had been totally inappropriate. The White House made a statement that the

whole thing was really just the fault of some Clinton underling operating out-of-control as usual. Freeh's statement meanwhile leaves unexplained why the FBI turned over the 400-plus files to the White House in the first place. Freeh's stated 400-plus number of files upped the ante from the White House's admitted 300-plus number. It also illustrates that the FBI can't count, or can't tell the whole truth, since the actual number is 900-plus, as has been verified by anyone who has bothered to download the same set of files from the FBI computer, such as that other government agency that is looking into the matter. Maybe Bernie Nussbaum took the rest of the files home, just to make sure the wrong people didn't read them.

Freeh of course is coming off the PR high of having ended the Freeman siege without bloodshed. But his problems in Montana aren't over. There is the little matter of Montana FBI agents involved in drug dealing.

The Canadian-Montana border is now the principal point of entry of illegal drugs coming into the U.S. Montana is awash in them. A series of clandestine airfields stretches across the state. Naturally the journalists covering the Freeman picked up none of this bigger story right under their noses. Big names are involved in the drug operation, including the soon-to-be-indicted Governor of Montana. Another name that surfaces in the Montana operation is that of ex-President George Bush.

Bush's indiscretions are beginning to catch up with him. This past week he took a trip to Bern, Switzerland, together with Colin Powell, who is not running for President. It seems that an arms deal between the two of

them went sour when a relevant account at the local bank turned up missing \$75 million dollars. In panic mode they flew over to try to patch things up. Hope you two boys remembered to smile a lot: You were on candid camera. Maybe you should try something legal for a change?

Others are more overt in their criminality. Jackson Stephens, Don Tyson, and Richard Mellon Scaife recently pooled their pocket change and put out a \$100,000 contract on the Angel of Death. They farmed it out to the New Orleans Syndicate. The breakdown is reportedly \$50,000 by Stephens, and \$25,000 each by Tyson and Scaife. This makes the second time the lying Jackson Stephens has hired an assassin this year ("I've learned my lesson," he said, after the first one, Pablo, was put on a plane back home). But I hear the CIA, which has never really had anything against the corrupt politicians targeted by the Angel of Death--after all they're much easier to manipulate than the honest kind--has finally decided to get on the right side of things. Say, Jack, what about that dead body found lying in your backyard last week? You don't suppose it was the chickenshit coming home to roost, do you? As they say, payback is hell.

As if it weren't enough dealing with the criminals, there are also the forces of law 'n order (if you want to call them that) to worry about. Janet Reno sends word that the Justice Dept. will leave the Angel of Death alone if he will leave the Justice Dept. alone. It's an interesting proposition: sort of like that of a pugilist who walks down the street and punches a random passerby in the face, then immediately announces, "Let's call a truce."

Ms. Reno, as one Harvard graduate to another I take your word Justice didn't have anything to do with destroying my private email and Usenet postings of Hackers versus Politicians, Part II. You blamed the X2 division of NSA. Well, what about the actions of X2? This is apparently the new Standard of Excellence at Justice: As long as we don't commit any crimes ourselves, we're doing okay.

One consolation is that X2 found those little TCP/IP packets formed from Hackers versus Politicians extremely toxic. Secret contents created a cancer in the NSA computers that devoured them. Some NSA computers were fried from mysterious voltage surges. Others caught fire in thermite-like reactions. NSA attributed these problems to an Act of God. This in itself shows where NSA stands in the Divine Hierarchy.

One cannot say X2's instincts were wrong. The Fifth Column has been supplying information to the Special Prosecutor from the beginning. But after Hackers versus Politicians appeared, hundreds of surprisingly professional hackers began pouring relevant information into the hands of Kenneth Starr and others. Their efforts have greatly supplemented the work of the Fifth Column.

And all along Kenneth Starr has been quietly building his cases brick by brick, preparing indictments and sitting on them until the proper time, mapping out court trials, sifting through evidence, not plea bargaining when it is not necessary. In short, doing his job in a masterful manner. But you will find few journalists on the

left or the right who will admit this, admit they were wrong, even after the total success of the first Whitewater trial. One assumes they will hold firm, even after Bill Clinton resigns. Being a journalist, after all, means never having to say you are sorry.

June 17, 1996

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: 2.6.2

iQCVaWUBMccYEmX1Kn9BepeVAQFCpAQAkScApjx6tS2wdkC1lqHQ3XwKOWDIDihb
MRaTdZEdryuaA/5jPJkK9FKMAWZ5+zZ6G51vHZqkecTVApP+uH12lpX4e+5uLRNt
e1d1cSIPN1R8+UU33RsC4NamZWY27sQT9GN+6IbvMM/4mr1Wmg1KqHdFwmdtFie6
nH+2RclQjJg=
=iA/8

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

+

I neither necessarily agree nor disagree with either all or parts of the preceeding. For further background, visit Orlin Grabbe archives at <ftp.shout.net> [pub/users/bigred/og](ftp.shout.net/pub/users/bigred/og)

-- Brian Francis Redman
Editor-in-Chief
Conspiracy Nation

I encourage distribution of "Conspiracy Nation."

If you would like "Conspiracy Nation" sent to your e-mail address, send a message in the form "subscribe cn-1 My Name" to

listproc@cornell.edu

(Note: that is "CN-L" *not* "CN-1")

For information on how to receive the improved Conspiracy
Nation Newsletter, send an e-mail message to bigred@shout.net

Want to know more about Whitewater, Oklahoma City bombing, etc?
(1) telnet prairienet.org (2) logon as "visitor" (3) go citcom

See also: <http://www.europa.com/~johnlf/cn.html>

See also: [ftp.shout.net pub/users/bigred](ftp://ftp.shout.net/pub/users/bigred)

Aperi os tuum muto, et causis omnium filiorum qui pertranseunt.
Aperi os tuum, decerne quod justum est, et judica inopem et
pauperem. -- Liber Proverbiorum XXXI: 8-9

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Brian Redman <bigred@duracef.shout.net>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061901 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Tue, 18 Jun 1996 15:15:59 -0700

To: snetnews@alterzone.com

From: David M Sampson <sampson@inet1.inetworld.net>

Subject: Re: Nolan & Browne on Reason article

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

Patriots:

The thought that keeps going through my mind as I read of the many 3rd party candidates is that many of them share the same desired end goal (ie less government). This gets translated into different means but the end result is the same. What I am getting at is the 3rd party which has the greatest probability of winning is the Libertarian Party. I would think that anyone who can call themselves a Patriot would be able to support the Libertarian Party platform. Even if we have a difference of opinion with minor positions if the LP supports the major part of what you beleive I am strongly encouraging all to ban together to support the LP.

I am not connected to the LP, in fact I haven't even changed my registration from Republican to Libertarian yet (but I plan to soon). I think the question we have to ask ourselves is which party platform is MOST consistant with our views of the role of government. I've been a Republican my entire adult life. I don't know if I have been blind or if the Republican party has only recently merged with the Democrats, but either way neither party supports my views.

The Libertarian Party platform seems to support every major view I hold

and so I will be switching my affiliation.

I strongly urge ALL to do the same.

Many (if not all) the planks in the USTP is perfectly consistent with the Libertarian Party platform. It would seem to make a lot of sense to me for all who feel this way about our government and Constitution to rally around the party that supports these views which has the greatest probability of winning.

I mean no disagreement with the USTP. I agree fully with their platform (as you described it). My only point is if we start many parties to accomplish, effectively, the same thing it decreases the probability of any one winning.

My question to the people of USTP. What plank or philosophy does your party support, or promote, that is inconsistent with the Libertarian Party platform?

David M Sampson	Internet: sampson@inet1.inetworld.net
1341 East Valley Parkway #145	Fax: (619) 480-2884
Escondido, Calif. 92027	CIS: 74672,50

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: David M Sampson <sampson@inet1.inetworld.net>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061810 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Good info in two messages from Jon Roland] PIML

=====

Date: Sun, 16 Jun 1996 19:04:43 -0700

From: jon.roland@the-spa.com (Jon Roland)

Subject: HR 2270, HR 2727

The following message was sent to all members of the House of Representatives having an email address. You might want to support this with similar letters of your own.

=====

This is to support two bills, HR 2270, which has no title, and HR 2727, the Congressional Responsibility Act. These bills need to be voted on before Congress adjourns for the election.

I would, however, suggest some changes to HR 2270.

First, it should have a title. I recommend "The Constitutional Authority Act of ____"

Second, some definitions should be added to it. If asked to cite the constitutional authority for any given bill, the result is likely to be simply the "interstate commerce clause", interpreting "commerce among the states" as Everything and "regulate" as Plenary Power. To avoid this, the following definitions need to be added:

Commerce among the states: Exchanges of goods or services for valuable consideration in which the exchange commences in one state and terminates in

another.

Regulate: Prescribe the timing, manner, packaging, measure, routing, labeling, purity, safety, and rate of an activity, and license the parties who may engage in such activity. Does not include total prohibition of the activity, or the power to impose criminal penalties for violation, but only the power to impose civil penalties.

Third, we need a provision of some bill that prescribes that no agency of government may prohibit an activity by imposing a tax on it and then refusing to accept payment of the tax, or treat an article or activity as "illegal" because a tax on it has not been paid; and that no funds or article of commerce may be seized but on probable cause of delinquency in payment of a just debt to the government, and no funds or article of commerce may be forfeited except to satisfy a judgement against the owner for a specific debt, including a tax or fee. It should further prescribe that property obtained through criminal activity should be subject to forfeiture only for the benefit of the victims of such criminal activity, or, if such victims cannot be identified, to members of the class of victims of similar crimes.

Fourth, we need a provision of some bill that prescribes that the jurisdiction of a criminal act is the jurisdiction in which the perpetrator's brain was located at the moment in which he initiated the chain of events which led to the effects of the crime. The Framers intended that criminal jurisdiction should be territorial, and that a crime is the act of the perpetrator and not the effects of his decision.

--Jon Roland

=====

Date: Sun, 16 Jun 1996 20:55:28 -0700

From: jon.roland@the-spa.com (Jon Roland)

Subject: A Better Balanced Budget Amendment

The following message was sent to all members of the House of Representatives having an email address. You might want to support this with similar letters of your own.

=====

This is to suggest a better wording for a Balanced Budget Amendment.

Much of the opposition to the presently proposed amendment arises from the way it is worded, which is badly. The reason it is badly worded is an unavoidable consequence of the direct approach to the problem that is being taken.

Remember, the objective of the amendment is to effect a change in congressional procedure, to forbid the proposal of unbalanced budgets unless overwritten by a supermajority. An amendment is needed because any rules of procedure which houses of Congress may adopt, by majority vote, may be suspended, amended, or repealed by a similar majority vote.

The major problem of an amendment that specifically prescribes the national budget is that noncompliance includes not only the procedure but the result. A budget that turns out to be unbalanced becomes actionable, putting the budget under the jurisdiction of the courts, and the courts are ill-equipped to decide national budgets, besides it being unconstitutional for them to do that, under the structural principle of the separation of powers.

No, the solution is to adopt an amendment which might read as follows:

"Each House of Congress shall have the power to adopt Rules of Procedure, by

a two-thirds vote of all members, which may not be suspended, amended, or repealed, but by a similar two-thirds vote of all members."

After being ratified, the House of Representatives would then simple adopt a rule of procedure, by a two-thirds vote, that would prohibit proposing an unbalanced budget unless the rule was suspended by a two-thirds vote.

An amendment worded in this way might pass and be ratified, and would avoid the shrotcomings of the presently worded proposed amendment.

--Jon

=====

Visit our Web site under its new domain name - <http://www.constitution.org/>

If you need help setting up your own Web site, call us at 916/927-4935.

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061809 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[1991 deposition about Mena and other CIA operations.] PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 1996 10:26:52 -0400 (EDT)

From: Patricia Neill <pnnpj@db1.cc.rochester.edu>

Subject: L&J: Brenneke Deposition (fwd)

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

Date: Sat, 15 Jun 1996 22:16:00 -0500 (GMT-0500)

From: "Joseph W. Gaut" <gaut@math.sfasu.EDU>

Subject: Brenneke Deposition (fwd)

Date: Sat, 15 Jun 1996 21:47:44 -0500 (CDT)

From: Edward Immler <ed@athenet.net>

Subject: Brenneke Deposition

The Oral Deposition of Richard J. Brenneke

Joint Investigation by the

Arkansas State Attorney General's Office

and the U.S. Congress, June 21, 1991.

The following deposition was taken from Mr. Brenneke at the Office of the Attorney General, 323 Center Street, Little Rock, Arkansas on June 21, 1991 at 10:10 a.m.

Excerpts are from pages 3, 4, 6, 7-12, 13, 14-21, 22, 23, 24, 25,

26, 28-30, 39-41.

MR. ALEXANDER: My name is William Alexander, Jr., and I'm an attorney and a member of the United States Congress. I'm a member of the Bar of the State of Arkansas as well as the District of Columbia and other states. And I'm taking this deposition today as a member of the United States Congress in my investigative authority under the Constitution, and as a member of of the Appropriations Committee having jurisdiction over all agencies of the federal government, specifically those agencies of the Departments of Justice, State, Commerce and the Federal Judiciary. For some time I have been involved in investigating the subject of inquiry today with full authority as a member of the United States Congress. In addition, I'm acting as a Special Assistant to the Attorney General of the State of Arkansas, who is represented here today. And, Chad, would you state who are you, and what you're doing here?

MR. FARRIS: My name is Chad Farris. I'm the Chief Deputy Attorney General for the State of Arkansas. And Representative Alexander is right, that he has been so designated, and I am attending as a representative for the Attorney General's Office and will participate in this investigation.

MR. ALEXANDER: I'll state further for the record, that the nature of this inquiry is confidential. And that it is - the evidence is intended to be used to produce for the benefit of the Special Prosecutor in the Iran-Contra case now pending in Washington and for other purposes. And I'd now like to ask the reporter if he would swear the witness.

(The witness was sworn.)

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ALEXANDER:

Q. Would you state your name, age and residence?

A. Okay. The name is Richard J. Brenneke, B-R-E-N-N-E-K-E. My home address is [deleted] Street in [deleted], and that's [deleted]....

Q. So you were an independent contractor with the Central Intelligence Agency?

A. That's correct.

Q. Beginning when and through what years?

A. 1968 through about 1986, somewhere in 1985, '86 is when I called it off.

Q. So what services did you perform for the Central Intelligence Agency?

A. Specialized in two activities; I handled money for them, I handled East Bloc weapons purchases primarily made in Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia....

Q. And you were a pilot for the CIA?

A. That's correct.

Q. During what period?

A. During the whole period I worked for them.

Q. And that was what years?

A. That would be '68 through '80 -- I think the last flying I did for them was in '84.

Q. Did you ever pilot an aircraft to a location at Mena, Arkansas?

A. Yes, sir. I did on a number of occasions.

Q. And what aircraft did you fly into Mena?

A. I generally flew a C-130. Aircraft would be brought into Mena, the first trips that I made started in early '84.

Q. I see. Were you based out of Mena for a period of time?

A. That would be a very appropriate description.

Q. How many flights would you say that you made from the Mena, Arkansas airport for the CIA during the period of time that you worked for them?

A. Ten to twelve.

Q. Ten to twelve flights? And you flew a C-130?

A. Generally flew a C-130. I did, however, on occasion come in -- on one

occasion specifically I recall coming in on a Lear Jet, on one occasion on a 400 Series Cessna.

Q. You kept flight logs of all of your flights for the CIA?

A. Yes, sir. I certainly did.

Q. You have those logs?

A. They're lodged with my attorney.

Q. And would you submit to us copies of -- exact copies of those logs, and make those an attachment to this record?

A. I certainly will....

Q. All right. Now, Mr. Brenneke, could you tell us when you first made a flight for the CIA from Mena, Arkansas?

A. It would have been March, April of 1984.

Q. 1984. And what was the cargo that you transported for the CIA from Mena?

A. From Mena?

Q. Yes.

A. Okay. From Mena I would generally take people who had been trained in the area around Mena, generally paramilitary or military forces from Central America. They would be taken back to Panama City, where I would drop them. And, in addition to that, we would carry weapons that were being shipped down there. The weapons, as I've said, frequently came either from government stores or through [deleted] Gun Shop in Miami, Florida.

Q. Now, were the shipments made from Mena, though?

A. Yes, sir, they were.

Q. Okay. Now, the guns, how did you know they were guns?

A. I could see them being loaded on my aircraft.

Q. Were the guns in boxes?

A. The guns were in crates, and they were stamped clearly on the outside as to what they were.

Q. Now, can you identify for the record some of the kinds of guns that were being shipped from Mena to Central America?

A. M-1's, M-1 rifles, recoil -- small recoilless rifles, [illegible],

I've forgotten the exact caliber on it, grenades, ammunition for these weapons, fuses, detonator fuses. And I remember that one very specifically because it only happened once. But we had detonator fuses on board, and my concern was that we might have the equipment being detonated on the same flight, and I didn't want that.

Q. Did you inspect the crates yourself prior to airlifting?

A. No, sir. I didn't look at every crate. But I would, from time-to-time, open one because I wanted to make sure of the weights on them.

Q. And who was with you as co-pilot on the first flight?

A. [deleted], a friend of mine who lives in Denver, Colorado.

Q. Did he accompany you on all the flights or were there other co-pilots?

A. No, there were other co-pilots from time-to-time.

Q. Can you describe -- name for us the co-pilots that accompanied you on the several flights that you made to Central America?

A. No, sir, I can't. It's been too long.

Q. Do you recall names other than [deleted]?

A. Yes. Unfortunately only nicknames. There was a fellow that I flew with regularly that was called "The Hippie." He worked out Medellin, would frequently fly the trips up north to us.

Q. "Up north," what do you mean?

A. Up to Mena.

Q. To Mena?

A. And also into Iron Mountain Ranch in Texas.

Q. Into Texas. So you -- let's deal with the Mena location at the moment, and we can go to other locations today if we wish --

A. Sure.

Q. -- at a later time. There is an airport at Mena, and what's the name of it?

A. It's Mena Airport.

Q. Mena Airport. And who did you see personally involved in the loading of these crates and the management of these cargoes that you knew personally and you can identify for the record? Did you see anyone there

you would --

A. Yes, a man by the name of [deleted].

Q. Were there -- [deleted], is he from Mena?

A. [deleted] owns and operates [deleted].

Q. In Mena, Arkansas?

A. In Mena, Arkansas. And he would be the individual directing his workers, because they followed his direction in loading and unloading aircraft.

Q. How many workers do you recall were used for the purpose of loading and unloading aircraft?

A. Depending on the equipment, it could run as high as twelve, more often than not it was around five or six people.

Q. Five or six people. Would you -- do you recall any of the names of the people who participated?

A. I was never introduced to who they were.

Q. I see. So as I understand it, they would load the guns and munitions on the C-130, and you and a co-pilot, one of whom you've identified as [deleted] --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. -- would fly these munitions and equipment to locations in Central America. Where was the cargo destined for; where did you fly it to?

A. We flew it to Panama City and off loaded it there.

Q. Do you remember where in Panama City?

A. Panama -- at Tecuman Airport.

Q. Which airport?

A. Tecuman.

Q. Tecuman?

A. Tecuman, T-E-C-U-M-A-N.

Q. Tecuman Airport. That's in Panama City?

A. In Panama City.

Q. Did you fly them to other locations?

A. Yes, sir. We also flew to a point on the East Coast of Panama and Colon.

Q. Colon?

A. It's a Greek word.

Q. Now, could -- were the shipments met by people in Panama?

A. Yes, they were. They were. They were met by military types who wore military uniforms and were easily identified as members of the Panamanian Defense Force, which essentially is the Palace Guard....

Q. I see. Now, what would happen to the cargo once you landed in Panama at either of these locations when it was met by the Panamanian Defense Forces?

A. The cargo would be immediately off loaded off the aircraft and loaded either onto trucks or stacked in warehouses. If we were going into Colon, there are bonded warehouses where it would simply be stacked in warehouses where it would simply be stacked.

Q. Were these military trucks?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Could you identify the military insignias on the trucks?

A. No. They were just --

Q. They were military trucks?

A. Drab olive green.

Q. Olive green trucks?

A. With numbers stenciled on the side.

Q. I see. Operated by --

A. Operated by people in military garb. I assume they were military trucks.

Q. Okay. And then what would be your actions following the arrival there when the -- after the cargo was unloaded; did you stay the night, or would you immediately turn around and return to the United States? What was your --

A. No, we always stayed the night. Generally we would stay just one night, there were times when we stayed two or three.

Q. Now, you would stay a night or a couple of days and you returned. And would you return with cargo?

A. Yes, sir, we would. We would come back with individuals and from time-to-time unmarked boxes of items that were put in one aircraft along

with the individuals. Now, being conservative by nature and not having a death-wish, I opened the boxes on a number of occasions to find out what I was flying. And what --

Q. What did you discover the cargo to be?

A. I found the cargo to be cocaine, in some cases marijuana.

Q. How, would you describe what you saw when you opened the boxes?

A. What I saw when I opened the boxes were plastic bags filled with a white powdery substance. On one occasion I wanted to know more about what it was, so I cut one of them open and tasted it; and I have tasted cocaine in a controlled environment before at the request of law enforcement officials, so this --

Q. So you positively identified the substances that you were carrying as cocaine?

A. Yes, sir, I did.

Q. How much cocaine would you judge that you were carrying?

A. In the course of time there?

Q. Well, in each load?

A. In each load, 4 to 600 pounds.

Q. 4 to 600 pounds. And did you see people loading this cargo onto the airplane?

A. Yes, sir, I did.

Q. And who -- can you identify those people?

A. Those were Panamanian Defense Force soldiers. They were in military uniform and easily identifiable as such.

Q. The same people that took the guns off, put the cocaine on?

A. Absolutely.

Q. Is that right?

A. That's correct.

Q. Now, what would you do with the load of cocaine once it was loaded onto your C-130; where would you go with it?

A. We would bring it to Mena, Arkansas.

Q. And how long would it take you to fly to Mena?

A. Four to six hours, depending on the wind.

Q. And you have a flight log that would identify this flight?

A. Yes, sir, I do.

Q. Each one of the flights?

A. Yes, sir, I do.

Q. And when you landed at Mena, what would be the disposition of the cargo?

A. On one or two occasions the cargo was taken off by people who were not residents of the Mena area and put into other aircraft which departed from there. However, the most frequent activity was that the aircraft would be unloaded in front of [deleted]'s hangers and it would be stored in the back of the hanger....

Q. And go back in your mind to the first trip you took and describe to me the disposition of the cargo; that is, the cocaine, once it returned to Arkansas, once it was delivered to Arkansas? And I am especially -- I am particularly interested in the identification of persons other than [deleted]. You've talked about [deleted]. You've identified him. Can you identify other people who might have received this cocaine?

A. Yes. I can identify people who in fact received the cocaine, not "might have." And --

Q. Can you tell us who they were?

A. I can tell you that they were members of John Gotti's family in New York. One of them was an individual know to me by the name of Sal Reale.

Q. Could you spell that name for us?

A. R-E-A-L-E.

Q. Sal Reale?

A. Salvatore Reale.

Q. Salvatore Reale?

A. Correct.

Q. And how did you know Mr. Reale?

A. Mr. Reale at that time was the Director of -- I believe it was that time, was the Director of Security of Kennedy International in New York City.

Q. In New York City. Speak to the subject of your knowledge of Mr. Reale

and his activities as the head of security at Kennedy? Tell us what you know about him and what he did?

A. Okay. Mr. Reale was a -- was one of Mr. Gotti's lieutenants. I watched the two of them interact. Mr. Gotti would provide directions, Mr. Reale would carry them out. It was his job to make sure that cargo being shipped through Kennedy was not lost, but properly located, and in some cases avoiding customs -- avoided the customs procedures....

Q. So you worked for Mr. Gotti as well as for the CIA?

A. Actually the CIA told me to do that on his behalf.

Q. So the CIA was in, would you say, partnership or association with Mr. Gotti?

A. Yes, sir. I would say a partnership.

Q. And can you describe the nature of that partnership?

A. Sure. The organized crime members had a need for two things: they needed drugs brought into the country on a reliable, safe basis; they needed people taken out of the country or people brought into the country without alerting customs or INS to the fact that they were being brought into the country; they also needed their money taken offshore so that it would not be subject to United States tax where they might have to declare its source. And so we performed these kinds of functions for them.

Q. Mr. Brenneke, are you saying that the CIA was in the business of bringing drugs into the United States?

A. Yes, sir. That's exactly what I'm saying.

Q. And that they were in partnership with John Gotti in this operation?

A. I would say that they worked with Mr. Gotti and his organization very closely. Whether it was a formal partnership, I don't know. But there certainly was a close alliance between the two.

Q. All right. Now, let's go back to Mena Airport in Arkansas for a moment. At a time when you saw Mr. Reale there, did he receive any of the shipment, the cargo of the drugs that your brought back from Panama?

A. He did not personally take any of the drugs. He did, however, see that they were transferred into aircraft and vehicles so that they would

be moved off the field, and that was his function. His function was not to load the vehicles, but to see that nothing got lost on transit.

Q. Are you saying that drugs that you brought back from Central America to Mena were for the purpose of delivery to Mr. Reale, who was in the employ of Mr. Gotti, the New York crime syndicate boss?

A. Yes, sir. I would say that.

Q. And Mr. Reale was there to manage the transshipment of those drugs?

A. From time-to-time there were other people from the family down there.

Q. Did you have any conversations with him about where he intended to take those drugs?

A. Yes, sir. I asked on more than one occasion where the drugs would be taken, and I was told the New York City area, specifically Kennedy International Airport....

Q. Now, I was asking you about whether or not the CIA had a "black bag" operation at [deleted] in Mena, Arkansas. And maybe a more interesting way to ask that question would be, you knew that you were dealing with criminals; is that correct?

A. That's correct, sir.

Q. You were dealing with criminals that were transporting and selling cocaine in the United States?

A. Yes....

Q. Now, did the Gotti organization, through Reale, pay money to the CIA for the drugs?

A. Yes, they did.

Q. Do you know how much money?

A. Firsthand knowledge, somewhere in the \$50,000,000 bracket.

Q. How do you know how much money?

A. Because I banked that money for them in Panama City, and ultimately transferred it to other locations in Europe....

Q. So what would be the procedure for you to receive the payment from the

Gotti organization for the drugs?

A. Generally the money was -- okay. Let me restate that. The money was given to us in cash.

Q. "Us," you mean the CIA?

A. "Us," meaning the people I worked with, who were also associated with the Central Intelligence Agency. We would transfer that money to banks in Central and South America. And from there transfer via accounts that I had established back in 1970 -- they were accounts which I was a beneficial holder and the named signee on it....

Q. Can you recall any conversations you had with any of the CIA agents about the money, and tell us the nature of that conversation, the scope of it?

A. Sure. When I found that we were bringing drugs into the United States, and that we were receiving money which was being put into accounts which I knew to belong to the United States Government, as I'd set them up specifically for that purpose, I called Mr. Don Gregg, who was a CIA officer with whom I was acquainted, and complained about the nature of what we were doing.

Q. Now, who is Mr. Don Gregg?

A. At that time, he was George Bush - Vice-President George Bush's National Security Advisor.

Q. And do you recall the date that you had this conversation with Mr. Gregg?

A. I am more than willing to look for it in my telephone records.

Q. And when you discover that, you can provide it for us?

A. I will provide you with --

Q. You have records of the conversation?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. And will you make a copy of that record available for this --

A. Yes, sir, I will. Including my handwritten notes that are contemporaneous.

Q. Now, you don't have any notes with you at this time?

A. No, I do not.

Q. But do you recall the conversation with Mr. Gregg?

A. Very well.

Q. And can you tell us what you remember about that conversation?

A. I surely can. I was told that it was not my business, what I was flying in and out of the country. That I was hired to do specific things, and if I would do those things and not pay any attention to anything else, we would all be very happy. I didn't like that.

Q. Well, what else did he say?

A. Shut up and do your job.

Q. He said, "Shut up and do your job?"

A. Essentially, yes.

Q. Did you have any further contact with him?

A. I talked to him in 19 --

Q. "Him," I'm talking about Mr. Gregg?

A. Yes. Subsequently I talked to Mr. Gregg on a number of occasions as well as to other people in the Vice-President's office to voice my concern over the use of drugs in -- importing drugs into the United States and using the cash generated from that to perform operations which I perceived to be not put before Congress in any form....

Q. I think, finally, I want to ask you if you have any knowledge of the money that you're talking about coming from the Gotti organization being used for any other purposes, other than depositing in the bank accounts for the CIA?

A. Sure. We had to run the operations at Nella, for instance. The training facilities at Nella had to be paid for.

Q. Now, where is Nella?

A. Nella is about 10 miles out of Mena, north.

Q. North of the Mena Airport. We've not talked about that, Mr. Brenneke. Can you tell us what you know about Nella; what it is, and for what purposes it was established?

A. Sure. Nella was a training base for military and paramilitary folks from south of the border, Mexico, Panama.

Q. Who managed the base? Who operated the base?

A. Don't know the names of the operators.

Q. What agency operated the base?

A. Central Intelligence Agency, as far as I knew.

Q. Did you know anyone from the agency that was responsible for the operation?

A. I knew the names of some of the people over there. I didn't spend much time at Mena, so I'd have to answer that by saying, no, I really don't know. I did know one of the folks who was a flight instructor over there, and that was Terry Reed.

Q. Terry Reed.

A. And on another occasion, I did provide Mr. Reed with a deposition in a case in which he was acquitted.

Q. Mr. Brenneke, I have here in my hand a copy of a videotaped deposition which was presented as evidence in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas in the case United States of America, Plaintiff versus Terry Kent Reed, Defendant. And I will ask you if you can identify this document?

A. (Witness viewing document.) Yes, sir. That is the testimony that I gave under oath in Portland, Oregon....

Q. (BY MR. ALEXANDER) Mr. Brenneke, I don't want to dwell on this too much at this point. But I think it's important just to summarize the connection between the Mena CIA operation and the Nella CIA operation. And can you just tell us briefly what distinction there was, if any, and whether or not these operations were one in the same? And let's move onto something else after you have explained that.

A. The operations were one in the same. The equipment that was used at Mena would frequently transport people and equipment to the truck, for instance, you know, would drive up to Nella and leave people and equipment there.

Q. So, in other words, the CIA had an operation in Mena which included Nella?

A. Yes....

End of Deposition

*Edward F. Immler Lawrence Chemists *

*ed@athenet.net are Free Radicals *

*Lawrence University '95 *

*Interests: HAZMAT, EPA/OSHA Compliance, SARA III, Wastewater *

*DISCLAIMER: Opinions are mine...unless someone else agrees *

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"
in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

- * constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061808 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[I do not advocate the use of guns to restore limited, constitutional government. However, it is the armed American people which may well prevent our government from becoming a dictatorship before constitutional government can be restored by conventional and peaceful means. (people = militia, and militia = people)] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>
Subject: FW: ALERT: AOL To Post Pro-RKBA and Anti-RKBA Columns
Date: Mon, 17 Jun 96 15:04:00 EST

From: firearms-alert-errors
To: firearms-alert
Subject: ALERT: AOL To Post Pro-RKBA and Anti-RKBA Columns
Date: Friday, June 14, 1996 12:29AM

June 13, 1996

Beginning this Friday, June 14, America On-Line will post a column written by NRA President Marion P. Hammer on the Second Amendment and the individual right to keep and bear arms. An opposing column by HCI's Sarah Brady will also be posted.

Both columns will appear for one week and can be found in AOL's "Top Stories" of their News section.

All NRA members and supporters are encouraged to read the

columns and post their own views in support of the firearm
rights of law-abiding Americans.

==+=+=+=+

This information is provided as a service of the National Rifle
Association Institute for Legislative Action, Fairfax, VA.

This and other information on the Second Amendment and the NRA is
available at: <http://WWW.NRA.Org>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061807 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[More info on Michael New and HR 3308. Please send similar letters to Senator Ashcroft, your two senators, and your congressman.] PIML

=====

From: Bill Mee <bill.mee@wcom.com>

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 96 15:37:17 -0500

To: fsnw-l@freespeechnews.com

Subject: [FSNW-L] Some more info on Michael New-Republican Cover-up

Here is a little more info on the Michael New cover-up from William C. Beeler.

Bill

The Most Honorable

John Ashcroft

United States Senate

Washington D C

Dear Senator Ashcroft

You probably are more aware of this situation than myself, but would like to forward an update that needs attention from all areas, and the following was re-typed from information just received this AM.

Thank you Senator Ashcroft for the many responses that this address has recieved and Gods' Blessing on Your

committment to this Republic.

With greatest respect I remain,

Sincerely,

William C. Beeler

Message received this fax number: 573 - 886 - 8527,
10:31, 06-15-96, and is typed in its entirety except
for the word posterior -- it was inserted instead of
the word "behind".

FAXED MESSAGE

General finally signs Michael New's discharge!

Republicans act to cover Clinton!

Gen. Montgomery Meigs, convening authority of Spc. Michael
New's court martial in January, has finally signed off on
the case, leaving the verdict as rendered. This clears the
way for Spc. New to become Citizen New, which will occur in
about six to eight weeks, after accumulated leave and out-
processing has been completed. New is still working in
Schweinfurt, Germany, while he awaits outprocessing. Esti-
mated return home is late July.

Meigs could have lightened the sentence or even overturned
it, but chose instead to let it stand. This opens the door
to both the military appeals process and to refiling New's
case in federal court.

In the meantime, Congress is steamrolling a Trojan Horse bill - H.R. 3308 - the "American Soldier Sovereignty Act." This is a misnomer. Rather than providing protection for the American soldier, this bill will give to the Executive Branch what is now Congress' exclusive authority - the deployment of troops. Says Col. Ron Ray, "This is our Gettysburg! We may win or lose future battles, but the all-important civilian control of the military and our system of checks and balances will be destroyed if this bill becomes law." There is no doubt now that this bill was crafted to solve the dilemma President Clinton has created for himself with his legal deployment to Macedonia and his unlawful orders for United Nations uniforms for American soldiers.

The committee passed this bill to the floor by a vote of 47-1, Congressman Roscoe Bartlett dissenting. What we have here is a case of Republicans covering Bill Clinton's posterior on the Michael New case and for all time forward, thereby giving away our military to Clinton, and hence the United Nations in the process.

Throughout this administration, we have been faced with one legislative crisis after another. With misleading titles and Republican leadership, Bill Clinton doesn't need a Democratic Congress to achieve his globalist agenda. Upper level Republican leaders like Dole and Gingrich have been doing a great job for him. It is imperative that Americans take a hard look at what is happening with this bill, and that we stop it cold.

End message -- Headers and Trailers were removed to protect
the source.

This message will go out nationwide and will monitor all
activity of the legislative process and post all activity
of Elected Officials. This bill is in violation of your
own United States Code!!

I would appreciate a response when time constraints aren't
too demanding from your staff. I expect a canned response
from all other Officials and the Journalists & Editors, in
the Columbia area.

With Utmost Respect,

William C. Beeler

3801 Grace Ellen Dr.

Columbia, Missouri 65202

(573) 886 - 8527 Fax Machine

CC: Via Fax

Congressman Harold Volkmer

Senator Christopher Bond

Former Senator Bob Dole

Daniel New (Father of Michael)

Colonel Ron Ray (Legal)

"Hillbilly Bill"

Central Missouri Network

William C. Beeler

3801 Grace Ellen Dr.

Columbia, Missouri 65202

(573) 474 - 3083 ding-a-ling (Answer Machine)

(573) 886 - 8527 fax thing (stand-alone)

cenmonet@socketis.net (william-beeler)

Beds Hard Breakfast Country

When in Area Welcome! <cenmonet@socketis.net>

"If there be a principle that ought not to be questioned within the

United States, it is that every man has a right to abolish an old government and establish a new one. This principle is not only recored in every public archive, written in every American heart, and sealed with the blood of a host of American martyrs, but is the only lawful tenure by which the United States hold their existence as a nation."

James

Madison, 1751-1836

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061806 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Technical info on the Ron Brown crash.] PIML

=====

At 11:16 PM 6/14/96 -0400, you wrote:

>>From: HSprunt@aol.com

>>Date: Fri, 14 Jun 1996 14:16:33 -0400

>>Subject: Re: Your Ron Brown Crash Analysis

>>I am very interested in the Ron Brown crash. I have been communicating with
>>various sources about the crash including Matt Wald of the NYT (before his
>>articles on the crash came out), Robert Davis of USA Today, and Dave Hughes
>>of Aviation Week and Space Technology. Ditto Bernie Loab's people at the
>>NTSB and the folks at the USAF Office of Flight Safety at Kirkland AFB.

>>

>>I have a cover article on the aviation aspects of the Ron Brown crash in the
>>June issue of a magazine -- mailed to subscribers around May 26. I would be
>>delighted to fax you a copy of the article if you would like a copy. If so,
>>please email me a fax number (or last resort, a snail mail address). I do
>>not have an electronic copy of the final version of the article.

>>

>>Although not covered in detail in the article, I wrote a fairly detailed
>>"spoofing" hypothesis shortly after the crash. It looks like we are coming
>>from the same direction, more or less. I will append my spoofing hypothesis
>>below along with some comments I made to it today updating it slightly and
>>also including some commentary on your post above that was forwarded to me.

>>

>>I would like to chew the fat with re re the Crash since your aviation
>>experience far exceeds mine.

>>=====

>>Feel free to post this anywhere you think there will be interest. No

>>spamming, please. HHS.

>>

>>In a message dated 96-06-13 16:52:58 EDT, you write:

>>>

>>> PIML 96061203 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

>>>

>>> [Speaking as a currently certificated FAA flight instructor with
>>> 2500 hours flight time and 1250 hours instruction given time, and
>>> with two years experience as a civilian contract instructor pilot
>>> for the Air Force and eleven years search and rescue flying with
>>> Civil Air Patrol, plus four years Air Force air operations
>>> experience and three years FAA air traffic controller experience,
>>> the official Ron Brown crash story stinks.

>>>

>>> The fact that the Air Force decided not to do a safety crash
>>> investigation should be enough to cause the odor, but there is
>>> more: The Air Force revealed that the aircraft's landing gear
>>> and flaps were retracted when ground impact occurred. The
>>> procedure is to lower the gear and flaps after crossing the final
>>> approach fix. The final approach fix was a non-directional radio
>>> beacon (NDB) on the flight path in front of the airport. The fact
>>> that the gear and flaps were not lowered is a clear indication
>>> that the pilot never received radio indication of crossing the
>>> NDB. This could have been caused by turning off the NDB and
>>> turning on another, located to guide the aircraft slightly off
>>> course into the hill where it crashed. In this scenario, the
>>> pilot would crash into the hill before he crossed the false NDB
>>> and never receive the crossing indication that would prompt the
>>> lowering of the gear and flaps. Mobile NDB radio transmitters
>>> are obsolescent military equipment.

>>>

>>> It is a fact that there is no reason for the aircraft to be down
>>> at approach altitude with the gear and flaps still retracted after
>>> crossing the NDB -- unless he received no crossing indication. It
>>> is also a fact that the Bosnian radio navigational aid supervisor
>>> responsible for the final approach fix NDB "committed suicide"
>>> shortly after the crash occurred. How obvious can it get?] PIML
>>

>>This expert opinion re spoofing is very interesting to me. See the post I
>>put up shortly after the crash -- pasted in below:
>>

>>Here is a scenario for spoofing the Brown CT-43A that fits nicely with the
>>local geography and nav aids. I am crushed for time today. I would
>>appreciate someone posting this to the Whitewater Newsgroup for me. AOL will
>>just truncate this post if I try to post it in my simplistic fashion.
>>

>>Caution: this will be hard to follow (visualize) unless you have access to
>>the diagram printed on page 26 of the current Aviation Week (I faxed a copy
>>of this diagram to Mike Rivero yesterday -- perhaps he will put it up on his
>>web page). It may also be hard to swallow, but remember, all I am doing is
>>putting together publicly available information and asking what I hope are
>>some reasonable (if cynical) questions.
>>

>>This analysis assumes the Aviation Week diagram is accurate and also must be
>>assumed to be very tentative, at least until/if some more (consistent) facts
>>come out. In any event, this scenario would benefit from a ready-through by
>>a experienced current pilot (an opposed to me, a relatively inexperienced,
>>noncurrent pilot!).
>>

>>In a message dated 96-04-09 01:16:51 EDT, a poster to the CS list wrote:
>>
>>>This is

>>>simply the technique of broadcasting a radio beacon's signal at a higher
>>>power from a different location, say twenty miles away. Typically, a
>>>radio beacon broadcasts a two or three letter identification code in morse
>>>code, which the pilot uses to identify the beacon. The pilot will then
>>>think that he is tuned to the correct beacon and the direction finding
>>>equipment is pointing to it. But, the direction finding equipment will
>>>merely point in the direction of the strongest signal.

>>

>>Let me guess: Nobody tapes the NDB tone, right? Therefore, there will be no
>>records of even an unexplained increase in the signal strength (let alone a
>>change in direction).

>>That is, unless there was another aircraft in the immediate area whose nav
>>radio was tuned to the frequency of the NDB in question whose crew noted a
>>sudden change in the direction of the signal. . .

>>

>>I assume that the decision when to turn on the spoofing signal is trivial if
>>one listens to the local air traffic control and therefore knows about when
>>the T-43 crew would activate their own nav radio to hunt for the signal
>>(e.g., the crew reports turning on final having passed over KLP NDB some 11.8
>>miles to the NW of the runway threshold -- see the analysis below).

>>

>>I rather doubt the spoofer, if there was one, was twenty miles away. I would
>>suggest a nearby mountainside or hillside, on a line between the aircraft's
>>heading just before the crash and the actual crash location itself.

>>

>>According Aviation Week (issue arrived yesterday, dated 4/8): "The USAF
>>Aircraft was cleared for the NDB approach to Runway 12 and crossed over the
>>KLP NDB beacon inbound to the runway [the KLP beacon is 11.8 miles, 299
>>degrees True FROM Runway 12]. There is a second beacon near the runway, but
>>it was unclear which one the crew [was] using for guidance [that is, was the
>>crew steering directly toward the NDB on the field (?) or steering directly
>>AWAY from the KLP NDB -- 180 degrees away from 299 degrees is 119 degrees,
>>more or less the direct approach heading for Runway 12 whose azimuth is 120

>>degrees]."

>>

>>The second beacon, CV LCTR is apparently located 1.9 miles 299 degrees true
>>FROM the threshold of Runway 12 [see the color diagram on page 26 of the
>>4/8/96 Aviation Week].

>>

>>Now, assuming the diagram in Aviation Week is correct and one wanted to
>>investigate the "spoofing" hypothesis, where would one expect the "fake"
>>beacon to be IF either the aircraft was flying TO the CV LCTR beacon or, I
>>assume, FROM the KLP NDB (that is, if the MDI aboard the aircraft could not
>>readily distinguish reciprocal bearings in this situation -- remember my
>>short preparatory stories a few days ago re reciprocal bearing issues when
>>using this old style equipment?)?

>>

>>Well, a good spot to consider for the location of the fake beacon would be on
>>the southern slopes of some hills about 5.5 miles to the SE of the crash
>>site, say at about the three thousand foot level. You wouldn't want to be on
>>the peaks at roughly 4,200 feet since that would be a lot more work and might
>>outline your vehicle on the skyline unless the visibility was bad the entire
>>time the vehicle was present.

>>

>>The altitude is right -- you would want to have the beacon both high
>>enough/near enough to be able to "swamp" the real signal coming from CV LCTR
>>(or NDB KLP, as the case may be).

>>

>>***Of course, if the signal from CV had been shut off -- remember the person
>>in charge of the nav aids committed "suicide" the Saturday after the crash
>>before he could be interviewed -- then there would be no need to "swamp" the
>>signal from CV. Since then I have confirmed that there ARE portable NDBs.
>> There are at least two versions -- a 25 watt version that is portable by
>>one man and a higher powered version that would need a couple of guys, or
>>perhaps a jeep, depending on how far you had to carry it. People have told

>>me that the ADF/RMI in the aircraft will point to the strongest signal if

>>more than one signal on the same frequency is detected.***

>>

>>It turns out that if one draws a line FROM the KLP NDB TO the crash site

>>(again, assuming Aviation Week's diagram/map is accurate) on a course of

>>about 115 degrees true FROM the KLP NDB TO the crash site and extends this

>>line to the SE, one finds that one reaches a roughly 3,000 foot high side

>>slope approximately 5.5 miles past the crash site. It all works out rather

>>nice, but this doesn't mean there WAS spoofing, just that the geometry

>>works out right.

>>

>>One other reason the geometry works out right is that the 2,300 foot altitude

>>of the crash site is HIGHER than one would expect the aircraft to have been

>>at that point in its approach (the whole idea is to have the aircraft hit the

>>approximately 2,500 foot high hill and it wouldn't have done so unless it

>>would have been below that altitude had it been following a more or less

>>normal approach to the airport (which for this scenario we assume was the

>>goal of the pilots on board).

>>

>>***The latest numbers I have indicate that the Hill itself was 2300 feet high

>>and that the aircraft hit the hill at about the 2175 foot level. The Minimum

>>Descent Altitude for an approach to Runway 12 is 2,150 feet, so the plane was

>>just about exactly AT the MDA when it hit the hill. Good pilot practice on

>>an approach like this one is to truck on down to the MDA relatively early in

>>the approach (that is 3-4 miles BEFORE you expect to make the "go-around or

>>land" decision at the MDA over the "inner" beacon 1.9 miles 300 degrees from

>>the Runway 12 threshold. This gives you time to get all set in every other

>>respect (height, speed, etc.) so you can concentrate better on the decision

>>to land or execute a missed approach.***

>>

>>One other point that fits nicely is that we would want to point of impact to

>>be BEFORE the pilots expected to reach the runway. That is, if the crash

>>site we were trying to spoof the aircraft to was significantly PAST the

>>runway threshold, the pilots might start thinking (based on the time their
>>MDI reversed when they overflow KLP NDB some 11.8 miles FROM the runway to
>>the Northwest [299 degrees true]) Geez, we must be screwed up, we've flown
>>past the runway for some reason and didn't see it.

>>

>>If the crew flew longer on their approach than they thought they should have
>>they would get worried immediately since they would know of the roughly 4,000
>>foot peaks a few miles to the SE of the airport (on whose southern sideslopes
>>our scenario indicates the "spoofing" beacon was located) and would doubtless
>>go to full power to climb to avoid the mountains (better to also execute a
>>radical turn to the right where the ground is lower), ascending rapidly from
>>just over 2,000 feet to 5,000 or so.

>>

>>Thus, the location of the crash site at the 2,300 foot level of the roughly
>>2,500 hill that is a somewhat shorter distance from KLP NDB than the runway
>>threshold also fits nicely.

>>***As indicate above the latest info is that the hill was 2,300 feet tall and
>>that the impact height was 2,175 feet. This does not change the analysis one
>>iota.***

>>

>>One other little point that also fits nicely. The MDA (Minimum Decent
>>Altitude -- the altitude below which one does not descend at a given
>>location) over the CV LCTR (or, if you wish, the MDA at the equivalent
>>bearing and distance from KLP NDB) is 2,150 feet. The crash site is roughly
>>some 3/4 mile further along the aircraft's track than CV LCTR and guess what,
>>the aircraft hit the hill at 2,300 feet some 18 seconds (.75 miles at
>>roughly 150 mph approach speed) after it would have passed over CV LCTR had
>>it been on a normal course. Tentative Conclusion -- the USAF guys were doing
>>fine, altitude wise had the approach been a normal unspoofed one -- they were
>>still hanging a little above the MDA of 2,150 feet that exists at CV LCTR,
>>but since the were off course to the left, they still hit the hill to the
>>north at 2,300 feet.

>>

>>A couple of other comments. IF the aircraft gyrocompasses (and any other
>>instruments indicating the plane's heading on impact -- when the crash would
>>have frozen them) indicate the aircraft was on a true heading of 113 degrees
>>true (or more to the right) rather than 120 (the runway heading) or more to
>>the left, that would reinforce this scenario. Note that according to
>>Aviation Week the wind was steady and right on the aircraft nose (from 120
>>degrees) at about 14 mph -- thus the pilots were facing no heavy cross wind
>>that they had to continually adjust for, adjustments that would tend to place
>>them on a different heading than 120. A little side issue -- flying on the
>>"spoofed" beacon signal would have meant flying (from KLP NDB a heading of
>>around 113 degrees. Would the pilots have noticed this seven degree
>>discrepancy as they were letting down or would one pilot be flying the beam
>>and maintaining descent and the other pilot be looking out of the cockpit for
>>ground references, making it tough to continuously check their heading by
>>gyro.

>>

>>***Better figures for the 7 degree discrepancy now place the discrepancy at
>>9-10 degrees, but the point still stands. The USAF Report issued 6/7 (copy
>>on the way to me -- 7000+ pages) indicates that there WAS a crosswind at the
>>altitude the pilots were flying after leaving KLP -- that is a cross wind
>>spanning 4000-2000 feet MSL. The first mention of a cross wind I have heard
>>(other than speculation that a cross wind from the right would tend,
>>obviously, to push the plane to the left unless the pilots corrected for it)
>>is apparently in the USAF report.***

>>

>>It was reported over the AP wire yesterday that the Dubrovnik airport's
>>navigation maintenance chief committed suicide at his home Saturday, three
>>days after the crash. This is very speculative, but let's ask the question:
>> Did the Dubrovnik airport have a backup beacon mounted in a vehicle? Did
>>the maintenance chief put two and two together (or worse)? Another straw in
>>the wind.

>>

>>Note that five aircraft landed in the 3-4 hours right before IFOR 21 (the
>>Brown plane's call sign) and experienced no difficulty with the weather or
>>with the navigation aids. The CT-43 [I assume the "C" means that it was
>>designated as both a cargo transport and a trainer] in question was Boeing
>>Line #347, Serial Number 20696 [the number on the wheel gear door was
>>apparently 1194].

>>

>>Anyway, speculation, but something worth checking out. . .

>>=====

>>Additional notes written 6/14 by Sprunt:

>>

>>I do have one comment on the expert's opinions pasted above. I agree
>>completely that the landing gear is not lowered and full flaps are not set
>>until the decision is made to actually land (that is, you reach the MDA, you
>>see the runway, and therefore do NOT execute a missed approach. The reason
>>for this is the severe drag imposed by the landing gear and full flaps -- you
>>do not want to incur this drag unless you know you are going to make an
>>actual attempt to land on the runway.

>>

>>I do differ in one respect re his comments on flaps [Remember that I am an
>>"old slow and non-current" pilot]. I think it is quite possible that the
>>pilots would have and should have put on PARTIAL flaps (first setting or
>>maybe first and then second setting depending on how many options there are
>>for flap settings on the aircraft) during the 9.9 mile approach from the out
>>beacon (KLP) to the inner beacon (CV). This should have been done in my
>>opinion to begin to slow the aircraft closer to landing speed in anticipation
>>of landing.

>>

>>I do not know how many flap settings the 737-200 (USAF designation T-43A)
>>had. I would guess three or four -- the full flap setting imposes a huge
>>amount of drag for the additional lift and would not be put "on" until a
>>landing commitment was made for the reasons described above. Maybe by

>>indicating "no flaps" the article was merely indicating that "full flaps" had
>>not been applied. Of course, the article could literally be correct -- maybe
>>NO flaps at all had been put "on." I'll just have to wait and read the USAF
>>report issued on 6/7.

>>

>>A story in the NY Times written 6/7" "Mr. Perry [Secretary of Defense] said
>>that the Air Force had determined that a radio beacon on the approach to
>>Dubrovnik airport may not have been working on April 3, adding to the
>>pilots' confusion in the final moments before the crash." Whoa! Context
>>indicates that the reference to a nonworking beacon refers to the "inner"
>>beacon (NOT to be confused with the inner marker of an ILS approach -- there
>>was no working ILS at the Dubrovnik Airport), CV, 1.9 miles 300 degrees from
>>the Runway 12 threshold.

>>

>>Was it not working because another beacon (the clone of CV located three-four
>>miles past the impact point in the hills to the SE of the impact point) WAS
>>working and spoofed the pilots of the Brown plane as described in the
>>article.

>>

>>Note that one difficulty with the spoofing hypothesis is that it required a
>>solid overcast with a bottom around or somewhat lower than the 2,000 feet AGL
>>figure reported to the pilots on final (2,000 feet Above Ground Level is
>>2,500 feet MSL -- above Mean Sea Level -- since the airport runway threshold
>>is about 500 feet above MSL). If the bottom of the solid overcast were much
>>higher, the mountain would have been seen by the pilots. Much lower and no
>>one would be landing at the airport.

>>

>>Obviously, one cannot be sure that the Brown plane would be approaching the
>>airport at a time when the ceiling was just about right for spoofing. Of
>>course, other means could have been in reserve to bring the plane down if the
>>ceiling had not been right. Furthermore, perhaps Brown's plane as such was
>>not specifically targeted -- perhaps there was a longstanding desire on the
>>part of someone to bring down an aircraft approaching the airport and the

>>Brown plane merely presented a convenient target (cui bono?).

>>

>>The NYT Article goes on to state, "Only one of the two beacons for some
>>reason was operating, or at least the plane was only picking up one of the
>>two beacons." I have heard nothing indicating that the pilots of the five
>>planes that landed before the Brown plane had any trouble picking up the
>>inner beacon, CV. One of them landed ~35 minutes before the Brown flight
>>would have landed at the airport. Wouldn't the tower KNOW if one of the two
>>beacons went off the air? The NYT article indicates that the Craotians
>>insist both bedacons were working.

>>

>>The article also notes that all the nav aids were checked out nby an FAA
>>aircraft a few days after the crash and found to have been working. The NDBs
>>are not real hi-tech -- they merely put out an AM signal on a specific
>>frequencuy. Not the sort of gadget to go sour -- unless of course its power
>>supply was cut off. . .

>>

>>Why the uncertainty re was it not working or was it working and the Brown
>>pilots did not hear it? Clearly the entire USAF report will be worth a quick
>>skim and certain parts of it will merit careful study.

>>

>>A June 10 article in the NYT refers to the fact that neither the flaps nor
>>the landing gear had been lowered -- confirming what the expert's post
>>(pasted above) indicated.

>>

>>I hope to have the full Air Force report in my hands within a few days --
>>it's coming to me UPS ground. I checked in with the USAF PAO office at the
>>Pentagon early this week and they indicated they would hold a full copy (35
>>pounds and 7,100 pages) for pickup for me. The fellow picking it up is
>>shipping it to me UPS ground since it is so heavy!

>>

>>Warm regards,

>>Hugh S.

=====

Hugh:

I may not be as much of an expert as you may think. While I am familiar with USAF procedures I was never a USAF pilot and all of my flight experience is in small single engine prop planes. I do hold an instrument rating but it has been a long time since I have been current on instruments. A better expert would be a current Boeing 737 pilot and the best expert would be a current USAF T-43 pilot.

As to the flaps being either fully retracted or partially lowered, I am just going by the USAF briefing on CNN that said the gear and flaps were retracted on impact. From a USAF pilot's standpoint, first concern would be the required procedure. If procedure allowed flap position to be optional at that point in the approach, you would want the flaps to be fully retracted until they had to be lowered so that a missed approach would be expedited. You can be sure that the pilots in question were set on a hair trigger for a missed approach, considering the local terrain and the type of approach (NDB) they were making.

With a probable crosswind at altitude the 10 +/- degree discrepancy would not be so noticeable as the wind correction applied would make the heading close to 120 while tracking inbound to the clone CV NDB.

A portable NDB would more likely be military (or CIA) equipment than civilian airport equipment.

The height of the ceiling, as you say, was critical to the clone CV scenario. A thorough investigation (not likely to occur) would review Brown's entire itinerary and look for alternate hit possibilities, then look for possible evidence of contingency hit plans which did not need to be activated. For example, once on the ground, was there a point in the schedule where Brown or a small group including Brown would be placed in a good position for some other type of 'accident'?

As to whether KLP or CV was being used for guidance: if you are tracking outbound from KLP and you know you will have to switch to CV for final approach fix crossing indication, you would want to switch to CV as soon as possible after crossing KLP (1) in the interest of planning ahead, always a good idea on an approach and (2) to avoid the requirement to think 'upside down' to track outbound from an NDB (figuring your wind correction angle mentally is easier when tracking inbound to, rather than outbound from an NDB. While the pilots were supposedly current on NDB approaches through training, nobody likes NDB approaches because more modern approach nav aids are much easier to use.)

Please let me know exactly what the USAF report has to say about the USAF having determined that CV may not have been working (when the other aircraft apparently experienced no problem receiving a useable signal from CV).

In CT-43, the C placed before the T means that the aircraft was originally configured as a (navigator) trainer and was later reconfigured to a cargo (passenger) aircraft. I question that the official designation might be VCT-43, indicating VIP transport.

Please fax me a copy of your article (what magazine?) to 210
342-4867. I will be glad to discuss with you any other details
that may be of interest.

for Liberty,

Bill

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061805 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Neal Knox on gun confiscation, etc.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: FW: FCO 6-12-96 Part 2

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 96 14:48:00 EST

From: firearms-alert-errors

Subject: FCO 6-12-96 Part 2

Date: Sunday, June 16, 1996 2:55AM

Phone logs tomorrow. They are also broken up into two pieces.

Chris

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Shotgun News Columns April 10 - June 2

'Ultimate Confiscation'

By NEAL KNOX

WASHINGTON, D.C. (April 10) -- "Washington Post" columnist and television pundit Charles Krauthammer, in an April 5 article condemning the House repeal vote, revealed the truth about "reasonable" gun laws:

"(T)he assault weapons ban will have no significant effect either on the crime rate or on personal security. Nonetheless, it is a good idea, though for reasons its proponents dare not

enunciate."

"Passing a law like the assault weapons ban is a symbolic -- purely symbolic -- move.... Its only real justification is not to reduce crime but to desensitize the public to the regulation of weapons in preparation for their ultimate confiscation."

That's what this fight is really all about: the ultimate confiscation of all firearms.

When I say such a thing, and I've been saying it for over 30 years, I'm accused of paranoia. I'm not paranoid; I simply know how to read.

On June 17, 1968, when the Johnson Administration's Gun Control Act was still being debated, Rev. J. Elliott Corbett, then the secretary of the National Council for a Responsible Firearms Policy, said in a letter to a Maryland supporter: "We are now supporting the President's Bill which provides stringent restrictions on rifles and shotguns. We shall also get behind the Tydings Bill which provides for national registration and licensing [Note: of all guns].

"I personally believe handguns should be outlawed.... Our organization will probably officially take this stand in time but we are not anxious to rouse the opposition before we get the other legislation passed.

"It would be difficult to outlaw all rifles and shotguns because of the hunting sport. But there should be stiff regulations. The day may come in this country when police are issued weapons for 24 to 48 hours."

The Rev. Corbett, who was the Director of the Board of Church and Society of the United Methodist Church, in 1974 formed the National Coalition to Ban Handguns. (NCBH recently softened its name to "Coalition to Stop Gun Violence," though its objectives haven't changed and it's still located in the Methodist Church building on Capitol Hill.)

I called Corbett several times about his organization's plans and policies. Once, when he couldn't answer a question, he turned over the telephone to Edward O. Wells, who he said had helped him set up NCBH. I was astounded, for Ed Wells was the founding chairman of another group formed in 1974, the National Council to Control Handguns. To reduce the confusion with NCBH, NCCH was later renamed Handgun Control Inc.

About a year after starting what is now HCI, Wells, who had just retired from the Central Intelligence Agency, turned over the chairmanship to Nelson T. (Pete) Shields (who passed it to Sarah Brady).

In the July 26, 1976 New Yorker Magazine (pp. 53f), Shields told columnist Richard Harris: "We're going to have to take one step at a time, and the first step is necessarily -- given the political realities -- going to be very modest."

"Our ultimate goal -- total control of handguns in the United States -- is going to take time. ... The first problem is to slow down the increasing number of handguns being produced.... The second problem is to get handguns registered. And the final problem is to make the possession of all handguns and all handgun ammunition -- except for the military, policemen, licensed security guards, licensed sporting clubs, and licensed gun

collectors -- totally illegal."

The step-by-step plan that Corbett laid out in 1968, that Shields revealed in 1975, and Krauthammer in 1996, is precisely what we've watched them try to do -- with too much success. About 1990 HCI changed its corporate charter to allow it to pursue the so-called "assault weapons" ban of rifles and shotguns, and NCBH changed its name from "Handgun" to "Gun."

No matter how restrictive the gun laws may be, when they fail to prevent a rise in crime -- particularly some especially heinous crime like the slaughter of kindergartners in Scotland -- the anti-gun crowd has an excuse: the gun laws aren't restrictive enough.

The United Kingdom's all-restrictive laws are the supposed model for U.S. gun "controllers," but they've been very quiet, for their colleagues in Great Britain are now attempting the ultimate step: prohibition and confiscation of licensed handguns.

Yet dupes in the public, and even within our own ranks, still think our foes only want a "reasonable" gun law.

=====

NRA In Dallas

Dallas, Texas (April 22) -- If you missed the NRA 125th Anniversary Meetings this weekend, you missed a whale of a party.

A record crowd -- almost 30,000 -- saw an unusually large number of exhibits (including the NRA Museum in Microcosm).

There was too much to see if you also attended the annual

meetings and some of the outstanding special sessions.

Millions got a partial look on C-Span, which televised the speeches of the officers.

The election of NRA's first woman president, Marion Hammer, accounted for much of the media's interest -- including more requests for her to appear on television than she could possibly accommodate.

After much polite campaigning for Second Vice President, the Nominating Committee narrowed their choices to Directors Don Henry, Kayne Robinson and Albert Ross, then recommended Ross. As soon as their decision was announced Robinson and Henry both withdrew, asking their supporters to vote for Albert.

All the other officers were re-elected. The third of the board elected or re-elected had all been recommended by the Nominating Committee.

Ross, a soft-spoken attorney from Arlington, Texas, has been active for years in youth shooting and hunting programs, is the former president of the Dallas Arms Collectors, and is a legislative activist.

Despite the efforts of the press to find controversy, it was the most harmonious meeting in years.

During my report I stated that the massacre of kindergartners at Dunblane, Scotland, showed that even the United Kingdom's vaunted gun laws couldn't prevent the acts of a madman. A reporter from the London Times had the audacity to ask

me if that horror had "helped NRA" by proving our case!

I told him that visitation of evil had not helped anyone.

The only truly unpleasant action at the meeting was the Board's removal from the NRA, after a lengthy ethics procedure, of Dave Edmondson for "specific violations of provisions of the NRA Bylaws regarding the filing and confidentiality of complaints," NRA said in a formal statement.

Edmondson, a defeated board member, has been a frequent NRA critic in the press and on national television programs, but the expulsion (which required a three-quarters vote) "did not involve the matter of his criticisms of the NRA and its officers," the statement said. The Bylaws prohibit Directors from discussing facts of the case.

Despite recent newspaper articles claiming NRA is going broke (most quoting Edmondson), the Treasurer reported that membership is stable at 3 million, the loan on the new NRA building has been reduced, the value of investments has increased some \$8 million in the past year, and NRA is managing to continue to operate in the black after finishing 1995 with a balanced budget.

Meanwhile, much has been happening in Washington.

Last week the much-modified conference version of the anti-terrorism bill -- roundly condemned by Sen. Joe Biden, Rep. Charles Schumer and President Clinton -- passed the Senate 91-8 and the House 293-133. Despite the grumbling, and a futile last-minute effort by opponents to add 15 amendments (including restoring the anti-gun provisions) those veto-proof votes mean

Clinton will sign it.

There's little or nothing in the final bill to worry gun owners, but much to worry convicted killers and abusive Federal law enforcement agencies, for it includes limits on death row appeals and a requirement for a blue ribbon commission to be appointed to investigate the way the BATF, FBI, U.S. Marshals and other agencies are doing business -- including what they did at Waco and Ruby Ridge.

Hearings will be held this week in Rep. Jim Lightfoot's (Iowa) Treasury Appropriations subcommittee to hear the initial results of a General Accounting Office review of how BATF is treating Federally licensed firearms dealers.

Sen. Arlen Specter's planned hearings on the future of BATF, also scheduled for this week, have been postponed for six to eight weeks.

There also will be hearings this week concerning so-called "canned hunts" before the crime subcommittee, of all places.

And next week there will be hearings on the Centers for Disease Control and the Injury Prevention Center, including the fact that they're spending big dollars for anti-gun research which is so biased that the researchers have refused to provide their data and methods.

=====

Roberti's Revenge

By NEAL KNOX

WASHINGTON, D.C. (May 1) -- When California Senate President

Pro Tem David Roberti and Assembly Speaker Pro Tem Mike Roos pushed through the infamous Roberti-Roos ban on military-look semi-autos in 1989, gunowners across the state -- and the nation -- swore they'd get even.

They did. Both Roberti and Roos are now out of politics. But their friends have struck back with an \$808,000 fine against two of the leaders of the fight against them!

Roos resigned after pro-gun grass roots groups began stirring up his smelly political past, using an innovative "chain letter" technique for reaching the voters in his district -- a system that the politicians couldn't control.

Then came the big one: An equally innovative effort to use an obscure constitutional provision to recall Roberti, the first recall to get on the ballot since 1914. Roberti survived the recall election, but only after expending so much political capital and campaign funds that he was defeated in his subsequent campaign to be elected state treasurer.

Last fall, the California Fair Political Practices Commission struck back with that grossly unfair \$808,000 fine.

Citizens Against Corruption Executive Director Russ Howard (who did most of the research on the recall effort) and Assistant Treasurer Steve Cicero were each saddled with the largest such political fine in U.S. history -- over five times the total raised by the committee.

It wasn't enough to rap CAC, Howard and Cicero the maximum \$2,000 fine for each of the 105 contributors whose names and

donation, but not their addresses, were reported.

Instead, the FPPC charged one count each for failure to report the address, occupation, and employer's name. In many cases that amounted to a \$6,000 fine for initially reporting only the name and amount of a \$100 contribution!

Russ says there were three main reasons for the initial reports not being complete: (1) Many contributions came from out-of-staters who didn't provide that information; (2) The inability of a tiny band of amateurs to comply with all the bureaucratic requirements while attempting to conduct a campaign and, most importantly:

(3) Desire to save contributors the harassment that occurred after names and addresses of supporters of the Roberti recall were published in local newspapers.

By double and triple-counting each incomplete report, FPPC came up with 404 counts at \$2,000 each.

Perhaps it's only coincidence, but Roberti spent some \$800,000 -- almost exactly the amount of the fine -- in defending against the recall.

A few days before the recall election Roberti told CBS News: "They want to send a message to any politician who dares fight them that they're going to make it so costly and so expensive that they're not going to fight the gun lobby."

And I think it's a fair assumption that the California political establishment, through the FPPC, is now sending an

\$808,000 message to grass roots activists who invade their turf, or figure ways to evade their control and/or give politicians fits.

The innovative "chain letter" campaign used against both Roos and Roberti involved gun owners across the country -- many of them readers of this column -- who photocopied campaign material, then used their own envelopes and postage to send it to registered voters in Roberti's and Roos' districts.

The recall effort these guys helped pioneer has since been used three times to recall politicians who angered their constituents.

A "brain trust" of skilled constitutional, administrative law, and gun rights lawyers has formed to try to save Russ and Steve from bankruptcy -- and give the FPPC pain. (The FPPC has filed suit to have the fine converted into a civil judgement.)

And the Institute for Constitutional Rights, an established IRS 501(c)(3) organization which often defends the "politically incorrect," has taken up the case.

Even with that kind of legal help, it's expensive to fight the establishment. The immediate need is about \$10,000 to pay 10 cents per page to copy documents showing how FPPC has treated others -- which will show the rank discrimination against Russ and Steve.

We can't leave our wounded warriors on the battlefield.

Please send a generation contribution to ICR, 1999 Avenue Of The Stars, Suite 2800, Los Angeles, CA, noting that it's for the

CAC Defense Fund. It's tax-deductible.

As Russ has said, "Isn't it nice that the government will be helping to weaken its own power to oppress?"

=====

The New Frontier

By NEAL KNOX

WASHINGTON, D.C. (May 10) -- While preparing my speech at the NRA annual members meeting in Dallas, I thought about how close North Texas is to the frontier -- where a gun was not merely something used for recreation, but for defense of self, family and community.

Exactly 30 years ago, when I was a newspaper reporter and editor in Wichita Falls, about to move to Sidney, Ohio, to start Gun Week, I began receiving the local newspaper.

The "75 Years Ago Today" column made me think I was moving into a foreign land, particularly when it reported who won the May 1891 trap shoot at the Shelby County Deer Hunters Club.

In 1891, my part of North Texas was only three years away from the formal closing of the frontier. It had been only a few years since the Comanches were on the warpath along the Red River.

That wasn't long ago. One of my grandmothers knew Quanah Parker, the last of the Comanche war chiefs.

People who lived in North Texas in 1891 didn't waste ammunition on trap targets. They needed guns, ammo, powder and shot to feed

their families, and, particularly, to protect them.

Firearms were critical on the frontier -- which explains why opposition to gun laws has always been strongest in the areas where the frontier is closest.

Today there's a new frontier -- one which has nothing to do with geography.

It's the frontier between the civilized, law-abiding, peace-loving people who are the overwhelming majority of this nation, and the uncivilized, law-breaking, vicious people who prey on the helpless.

That frontier has no boundaries, but it is most-sharply defined in long-settled urban areas, the traditional breeding areas for both crime and gun laws.

The failure of gun laws to disarm any but the law-abiding, and the growing awareness that the law-abiding must have the means to protect themselves, is causing a reversal in the gun law trends.

People who live on that new frontier are demanding the same rights of protection which were once demanded by those who lived on the old frontier.

A few weeks ago the Washington Post reported on a neighborhood meeting where residents of the District of Columbia met with police about how they could protect themselves.

The law-abiding can't have handguns in D.C. -- those have been outlawed since 1976 -- and rifles and shotguns are almost impossible to legally obtain and are required to be registered and licensed.

Incredibly, the law prohibits even legal guns in residences from being loaded or fully assembled! It may not have been intended as an OSHA for criminals, but it certainly assured them a safe work environment!

Those D.C. cops recommended that the residents of crime-infested neighborhoods acquire shotguns.

Lt. Lowell Duckett, head of the Washington D. C. Black Police Caucus told the Washington Post that while honest citizens can't legally have a handgun, the crooks have all they want -- and that it was time to repeal the law.

He and his fellow officers are the embattled scouts on the new frontier.

Recognition of that new frontier, and the resurrected need for protection of self, family -- and even community, as the Los Angeles riots showed -- is the reason that in the past two years 16 more states have enacted laws requiring the issuance of concealed carry permits to law-abiding citizens.

No peace-loving citizen truly wants to arm himself or herself to defend their loved ones. But as has been wisely said, "It is far better to have a gun and not need it, than to need a gun a not have it."

A gun is something that most of us use only for recreation, but it is also an insurance policy that we pray we never need to for defense of ourselves and our families.

Each of us has insurance on our homes. We pray we never need it, either.

But just because we don't want our homes to burn doesn't mean that we should cancel our insurance policy -- and we sure shouldn't allow our government to void the insurance policy provided by the Second Amendment.

Political Foolishness

By NEAL KNOX

WASHINGTON, D.C. (May 20) -- Until I heard Dennis Fusaro trash House Speaker Newt Gingrich at Saturday's Gunstock rally in Detroit, I didn't believe that the leadership of Gun Owners of America had lost their collective minds.

Fusaro heads state and local matters for GOA, and I had heard that, in addition to well-known NRA-bashing (perhaps to enhance their fund-raising), he and they were adopting some incomprehensible and counter-productive political positions.

Speaker Gingrich took immense flak for causing the promised repeal vote on the semi-auto and magazine ban; if it hadn't been for him, there would have been no Waco hearings, and Freshman Bob Barr wouldn't be chairman of the House Firearms Task Force.

To treat Gingrich as an enemy is plain stupid. Yet Saturday Fusaro blasted him for "supporting gun control" which he defined as "anything that increases government power."

The most damning thing I had previously read about GOA's new course was a "Trip Report" by Northern Californian gun rights activist Peter Nesbitt concerning his attendance at a GOA

Advanced Legislative Project Management April 29.

Retired Senator H.L. "Bill" Richardson, who founded GOA and Gun Owners of California, keynoted the seminar, with Fusaro as the principal instructor.

Nesbitt said they were told: "Instead of mobilizing our people in electing and assisting 'pro-gun' politicians, we should be busy inflicting Political Pain. By becoming too involved with the politician, becoming his friend, or accepting appointments to his various committees and panels, we lose our effectiveness as a grassroots activist. He then controls US, instead of us controlling HIM."

Nesbitt concluded: "The main theme in this course is exercising our POWER against the politician through CONFRONTATIONAL techniques.

"The techniques taught in this seminar indicate that we should be able to get ANY elected official to vote our way irregardless [sic] of who put him in office.

"Much of the course content is very controversial, as it goes against the grain of what we as gun-owners, have been taught over the last few years."

No kidding!

This theology of "Only" Confrontational Politics is a prescription for disaster. Certainly we should "punish our foes," and I have delighted in dis-electing many anti-gunners; but it is equally critical to "support our friends."

Support of non-incumbent pro-gunners was the sole reason Sen. Bill Richardson originally set up Gun Owners of California in 1975; I was a member of his board of directors.

Bill probably would never have been elected if some gun activists in his district -- particularly Jim Watkins and Tom Wentz -- had taken the position that GOA/GOC now prescribes. They formed the San Gabriel Valley Gun Lobby and worked hard to elect him and three other hopefuls who did sterling work for gun owners. (One of them was U.S. Rep. Carlos Moorhead who is retiring this year after an exemplary career.)

After Bill was re-elected enough times to become Senate Majority Leader, Watkins and Wentz felt that he began playing Republican politics so hard he sometimes forgot to dance with those gunowners "what brung him."

Though they never treated him as an enemy -- Fusaro's prescription for politicians who backslide -- they quit working for him. And when Bill realized he had lost his base of support, he retired.

Saturday Fusaro described GOA as the "junkyard dogs" of the gun movement; but their tactics are causing them to be treated more like skunks.

Example: In 1992, local activists and GOA were significant factors in electing Rep. Roscoe Bartlett (R-Md.) while NRA supported a pro-gun Democrat with a proven voting record in the state legislature.

Rep. Bartlett Congressmen was the prime sponsor for GOA's

legislation guaranteeing the right to self-defense with a firearm. And he sponsored the successful amendment to the "anti-terrorism bill" requiring a commission to investigate Federal law enforcement, including Waco and Ruby Ridge.

But on Friday, to quell an attack from his opponent, Rep. Bartlett said he was returning \$6,700 in campaign contributions from GOA because Executive Director Larry Pratt (whom I know not to be a racist) would not publicly renounce white supremacist groups.

Will GOA now turn against Rep. Bartlett? I hope not. Rep. Bartlett is not our enemy; nor is Newt Gingrich.

'Real' AK-47's Smuggled

By NEAL KNOX

WASHINGTON, D.C. (June 2) -- No sooner had the Clinton Administration recommended "Most Favored Nation" trading status for China -- those friendly folks who created the Tiananmen Square massacre -- than the Treasury Department seized 2,000 Chinese full auto AK-47's supposedly destined for "street gangs."

The alleged smuggling ring included representatives of the state-owned China Northern Industrial Corp. (Norinco) and Poly Technologies, which make guns for the Chinese "People's Liberation Army." Poly Tech's president is He Ping, son-in-law of China's senior leader Deng Xiaoping.

While BATF was given much of the credit by the press, a BATF spokesman said the seizure was the result of a 16-month sting operation conducted primarily by the Customs Service. The sting

was dramatically called "Operation Dragon Fire."

The "Washington Post" reported that BATF had purchased five AK-47 machine guns and a suppressor-equipped pistol early last year as part of the first part of the sting, when the U.S.-based smugglers were proving they could obtain sophisticated equipment.

Agents were reportedly working on a deal to extend the purchase to include hand-held Stinger-type anti-aircraft missiles, "silencers," explosives and other devices -- "intended for militia groups." No such purchases were made, and none sold.

Surely it was mere coincidence that on May 27, just three days after the embarrassing Customs seizure, the China News Agency reported that some 4,000 seized guns had been destroyed as part of a crackdown on illegal gun ownership.

The article, and a flurry of almost concurrent press reports, cited "poor controls over the munitions industry."

Surely that fortuitous timing had nothing to do with the desire of China to keep its Most Favored Nation status -- or the Clinton Administration's request to Congress to extend it.

One of the funniest things about all this was reporters trying to explain how these real assault rifles differed from the semi-auto civilian versions that they had been calling "assault rifles." Many gave up and called them merely "rifles," or simply made no distinction from the semi-autos that they have been "shown" in countless TV clips of similar guns in full-auto fire.

As I told Christian Science Monitor Radio, which called me early on the morning the story broke, none of these guns could be

sold to law-abiding citizens. All new machine guns have been banned since 1986, but if criminals want them, they'll get them.

Cutting out supply increases demand, and increases the price criminals will pay. If the profits are big enough, smugglers will bring in the prohibited product -- whether booze during prohibition, drugs or machine guns today, or handguns should they ever be made theoretically impossible for criminals to obtain.

If drug smugglers can bring in tons of marijuana, cocaine and heroin, they'll have no problem bringing in illegal weaponry -- and they will if they can make money on it.

Drugs are used once, then must be resupplied; guns will last 100 years, and ammunition 50 years. The notion that criminals can be deterred by firearms interdiction laws is silly -- criminals can always obtain illegal guns, and this BATF sting proves it.

Needless to say, none of those comments was used.

=====

Copyright 1996 by Neal Knox Associates

P.O. Box 6537

Rockville, MD 20916.

Reproduction and non-commercial distribution of this bulletin by any means is encouraged so long as this statement is retained.

=====

Do not put your credit card number in e-mail.

=====

Dear Neal,

I use the information you provide to protect my gun rights. Enclosed is my contribution so that you can continue your work:

\$500 [] \$250 [] \$50 [] \$25 [] Other:____ []

Quarterly []

Bill my MasterCard [] Visa [] Monthly []

Once []

Card No. _____ Expiration Date _____

Mr. []

Mrs. [] _____

Ms. []

Signature _____

Address _____ Phone _____

City _____ State ____ Zip _____

Email Address _____

Print and mail to:

Firearms Coalition

Box 6537

Silver Spring, MD 20916

=====

PGP users: Remove the leading asterisks from the BEGIN and END lines
before using this key.

*-----BEGIN PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----

Version: 2.6.2

mQCNAy8Q4mIAAAEEALKdSCTF6BvTg4luk1IOYtiQyxPotnTjjijSawo9htwZeFS/
KU0WAPkeDuhgKSN3H5242irpkfUu8g84fAPBH6a6joaFN70chRa49WXnz2dReT0V
iT9xeec9rPSASH04dz+lEONeDZ17yh/JGt+tjYq0CIenFZ9JMCgz4I2lBJDFAAUR
tCdDaHJpc3RvcGhlciBXyXJyZW4gS25veCA8Y2tub3hAY3JsLmNvbT6JAJUDBRAV
pxqvIbPgjaUEkMUBAS8BA/9PP4teu4vja6dTXkOMhVN8xgf1f166VCc2V4A0/1li
uRdf75GS1uQd+pzPIZoIReU440uuLfNSMqAAjCLHDja9ViAU11Tk7YIKJMe53+nZ
UnQndT2a6ikeQgh/kFxFM1z4NHgTBZ/KMg3td45WzEA3XpjWACrXWNAtYplaQ0hg
Iw==

=VDsh

*-----END PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----

=====

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: 2.6.2

iQCVAwUBMcDuACGz4I2lBJDFAQGsVAP+I43XGLCH6pni4dt8ziWN3PVyyk3QdBt5

/jSQCP13+Gagymztvxw00Gc12dZbnVTcjncUbhUodv0f+1XYY6j2gTgL67GW0ZCw
gGntt1QG+kZF4QQ5dx03DKb6IR+CD6nSF0tV0ZfnZ+akYhdSG6o0BX3DcPImsYcs
tWChhtAbfLg=
=aueJ

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

--

To receive the Online Firearms Coalition Bulletin send mail to
listproc@mainstream.com containing in the message body:

subscribe fco <Your Name

New! <http://www.crl.com/~cknox/fco.htm>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061804 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: 16 Jun 96 02:42:18 EDT

From: Vince Miller <71034.2711@CompuServe.COM>

To: LIBERNET <libernet@listserv.rmii.com>

Subject: ISIL ANTI-CENSORSHIP PAMPHLET

ISIL UPDATES CENSORSHIP PAMPHLET

We have just finished updating ISIL's popular "Freedom of Speech Under Seige" pamphlet to include the latest assaults on 1st Amentment rights. Additional copies are available for 5 cents apiece (on orders under \$5.00 add \$2 shipping & handling). As in

the past we will overprint your groups name on our pamphlets free if you order over 1000).

FREEDOM OF SPEECH UNDER SIEGE By Jarret Wollstein

"I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it." Francois Voltaire

No right is more fundamental than freedom of speech. Without freedom of speech you can't communicate your ideas and feelings, decry a social injustice, pursue an artistic vision, investigate scientific truth, practice a religion, or criticize government. If freedom of speech is destroyed, self-development is crippled, social progress grinds to a halt, and official lies become the only "truth." Although freedom of speech can be inhibited by intolerant people, it can only be destroyed by brute force, particularly government force. If fundamentalists demand that "Playboy" magazines be removed from store shelves, that is not censorship. Others are free to demand - just as forcefully - that "Playboy" be kept on shelves. And merchants can reject the fundamentalists' demands. But when government sends in police to close

down bookstores, arrest musicians, artists, and photographers, burn videotapes, or shut down computer networks, that is censorship.

CENSORSHIP IS THE HANDMAIDEN OF A POLICE STATE

Censorship is the use of force to control what you can say, read, or see. Although occasionally private individuals and groups engage in censorship - for example by stealing "insensitive" newspapers (which has occurred on several U.S. college campuses) or threatening to kill "indecent" artists, like "Satanic Verses" author Salman Rushdie - the primary agent of censorship is government. In Nazi Germany, the works of Jewish writers were seized and burned by storm troopers. Resisters were beaten and shot. Merely criticizing the government could mean being sent to a concentration camp. In the Soviet Union and Communist China, the government burned bibles and churches, as well as unapproved books and art. But censorship does not only exist in police states. In England, since 1974, 6,246 people have been imprisoned without trial under the Prevention of Terrorism Act, for such "crimes" as suspicion of supporting an illegal organization or providing a forum for banned ideas. That hasn't stopped IRA bombings. But it has made the people of England much less free. In Panama, after the U.S.-sponsored overthrow of dictator Manuel Noriega, journalists were rounded up, shot and killed (see the film "The Panama Deception").

THE RISE OF CENSORSHIP IN AMERICA

Censorship has been growing in the United States as well. The First Amendment to our Constitution unequivocally states that "Congress shall make no law . . . abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press." Yet during the last decades, with ever-growing zeal, our legislatures have been censoring books, films, photography, art, music, news, and even scientific information. And our courts - all the way up to the Supreme Court - have said it's legal.

"DIRTY" PICTURES. On November 2, 1995, Toni Marie Angeli was arrested at Zona Photo Labs in Massachusetts. She was picking up pictures of her 4-year-old son Nico in their bathtub. The photos were for a photography course she was taking at Harvard. Detective W. Phillips of Cambridge accused her of being a child pornographer and threatened: "If you don't cooperate, I will take that kid away from you on the spot." Under the 1990 Comprehensive Crime Act, nude pictures of your own children can be prosecuted as "child pornography." U.S. Supreme Court Justice Brennan warns that the law is so broad, you could even be prosecuted for having "pictures of topless bathers at a Mediterranean beach."

BURNING BOOKS. In June of 1993, the Supreme Court said it was constitutional to destroy over 100,000 books and tapes, and seize all of the assets of a chain of bookstores without trial because eleven of the books and tapes sold by the chain were judged obscene. (Alexander v. United States). Under this decision, federal police could destroy the entire inventory of every major chain of bookstores in the nation - like Crown or B. Dalton - if only a few of the items they sell are judged obscene.

POLITICALLY-CORRECT SPEECH. If freedom of speech means anything at all, it means the right to express ideas others disagree with. Yet the political-correctness movement has resulted in thousands of students and workers being punished for such "offenses" as the use of derogatory names, inconsiderate jokes, "misdirected" laughter, and "conspicuous exclusion" from conversation. Punishments for saying the wrong thing include expulsion, loss of jobs, and mandatory "sensitivity" indoctrination classes.

HEALTH POLICE ARMED WITH MACHINE GUNS. In 1991, an FDA magazine warned, "the agency will not tolerate the practice of promoting drugs and

medical devices for unapproved uses . . . [the] FDA is prepared to enforce this law through legal steps such as seizure, injunction and prosecution."

On May 6, 1992, heavily-armed police and FDA agents kicked down the door of Dr. Jonathan Wright's Tacoma medical clinic in Washington State. For 14 hours, employees were held at gunpoint while FDA agents smashed medical equipment and ransacked the offices. Dr. Wright's "crime"? Making unapproved claims about high-potency vitamins. In 1994 alone, the FDA launched over 200 violent raids on vitamin stores, clinics, and doctors for such "crimes". As a result of FDA policies, the free flow of medical information has been reduced to a trickle. According to former Federal Trade Commission official and Boston University professor John Calfree, "Cancer newsletters have been shut down. Symposiums have nearly been brought to a halt . . . Press conferences announcing new applications . . . are for the most part eliminated." Hundreds of lifesaving uses for existing drugs and devices have been banned, and medical manufacturers have begun to leave America.

"INDECENT" SPEECH COULD LAND YOU IN PRISON. Under Section 223 of the new Communications Decency Act, indecent speech via a telecommunications device (including your telephone, fax, computer and the Internet) is punishable by up to 2 years in prison and a \$100,000 fine.

But just what is "indecent speech"? No one knows. Congress didn't bother to define it. However the language of the Decency Act is so broad that it could include an explicit love note e-mailed to your spouse, or even saying one of "seven dirty words" banned by the FCC on the telephone. Even worse, human-rights groups say electronic reporting of prison rapes or international atrocities such as massacres in Chechnya, could be a crime under this act. The Decency Act is being challenged in court and will be reviewed by the Supreme Court. But if it is upheld, thousands of journalists, human-rights activists, and ordinary citizens could face

imprisonment or a \$100,000 fine for a slip of the tongue.

CRIMINALIZING POLITICAL SPEECH. Enacted one year after the Oklahoma City bombing, the Omnibus Counterterrorism Act does a lot more than go after terrorists. Its "conspiracy" provisions are a threat to any American who has anything to do with a foreign or domestic political organization the government dislikes. Under this law, any individual or group in America can be branded "terrorists" by the Attorney General. Then the government can seize all of the assets of the banned group, as well as the assets of anyone who contributes to it. If you pay \$5 to attend a lecture by a Middle Eastern group, and the Attorney General later decides that they might have terrorist links, your home and business could be seized.

GOVERNMENT NEWS MANAGEMENT. Increasingly, the government is controlling the news. According to the watchdog group Accuracy In Media, over 87% of national network news comes either from government bureaucrats or consultants. Each year, government controls more of the news you hear, sometimes with deadly results. During the Gulf War, reporters were forced into carefully-controlled press pools and all images of dead soldiers and civilians were prohibited. Years later, how many Americans know that at least 6,000 Iraqi civilians were killed by U.S. "smart" bombs? The same news control is now being used in the U.S. In Waco, Texas - where 86 innocent men, women and children were shot or burned alive by the FBI and BATF - all contact between the Branch Davidians and the press was cut off. The few reporters who tried to enter the Davidians' property were turned away at gunpoint by armed troops and told if they persisted, to expect "tragic consequences." As a result, to this day, not one American in 10,000 knows that close to half the Branch Davidians were black or that the CS-gas the FBI used is lethal in enclosed buildings.

RECLAIMING OUR FREEDOM OF SPEECH

For the last 50 years, government assaults on freedom of speech in the U.S. have been growing. Today, not just sexual images, but health information, critical news reports, and even political expression are being censored. People whose only crime is that they hold unpopular ideas or expose government lies, are being harassed, imprisoned and even killed. In America, censorship is not only wrong, it is illegal. Our Bill of Rights is unmistakably clear. "Congress shall make no law abridging freedom of speech." It is time our legislatures and courts obeyed their own laws. To preserve our freedom of speech, we must fight for it. Support groups like ISIL, the Electronic Frontier Foundation, the American PEN Society, and the ACLU, which are fighting for your freedom of speech. Challenge campus and office speech codes. Subscribe to independent newsletters and share them with others. And encourage others to do the same. For over 200 years American has stood for liberty and freedom of speech. Today we can no longer take them for granted. We must act now to restore our heritage of liberty - or risk losing it forever.

International Society for Individual Liberty

1800 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

Tel: (415) 864-0952 Fax: (415) 864-7506

RECOMMENDED READING

FREE SPEECH FOR ME - BUT NOT FOR THEE:

How the American Left and Right Relentlessly Censor Each Other by Nat Hentoff "[This book] unrelentingly and often hilariously skewers would-be censors of the left and the right and those who supinely yield to them. The ideologues and fools who are ready to surrender First Amendment values for whatever political cause they fancy at that moment

will recoil from this book; for the rest of us, it's pure joy" (from a review by Floyd Abrams). Available from ISIL. VISA and MasterCard accepted. \$12.95 plus \$4.00 shipping.

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061803 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Too many leaks in Clinton's ship including one called Huckabee.

Look for the rats to begin abandoning ship real soon.] PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 1996 16:43:58 -0700

To: Liberty-and-Justice <liberty-and-justice@pobox.com>

From: Joe Horn <6mysmesa@1eagle1.com>

Subject: L&J: Business as usual in Little Rock

New York Post - 06-17-96

"Gov. Bill sought dirt on enemies" by John Crudele

Bill Clinton hated it when people said anything bad about him. And he'd often ask his staff if there was any dirt on anyone in Arkansas who he considered an opponent.

So when people in Arkansas heard about the mysterious list of more than 300 Republicans whose FBI dossiers were pulled by an Army aide assigned to the White House, they figured it was just business as usual.

Clinton never kept a written list of enemies while he was governor, but everyone around him knew they could curry favor with the boss if something bad could be acquired on an enemy.

"If something came out in the paper with someone saying something bad about him, he would ask, 'Do we have anything against [him]?' " says Larry Patterson, a veteran Arkansas state trooper who guarded the Clinton family.

Patterson is also one of the guys who revealed details of the underside of Clinton's personal life, so some folks may believe he's tainted goods as an informant.

But Whitewater independent counsel Ken Starr's staffers in Little Rock have

questioned Patterson and seem to be taking him seriously.

Was the FBI list requested by the White House a clumsy attempt to get dirt on people who might someday give the president a hard time? And why was the White House so concerned about its enemies in the Republican Party? Maybe the answer is the Clintons didn't feel comfortable with the way they ran Arkansas and felt they needed some insurance.

There's another trial set to start in Arkansas today. And this one could be even more crucial to the White House than the last one.

Herby Branscum Jr. and Robert Hill, owners of the Perry County Bank, are charged with illegally funneling bank funds to Arkansas campaigns include the 1990 re-election bid of then-Gov. Bill Clinton.

They are also accused of trying to trick bank regulators about contributions and large cash withdrawals.

The money involved seems to be peanuts - "at least" \$7,000. But like all of Starr's prosecutions, the importance of this case outweighs the numbers.

"It's real important, " says an Arkansas source familiar with the Starr strategy. "If there is a conviction it's tied directly to Clinton. You are up to the next highest level."

Every case and every investigation in Arkansas is ultimately aimed at the White House. And Starr's people have been finding a huge pattern of financial corruption in Arkansas.

Neal Ainley, the former president of Perry County Bank, has snitched on his bosses.

Starr would prefer that Branscum and Hill rat on Clinton campaign managers, like White House aide Bruce Lindsey, and the president. If that doesn't happen by today, expect a long, detail-rich trial.

Starr has witnesses who say Clinton was given envelopes full of campaign money even in years when he wasn't running for anything.

Also, you can expect accusations to come out in the trial that the governor's office was regularly selling state positions to the highest bidder.

Branscum is former head of the Arkansas Highway Department. Hill was on the state Banking Board.

And if this sort of stuff doesn't come out in this trial, you can expect to see plenty of it when Lt. Gov. Mike Huckabee - who replaces the convicted Jim Guy Tucker - takes over in mid-July.

Sources say the Republican Huckabee is getting ready to do an all-out investigation of everything from drug dealing and the buying of public offices to suspicious murders.

=====
Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061802 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: FW: \$5.00 to take 2nd to the Supreme Court?

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 96 09:22:00 EST

From: firearms-alert-errors

To: firearms-alert

Subject: \$5.00 to to take 2nd to the Supreme Court?

Date: Sunday, June 16, 1996 6:33AM

Please read the attached. The Federal 9th Circuit of Appeals has trashed the 2nd Amendment in Hickman v. Block. Well, here is what you have been waiting for probably almost all of your life, a chance for a 2nd Amendment case to go before the Supreme Court. Send \$5.00 to the address at the end of this message and help it happen. I am writing my check right now. It's my Father's Day present to myself.

Regards,

Lawrence Kennon

----- TEXT ATTACHMENT -----

SENT 06-16-96 FROM KENNON_LARRY @AUSTIN

9th Circuit Court Trashes 2d Amendment

In a decision that annihilates the 2d Amendment, the federal 9th Circuit Court of Appeals has ruled in _Hickman v. Block_ that a "plain reading" of the Second Amendment reveals that the true intent of the Amendment was only to protect a right of the states to maintain militias and not

to protect an individual right. The Lawyers' Second Amendment Society (LSAS) views the decision as a very bad and very significant one that needs to be appealed, and is now attempting to raise the \$50,000 needed to appeal the case to the Supreme Court. In the meantime, it is attempting to get a rehearing en banc, by the full 9th Circuit Court to review the opinion that was issued by a 3 judge panel.

LSAS noted that the opinion was fraught with factual errors that reveal the poor scholarship of the judges who wrote it. For example, LSAS pointed out footnote 10 of the opinion, where the court stated "the Second Amendment is not incorporated into the Bill of Rights." !!! (The first 10 amendments *are* the Bill of Rights). Furthermore, in its discussion of the *US v. Miller* case, LSAS noted that "the Court in *Hickman* did not carefully read *Miller* because it erroneously stated *Miller* had been convicted at trial, rather than acquitted, and that *Miller*, rather than the U.S., was the appellant."

LSAS feels the time is ripe for a Second Amendment case to be brought before the Supreme Court, which has been dodging the issue for many years. It feels that *Hickman* is the case. In its latest newsletter, LSAS argued:

We actually have little to lose by appealing. As it is, Congress, the courts, and most legislatures are already proceeding on the assumption that the Second Amendment protects only a "state's right." This is why we have some 20,000 gun control laws nationwide, including the Brady law and the "assault weapon: ban. If we lose, then we are merely back where we started; namely, in the political arena where such national groups as the NRA have proven so effective. . . .

On the other hand, if we win the benefits are myriad. In a nutshell, a win would cut off gun control at the knees. . . .

The LSAS is soliciting donations for this effort of \$5.00 per person. Send checks payable to "The LSAS Trust Fund" to 18034 Ventura Blvd., #329, Encino, CA 91316.

LSAS email alerts can be obtained by writing LSAS3@aol.com.

Peaceable Texans for Firearm Rights

1300 Guadalupe, Ste. 202, Austin, TX 78701 512-476-2299, fax 476-4974

<http://www.io.com/~velte/pt.htm>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061801 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

At 10:55 AM 6/17/96 -0400, you wrote:

>Does anyone out there have more information on the jet plane (Swiss)
>registered that had to stop over in Bangor, Me Thurs for engine work?
>It had the Bush family and Colin Powell joined them on it from Dallas. The destination was Geneva, Switzerland. Where were these 45 people going
>and why? This is not just a Spotlight or shortwave radio story but did appear
>in the mainstream media i.e. my local Columbus Dispatch newspaper last
>Thurs or Friday. I doubt this was a vacation or a shopping spree to buy new
>Rollex watches. The Bilderbergers already met 2 weeks ago in Canada.
>What's going on now?

Dee:

I would guess that this was probably a meeting to plan for a
Gore/Powell or maybe even a Powell/Gore Democratic ticket in
November.

Bill

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061709 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[As a related aside, it is difficult to describe the intensity of patriotic emotion experienced by the 500+ participants at the November 12, 1994, statewide muster of the Texas Constitutional Militia held at the Alamo.] PIML

=====

Date: 17 Jun 96 00:49:20 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:Character

Observations about character coupled with a historical document to prove a point. Another relatively short snippet that is well worth reading.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is **not* *safe**.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

13-Jun-96 03:34:58

Sb: Character.

Fm: Bruce Klein / MI 72620,122

To: All

Please forgive my whimsy:

As a wealthy right-wing extremist who enjoys fine Scotch Whisky, all the while

scheming how to cut Medi-Care and other government entitlements, as well as trying to walk all over and otherwise discourage the down-trodden, I dropped by my local music store tonight and purchased six CDs. Although I am a dedicated brain-dead R&R freak, todays purchase consisted of four classical, one Sousa, and one greatest hits by the late and great Marty Robbins. One of his songs, The Ballad of the Alamo, prompted me to look up the following text, which was the final dispatch from some 165 soldiers facing 5000-7000 enemy soldiers over a period of 13 days during the siege of the Alamo, circa 1836. Somehow, it brought to mind the concept of CHARACTER.

Now, I saw on the news today that our beloved president was involved in some rough air-pockets on his latest flight. As a frequent flyer, I can attest that air-pockets can be distracting, if not downright uncomfortable. After the flight, Mr. Bill was televised saying, Golly, that kind of thing builds character! Damn, I must have lots of that, having flown over the Andes a hundred or so times.

Well, Colonel Travis had character (IMHO). I must have it, based on all the air-bumps I've flown thru. Does Willie have it? You be the judge!!! Which was a real man, and which is a stain on the underwear of humanity, Travis or Clinton? Who was brave, and who is a coward, Travis or Clinton? Who had courage, and who is a _____ (fill in the blank), Travis or Clinton? Who _____ (fill in the blank), Travis, Clinton, and/or _____?

Please read the following and judge for yourself what character really is:

To the People of Texas, and All Americans in the world.

Fellow Citizens and Compatriots:

I am besieged by a thousand or more Mexicans under Santa Anna. I have sustained continual bombardment and cannonade for twenty-four hours and have not lost a man. The enemy has demanded a surrender at discretion; otherwise the garrison are to be put to the sword if the fort is taken. I have answered the demand with a cannon shot, and our flag still waves proudly from the walls. **** I SHALL NEVER SURRENDER NOR RETREAT **** I call on you in the name of Liberty, of patriotism, and of everything dear to the American CHARACTER, to come to our aid with all dispatch. The enemy is receiving reinforcements daily and will no doubt increase to three or four thousand in four or five days. If this call is neglected, I am determined to sustain myself as long as possible and die like a soldier who never forgets what is due to his own honor and that of his country.

VICTORY OR DEATH

William Barret Travis

Lieutenant Colonel, Commandant

Amen.....

If you have a better definition of character, let's hear it. Actually, we could open a permanent thread to define character. & see how slick willie measures up!!!!

Bruce

Southfield, MI

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061708 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Jeff's thoughts on the lack of patriot participation.] PIML

=====

From: CopWatch@aol.com

Date: Sun, 16 Jun 1996 13:47:52 -0400

To: USAFeature@gnn.com

Subject: Re: Macon Georgia Update

In a message dated 96-06-16 00:58:58 EDT, you write:

>Thanks Jeff..but I'm beginning to wonder if anyone really gives a
>shit. Donations are WAY down; if we don't get more, we'll have to
>call off the whole damned thing. Don't even have enough to cover
>the cost of the shotgun.
>
>

The whole problem with this movement is that there is a lot of support for what we do, but people are too damn lazy to get off their dead asses and do something productive, besides bitch. No one ever gives a shit until it's their own ass in a sling....then they expect the whole world to give a damn. This deal in Macon, has really put me to thinking about this movement...especially the militia movement. Don't get me wrong...there are a lot of people who have helped and are continuing to help, but the majority in this movement are all talk. They would rather bitch about some personal conflict because they don't like someone, than to think of the good of the whole movement. Then we have those who say, I support what you do, but I can't be public about it. Well...Hell, they could send a dollar or two anonymously to the cause of freedom. No, they're waiting for everyone else to

test the waters before they commit to anything. Mark my word, Jon, if the government told everyone tomorrow that they had to turn in all their guns...I can guarantee that resistance would be small.

One thing that did impress me about Alabama was the fact that we had a candidate for US Senate who openly supported the militia movement, he lost his ass in the primary after receiving 10,000 votes. That tells me that even though he lost, we still have 10,000 quiet supporters for what we do. Now, if we could get these people to open their mouths, we could change this country. This man was not afraid to come to our militia rally, be photographed, do interviews and say what was on his mind...in front of God and everyone else. Now...I have a hell of a lot of respect for a man like that. People like this are the only incentive I have to continue working with this movement.

My attitude of this movement has changed drastically in the last couple of months. At first, I was all for killing 'em all and let God sort 'em out, but I have come to realize that many in the movement are worse than those we are fighting. Hell, I have met a few ATF agents that I have more respect for than some militia members.

My plans for the future are to continue to fight corruption...on either side. Everything that's going on is not necessarily government's fault...most of the blame is with the folks who won't get off their dead ass and do anything about what's happening. The people who support people like Starr, but are too damn lazy to even send you a dollar for a raffle ticket. Again, there has been tremendous support from many in this movement, but 30 percent of that support is from non-militia folks.

It takes individual effort to ever right the wrongs...a small percentage of this movement has been carrying all the weight of the battle on their shoulders...this small percentage amounts to a lot of people, but what the hell's wrong with all of these other folks...especially the radical one's that raise hell everytime law enforcement or government does something...but

you never see the folks at a rally... you never see a dollar from them to help out with the victims...all you ever hear from them is "advice," over the telephone, fax or email. Too many armchair warriors in this movement...too many wannabes....too much talktoo little action. I'm sorry, but I have lost all faith in this movement, as a whole, but I have gained a lot of faith in those who have always been there...ready and willing to help.

Yeah...I'm still in this movement, but not as a "typical" militiaman, instead as someone who is going to continue to stand up for things that are right, help those who are victimized, be it government agents, patriots or common civilians. I've made a hell of a lot of friends doing this, and met a lot of good folks...from all walks of life. I have also met a lot of people I have no use for...people who use this movement for personal gain or glory seeking. It's not hard to see the difference. These glory seekers, then call everyone who has done something productive a damn fed, or CIA agent. This is usually done out of jealousy. It's done because someone did something productive for the cause and the glory seekers were too damn scared to get behind it until after it was over with. The only way they have of keeping their reputation is to label someone. You mark my word, when Starr is acquitted, everyone in the country, that did nothing, will claim responsibility and it will be the greatest thing that ever happened. If, however, he's convicted, then these glory seekers will say the reason for it was because everyone working on the case was a fed, or he had poor representation. I remember Coughatta, I remember a militiaman in Michigan taking 100 percent credit for the peaceful surrender. The only reason Lynn Crawford surrendered is because he had no choice (it's hard to have a choice with support from only a dozen militamen)....not because Mr. Adams negotiated a peaceful solution. Have you ever noticed that all these people that take credit for something have never showed up on the ground while the battle was going on? You know why? It's because they want to be on the winning side...if their man loses then they can point fingers and say they had nothing to do with it, but if their man wins...then they take full credit. Too many damn "officers" in this

movement...where are the enlisted men? Every militia meeting you go to has 90 percent of the people wearing some kind of officer rank...everyone's a damned colonel, captain or leutenant. I have yet for anyone to introduce themselves as a militaman, or better yet, a Constitutionalist ...without some kind of rank. This whole rank structure is bullshit...the only way you gain leadership is thru integrity and trust. This is a voluntary movement, no one controls anyone else. You want to know why the general public thinks the militia is a bunch of wackos, then look at the fools running around with BDUs and ribbons on their chest, totin' an AK... you want to change public perception, and actually do something productive for the cause, then get you a camera and some street clothes and start investigating and exposing corruption. Start rallying around someone who has been wronged by government, start preaching the Constitution everyday, talk to the press and talk radio, not about toting guns, but about government corruption. You don't have to have a damn rank or be in a militia to do that. I've heard all the "bad-ass" talk coming from gun totin' militia "special forces" personnel, hell, half of them wouldn't know how to properly dress a wound or care for a snake bite. The real funny part is that most of them are legends in their own mind, they're "waiting for the war to start," and they are too illiterate to realize that we are already at war, and the only way to properly win by beating the "enemy" in his own system of rules and to educate people. And the only way to do that is by getting involved. Once again, these "SF" wannabes are waiting for someone else to take point. God have mercy on this whole movement.

Jon, you may be mad, but I'm pissed. Not pissed because anyone has let me down, but mad because people have selfishly let a lot of good folks carry this movement. So, I wouldn't expect too much response on the raffle. The "leaders" of the militia are always bragging about the large numbers who are true militia members...I've heard numbers as high as 10 million. They say they are just staying "underground," you and I both know that most of that is bullshit. Hell, if that were true, then you would be receiving anonymous third party dollar bills from all over the country.

Jon, let the rally go on, if you have problems raising enough money to pay for the shotgun, let me know, I'll personally send you the remainder.

I'm sending this out publicly, and hope to God everyone distributes this. As far as myself, I'm going to continue to network with those who have put their heart and soul in this movement...the Mike Kems, Mike Perrins, Bob Starrs, Martin Linstedts and many others too numerous to mention... and let's not forget the press people, a few ATF and FBI people and several others who are trying to do the right thing, but I am finished helping these lazy ass armchair warriors.

A pissed off Libertarian,

Jeff Randall

PS: If anyone has a guilty conscience from reading this then it's probably correct.

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061707 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Jon's thoughts on the lack of patriot participation.] PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 1996 03:57:18 -0700

To: jon.roland@the-spa.com

From: jon.roland@the-spa.com (Jon Roland)

Subject: Sunshine Patriots

>The whole problem with this movement is that there is a lot of support for
>what we do, but people are too damn lazy to get off their dead asses and do
>something productive

It is easy to become discouraged by expecting more of people, or expecting
it sooner, than is reasonable. Other patriots have faced this problem in
ages past. It is well to reflect on their example, and learn what we can of it.

It is a mistake to condition one's own actions on the response or support of
others. In this life we each have a duty to do what is right, even if we
have to stand alone against all the forces of the Universe. We are not
responsible for the actions of others, or for outcomes, only for our own
best efforts. Life is a learning experience. Some will learn, and for each
that does, one more spark will lighten the darkness. The night sky is not
less beautiful for having no more than the stars is has, and there could be
no life on this earth if the sky were an unbroken ceil of stellar fire.

The "long train of abuses" has not reached to the lives of most people. At
present it is mainly something dimly perceived and easy to deny or dismiss.
It has always been so. Good people are slow to act against tyranny. Indeed,
the greatest danger we now face is that we have alerted the tyrants, and

they are smart enough to back off and stop provoking us in the way they have been doing. A lot of people will be satisfied by that, thinking the militia movement has won, and go home. We must now adapt our tactics, putting less emphasis on the more spectacular examples of abuse, and engage in the more tedious process of uprooting corruption, tracking the roots to their source.

Be aware that we are not alone in this. We have people in every place, every walk of life. Most are not prepared to act boldly without some promise of success, some hope of victory. Most will wait until they are joined by others and there is a sea change. But some will stand alone, and if cut down, will do so without complaint, knowing they have done what must be done, until one by one, the blood of martyrs will swell to a flood of inspiration that will wash away the evil of the world.

--Jon

=====

Visit our Web site under its new domain name - <http://www.constitution.org/>
If you need help setting up your own Web site, call us at 916/927-4935.

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061706 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Will the FBI scandal be the final 'last straw'?] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: Clinton Shame!

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 96 09:17:00 EST

From: "Dave Hathaway" <dhathaw@cris.com>

Organization: CRIS

To: "Mutiple Recipients of The Other Side of the News (TM)"
<dhathaw@cris.com>

Date: Fri, 14 Jun 1996 11:10:43 -6

Subject: Bigger than Watergate!

Reply-to: "The Other Side of the News (TM)" <otherside@misslink.net>

The scandal that took Richard Nixon back to the private sector was not one that he was even aware of when it took place. Nixon's crime was that when he did find out about Watergate, instead of being honest about it and letting the world know that a crime was a crime, whether it was committed by a Democrat or a Republican, he covered it up to protect his friends.

Nixon's crime was not the break in or the theft of documents. The crime that took him out of the Oval Office was the cover up. It appears that the Klinton's are more directly involved with their political enemies' FBI files that turned up in the White House recently.

One of the biggest stories to hit the wire recently was an article by

Gary W. Aldrich in yesterday's "Wall Street Journal." Aldrich just retired from the FBI a year ago and before that was directly involved with the White House.

I have snipped the story below FYI. The media will not play this the way they did Watergate because a Democrat occupies the White House this time, but this story isn't going away even without the media. This is much bigger than Watergate was and this time the president seems to be much more directly involved in the scandal.

snip...

Inside the White House File Scandal

By GARY W. ALDRICH

I loved my career with the FBI and treasure my years as a special agent. Of the many assignments I was privileged to have over the course of a 26-year career, the highlight was the five years, just prior to my 1995 retirement, I spent assigned to the White House.

For more than three decades the FBI, the Secret Service and the White House Counsel's Office had worked as a team to clear the hundreds of new staff members who come with each new administration. This clearance process entailed a lengthy FBI background investigation to document the good character of every White House employee. It was a comprehensive and effective security system, perfected by six presidents to protect national security, the taxpayer and the White House itself.

Deeply Disturbing

But the things I saw in the last 2 1/2 years of my tenure deeply

disturbed me. And the recent disclosures that the Clinton White House requested, and the FBI provided, more than 340 background investigations on previous administrations' employees raise questions that pierce the very heart of national security, and call into question the relationship between the White House and the FBI.

Some presidents have made good use of the FBI background investigations, and some, to their regret, have not. But never before has any administration used background investigations of another president's political staff. FBI employees knew it would be wrong to give raw FBI files on political opponents to the other party. In fact, they knew it would be illegal, each disclosure a violation of the federal Privacy Act.

Why, then, did the Clinton administration request such files, and why did the FBI provide them? The White House's "explanation"--that it was "an honest bureaucratic snafu"--is really too much for this FBI veteran to believe. How does a unit at FBI headquarters copy and box for shipment to the White House Counsel's Office more than 340 highly confidential files, when the two FBI supervisors are both lawyers? Do the White House and the FBI really expect us to believe that the wholesale copying of hundreds of FBI files wouldn't raise an eye brow? That the two FBI supervisors didn't know who James Baker was? If the FBI supervisors didn't know that hundreds of confidential files were going out the door, they were so grossly negligent as to imperil not only the civil rights of more than 340 individuals, but also national security.

In truth, I know that FBI management had plenty of warning that elements of security and background investigations were drastically wrong at the Clinton White House. As early as May 1993, Special Agent James Bourke, supervisor of the FBI office responsible for background

investigations, had come under fire when, at the behest of the White House, he started a criminal investigation of seven innocent men in the Travel Office.

Not publicly known until now were the constant warnings that Mr. Bourke and other FBI management received from me and from my partner, Dennis Sculimbrene (who would go on to testify against his own agency and the White House as a defense witness in the Billy Dale trial). Why are Mr. Bourke and the good folks at the FBI just now finding serious reasons to check on the legitimacy of the requests of this White House? Documents exist that prove they have known about these problems for years. Mr. Bourke declined to be interviewed for this article, so one can only speculate as to why he ignored the repeated warnings. It may be that, like any bureaucrat, Mr. Bourke was simply trying to win favor from those he thought could advance his career-in this case, officials at the White House.

These allegations are more serious than anything we have seen in decades. So how can the White House, through Attorney General Janet Reno, be allowed to order the FBI to investigate itself? No federal bureaucracy is good at conducting an internal probe that has this kind of potential for explosive political revelation.

Right up to the time I retired in June 1995, Mr. Bourke and other FBI supervisors responsible for background investigations continued to honor each and every outrageous request the Clinton White House Counsel's Office made. Mr. Bourke cannot claim he did not know these requests were improper. He was well aware the Clinton administration had relaxed the security system at the White House so that those loyal to the administration could evade background checks. Other agents and I had told him so, and scores of documents going across his desk provided more evidence, just in case he did not believe his own agents. In fact, at the time the White House requested the files on

previous administrations' appointees-one full year into the Clinton administration-more than 100 Clinton staffers, including then Press Secretary Dee Dee Myers, still had not been investigated by the FBI for passes or clearances.

Yet the Clinton's White House Counsel's office apparently was wasting no time looking deeply into the background of anyone who was not lucky enough to have been hired by President Clinton. As Mr. Bourke also knew, permanent White House employees whose loyalty to the Clintons was in question were in for some "special" attention, Hillary Clinton style. For example, permanent employees in the White House residence who were suspected of being disloyal to the first lady were reinvestigated out of sequence, that is, early-in some cases four years before their periodic review was due.

Some of these staff members, appointed by Presidents Carter, Reagan or Bush, had just been cleared by the FBI. When I attempted to head off what appeared to be unnecessary and premature investigations by offering to obtain copies of the background investigations, my superiors at the FBI and Craig Livingstone, director of security for the White House Counsel's Office, effectively told me to mind my own business. What prompted the White House to investigate these staffers was a story, leaked to the press, that Mrs. Clinton had thrown a lamp at the president during a domestic argument. The Clintons had to know who the leaker was. Result: Decent, loyal, law abiding citizens with spotless records were investigated by the FBI again, just to make sure. I believe that these permanent employees were being harassed and that if anything, anything at all, had turned up in a new FBI probe, they would have been summarily tossed out the door tod indeed, other employees besides Billy Dale were fired on the basis of these investigations.

At the same time, the White House was requesting copies of FBI investigations of hundreds of long-gone Reagan and Bush staffers. Why? Knowing that the Clintons casually used the FBI to weed out politically suspect employees, would it be so unreasonable to suspect them of also misusing the FBI to investigate political "enemies"? Statements by Clinton spokesmen that nobody looked at these FBI files are as plausible as saying that if 340 Playboy magazines were sent to a boys' high school, they would remain in their boxes, unmolested.

Bedroom-Size Safe

The safe where these secret records were allegedly kept was the size of a small bedroom. Maybe the files were taken out of the safe, and maybe they weren't. There was no need to take them out to examine them. Anyone--including Mr. Livingstone, whose desk was just outside the entrance to the safe--could have walked in, sat down at the table and perused the files to his heart's content. And the security office was equipped with a photocopy machine. I knew Mr. Livingstone as a fierce defender of the Clintons, especially Mrs. Clinton, who handpicked him for this sensitive position.

Which of these files were copied, and where were the copies sent? The time has come for real explanations, real investigations of the Clinton White House Counsel's Office and, sadly, maybe even of the FBI. In particular, Mr. Bourke and Mr. Livingstone should explain their roles. These FBI files could not have been requested, received and maintained without Mr. Livingstone's full knowledge, consent and direction. Mr. Bourke is responsible for protecting the FBI files and for ensuring the FBI's arm's-length relationship with this or any administration.

These two men should be brought before both a federal grand jury and Congress to account for this highly irregular conduct-conduct that has

embarrassed the presidency and the FBI, undermined the public's trust in both institutions and potentially violated federal law. The Clinton administration has earned its reputation. But the FBI--my FBI--deserves better. Enough is enough.

Mr. Aldrich, an investigative writer, retired from the FBI in June 1995.

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL, THURSDAY, JUNE 13, 1996

[illegible]

You may be removed from The Other Side of the News mailing list at any time by sending email to dhathaw@cris.com with the subject Unsubscribe Other Side. Please direct comments to Mark E. Howerter at otherside@misslink.net

+-+-+-----

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

- * constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061705 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Steve tells it like it is.] PIML

=====

From: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

To: snetnews@alterzone.com

Date: Sat, 15 Jun 1996 23:27:12 -0700

Subject: Clinton

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

"That's happened all my life, from the time I was in school. People underestimate your resolve because you go out of your way to accommodate them before you *drop the hammer*" -- Bill Clinton

The spirits of Ron Brown, Vincent Foster, and dozens of other individuals associated with you know exactly how you feel, Mr. President. They understand all too well what it is like when you 'drop the hammer'.

One day you will 'feel their pain' as well.

Steve

Anomalous Images and UFO Files

<http://www.linex.com/ufo>

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

=====

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 1996 06:15:18 -0700

To: snetnews@alterzone.com

From: Alan Neuman <mystery@jetlink.net>

Subject: Klinton going down in flames!

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

NEWSFLASH: Monday, June 17th 1996, 06:01 am

UPI news reports that the Klinton's have been named in a report concerning their involvement in the Vincent Foster cover up, and the willfull act of hiding key paperwork showing their involvement in the whitewater dealings. The Senate report also claims to indict them for deliberate obstruction of justice. When the whitehouse was questioned about the report, they responded "how can we comment on something we have not yet seen".

Three cheers folks, Klinton, and her husband, is finally going down!!!!

In HIS service,

Alan Russell,

Private Christian

Acting Cairman,

Ojai Jural Society

***** NO VICTIM, NO CRIME!! *****

"You can lead a man to knowledge, but you can't make him think!"

Alan Russell

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Alan Neuman <mystery@jetlink.net>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061704 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Don't give up your right to vote!] PIML

=====

Alan:

Even if all details of what you describe are factual, you are writing of unconstitutional actions. You agree that "The de jure Constitution is *NOT* suspended", but you apparently feel that the best way to oppose this problem is by voluntarily giving up your right to vote. Sorry, I can't agree with that. I maintain that there are two solutions to our problems with federal and state governments being out of control. (1) elect patriots to state and federal positions, or (2) armed revolution. I choose to work for the first to avoid the necessity for the second.

Bill

=====

At 03:57 PM 6/16/96 -0700, you wrote:

> Bill,

>Although we disagree on some points, my argument about the constitution
>being suspended is acutally correct. The barrier lies on whether you are a
>corporate 14th ammendmant citizen of the corporate United States, or if you
>are a de jure American, that has recinded your "contracts".

> As a 14th ammendment, as a corporate citizen, the Constitution becomes
>merely a priviledge, or rather, the corporate United States has copied the
>original Constitution and codified it to create a "statutory" constitution
>in which the rights become priviledges, regulatable, and revocable.

> However, if you recind your contract to the United States, i.e. Social
>Security, Voter Registration, by noticing them that they have committed a
>breach of contract through fraud by not revealing the extent of those quazi
>contracts, you can and do regain your de jure status, and fall back under
>the original Constitution.... The one that *IS* the *supreme* law of the land.

>

> Once more I digress into history, I know you read it once, but read this
>again and I think you will get the point.

>

> Lets say the Constitutional Federal Government had a big fight in
>congress in 1860. The Northern states wanted to impose tarrif's (taxes) on
>the southern states to make reparations on the war debts incurred over the
>last few decades. The southern states said 'Hey, wait a minute, we are not
>going to pay for the whole damn thing, THATS NOT FAIR'. The northern states
>duke it out with the southern states and the southern reps walk out. Under
>Parliamentary Law at that point, the only thing congress could do is vote to
>set a time to re-conveine, and adjourn, because a quorum was not present.

> Clearly from congressional records, they did not do that, and under
>Parliamentary Law, Congress went sine die (sign-'e dye). Translated "without
>day". Transligualed, Congress ceased to exist. Now if you go to your local
>library, and Take Down West's United States Codes, Volume 1, and look in the
>table of contents, Article 2. The Congress, you will see an asterisk next to
>it. Look down at the bottom and see next to the asterisk, "Not positive law".

> This means that the lawfull constitutional congress has ceased to exist,
>and that all lawfull power was then transfered directly to the President,
>then A. Lincoln, who then wrote out what is known to us as the Lieber
>instructions, thus allowing him to issue his first executive orders (acting
>under coersion of some corrupt individuals not clearly identified as yet,
>most probably the masonic order), and sent the north to war with the south.

> It was all over *money*. Now, the south loses, and under admiralty law,
>they become a conquered party. Congress is then *ordered* back into session
>by Johnson, and the big debate over the reconstruction acts began (if you
>ever have time to go back and read them, they are most enlightening!

>Especially Jounhsons speech about why he vetoed them!). The now
>unconstitutional congress could not remain unconstitutional, and they
>overided the Presidents veto and went *INCORPORATED* in 1872!!!

> They adopted the original Constitution, codified it, and made it public
>policy, not law! It may be "legal" but it is not the de jure Constitution.
>They Ranted and raved about how how all rights would be respected, etc...,
>but what they didn't tell you is, 'only if it suited thier needs'!

> Now, since the south was a conquered party under admiralty, they were
>forced to incorporate as well. and eventually all state governments went
>sine die, and incorporated as well. The De Jure lawfull government died with it!

>

> Now we have a corporate government operating under amiralty, that has
>adopted the de jure Constitution as its "public policy", however there is
>still a majority of de jure Constitutional Americans out there that it
>really has no lawful authority over. Thier question is 'how do we get
>lawfull authority over them?'

> Answer: Just like Satan himself, they lured them to bite the apple! It
>offered all kinds of nice trinkets, benefits, etc... Since a corporate
>government/agency has the authority to contract under admiralty law, it
>goes out to the public at large and says, 'sign up with us, and we will give
>you free retirement, free medical coverage, free welfare, for just a small
>yearly premium! All you have to do is sign on the dotted line and recieve
>your own personal identification number for correspondance with us!'

> Sounds good doesn't it! Oh for sure my Grandparents signed up
>immediately!" As a consequence, They signed my mother up, and eventually
>me... UNDER CONTRACT! Since the time of my birth certificate, (a benefit of
>the corporate United States) I have been a corporate 14th ammendment citizen
>of the United States.

> The bad part is, that now, this corporate agency led themselves into
>bankruptcy over bad deals in the stock market in 1933, and has gone downhill
>since! Corruption, greed, perversion have set in, and they no longer feel
>the need to respect the "priviledges" of thier "constituional" policies.

> Fortunately for me, and a few others, we have gained this knowledge and
>are recinding our contracts with great diligence. We are on a sinking ship,
>and it is just a matter of time befoer we all go down with it, UNLESS we
>abandon ship by giving them notice that you are recinding your contract with
>them.

>
> The de jure Constitution is *NOT* suspended, the *Corporate
>Constitution* is!

>
>
>In HIS service,
>Alan Russell,
>Private Christian
>Acting Cairman,
>Ojai Jural Society

>
>***** NO VICTIM, NO CRIME!! *****

>
> "You can lead a man to knowledge, but you can't make him think!"

>
>
> Alan Russell

>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List
* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
* constitutional government
* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061703 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[It's official! Support and defense of the U.S. Constitution is now "bad conduct". Let's remember the name of General Montgomery Meigs and his retirement pay when constitutional government is restored.] PIML

=====

Date: Sun, 16 Jun 1996 19:46:50 -0500 (CDT)

From: Joe <judge@data.flex.net>

To: Snet NewsList <snetnews@alterzone.com>

Subject: Army Specialist Michael New coming home

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

The following is from Daniel New the father of Michael:

On June 13, General Montgomery Meigs signed off on the court martial of Spc. Michael New. He allowed the sentence to stand as handed down by the court martial panel -- a Bad Conduct Discharge. No prison sentence, no loss of rank, no loss of pay, and no dishonorable discharge.

This closes the door on that chapter of Michael New's career, and opens the next one. Two things to do, legally, now -- an appeal through the military board to seek an honorable discharge, and more importantly, a suit to be filed in an Article III court, Federal District Court, in Washington, D.C. Col. Ron Ray is moving forward on both fronts.

Many thanks to all who have written letters, made donations to the defense fund, prayed, and talked this issue up. What you have done is you have thwarted the national news media, who sought to sit on this issue and keep it from the American people. Through bulletin boards, Internet, fax nets, and talk radio, MANY Americans know the story.

What can you do now?

1. Inform other men and women in uniform of the threat to national sovereignty;
2. Inform your local talk radio show hosts about this story, and tell them they can get Col. Ron Ray on their show by calling 502/241-5552, or Daniel New at 409/539-1917.
3. Drop Michael a letter of encouragement at 715 W. Davis #164, Conroe, Texas 77301
4. Contribute to the Michael New Defense Fund
P.O. Box 1136
Crestwood, Kentucky 40014

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Joe <judge@data.flex.net>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061702 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Patty says thumbs down for lawyers.] PIML

=====

Date: Fri, 14 Jun 1996 09:31:29 -0400 (EDT)

To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.NET>

From: Patricia Neill <pnpj@db1.cc.rochester.edu>

Subject: Re: PIML 96061404 - Fire Unconstitutional Supreme Court
Judges

>You have written a common misconception about the Supreme Court.
>"The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold
>their Offices DURING GOOD BEHAVIOR . . ." (Article III, Section 1)
>Any time Supreme Court judges fail to maintain good behavior, such
>as making judgements obviously contrary to the Constitution, they
>can be impeached by the House, then tried and removed from office
>by the Senate. All we need is 26% of the House and 2/3 of the
>Senators present (minimum of 51 present for a quorum) who are
>patriots to fire a Supreme Court judge. That is a simple majority
>of a minimum quorum in the House. The 26% figure assumes a
>lengthy session in which the other Representatives leave one by
>one until a minimum quorum of those in on the plan remains. The
>hard part is getting an impeachment from the House. After that is
>accomplished there must be a trial in the Senate.

Hey Bill--you probably know this stuff, but here's my 2 cents:

Good point about "good behavior" and the judgments contrary to the
Constitution. But look at how many Supreme Court Justices have been
impeached: 8 in 200 years of flagrant and abusive contrariness to the

Constitution. Worse than that: many Supreme Court justices have been downright thieves, on the take of the mob, etc. And we CAN'T seem to get them impeached, now, can we, even when we send in petitions. Wanna know why? Because we have and have always had a majority of LAWYERS in the House, which impeaches, and a majority of LAWYERS in the Senate, which tries the impeachment. And the Justices, of course, are LAWYERS. And we can't seem to get these foxes to discipline other bad foxes when they've been whoring around in the hen house.

Because there is no separation of powers when lawyers are in every branch of the government.

Solution: NEVER VOTE FOR A LAWYER FOR CONGRESS. As "officers of the court," as "judicial officers," lawyers belong in only ONE branch of our government--the judicial branch. If they are elected or appointed to either of the other branches of the government, it violates the supreme and basic principle of our government: the separation of powers.

All best,

Patty

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061701 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Mainly Texas oriented information on the Fully Informed Jury Association, but good info for all.] PIML

=====

From: Tom Glass <tomglass@ix.netcom.com>

Subject: LS-FIJA Texas Juror, Vol. 1, Issue 1, Summer, 1996

Date: Mon, 17 Jun 1996 06:22:24 -0500

TEXAS JUROR

VOLUME 1, ISSUE 1

SUMMER, 1996

On January 20-21, Texas FIJA leadership met in the Austin law offices of longtime FIJA supporter Paul Velte, IV, and formed a Texas corporation called the Lone Star Fully Informed Jury Association (LS-FIJA). Its board consists of Brenda Anderson of Lewisville, Clay Conrad of Austin, Larry Dodge of Dallas, and Tom Glass of Houston. Tom Glass was selected as President, Larry Dodge as Vice President, and Tom Zavist of Houston as Secretary and Treasurer.

Additional attenders of the January leadership meeting were Richard Lee and Amy Doktor of Houston, Larry Barthel of Euless, Jay Manifold of Dallas, and Dr. Jim Shaw of Austin.

Also since the meeting, Mike Lenker has taken over maintenance of the LS-FIJA database, and Trey Summitt is providing free graphics design work and will coordinate printing. Richard Lee and Amy Doktor are doing the arduous task of "stuffing and mailing", which so far has meant getting out a two fund-raising letters written by Larry Dodge, and mailing candidate

questionnaires.

Mark Bielamowicz took the questionnaire strategy a little further than that just before Super Tuesday, by calling up the campaign HQ's of all the Republican candidates for Texas Court of Criminal Appeals (equivalent to the Supreme Court in most states), asking the candidates to call and tell him if they believed jurors have the right to judge both law and fact. An amazing number not only called back, but said "yes" -- and a few of those will be in run-off elections for the party nomination!

Meanwhile, Sandra Crosnoe of Associated Conservatives of Texas put together a more detailed questionnaire, containing several FIJA-related questions. LS-FIJA sent out a press release endorsing Court of Criminal Appeals candidates in the run off primaries in both parties. The Republicans endorsed did not win, but one Democrat endorsed by LS-FIJA, Charles Holcomb (Place 2) won. Tom Price, the Republican nominee for Place 3 is also friendly to fully informed juries.

Many LS-FIJA supporters worked and are working in their parties to push for planks in the party's platform supporting FIJA legislation. No Democratic resolutions made it out of the Senate District conventions. On the other hand, three Senate District conventions passed a FIJA resolution in the Republican Party, and efforts are currently ongoing to ensure that the Republican Party will add a FIJA plank to the platform. (Four members of the GOP Platform Committee are on the LS-FIJA mailing list!) FIJA activists in the Libertarian Party also plan to make sure that they support FIJA legislation in the platform, too.

Thanks to all who have participated in the resolution push so far. These include Phil Koehne and Ron Avery of Comal County; Ralph Hodges, Matt Bailey, Trey Summitt, Frank Williams, Barry Klein, and Tom Glass of Houston; Joe Gaut of Nacogdoches County; Christin Hines of Bexar County; Dottie Squires of El Paso; Ed Ray and Debbie Thetford of Tarrant County; Sonya Bernhardt of Montgomery County; and Bob Ramsey, Sandra Crosnoe, and

Bill and Jean Howell of Dallas.

Even if these resolutions don't make it into the party platforms this year, the education of politically active Texans is invaluable. One spin-off benefit which has already occurred when the fact that the FIJA plank was introduced (though not passed) at a precinct convention was mentioned on a Houston-based talk show, allowing Tom Glass to call in to discuss.

Additional outreach to the media took place only a few weeks earlier when Jeff Neely and Larry Dodge manned a FIJA table at the Texas Press Association state convention in Ft. Worth on January 19, made a number of valuable contacts, and gave away hundreds of pieces of literature.

Larry Dodge and Clay Conrad (LS-FIJA board member, recent graduate of UT Law School, and new member of the bar) met Prof. Paul Butler when he came to Austin to participate in a symposium on race based nullification. Professor Butler has authored several articles arguing for blacks to use jury nullification to acquit other blacks, particularly of victimless crimes, and has been featured on "60 Minutes" calling for race based nullification.

Larry and Clay attempted to persuade Professor Butler that the emphasis in nullification should not be on race, but justice. They found him to be receptive to the idea that everyone is part of one or another minority group, and therefore needs the protection against majoritarian tyranny that jury veto power can offer.

In December, Larry appeared on a KRLD (Texas State Network) call-in show hosted by federal prosecutor Paul Coggins, where he squared off against John Bradley, a prosecutor from Williamson County who was running for a seat on the State Court of Criminal Appeals--until he was defeated in the primaries. On January 29, Larry got to rebut some ill-conceived remarks made by Mike Barnes, head of the National Association of District

Attorneys, on WOAI San Antonio, hosted by Carl Wiglesworth. Then on February 20, Larry got in a good hour explaining FIJA to Rio Grande Valley listeners with KVJY host Bob Gilmartin, on his "The Truth of the Valley" show.

Also in December, Billy O'Neill, et.al., proved that even with Larry Becraft for the defense, and FIJA leafleting outside the courthouse, that juries will support laws that they think are correct. In a federal trial for mail fraud and other charges related to their making and marketing "certified money orders", the defense team was unable to convince the jury that O'Neill and company should walk because the federal government also "creates money out of thin air".

But there was a tangible silver lining for FIJA: Judge Joe Kendall, toward the end of the first day of the trial, mentioned the FIJA leafleting, saying that those leafleting have a First Amendment right to do so, and that jurors have the right to agree with FIJA. He still told jurors that they couldn't be on the jury if they agreed that they should judge the law, however.

Dianna Brandborg, of Denton, has not only won an appeal of contempt charges filed against her for refusing to answer jury questionnaire questions she felt were "too personal", but her case has been published--which means it can be used as precedent in similar cases. And it's already happened, in U.S. v. Marco Antonio Padilla-Valenzuela, a drug case in Arizona, and in at least one other case, to date. Three cheers for a juror's right to privacy!

The LS-FIJA board has developed selection criteria for local coordinators, and has recruited four new ones (see contact list in this newsletter). Among the criteria for Lone Star FIJA area coordinators and state officers is that they have access to e-mail or fax, so that they can communicate regularly despite the fact that the state is simply too big for them to have periodic get-togethers. Disqualifications for leadership in LS-FIJA

are adherence to racist views and renouncing of U. S. citizenship.

Surviving Jury Voir Dire

By Clay S. Conrad

How can LS-FIJA members keep from getting kicked off of juries, by the Judge or by the Prosecutor? Frustrated FIJistas regularly report being excluded during voir dire (jury selection). But if our advocates can't get seated on juries, FIJA is limited in its ability to affect the law, or to see justice done in SPITE of the law.

Voir Dire, which is French for "to speak the truth," consists of having the Judge, the Prosecutor and the Defense Attorney each ask the jury a series of questions. It exists for two reasons. First, it allows the Court to find and eliminate partisans - the Defendant's brother, the arresting officer, etc. It also allows both sides to challenge jurors for bias, for familiarity with facts or witnesses, etc.

The rules for exclusion of jurors are spelled out in the Code of Criminal Procedure (CCP). First, FIJA members should realize that some veniremembers are absolutely disqualified. For instance, if a veniremember is under 18, or is not a citizen of Texas, the veniremember may not legally serve. The Court is required to exclude such jurors from service. More importantly, veniremembers may be challenged FOR CAUSE. These challenges are unlimited in number, and are spelled out in CCP Art. 35.16. A juror may be challenged for cause if he:

1. Is not qualified to vote;
2. Has been convicted of a theft or felony;
3. Is under indictment or other legal accusation for a theft or felony;

4. Is insane;
5. Has "such a defect in the organs of feeling or hearing, or such bodily or mental defect or disease as to render him unfit for jury service, or that he is legally blind, and the Court in its discretion is not satisfied that he is fit for jury service in that particular case";
6. Is a witness in the case;
7. Served on the Grand Jury which indicted the defendant;
8. Served on a Petit Jury in a former trial of the case;
9. Has a bias or prejudice in favor of the State or the Defendant;
10. Has established a conclusion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant that would influence him in his action in finding a verdict;
11. Cannot read or write;
12. Is related to either the defendant or the victim;
13. In a capital case, has conscientious scruples in regard to the infliction of the death penalty (only to be used by the Prosecution); or
14. Has a bias or prejudice against any of the law applicable to the case.

If the Judge finds the challenge to be good, he MUST exclude the juror. It is not discretionary; failure to exclude will be reversible error.

Additionally, both the Prosecution and the Defense have a limited number of PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES. In a misdemeanor case, both sides have three, in most felonies, ten, and in capital cases, both sides have fifteen. Peremptory challenges may be exercised for any reason or for no reason whatsoever, EXCEPT that they may not be used to exclude women or minorities from the jury merely because of their gender or race. (See CCP 35.261, Batson v. Kentucky, 476 U.S. 79 (1986)). Attorneys use peremptory challenges to try to "stack" the jury in their favor by excluding potential jurors they feel could be hostile to their side.

Many lawyers believe that the intelligent use of peremptory challenges is the most important skill of a good trial lawyer; yet research shows that few lawyers are good at it. Perhaps that is something to be glad about; try

as they may, most jurors will not be so plainly "defense" or "prosecution" jurors that voir dire is much better than a crap shoot. It certainly is frustrating for a veniremember, especially a FIJA member, to be excluded from jury duty. They have taken time from work, have submitted themselves to questioning, and have been rejected. Yet FIJA members often have only their own eagerness to blame for their exclusion, as I have tried to explain in my answer to the E-Mail post below.

[The following exchange of posts recently appeared on the FIJA echo I moderate on Liberty BBS in Austin, Texas (the board can be contacted directly by dialing 512-462-1776; the echo can be found on various FIDOnet bulletin boards throughout Texas and the Nation.)]

From: LOY ZIGLER

To: all Msg #43, May-16-96 00:27:00

Subject: fija

LZ> The FIJA got me out of jury duty too.

<G> The woman says the transcript(of my LZ> dismissal) will be mailed out next week. Then I need to decide what the next step will be.

It came today. What about this:

Judge: Is there anyone here that believes that they should not follow the instructions of law given to them by the court.

Me: Yeah, I guess I do. You mean the fully informed Jury?

Judge: Hold on, sir. I don't want you to taint the panel. I'll just ask you a couple questions.

he asked my name and juror #

Judge: okay, Mr. Zigler, you heard me explain-- you believe that you would not --- and you have to answer yes or no, because I don't want to taint the panel. You would not be able to follow the instructions of law given to you by the court? Just answer yes or no.

Me: Depends if I think the law is legal.

Judge: Well, all right. And I'm saying to you, it's not your judgment of what the law may be. The court's responsibility to tell you what the law is

Me: Not if I'm in a jury. It's my responsibility.

Judge: Okay, well, I think we've heard enough from you, sir, in terms of your responsibility. You're not giving me direct answers. The answer I'm asking you is if you say to yourself and tell me, aJudge, I know you have responsibility to tell me what the law is, but the fact that you've told me what the law is isn't going to change my mind at all. I believe that I have an absolute right to determine what the law is.o Is that your position?

Me: That's right.

Judge: All right. Thank you. Then we'll excuse number 48. Report back to the jury commissioner. Okay, We're not--- we're not being incriminatory to anyone or condemning anyone's beliefs, but that's the only way we can operate.

end of transcript.

Now think of this in light of John Adams, our second president, who had this to say about jurors. "It is not only his right, but the duty....to

find the verdict according to his own best understanding, judgment, and conscience, though in direct opposition to the direction of the court."

In 1895, the U.S. Supreme Court formally recognized jury nullification in *Sparf vs. United States*. The court held that juries have the power to return verdicts contrary to law and evidence.

Taken from the Arizona Republic by Richard Lessner Deputy Editor of the Editorial Pages.

I was denied the right to serve on a jury by this judge and would like to know where I should go to file a complaint.

From: Clay Conrad

To: Loy Zigler Msg #44, May-18-96 10:12:50

Subject: fija

It does not appear that you wanted to serve on the jury.

In voir dire, if you intend to serve, the less said, the better. And if you do want to make a speech in voir dire, it should be aimed at informing the other jurors as much as possible.

Finally, the juror does not determine what the law IS, so much as he determines whether the law should or should not be applied in the case before him.

Even the best laws can be misapplied, and when misapplied should be nullified. There is no human way to write a law so complete and perfect that an ambitious prosecutor can't find an excuse to charge a morally innocent person with its violation...

As for any "violation" of your rights because you were excluded as a juror,

don't waste your time. The rights involved belong to the people on trial, not to the jurors, with one exception: racial or gender discrimination in jury selection (a Batson violation.) The Court has every right to exclude someone who has made themselves excludable under the Code of Criminal Procedure. I don't know the specifics for your state - or even what state you are in - but I do know enough to assert that any Court in America will exclude a juror who answers as you did!

HINT: NEVER mention FIJA in voir dire.... NEVER answer more than the bare minimums. If the judge asks if you "CAN" do something, always answer yes if the action would be physically possible to you... he didn't ask if you WOULD...

After you are seated, if you believe nullification is an appropriate response, tell the other jurors that you do not believe the defendant is guilty, because you don't believe the law should be applied in this case, and tell them why. Then try to show them that they have the power to do what is right, and that that is why we have trial by jury. Don't quote, don't rely on authority. They can't check your quotes or look at the authorities.

Talk to them as one individual to another (11), and put the doctrine in your own words. Convince the other people prone to vote to acquit that a hung jury is not a bad outcome, if the panel disagrees. A compromise - voting for conviction when not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt, or voting guilty on lesser charges in order to short-circuit the process and go home - IS a bad outcome, and is very much a violation of their duty. Juries are empowered to HANG, but never to COMPROMISE.

What needs to happen is for people to get to sit on juries who know something about nullification, and then to politely bring other jurors - who believe that an injustice is being done - around to an understanding of the power which is in their hands.

If you are lucky, the defense attorney should have given you all the ammunition you need, while in closing arguments, voir dire, etc. E-mail LSFIJA@aol.com for the booklet on aJury Nullification as a Defense Strategyo for more information.

COURTS DO NOT LIKE TO EXCUSE JURORS. What they want to avoid is "busting the panel," excusing so many jurors for cause that they don't have enough left to try he case. If you avoid a dogmatic posture, and if you are respectful and say the minimum, chances are the Court will not find cause to excuse you. (You may still be struck with a peremptory challenge; even if you had not been struck for cause, I am sure, in your case, that the prosecutor would have sent you out of their expeditiously anyway. So, so far as you were concerned, there was no harm done.)

Let me give you a few examples of how the answers could have gone.

1> Judge: Is there anyone here that believes that they should not follow the instructions of law given to them by the court?

FIJA jurors can't know whether they should follow the instructions of law until they have heard the case and the instructions. AS A GENERAL RULE, the instructions probably SHOULD be followed. We should assume the system to be just until proven otherwise. So we should, AS A GENERAL RULE follow the instructions of the court, and therefore I would not raise may hand in response to this question.

2> Judge: okay, Mr. Zigler, you heard me explain-- you believe that you would not --- and you have to answer yes or no, because I don't want to taint the panel. You would not be able to follow the instructions of law given to you by the court? Just answer yes or no.

FIJA jurors would be ABLE to follow the instructions; whether they WOULD do

so is another question, and not one the court asked. So the answer to this is no, it is not true that you would not be able to follow the instructions... got it?

3> Judge: Well, all right. And I'm saying to you, it's not your judgment of what the law may be. The court's responsibility to tell you what the law is

LZ: Not if I'm in a jury. It's my responsibility.

Here, you are being combative, when if you had answered either of the above questions more discreetly, this question would never have been almost asked - I say almost because you never let the Judge finish.

And you are WRONG. It IS the court's responsibility to tell you what the law is; it is your responsibility to decide whether the law should be applied - whether to convict or acquit.

4> Judge: Okay, well, I think we've heard enough from you, sir, in terms of your responsibility. You're not giving me direct answers. The answer I'm asking you is if you say to yourself and tell me, aJudge, I know you have responsibility to tell me what the law is, but the fact that you've told me what the law is isn't going to change my mind at all. I believe that I have an absolute right to determine what the law is. Is that your position?

LZ: That's right.

This is a misstatement of the jury nullification doctrine. The juror does not have an absolute right to determine what the law is in every instance. For example, if a person is innocent according to the Court's instructions, the juror does not have a right to CONVICT. Nullification is a doctrine of mercy, not of anarchy.

Secondly, the juror should listen to what the Court says; he should not determine before hearing what the court says that it won't change his mind

at all. Many jurors may have their minds changed and decide TO nullify after hearing the Court's charge.

Even worse, your answers were unenlightening to the other jurors. They probably just dismissed you as a kook, without knowing why you were being so adamant or what you were being adamant about. You speak of your responsibility, without explaining anything about that responsibility: a better answer (for someone who wanted off the jury) would have been: it is my verdict, your honor, and that is a serious responsibility. I could not participate in committing injustice, if following the law led to an unjust result.

THEN the Court would have to deal with either a jury of nullifiers, or dismiss the entire panel - a wasted day in Court, which the Judge would not want to have to deal with...

The most important thing, in the end, was the DEFENDANT. Did your attitude and actions make it more or less likely that he would have at least one potential nullifier on his jury? I would say less likely - you got kicked off - and he may well have been unjustly convicted.

Perhaps remembering that a morally innocent man may well be sitting in prison, being beaten (sic) and raped by his cellmates while his wife files divorce papers against him and his family goes on welfare may somewhat blunt your sense of self-righteousness in so belligerently challenging the Court.

Without having to have told one lie in Voir Dire, you could have arranged to have been seated in that case - just say the minimum and answer honestly, candidly, but precisely! And that man - a victim of the system - would still have his life in one piece. Think about it...

Consider what is at stake here. A FIJA member may choose to spout off in

voir dire, in order to teach that pesky judge a thing or two... or he or she may choose to wait until jury deliberations start, and then REALLY teach the judge a thing or two... Which is more effective? Which approach would you want the veniremember to take, if YOU were on trial?

FINAL COMMENT: I AM NOT ADVOCATING HAVING ANYBODY EVER, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES, LIE DURING VOIR DIRE.

If you are forced to announce that you are a FIJA member (Have any of you ever heard of the Fully Informed Jury Association?), admit it. But answer very, very carefully... if asked if you are a member of the organization, but have never officially joined, or if your dues have lapsed, the answer would be NO. Say nothing!

And if you must answer a question which will lead to your being excluded, blurt out as much about the doctrine as you can! Try to make sure that every single veniremember knows as much as possible about jury independence, and that under NO circumstances must a jury commit injustice. You may abusto the panel; you may force the Judge to send them all home, and you may even get seated on the jury (the judge may be embarrassed to disqualify a juror who has stated he believes in justice, and count on the Prosecutor to use a peremptory challenge on you.)

Just remember that a criminal trial is not the place for political grandstanding or membership recruitment. Our goal here is not to convert, not to show off, and not to challenge the authority of the Judge or Prosecutor, but to see JUSTICE done. Keep your eyes on the prize, and when you get on that jury, do the right thing!

I am only discussing criminal cases here. The rules for civil cases are similar, but not identical. Jury nullification plays a much smaller role in civil than in criminal cases, and there is much less opportunity for oppression by government in civil cases, because Government is not

routinely a party to the case. For these reasons I am concentrating solely on the Criminal rules.

PRESIDENT'S COLUMN

"If you always do what you've always done, you'll always get what you always got" said the motivational speaker.

That quote spoke to me as I thought about two consecutive legislative sessions in which the same committee chair (Allen Place) has bottled up FIJA legislation without hearings.

So, to do more than we had done in the past, a lot of people went to work, and now Lone Star FIJA is a non-profit Texas corporation. We have a checking account, a logo, a board of directors, officially elected officers, more local contacts than ever before, and, of course, a newsletter!

Lone Star FIJA was formed with the intent of becoming a 501(c)(4) "social welfare" organization. We do more than 501 (c)(3) educational organizations like the national FIJA because we are primarily an "action" organization seeking to influence legislation. (That means that donations are not tax deductible.)

Certainly, we are involved in education. That is a prerequisite to the free and just society we are seeking. But we also realize that most folks do not pay attention to the details of anything until they need to know them.

That is why the best way to educate jurors about their power to acquit based on conscience is to allow the defense to inform jurors just before

deliberation. The only way that will happen is if we change state law.

So, influencing state legislators to pass FIJA legislation is Lone Star FIJA's number one priority. Of course, many legislators take the lead from their constituents and public opinion, so we will, in all likelihood, have to influence opinion leaders before we can pass FIJA legislation. Opinion leaders like prominent attorneys, heads of single issue groups, press and media, and political party leadership.

I am involved in FIJA because I am convinced that it is the most effective and most achievable change available toward the goal of restraining oppressive government. You can have more impact for good by working for FIJA than any other way that I know of.

And so, I challenge you to make changing the world your hobby. Take action to bring about FIJA legislation. The most important action you can take this summer is to arrange to visit with your state representative and senator to urge them to support FIJA legislation.

Other actions you should take are:

- o Arrange for a LS-FIJA representative to speak to a group
- o Encourage the leaders of a group to which you belong to support the FIJA idea
- o Write an editorial or letter to the editor about the FIJA idea for your hometown newspaper
- o Call your talk shows to discuss jury power
- o Volunteer for a leadership position to help grow the organization

Contact me for advice and materials that will help with the task you choose to pursue. I am excited about the growing numbers of people who are hearing and accepting the idea that juries should vote their conscience.

Let's keep working ...

Toward liberty and justice!

Tom Glass

Current Lone Star FIJA Local Contacts:

Statewide

Tom Glass

713.467.2989

Austin

Clay Conrad

512.326.5910

Bell Co.

Al Markham

817.778.5808

Guadalupe Co.

Philip Koehne

210.914.2591

Dallas

Larry Dodge

214.357.0902

El Paso

Dotti Squires

915.821.5992

Houston

Richard Lee

713.821.0253

Panhandle

Jim Dennis

806.848.2507

Plano

Greg Knapp

214.596.1426

San Antonio (Bexar and Comal Counties)

Ann Utterback autterb@sagenet.net

210.525.0693

Tarrant Co.

Larry Barthel

817.354.8719

West Texas

Reed Shahan

915.944.4158

What would a filtered, disinformed jury do if faced with the trial of a father accused of illegally trying to obtain a life-saving operation for his daughter, prohibited by a new aHealth Security Acto?

Find out in the fast-paced novel, Deadly Care, by Houstonian, Richard W.

Fulmer.

Send \$10 plus \$2 shipping and handling to Lone Star FIJA, P. O. Box 2682,
Bellaire, TX 77402.

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061409 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[It used to be that a vote for a third party candidate was considered to be a wasted vote -- not any more, as American citizens begin to wake up.] PIML

=====

Date: 13 Jun 96 22:36:27 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:No wasted votes

Patty Neill's commentary on what it really means to have wasted a vote.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is **not* *safe**.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

13-Jun-96 11:15 EDT

Sb: Wasted Vote? NOT ANY MORE!

Fm: Patricia Neill > INTERNET:pnpij@db1.cc.rochester.edu

To: Mike Johnson [102052,3716]

<internet gunk omitted>

>From web site:

><http://www.math.princeton.edu/~tpbarber/3pc/ideas/waste.html#winner>

>

> "Why should I vote for a 3rd party?"

>

> The Fallacy of the "Wasted" Vote

>In preparation for subsequent elections, all politicians in the
>dominant parties continuously review polls and election results to see what
>voter blocks they might like to try to sway. If your block or party is
>big enough, these politicians will make some effort to win some of you
>over by implementing policies that you favor. They would be fools
>not to, since politicians and parties that enact unpopluar legislation
>lose the next election. Recall what happened to George Bush after he
>broke his "no new taxes" pledge.

IN THAT

seen b
I was against NAFTA and I told my congressmen so.

Democrats and Republicans passed NAFTA anyway.

I was against GATT and I told Congress so.

Democrats and Republicans passed GATT anyway--in a lame duck repudiated
Congress, at that.

I was against the so called Communications Decency Act and I told Congress so.

Republicrats and Democans passed it anyway.

I was against the so called Anti-Terrorism Bill, and I told Congress so.

Scumsuckers and Lawyers passed it anyway.

I have been against ALL the unconstitutional, self-serving, corrupt
legisltation passed by both major parties --and I told them so.

Therefore, I will NEVER EVER AGAIN waste my vote by giving it to EITHER the

Republicans or Democrats. Period.

>From now on, in any national election, I'm going to vote 3rd party, probably
Libertarian. If there's a 3rd Party choice in local and state elections,
then I will vote that way.

If we ALL do this, then we'll see changes.

Patty Neill

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061408 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

John Birch Society views on current legislation.] PIML

=====

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 20:09:26 -0700

To: snetnews@alterzone.com

Sender: snetnews-approval@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

John Birch Society

PO Box 8040

Appleton, WI 54913

Phone: 414-749-3780

June, 1996 Bulletin

By Thomas R. Eddlem

PENDING LEGISLATION

WIN SOME, LOSE ONE. Most members undoubtedly know that the anti-terrorism bill was passed by the House and Senate and (on April 24th) signed into law by President Clinton. The noxious bill empowers the federal government to co-opt the criminal processes of the states on a discriminatory basis and also earmarks nearly \$1 billion in new spending for federal law enforcement, foreign aid, and local police assistance programs. But the news is not all bad: Many of the more objectionable parts --such as suspension of the Posse Comitatus Act in certain terrorist cases, wiretapping provisions, and a lowered standard

of proof for prosecuting those accused of gun crimes -- were removed from the bill before final passage.

Moreover, while we were losing part of the battle on the anti-terrorism bill, we were winning some battles in the House. On March 22nd the House passed a version of the Assault Weapons Ban Repeal bill (H.R. 125) by a vote of 239 to 173. And on April 16th the House passed a version of James Traficant's Burden of Proof legislation (H.R. 2337), which would shift the burden of proof in tax cases from the taxpayer to the IRS, by a unanimous vote of 425 to zero.

Not only was the assault weapons ban repeal approved by the House, but dangerous provisions in the original version (H.R. 1488) that would have federalized nearly all gun crimes were removed from the bill --despite the fact that the National Rifle Association had already signed off on the original legislation. The final version provided enhanced prison sentences for only those firearms offenses that were already federal crimes, thanks entirely to the efforts of members of the two organizations that objected to the original version: The John Birch Society and Gun Owners of America.

LETTER WRITING OPPORTUNITIES

SCHOOL TO WORK: The Careers Act, H.R. 1617, remains in a House-Senate conference committee as we go to press. The bill itself boasts a goal of merging federal education and labor policies into a streamlined, comprehensive, coherent, high-quality, cost-effective, market-based, and accountable work force development and literacy system. Never mind that the government has never done anything cost-effective, let alone market-based.

The goal, of course, is not cost effectiveness but the creation of a corporate state in which the government controls both the educational

system and the labor force and in which non-conformists will find it exceedingly difficult to get a job. (A hint of the game plan was provided in a letter that surfaced last year from one of the nation's top education experts to Hillary Clinton. See William F. Jasper's Education article in the July 24, 1995 issue of The New American for more information.)

Write to your representative and senators in opposition to this legislation. Urge instead that your congressmen vote to end federal involvement in both education and employment.

ENUMERATED POWERS ACT: Write to your representative and senators in support of the Enumerated Powers Act sponsored by Representative John Shadegg in the House (H.R. 2270) and Senator Spencer Abraham in the Senate (S. 1039). This worthwhile measure would require that the following language appear in any legislation:

This Act (or resolution is enacted pursuant to the power(s) granted to the Congress under

Article(s)_____

section(s)_____

clause(s)_____

of the U.S. Constitution.

Of course, this legislation would not end unconstitutional abuses, but it would force our lawmakers to provide justification That would put them on record and help make all future twisting of the Constitution more easily recognizable. (For more information about the Enumerated Powers Act, see John F. McManus' Publisher's Page in the January 8th issue of The New American.)

CONGRESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY ACT: Please support Representative J.D.

Hayworth's Congressional Responsibility Act (H.R. 2727). This legislation would chain the fourth branch of the federal government by requiring that regulations proposed by agencies of the executive branch be affirmatively enacted by Congress before they become effective. That is, the Congress would be forced to assert its responsibility as the sole branch of government authorized to legislate. (For more information about this exciting approach to end bureaucratic tyranny, see Robert W. Lee's Nation article in the May 27th issue of The New American.)

UNITED NATIONS: The vultures are circling over the UN! The roof started leaking on France in the General Assembly room in May, and Washington beltway direct-mail organizations have begun using Representative Joe Scarborough's United Nations Withdrawal Act, H.R. 2535, as a fund-raising hook. With anti-UN sentiment growing within the American populace, there is a real possibility of cutting off funds for the UN after the elections. Now is the time to turn up the pressure! Write to your representative in support of the bill.

SECOND AMENDMENT: Representative Steve Stockman's Second Amendment Reaffirmation Act, H.R. 2470, deserves support. The bill would repeal the Brady bill, prohibit the computerization of any gun records, prohibit the regulation of lead ammunition on environmental grounds, severely restrict gun investigation by the ATF, and allow civil remedies for citizens wronged by gun prosecutions.

-> Send "subscribe snetnews" to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: lamunyon@sprynet.com

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061407 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[A historian's view of the General Council of the Provisional
Government of the Republic of Texas.] PIML

=====

Jim:

I will forward your request for information to the General Council
of the Provisional Government of the Republic of Texas unofficial
mailing list <republic-of-texas@colossus.net> where it will be
seen by Robert Kesterson (RT Secretary of State)
<robertk@flash.net>, Wes Burnett (publisher of the unofficial RT
Magazine) <wburnett@onramp.net>, and other RT officials and
supporters. Robert Kesterson maintains an RT web page at
<<http://www.flash.net/~robertk>> which has some documents posted.
I wish you greater success than I have had in obtaining proof of
the 1845 non admission of Texas as a state claim from RT.

I have copies of the letters rejecting the RT case from both the
Texas Supreme Court and the International Court of Justice. The
Supreme Court said they ". . . dismissed the above numbered and
styled proceeding for want of jurisdiction." (Case Number 95-1002)
and the International Court said ". . . It follows that neither
the Court nor its Members can consider applications from private
individuals . . . As a result, no action will be taken on your
letter." (numbered 94135). These letters have not been posted to
the RT web page. I can fax them to you if you will provide me
with a fax number.

Bill

=====

* Bill Utterback
* Libertarian Party candidate for Texas House of Representatives,
* District 45 (Comal and Guadalupe Counties)
*
* butterb@sagenet.net
*
* "It is not the function of our Government to keep the citizen
* from falling into error; it is the function of the citizen to
* keep the Government from falling into error."
* U.S. Supreme Court in American Communications Association v.
* Douds, 339 U.S. 382,442

=====

At 11:35 PM 6/11/96 -0500, you wrote:

>Mr. Utterback,

>

>While I doubt that there is anything that you and I would agree upon,
>politically speaking, with the possible exception of the absurdity of the
>various claims of the "Republic of Texas Movement," I am an historian
>(and temporarily expatriated Texan), who is currently writing an article
>about this same "movement" and who is also writing to ask if you might be
>able to assist with certain information or sources for such.

>

>Specifically, I am interested in the "personae dramatis" themselves.

>

>For example, the claim has been made that the so-called "Ambassador" is a
>one-time "para-legal" who, "through diligent research," putatively
>discovered that "Texas was not lawfully annexed or inducted into the
>United States" and that the "Joint Resolution the Legislature of 1845

>annexed the State without the requisite number of votes."

>

>It is easily determinable that the so-called "Ambassador" did neither
>research, nor "diligent research," as even a cursory examination of the
>Congressional Globe for the 28th U.S. Congress easily demonstrates that
>there is not the first bit of merit to the claim that "Texas was not
>lawfully annexed or inducted into the United States" or to the claim
>either that the "Joint Resolution the Legislature of 1845 annexed the
>State without the requisite number of votes."

>

>Moreover, a review of the historical documents themselves, and
>particularly the last paragraph of the "Joint Resolution for the
>Annexation of Texas" (U.S. Statutes at Large, V., 797-798) further
>demonstrates that there is no worth or merit to the claims of "treaty,"
>lack of "requisite votes," etc.

>

>So, my question is, Who are these people who claim to be the purported
>"General Council of the Provisional Government of the Republic of
>Texas?" What is their background and prior occupations (obviously
>"researcher" is not one)?

>

>As well, I am looking for copies of the so-called court cases that this
>group claims to have filed; specifically, the Texas Supreme Court case
>and the one with the putative "International Court" of the Hague.

>

>I am looking for VERIFIABLE FACTUAL INFORMATION, not secondary-source
>hyperbole or rhetorical license. If you cannot assist in this matter, is
>it possible for you to direct me to sources where this information may be
>obtained?

>

>Thanks.

>

>

>Regards,

>

>Jim

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061406 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Martial law, war powers, and the suspension of the Constitution.]

PIML

=====

At 08:47 AM 6/13/96 -0700, you wrote:

>>The following is a message I recieved from Linda Doly. It clearly states the
>>power of the President, and FEMA.

>>

>>-----<START HERE>-----

>>At 11:43 PM 6/10/96 -0400, kalivas wrote:

>>>> George Orwell had nothing on Congress. They are lawyers from Alice in
>>>>Wonderland.

>>>>

>>>>

>>Kalivas,

>>Hi... I have not studied this well enough to be commenting on it but there
>>may be some who know about the War Powers Act and the Buck Act. These bits
>>of legislation put us into national ememgency giving the president the power
>>to enact Martial Law . . .

> Thank God! Someone is now confirming what I have been saying all along!

>

>I have been trying to report this information for months now, and I also
>have further information regarding emergency powers and how they got
>started. Please read this repost of my report on how this all got started!

>

>The Day America Fell

>

>"The loss of Christian priniciples and hence the loss of our Constitution"

>

>By Alan Russell, Neuman, suae potestate esse, Private Christian

=====

Alan:

Of course I agree with you that the federal government has for some time been acting as if the Constitution had been suspended. Fortunately, it is not possible for the federal government to actually suspend the Constitution. Any unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose. The people have the right and the means, for the present at least, to get rid of these federal legislators who are writing unconstitutional statutes. Vote the incumbents out of office and vote patriots in. Simple? Yes. Easy? No. It takes real work.

The following are the "war and emergency powers of the President" as stated in the U.S. Constitution:

Article I, Section 8

The Congress shall have Power . . . To declare War, grant Letters of Marque and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning Captures on Land and Water . . .

Article I, Section 9

The Privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless when in Cases of Rebellion or Invasion the public Safety may require it.

Amendment III

No Soldier shall, in time of peace be quartered in any house,

without the consent of the Owner, nor in time of war, but in a manner to be prescribed by law.

Amendment V

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger . . .

There are no additional constitutional war or emergency powers.

"This Constitution . . . shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every State shall be bound thereby . . ."

U. S. Constitution, Article IV

"The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. An unconstitutional law, in legal contemplation, is as inoperative as if it had never been passed. Such a statute leaves the question that it purports to settle just as it would be had the statute not been enacted.

Since an unconstitutional law is void, the general principles follow that it imposes no duties, confers no rights, creates no office, bestows no power or authority on anyone, affords no protection, and justifies no acts performed under it . . .

A void act cannot be legally consistent with a valid one. An

unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid law. Indeed, insofar as a statute runs counter to the fundamental law of the land, it is superseded thereby.

No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it."

Sixteenth American Jurisprudence, Second Edition, Section 256

I get so tired of hearing "the U.S. Constitution has been suspended" instead of the more accurate "the federal government is acting as if the Constitution were suspended." Little words, big difference. Alan, what is it that you fail to understand about the word "supreme"?

for Liberty,

Bill

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061405 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Pro and con comment about HR 3460 - Patent Office] PIML

=====

Chuck:

At 07:26 PM 6/13/96 GMT, you wrote:

>>It shuts down the US Patent Office and places
>>under private control similiar in nature to the
>>US Post Office.

>

>Let's see...taking another inefficient, ineffective, and oppressive
>agency (the PTO) and moving it to the private sector is a *Communist*
>idea?

The Post Office is hardly in the private sector.

>I thought Libertarians should be jumping for joy over this. For every
>job that is saved by a patent, dozens are jeopardized by frivolous
>patent suits. As more patents are granted each day than in the first
>several years of the PTO's existence, and as they grow wider and wider
>in scope as applicants exaggerate their claims ad absurdum, patents
>keep technological prowess concentrated in very large companies, and
>with every passing day, patents lock entrepreneurs out of the market.

This Libertarian feels that a patent system is one of the few

constitutional and proper functions of federal government.

>And, furthermore, patents keep lawyers powerful. And we all know that
>this is bad. :-)

I think that the major point of concern is that under the new law
inventors (large or small) would have a very short period of
protection.

>And the guy who mailed you this to begin with needs to learn the
>difference between a "patient" and a "patent".

I agree that there is a great need for spell checkers in the world
of e-mail.

for Liberty,

Bill

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061404 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[This reply was in reference to my signature quote:

- * "It is not the function of our Government to keep the citizen
- * from falling into error; it is the function of the citizen to
- * keep the Government from falling into error."
- * U.S. Supreme Court in American Communications Association v.
- * Douds, 339 U.S. 382,442

Then I proceed to do some myth-busting in regard to the Supreme Court.] PIML

=====

Jean:

At 03:27 PM 6/13/96 -0500, you wrote:

>Response to above: It is almost impossible for the citizens to keep
>the Government from falling into error when you've got a Supreme court
>where the majority make their own rules and have no regard for the
>people. They are elected forever, cannot be voted out, and they are
>answerable to no one. It's hard for the citizen to deal with these
>"gods."
>
>Thanks for all the good information. I'd support you for office if
>I could. Jean

Thanks for your vote of confidence. Now can I interest you in
moving to Comal or Guadalupe Counties, Texas? :-)

You have written a common misconception about the Supreme Court.

"The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold

their Offices DURING GOOD BEHAVIOR . . ." (Article III, Section 1)

Any time Supreme Court judges fail to maintain good behavior, such as making judgements obviously contrary to the Constitution, they can be impeached by the House, then tried and removed from office by the Senate. All we need is 26% of the House and 2/3 of the Senators present (minimum of 51 present for a quorum) who are patriots to fire a Supreme Court judge. That is a simple majority of a minimum quorum in the House. The 26% figure assumes a lengthy session in which the other Representatives leave one by one until a minimum quorum of those in on the plan remains. The hard part is getting an impeachment from the House. After that is accomplished there must be a trial in the Senate.

Bill

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061403 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[A subscriber pointed out that a Mr. Dornan was listed as a
co-sponsor of HR 3308.] PIML

=====

At 08:04 PM 6/13/96 -0400, you wrote:

>Is "Mr. Dornan" Robert Dornan? as one who introduced this bill? How could
>that be?

I would guess that it would be; it looks like Dornan got suckered.
So much of the proposed legislation looks good on the surface and
has a reverse twist buried in the fine print.

The bad part is:

"(3) in view of the complexity of United Nations peace operations
and the difficulty of achieving unity of command and expeditious
decisionmaking, the United States should participate in such
operations only when it is clearly in the national security
interest to do so;"

Apparently it is up to the President to decide "when it is clearly
in the national security interest to do so" although a later
section requires the President to explain his decision to
Congress. The above paragraph should have added to the end "and
when the House of Representatives has decided that it is clearly
in the national security interest. Left unchanged, it grants new
authority (of questionable constitutionality) that the President
does not now have.

I believe I will post this on PIML with a request for everyone to
contact Dornan as well as their Congressman. Kill this bill - NO
NEW POWERS FOR DICTATOR CLINTON!

for Liberty,

Bill

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061402 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Interesting thoughts on the Korean Air Lines Flight 007 crash.]

PIML

=====

Date: Thu, 13 Jun 96 15:01:58 PST

From: "dterry" <dterry@atmel.com>

To: butterb@sagenet.net

Subject: Re: PIML 96061307 - KAL Flight 007 - Update

<* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to *
butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).>

Hi Bill,

Personally, I have a VERY hard time with this story. The main reason being that the author appears to ignore the most important passenger who was aboard KAL 007: Congressman Lawrence McDonald of Georgia.

The key to understanding KAL 007 is understanding what happened to Congressman McDonald. It is instructive to realize that Congressman McDonald was, at the time of the incident, the President of the John Birch Society. Historical review of articles published in the Pravda reveal the utter disdain that the communists had (and do have) for the John Birch Society. No organization on the the globe has ever understood the machinations of the red menace and the accompanying Conspiracy that enables it to exist better than the JBS.

Food for thought. The answer, of course, lies with the Flight recorder,

which has only recently become available. Also, I saw recently (on the day the Whitewater convictions came down) on CNN or HNN (what's the diff?) that one of the KAL 007's victim's families had been awarded \$10 million. Didn't say who it was, or who had to pay. It makes no sense that KAL would pay, as they were the victim. If the Russians paid, then they did it. So it is instructive to find out who got paid, by who, and just what court decided this case.....

About all that can be concluded is that our government has never told the truth about this incident. Fancy that.

cordially,

Dan Terry

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061401 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[After the heavy dose of PIML yesterday, today we will serve lighter fare. A number of replies from subscribers which are worth reading have come in. This first one refers to the 1895 8th grade final exam.] PIML

=====

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 10:43:06 -0700

To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>

From: jon.roland@the-spa.com (Jon Roland)

Subject: Re: PIML 96061204 - 1895 8th grade final exam

At 04:49 96/06/12 -0500, Bill Utterback wrote:

>[Folks, I'm afraid I just failed eighth grade. This test speaks
>volumes about the decline of our educational system.] PIML

This test resembles one my grandfather, a schoolteacher who made the transition from one-room private schooling to cohort-segregated public schooling, gave his students in the 1920's, and it is at a similar level to tests given my classmates in 1958 in Seguin, Texas, although ours were different in form and style. Since then I have visited various schools and observed their study materials and exams. Most of the decline has occurred during the past 30 years, and began in urban schools. At the time I went to school, most of the kids were solidly middle-class, with a high proportion of parents having some college. More than 80% of my graduating class went on to college. Since then there has been a decided shift toward lower-class, less well-behaved kids with less-educated parents, and the quality and educational level of the teachers has declined, even in my home town. People who used to teach are now going into well-paying professions, leaving the field to

persons who would never have qualified in the 1950's.

I remember a talk I had with my grandfather, who worried about the cohort-segregated system which public education had adopted, based on the production-efficiency teachings of Frederick Taylor, who did the theoretical work for the modern assembly line. In the old one-room schoolhouse days, the older students helped teach the younger ones, and the adult, at the top, was the principal role model for them all. My grandfather said that although the cohort-segregated system allowed more students to be taught by fewer teachers, he feared that students would increasingly come under the influence of their age-peers, and that the result would be generations of people raised more by other children than by adults, taking on child-like values that would persist into adulthood and be passed on to their children in turn, with the resulting loss of adult values from the society. I'm casting what he said in modern language, of course. He didn't express these ideas in such terms. But this is the gist of it.

He may have been on to something. If he was right, and I think he was, the cohort-segregated system of public education may have gone a long way to destroying this country, and only abandoning that system can save it.

It is often the seemingly innocent changes that have the greatest long-term consequences.

By the way, I can pass that 1895 text, although some items, like the "epochs of U.S. history", are textbook- or lecture-dependent, and therefore I might give different answers than the original teacher was expecting.

--Jon

=====

Visit our Web site under its new domain name - <http://www.constitution.org/>

If you need help setting up your own Web site, call us at 916/927-4935.

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061310 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Why not get out of the UN NOW and let them have their New World
Order without the United States of America.] PIML

=====

From: ray673@best.com

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 09:07:19 -0700

Subject: UNITED NATIONS ARMY

From: Jan Farmer <jfarmer@startext.net>

Subject: [Fwd: United Nations Army]

UNITED NATIONS ARMY

"Americans must halt the build-up of a United Nations Army or we will soon
find ourselves supervised by sociological drovers in a one-world animal
farm."

Extract, VICTORY DENIED (1966) by Archibald E. Roberts, LtCol (then Major)
301 pages, Library of Congress #66-20665, OUT OF PRINT

The people, source of all political power, are responsible for instructing
elected officials to confine functions of government to limitations defined
in the Constitution. (A)

Citizen-initiated, state legislative solution to the United Nations problem
is offered by Committee to Restore the Constitution:

1. Model 'letter of instruction' to state lawmakers with names and
addresses of legislators on self-adhesive labels.

2. State bills prepared by T. David Horton, Counsel, Committee to Restore the Constitution:

a. "Bill to Investigate the Legality of the Action of Federal Agents with Regard to the United Nations"

b. "Bill to Provide for Enforcement of the Constitution of the United States with Regard to the So-called United Nations Organization" (includes criminal sanctions).

3. "Characteristics of Government", testimony by T. David Horton, Attorney, restating authority and responsibility of state legislators to correct violations of the Constitution by their agents in Washington.

4. Back-up documentation to continue public education of state lawmakers, i.e.:

Senate Joint Resolution #65 "Establishing a commission to study the creation of a standing international military force under the United Nations Charter" 16 March 1993 - April 1994 bulletin.

Presidential Decision Directive #25, 3 May 1994 (State Department Summary) "The Clinton Administration's Policy on Reforming Multilateral Peace Operations" (transfers control of US military forces to foreign commanders, e.g.: Bosnia) - October 1994 Committee bulletin - and much more.

UNITED NATIONS ARMY AND THE NEW WORLD ORDER

My interest in United Nations cabalistic nuance began in Korea where I observed the American flag and the United Nations banner flying side by side at the Pusan Headquarters, Supreme United Nations Command, Korea. It was also in Korea that, for the first time, I observed American dead being buried under a foreign device in a United Nations cemetery.

This curiosity increased during the course of my struggle to comply with military orders which directed the establishment of pro-American troop educational programs in Germany. These military directives, I found, were sabotaged by concealed forces in the Pentagon and in the Department of State. I was to discover later that these policy moves originated in the United Nations under authority of the United Nations Charter.

Additional evidence of a strange ambivalence regarding official statements concerning troop information objectives and the field application of those principles was revealed during the course of the Senate 'military muzzling' investigations which sprang from this struggle. It then became apparent that hidden policy planners at an international level were in fact directing a propaganda campaign which opposed the principles set forth in the United States Constitution; the Constitution which I have sworn to 'defend and preserve'. (B)

Confronted with the divided allegiance demanded by the new military morality I determined to trace the origins of this mischief and to make it my mission to seek the means for correcting the misdirection of armed forces policy and which would end the exploitation of America's soldier sons in international adventures.

The information gleaned during the course of my personal investigations, and the situations which produced such evidence, are presented in this book. This compendium of international deceit is drawn from actions in which I was personally involved or which are the result of related research and examination of public and private documents.

The following factors will be disclosed as having a material bearing on the abuse of American fighting forces and the undermining of the United States Consitutiton:

- a. The United Nations Organization is the product of internationalists whose objective is Soviet-style control over the world's people and resources.
- b. A prime requisite for the achievement of one-world government under the UN flag is United Nations command of the US military forces.
- c. Technique for achieving UN command of the US military establishment includes UN manipulation of United States government agencies, establishment of interlocking propaganda media, and the employment of politically oriented agents who are in sympathy with one-world government policy.

The purpose of the disclosure is two-fold; first, to indict publicly the United Nations Organization and, second, to illustrate the importance of immediate action to resolve this condition of dire peril.

A major objective of the work is the presentation of a Constitution-centered citizen action plan which will lead to the reestablishment of the United States Constitution as the 'Supreme Law of the Land'. The concluding portion of the book will, therefore, be concerned with a proposal to insure that the limits of the US Constitution are respected within the borders of the sovereign states.

It will be shown that Americans can act to avert terminal passage of our Christian nation into a Soviet twilight zone under the United Nations banner.

Of course, the Planners tell us that the United Nations is the hope of the world.

But we know that the United Nations is not what UN supporters think it is.

The United Nations is what the articles of the UN Charter say it is:

The United Nations is an agency for imposing a one-world government on

the nations of the world; by peaceful means if possible; by force and violence if necessary.

The law of self-preservation demands that Americans learn as much as possible about this international organization; an agency which is geared for a take-over of the United States. We must know its origins; we must study its charter, and we must examine its effect on our national policy.

Our study will be a candid examination of a new dimension in warfare. It will show that the weapons employed by our enemy are duplicity, subversion, and treason. However, before exploring the events which placed our soldiers under UN command, it may be pertinent to explain why many army men have elected to become personally involved in this psycho-political war. Perhaps the most direct means of defining our position is to present the oath each officer takes upon being commissioned in the United States Army.

(C)

This was, and is the military creed:

"I, . . . , do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; That I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; That I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion, and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God."

A personal reason for resistance to a United Nations take-over lies in the fact that my ancestors helped to establish in this bountiful land, 'the best form of government ever devised by the hand of man'.

We must not relinquish the heritage of freedom so dearly won by the sweat and blood of our forefathers.

ADDENDUM

A. In 1788 thirteen Sovereign Nation States joined in forming a federal government with powers to perform certain functions enumerated in a constitution and no others. The Constitution of the United States thus formed was and remains today a contract between Sovereign States acting at their highest sovereign capacity, with the federal government created by the States under contract to perform limited functions.

If any State, as a contracting party, finds that the contract is being violated, that State must take action to bring about correction. No court, not even the Supreme Court, has any jurisdiction in such case. Only a Sovereign State can make correction. This is the situation described by eminent constitutional authorities.

B. Roberts wrote and directed the 24th Infantry Division "Pro Blue" troop information program in Germany (1959), central issue in the 1962 Senate 'military muzzling' investigation (Military Cold War Education and Speech Review Policies, Senate Committee on Armed Services, 4-13 April 1962).

C. Roberts is a successful litigant (1962-1965) in a precedent-setting lawsuit against Secretary of the Army Cyrus R Vance, involving freedom of speech of military personnel: United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Civil Action No. 2106-62, 12 May 1965. Archibald E Roberts, Appellant v. Stanley R Resor, Secretary of the Army (successor in office to Cyrus R Vance), David E McGiffert, Under Secretary of the Army (successor in office to Stephen Ailes), Major General Kenneth G Wickham, US Army, The Adjutant General, United States Army (successor in office to Major General Joe C Lambert), Appellees.

=====

For application of the remedy send self-addressed, stamped #10 envelope
(ask for "The Republic: Decline and Future Promise")

Archibald E Roberts, LtCol, AUS, ret, Director
Committee to Restore the Constitution, Inc
Post Office Box 986 Fort Collins CO 80522

"Dream Weavers Web Design" presents...

Professional Web page design and
Original 3D photo-realistic artwork utilizing the BEST software:
POVLAB 4.0 Modeler & POV-Ray 3.0 Renderer, plus more...

contact:

Ray Gano e-mail ray673@best.com

Ed Stodghill e-mail estodgh@cp.uswc.uswest.com

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061309 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Vote NO on HR 3460.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOSAI1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: Shutting Down the US Patient Office

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 96 17:50:00 EST

X-MS-Attachment: HR-3460.LAW 146812 06-12-1996 17:22

Here's another wonderful law from the Colorado Communist Pat Schroeder who will soon retire.

It shuts down the US Patent Office and places under private control similiar in nature to the US Post Office.

But what's worst, it allows total access to US technical patents after a very, very short period of time exactly like the Japanese run their patent office. But what the hell, our Patent Office has only been in operation for 150 years, and this is the only way the Japs and other super- wealthy globalist corporations and CEO's can get their hands on our technology.

While you're on the phone to your representative, tell him or her to vote NO on HR-3308, please strongly urge them to vote NO on this disasterous Bill as well.

If this goes through, you haven't seen job losses in country.

Roger

[[HR-3460.LAW : 4371 in HR-3460.LAW]]

104th Congress H. R. 3460 As Introduced in the House

Note: This document is the unofficial version of a Bill or Resolution.

The printed Bill and Resolution produced by the Government Printing
Office is the only official version.

VERSION As Introduced in the House

CONGRESS 104th CONGRESS

2d Session

BILL H. R. 3460

TITLE To establish the Patent and Trademark Office as a Government
corporation, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 15, 1996

Mr. Moorhead (for himself, Mrs. Schroeder, Mr. Conyers, Mr.
Sensenbrenner, Mr. Coble, Mr. Goodlatte, Mr. Berman, Mr. Boucher, Mr.
Gallegly, Mr. Hoke, Mr. Nadler, and Ms. Lofgren) introduced the
following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

TEXT A BILL

To establish the Patent and Trademark Office as a Government
corporation, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the

United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the `Inventor Rights Protection and Patent Reform Act of 1996`.

SEC. 2. TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Sec. 1. Short title.

Sec. 2. Table of contents.

TITLE I - PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE GOVERNMENT CORPORATION

Sec. 101. Short title.

SUBTITLE A - UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Sec. 111. Establishment of Patent and Trademark Office as a Government corporation.

Sec. 112. Powers and duties.

Sec. 113. Organization and management.

Sec. 114. Management Advisory Board.

Sec. 115. Conforming amendments.

Sec. 116. Trademark Trial and Appeal Board.

Sec. 117. Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

Sec. 118. Suits by and against the Office.

Sec. 119. Annual report of Commissioner.

Sec. 120. Suspension or exclusion from practice.

Sec. 121. Funding.

Sec. 122. Audits.

Sec. 123. Transfers.

SUBTITLE B - EFFECTIVE DATE; TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS

Sec. 131. Effective date.

Sec. 132. Technical and conforming amendments.

SUBTITLE C - MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

Sec. 141. References.

Sec. 142. Exercise of authorities.

Sec. 143. Savings provisions.

Sec. 144. Transfer of assets.

Sec. 145. Delegation and assignment.

Sec. 146. Authority of Director of the Office of Management and
Budget with respect to functions transferred.

Sec. 147. Certain vesting of functions considered transfers.

Sec. 148. Availability of existing funds.

Sec. 149. Definitions.

TITLE II - EARLY PUBLICATION OF PATENT APPLICATIONS

Sec. 201. Short title.

Sec. 202. Early publication.

Sec. 203. Time for claiming benefit of earlier filing date.

Sec. 204. Provisional rights.

Sec. 205. Prior art effect of published applications.

Sec. 206. Cost recovery for publication.

Sec. 207. Conforming changes.

Sec. 208. Patent term extension authority.

Sec. 209. Examining procedure improvements; further limited
reexamination of patent applications.

Sec. 210. Last day of pendency of provisional application.

Sec. 211. Reporting requirement.

Sec. 212. Effective date.

TITLE III - PRIOR DOMESTIC COMMERCIAL USE

Sec. 301. Short title.

Sec. 302. Defense to patent infringement based on prior domestic
commercial use.

Sec. 303. Effective date and applicability.

TITLE IV - INVENTOR PROTECTION

Sec. 401. Short title.

Sec. 402. Invention development services.

Sec. 403. Technical and conforming amendment.

Sec. 404. Effective date.

TITLE V - PATENT REEXAMINATION REFORM

Sec. 501. Short title.

Sec. 502. Definitions.

Sec. 503. Reexamination procedures.

Sec. 504. Conforming amendments.

Sec. 505. Effective date.

TITLE VI - MISCELLANEOUS PATENT PROVISIONS

Sec. 601. Provisional applications.

Sec. 602. International applications.

Sec. 603. Plant patents.

TITLE I - PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE GOVERNMENT CORPORATION

SEC. 101. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the `Patent and Trademark Office Government Corporation Act of 1996`.

SUBTITLE A - UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SEC. 111. ESTABLISHMENT OF PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE AS A GOVERNMENT CORPORATION.

Section 1 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 1. Establishment

(a) Establishment. - The United States Patent and Trademark Office is established as a wholly owned Government corporation subject to chapter 91 of title 31, and shall be an agency of the United States under the policy guidance of the Secretary of Commerce, except as otherwise provided in this title. For purposes of internal management, the United States Patent and Trademark Office shall be a corporate body not subject to supervision by any

department, except as otherwise provided in this title.

(b) Offices. - The United States Patent and Trademark Office shall maintain an office in the District of Columbia, or the metropolitan area thereof, for the service of process and papers and shall be deemed, for purposes of venue in civil actions, to be a resident of the district in which its principal office is located. The United States Patent and Trademark Office may establish offices in such other places as it considers necessary or appropriate in the conduct of its business.

(c) Reference. - For purposes of this title, the United States Patent and Trademark Office shall also be referred to as the `Office` and the `Patent and Trademark Office`.`.

SEC. 112. POWERS AND DUTIES.

Section 2 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 2. Powers and Duties

(a) In General. - The United States Patent and Trademark Office shall be responsible for -

(1) the granting and issuing of patents and the registration of trademarks;

(2) conducting studies, programs, or exchanges of items or services regarding domestic and international patent and trademark law, the administration of the Office, or any other function vested in the Office by law, including programs to recognize, identify, assess, and forecast the technology of patented inventions and their utility to industry;

(3)(A) authorizing or conducting studies and programs cooperatively with foreign patent and trademark offices and international organizations, in connection with the granting and issuing of patents and the registration of trademarks; and

(B) with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, authorizing the transfer of not to exceed \$100,000 in any year to the Department of State for the purpose of making special payments to international intergovernmental organizations for studies and programs for advancing international cooperation concerning patents, trademarks, and related matters; and

(4) disseminating to the public information with respect to patents and trademarks.

The special payments under paragraph (3)(B) may be in addition to any other payments or contributions to international organizations described in paragraph (3)(B) and shall not be subject to any limitations imposed by law on the amounts of such other payments or contributions by the United States Government.

(b) Specific Powers. - The Office -

(1) shall have perpetual succession;

(2) shall adopt and use a corporate seal, which shall be judicially noticed and with which letters patent, certificates of trademark registrations, and papers issued by the Office shall be authenticated;

(3) may sue and be sued in its corporate name and be represented by its own attorneys in all judicial and administrative proceedings, subject to the provisions of section 8;

(4) may indemnify the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, and other officers, attorneys, agents, and employees (including members of the Management Advisory Board established in section 5) of the Office for liabilities and expenses incurred within the scope of their employment;

(5) may adopt, amend, and repeal bylaws, rules, regulations, and determinations, which -

(A) shall govern the manner in which its business will be conducted and the powers granted to it by law will be exercised;

(B) shall be made after notice and opportunity for full participation by interested public and private parties;

(C) shall facilitate and expedite the processing of patent applications, particularly those which can be filed, stored, processed, searched, and retrieved electronically, subject to the provisions of section 122 relating to the confidential status of applications; and

(D) may govern the recognition and conduct of agents, attorneys, or other persons representing applicants or other parties before the Office, and may require them, before being recognized as representatives of applicants or other persons, to show that they are of good moral character and reputation and are possessed of the necessary qualifications to render to applicants or other persons valuable service, advice, and assistance in the presentation or prosecution of their applications or other business before the Office;

(6) may acquire, construct, purchase, lease, hold, manage, operate, improve, alter, and renovate any real, personal, or mixed property, or any interest therein, as it considers necessary to carry out its functions;

(7)(A) may make such purchases, contracts for the construction, maintenance, or management and operation of facilities, and contracts for supplies or services, without regard to the provisions of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 471 and following), the Public Buildings Act (40 U.S.C. 601 and following), and the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11301 and following); and

(B) may enter into and perform such purchases and contracts

for printing services, including the process of composition, platemaking, presswork, silk screen processes, binding, microform, and the products of such processes, as it considers necessary to carry out the functions of the Office, without regard to sections 501 through 517 and 1101 through 1123 of title 44;

(8) may use, with their consent, services, equipment, personnel, and facilities of other departments, agencies, and instrumentalities of the Federal Government, on a reimbursable basis, and cooperate with such other departments, agencies, and instrumentalities in the establishment and use of services, equipment, and facilities of the Office;

(9) may obtain from the Administrator of General Services such services as the Administrator is authorized to provide to other agencies of the United States, on the same basis as those services are provided to other agencies of the United States;

(10) may use, with the consent of the United States and the agency, government, or international organization concerned, the services, records, facilities, or personnel of any State or local government agency or instrumentality or foreign government or international organization to perform functions on its behalf;

(11) may determine the character of and the necessity for its obligations and expenditures and the manner in which they shall be incurred, allowed, and paid, subject to the provisions of this title and the Act of July 5, 1946 (commonly referred to as the `Trademark Act of 1946`);

(12) may retain and use all of its revenues and receipts, including revenues from the sale, lease, or disposal of any real, personal, or mixed property, or any interest therein, of the Office, including for research and development and capital investment, subject to the provisions of section 10101 of the

Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1980 (35 U.S.C. 41 note);

(13) shall have the priority of the United States with respect to the payment of debts from bankrupt, insolvent, and decedents` estates;

(14) may accept monetary gifts or donations of services, or of real, personal, or mixed property, in order to carry out the functions of the Office;

(15) may execute, in accordance with its bylaws, rules, and regulations, all instruments necessary and appropriate in the exercise of any of its powers;

(16) may provide for liability insurance and insurance against any loss in connection with its property, other assets, or operations either by contract or by self-insurance; and

(17) shall pay any settlement or judgment entered against it from the funds of the Office and not from amounts available under section 1304 of title 31.`.

-----remainder cut for lack of space-----

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061308 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Vote NO on HR 3308.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: HR-3308 and the United Nations

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 96 17:39:00 EST

In the past few weeks, the case against US Army Specialist Michael New has taken an interesting twist. It has been determined that the President of the United States does NOT have authority to put us troops under authroity of the United Nations OR any other government without the express written concent of Congress.

The below bill will allow any sitting president to conduct any UN operations he/she deems appropriate with further consideration of Congress. Which means that Specialist New's argument that he was not authorized under military and constitutional law to obey his commanders from the President on down because it was an unlawful order would go out the window.

Call your Congressman/Congresswoman and tell them to vote NO on this bill.

Get us the hell out of the United Nations.

Roger Cravens

104th Congress H. R. 3308 As Introduced in the House

Note: This document is the unofficial version of a Bill or Resolution.
The printed Bill and Resolution produced by the Government Printing
Office is the only official version.

VERSION As Introduced in the House

CONGRESS 104th CONGRESS

2d Session

BILL H. R. 3308

TITLE To amend title 10, United States Code, to limit the placement of
United States forces under United Nations operational or
tactical control, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APRIL 24, 1996

Mr. Longley (for himself, Mr. Armey, Mr. DeLay, Mr. Cox of California,
Mr. Spence, Mr. Gilman, Mr. Buyer, Mr. Chambliss, Mr. Cunningham, Mr.
Dornan, Mr. Everett, Mr. Hansen, Mr. Hefley, Mr. Herger, Mr. Hilleary,
Mr. Hoke, Mr. Hostettler, Mr. Hunter, Mr. Jones, Mr. Kim, Mr. McKeon,
Mr. Metcalf, Mr. Radanovich , Mr. Saxton, Mr. Talent, Mr. Thornberry,
Mr. Tiahrt, Mr. Torkildsen, Mr. Watts of Oklahoma, and Mr. Weldon of
Pennsylvania) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the

Committee on National Security, and in addition to the Committee on International Relations, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

TEXT

A BILL

To amend title 10, United States Code, to limit the placement of United States forces under United Nations operational or tactical control, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the `United States Armed Forces Protection Act of 1996`.

SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND CONGRESSIONAL POLICY.

(a) Findings. - Congress finds as follows:

(1) The President has made United Nations peace operations a major component of the foreign and security policies of the United States.

(2) The President has committed United States military personnel under United Nations operational control to missions in Haiti, Croatia, and Macedonia that could endanger those personnel.

(3) The President has deployed over 22,000 United States military personnel to the former Yugoslavia as peacekeepers under NATO operational control to implement the Dayton Peace Accord of December 1995.

(4) Although the President has insisted that he will retain command of United States forces at all times, in the past this has meant administrative control of United States forces only, while operational control has been ceded to United Nations commanders, some of whom were foreign nationals.

(5) The experience of United States forces participating in combined United States-United Nations operations in Somalia, and in combined United Nations-NATO operations in the former Yugoslavia, demonstrate that prerequisites for effective military operations such as unity of command and clarity of mission have not been met by United Nations command and control arrangements.

(6) Despite the many deficiencies in the conduct of United Nations peace operations, there may be unique occasions when it is in the national security interests of the United States to participate in such operations.

(b) Policy. - It is the sense of Congress that -

(1) the President should consult closely with Congress regarding any United Nations peace operation that could involve United States combat forces and that such consultations should continue throughout the duration of such activities;

(2) the President should consult with Congress before a vote within the United Nations Security Council on any resolution which would authorize, extend, or revise the mandate for any such activity;

(3) in view of the complexity of United Nations peace operations and the difficulty of achieving unity of command and expeditious decisionmaking, the United States should participate in such operations only when it is clearly in the national security interest to do so;

(4) United States combat forces should be under the operational control of qualified commanders and should have clear and effective command and control arrangements and rules of engagement (which do not restrict their self-defense in any way) and clear and unambiguous mission statements; and

(5) none of the Armed Forces of the United States should be under the operational control of foreign nationals in United Nations peace enforcement operations except in the most extraordinary circumstances.

(c) Definitions. - For purposes of subsections (a) and (b):

(1) The term `United Nations peace enforcement operations` means any international peace enforcement or similar activity that is authorized by the United Nations Security Council under chapter VII of the Charter of the United Nations.

(2) The term `United Nations peace operations` means any international peacekeeping, peacemaking, peace enforcement, or similar activity that is authorized by the United Nations Security Council under chapter VI or VII of the Charter of the United Nations.

SEC. 3. PLACEMENT OF UNITED STATES FORCES UNDER UNITED NATIONS OPERATIONAL OR TACTICAL CONTROL.

(a) In General. -

(1) Chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 404 the following new section:

Sec. 405. Placement of United States forces under United Nations operational or tactical control: limitation

(a) Limitation. - Except as provided in subsections (b) and (c), funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense may not be obligated or expended for activities of any element of the armed forces that after the date of the enactment of this section is placed under United Nations operational or tactical control, as defined in subsection (f).

(b) Exception for Presidential Certification. -

(1) Subsection (a) shall not apply in the case of a proposed placement of an element of the armed forces under United Nations operational or tactical control if the President, not less than 15 days before the date on which such United Nations operational or tactical control is to become effective (or as provided in paragraph (2)), meets the requirements of subsection (d).

(2) If the President certifies to Congress that an emergency exists that precludes the President from meeting the requirements of subsection (d) 15 days before placing an element of the armed forces under United Nations operational or tactical control, the President may place such forces under such operational or tactical control and meet the requirements of subsection (d) in a timely manner, but in no event later than 48 hours after such operational or tactical control becomes effective.

(c) Additional Exceptions. -

(1) Subsection (a) shall not apply in the case of a proposed placement of any element of the armed forces under United Nations operational or tactical control if Congress specifically authorizes by law that particular placement of United States forces under United Nations operational or tactical control.

(2) Subsection (a) shall not apply in the case of a proposed placement of any element of the armed forces in an operation conducted by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

(d) Presidential Certifications. - The requirements referred to in subsection (b)(1) are that the President submit to Congress the following:

(1) Certification by the President that it is in the national security interests of the United States to place any element of the armed forces under United Nations operational or tactical control.

(2) A report setting forth the following:

(A) A description of the national security interests that would be advanced by the placement of United States forces under United Nations operation or tactical control.

(B) The mission of the United States forces involved.

(C) The expected size and composition of the United States forces involved.

(D) The precise command and control relationship between the United States forces involved and the United Nations command structure.

(E) The precise command and control relationship between the United States forces involved and the commander of the United States unified command for the region in which those United States forces are to operate.

(F) The extent to which the United States forces involved will rely on forces of other countries for security and defense and an assessment of the capability of those other forces to provide adequate security to the United States forces involved.

(G) The exit strategy for complete withdrawal of the United States forces involved.

(H) The extent to which the commander of any unit of the armed forces proposed for placement under United Nations operational or tactical control will at all times retain the right -

(i) to report independently to superior United States military authorities; and

(ii) to decline to comply with orders judged by the commander to be illegal or beyond the mandate of the mission to which the United States agreed with the United Nations, until such time as that commander receives direction from superior United States military authorities with respect to the orders that the commander has declined to comply with.

(I) The extent to which the United States will retain the authority to withdraw any element of the armed forces from the proposed operation at any time and to take any action it considers necessary to protect those forces if they are engaged.

(J) The extent to which United States forces involved will be required

to wear as part of their uniform any badge, symbol, helmet, headgear, or other visible indicia or insignia that indicates affiliation to or with the United Nations.

(K) The anticipated monthly incremental cost to the United States of participation in the United Nations operation by the United States forces which are proposed to be placed under United Nations operational or tactical control.

(e) Classification of Report. - A report under subsection (d) shall be submitted in unclassified form and, if necessary, in classified form.

(f) United Nations Operational or Tactical Control. - For purposes of this section, an element of the Armed Forces shall be considered to be placed under United Nations operational or tactical control if -

(1) that element is under the operational or tactical control of an individual acting on behalf of the United Nations for the purpose of international peacekeeping, peacemaking, peace-enforcing, or similar activity that is authorized by the Security Council under chapter VI or VII of the Charter of the United Nations; and

(2) the senior military commander of the United Nations force or operation is a foreign national or is a citizen of the United States who is not a United States military officer serving on active duty.

(g) Interpretation. - Nothing in this section may be construed -

(1) as authority for the President to use any element of the armed forces in any operation; and

(2) as authority for the President to place any element of the armed

forces under the command or operational control of a foreign national.`.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

405. Placement of United States forces under United Nations operational or tactical control: limitation.`.

(b) Exception for Ongoing Operations in Macedonia and Croatia. -

Section 405 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), does not apply in the case of activities of the Armed Forces as part of the United Nations force designated as the United Nations Protection Force (UNPROFOR) that are carried out -

(1) in Macedonia pursuant to United Nations Security Council Resolution 795, adopted December 11, 1992, and subsequent reauthorization Resolutions; or

(2) in Croatia pursuant to United Nations Security Council Resolution 743, adopted February 21, 1992, and subsequent reauthorization Resolutions.

SEC. 4. REQUIREMENT TO ENSURE THAT ALL MEMBERS KNOW MISSION AND CHAIN OF COMMAND.

(a) In General. - Chapter 37 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

Sec.656. Members required to be informed of mission and chain of command `The commander of any unit of the armed forces assigned to an operation shall ensure that each member of such unit is fully informed of that unit`s mission as part of such operation and of that member`s

chain of command.`.

(b) Clerical Amendment. - The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

656. Members required to be informed of mission and chain of command.

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061307 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Pretty far out info. Could it be true?] PIML

=====

From: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 14:21:06 -0700

Subject: (Fwd) KAL Flight 007 - Update

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

----- Forwarded Message Follows -----

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 1996 22:36:03 -0700 (MST)

From: jharding@aztec.asu.edu (JOHN E. HARDING)

Subject: KAL Flight 007 - Update

Reply-to: iufo@alterzone.com

A book called "Incident at Sakhalin: The True Mission of KAL Flight 007" is the results of a 10 year investigation by Michel Brun , a French aviation expert and aided by John Keppel, a retired foreign-service officer.

It brings out some very important "facts and alleged facts!"

According to the book:

1. KAL 007, for several hours was on the periphery of an extreme complex American operation.

2. The operation was designed to provoke the Russians into a [military] response.
3. Multilayered provocation made even more complicated for the Russians by having what appeared to be a civilian airliner in the mix.
4. Many U.S. military aircraft approached Sakhalin from several directions, speeds, and altitudes.
5. The U.S. military aircraft was met with a strong Soviet [military] response.
6. A near 3 hour air battle took place, resulting in the the loss of at least 10 U.S. planes and 30 U.S. servicemen.
7. The Japanese Defense Agency had been aware as early as 2:30 am Tokyo time that alarming events were taking place to the North of Japan.
8. Japanese Air Self-Defense Forces declared a "DefCon 3 Alert" for their Northern Command.
9. *Half* the Japanese active force and 2 military rescue squadrons were assembled at Chitrose, on Hokkaido, Japanese territory nearest Sakhalin, ready for combat.

Brun writes, " What I discovered was beyond belief!" He further writes, " other aircraft had overflown the Soviet Island at the time, some of which were shutdown....The KAL 007 disaster was by □ '

PIML 96061306 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Gun Owners of America alert on HR 78.] PIML

=====

Date: 12 Jun 96 15:20:19 EDT

From: Sandra Crosnoe <71232.1740@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: GOA: Push Self Def; Repeal Gun Ban

Forwarded by

Sandra Crosnoe for

Associated Conservatives of Texas

INTERNET: act@why.net

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Erich Pratt, 74741,662

DATE: 6/8/96 5:09 AM

RE: GOA: Push Self-Def; Repeal

Legislative Update:

Help push Self-defense Act, Gun Ban Repeal

by Gun Owners of America

8001 Forbes Place, Suite 102, Springfield, VA 22151

(703)321-8585, fax: 321-8408

(Friday, June 7) -- Support the right of self-defense. Rep.

Roscoe Bartlett (R-MD) is the chief sponsor of H.R. 78, the

Citizens' Self-Defense Act. This bill is specifically designed

to protect people who are persecuted for exercising their right to use a firearm in self-defense.

As you know, this right is under attack in many parts of our country. Anti-gun prosecutors frequently show their disdain for the Constitution by prosecuting individuals who have used firearms in clear-cut cases of self-defense. Ernie Goetz and Carl Rowan are two well-known examples that are just the tip of the iceberg. Goetz was convicted of possessing an unregistered firearm after shooting four thugs who later admitted they were going to rob him, because in their words, "he looked like easy bait." Carl Rowan, despite his anti-gun columns to the contrary, used a firearm to thwart an alleged attack in his back yard. D.C. officials charged Rowan for illegal possession of a firearm; the case resulted in a hung jury.

While Goetz and Rowan received a lot of press, they are by no means the only examples of official harassment. Timothy Pastuck in New York City, "Becky" in the District of Columbia, Donald Campbell in Michigan, Don Bennett in Illinois, Ronald Biggs in North Carolina -- all these individuals have faced harassment in jurisdictions where law-abiding citizens with firearms are treated as criminals. Clearly, Congress must act to protect these citizens' Second Amendment rights.

H.R. 78 restores America's gun rights to what our Founding Fathers had envisioned -- a nation where citizens share in the responsibility of maintaining law and order by providing for their own self-defense.

ACTION: Ask your Congressman (1-800-962-3524 or 202-225-3121) to cosponsor H.R. 78, the Citizen's Self-Defense Act. You can also send a Western Union mailgram (1-800-651-1486)

that asks your Representative to cosponsor this important piece of legislation. Your phone bill will be charged for \$6.95.

A Lott of expectation on gun ban repeal. With Senator Bob Dole leaving the Senate as early as June 11, the time for him to honor his promise on pushing a repeal of the gun ban is drawing to a close. The baton will now have to pass to the rising Senate Majority Leader Trent Lott (R-MS).

As you may recall, Sen. Dole had originally promised in March of 1995 that repealing the semi-auto ban "is one my legislative priorities." However, Sen. Dole never introduced a bill to accomplish that objective. Furthermore, in May of 1995, Dole asked for a "unanimous consent" agreement that kept the gun ban repeal from being voted on. Dole said, "I further ask unanimous consent that no assault weapons amendments be in order to the terrorism bill" (Congressional Record, 5/26/95, p. S 7610). Per Dole's solicitation, the official Senate calendar for the first day of debate on the terror package clearly stated that, "No assault weapon amendments [shall] be in order to S. 735."

More recently, Sen. Dole stated he has no plans to bring up the repeal bill. "I haven't considered it," Dole said this past March. "It's not a priority." Apologists for Dole have indicated he cannot force a vote on repealing the gun ban because the bill would not likely pass the Senate. And yet, the Macomb Daily reported last week that Dole would schedule a "vote on the balanced budget amendment . . . even though he knows it will fail." Today, USA Today quoted Dole as saying, "It's not whether you win or lose, but whether you've made the statement." Why not

use the same strategy in regard to our Second Amendment rights
and put all the Senators on record?

ACTION: Call Senator Lott's office (1-800-962-3524 or
202-224-6253; fax: 224-2262) and ask him to fulfill the promise
which was made to gun owners last year. Ask him to either bring
up for a vote a free-standing bill to repeal the ban, or --
borrowing from the Democratic strategy -- to introduce the repeal
bill as an amendment to other legislation.

=====

ACT is not approved, chartered, or licensed by the state. We answer to
the Lord God Almighty. We are a first amendment protected network.
We are not a 501c3 and therefore contributions are not tax deductible. If
we were a 501c3, the federal government would have the right to tell us
what we could do and say. Knowing that we are NOT a 501c3, if you
would like to help with our efforts to communicate the truth and promote
people of principle, please send your contributions to the following
address:

ASSOCIATED CONSERVATIVES OF TEXAS

2029 Levee Street

Dallas, Texas 75207

(214) 278-2511 (leave message or send fax with code)

INTERNET:act@why.net - general information

INTERNET:75000,1763@compuserve.com - BBS info

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061305 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Two messages on what is happening with the Libertarian Party and
the fallacy of a wasted vote.] PIML

=====

Date: 12 Jun 96 16:54:07 EDT

From: William Winter <73163.3063@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: LP ADVISORY: Party "On A Roll"

ADVISORY FROM THE LIBERTARIAN PARTY

News from the National LP headquarters for
members & supporters of the Libertarian Party

Watergate Office Building

2600 Virginia Avenue, NW, Suite 100

Washington DC 20037

Phone: (202) 333-0008

E-mail: 73163.3063@CompuServe.com

For information about the party: (800) 682-1776

June 12, 1996

Libertarian Party "on a roll," says major newspaper article

WASHINGTON, DC -- "Libertarians poised to make inroads in November,"
blared the headline on June 8th in the Arizona Republic, one of
Arizona's largest newspapers.

"Libertarian Party's popularity grows," headlined the reprint in the Washington Times on June 10th.

The lengthy article announced: "The Libertarian Party is on a roll."

Written by Washington, DC-based reporter Adrienne Flynn, the wide-ranging article examined the party's surge in membership, finances, and elected officials. It also touched on the race for the party's presidential nomination, quoting both Harry Browne and Rick Tompkins, and previewed the upcoming national convention.

In an opening salvo of upbeat statistics, the article noted: "Membership is up nearly 40 percent in three years. Fund-raising income has doubled. Their numbers in the ranks of state and local governments swelled by 130 percent. Their platform is plagiarized regularly.

"The party that used to rejoice at getting a mere 5 percent of the vote in an election is setting its sights higher these days. It's looking to tap into widespread voter discontent and become the viable third party that polls show citizens want.

"Libertarian ideas also are more popular now than a few years ago. The Cato Institute, a Washington-based non-partisan think tank founded by Libertarians, was one of the most widely quoted sources in the budget battle between Congress and the administration last year. Republicans adopted longtime Libertarian calls for 'devolving' federal responsibilities to state and local governments, reducing the size of the Cabinet and selling off federal assets."

"This article proves, once again, that nothing makes news like news," said National LP Chair Steve Dasbach. "Sustained progress, success, and growth is what generates real media coverage for the Libertarian Party.

And more success will create more media coverage."

#

=====

Date: 12 Jun 96 20:20:11 EDT

From: Sandra Crosnoe <71232.1740@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: ACT (fwd): Top email of the week!

To De Clapsadle, Corbin, and Zuber - Thanks for the forward! To the author ??? - will someone please convey our thanks and deep appreciation!

This is dedicated to all people who have ever run a campaign on principle and lost only to find that the *next* election swung the right direction! Also to all those currently engaged in the battle! Remember, the political power mongers will only move as far as they must to get your vote . . . This may be the most important piece you've read in a long time. If it reaches the core of your being - it will change you and the political process. Your vote is indeed precious! *PLEASE DO NOT GIVE IT LIGHTLY*

Forwarded by

Sandra Crosnoe for

Associated Conservatives of Texas

INTERNET:act@why.net

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 15:48:12 -0400

From: Sheep50@aol.com

Subject: Fwd: Top email of the week!

From: DZuber@wow.com (Dee Zuber)

Date: 96-06-12 00:36:55 EDT

Political Pals:

This email from one of our kindred spirits at Princeton University is great and worthy of printing, memorizing for rebuttal purposes, incorporating in "letters to the editor" and something very worthy for wide distribution. It tells it exactly as it is!

Dee Zuber

>From web site:

<http://www.math.princeton.edu/~tpbarber/3pc/ideas/waste.html#winner>

"Why should I vote for a 3rd party?"

The Fallacy of the "Wasted" Vote

If you are like most people, you might say something like: "The way I see it, there are two real possible outcomes in most elections: either the Democrats will win or the Republicans will win. I vote for one of these two parties because I do not want to "waste" my vote on someone who has no chance of winning."

It has been estimated that 80% of Americans use this procedure when deciding how to vote, and this is unfortunate. Voting for a candidate other than your true favorite has the EXACT OPPOSITE of the desired effect. Let's see why...

"But I don't want to vote for someone who can't win."

Voting for a candidate other than your favorite has the exact opposite

of the desired effect. If your beliefs exactly match those of some particular candidate, then you ought to vote for them. Of course, this never happens, so you have to pick the lesser, or the least, of several evils.

Suppose you, and people like you, almost always vote for candidates from one of the two major parties. If you do this, the optimal strategy for the parties is to IGNORE you completely. Since the candidate already knows that your vote is in hand, he can then concentrate on moving the platform AWAY from your wishes, in order to court the votes of people with beliefs far from your own.

For example, many people who like Libertarian ideas always vote for Republicans. What does the party do to reward them? They make policies to win over moderate liberals.

Similarly, many people who like Green Party ideas always vote for Democrats, and so the Democrats ignore them and make policies to win over moderate conservatives. Either way, the voters get the opposite of what they wanted, as the Democrats and Republicans move toward the political center.

To give recent example, in this year's presidential race, it is likely that most of Pat Buchanan's supporters will vote for Bob Dole in the coming election. Dole knows this, so he simply ignores Buchanan and his platform, and even tries to make himself look more liberal in order to court centrist Democrats.

Politicians don't want your approval, so long as they have your vote.

"But I dont want that other guy to win!"

Perhaps you feel that if you vote for your favorite candidate instead of a more popular alternative, then things will backfire on you because then your least favorite candidate might win, and if he does then it will be your fault. This is a false fear.

If your least favorite candidate wins, then it is not your fault. You personally have only one vote. Like it or not, you are powerless to turn the results of a democratic election. This being the case, your one vote counts for something only in the sense that it represents your approval of some set of principles. Voting is a means of conveying information about what you believe. If you ignore your principles then this information is lost, and your vote really is wasted.

In preparation for subsequent elections, all politicians in the dominant parties continuously review polls and election results to see what voter blocks they might like to try to sway. If your block or party is big enough, these politicians will make some effort to win some of you over by implementing policies that you favor. They would be fools not to, since politicians and parties that enact unpopular legislation lose the next election. Recall what happened to George Bush after he broke his "no new taxes" pledge.

The only way you can make your vote worth something is to use it to vote for the candidate whose principles are closest to what you really want.

The Clear Conclusion

In short, voting for someone other than your favorite candidate is not only unappealing, but also contrary to your own best interests. The only way to make your voice heard is to actually VOTE, and when you do, vote for your principles.

During this year's Republican primary in South Carolina, Bob Dole spent

tens of thousands of dollars running an ad that said: "Bob Dole is going to be the nominee. Don't waste your vote."

We leave it to you to resolve the paradox.

Date: Mon, 10 Jun 1996 17:13:30

From: dclapsadle@gnn.com (De Clapsadle)

Subject: Fwd:

>From web site:

<http://www.math.princeton.edu/~tpbarber/3pc/ideas/waste.html#winner>

Forwarded by:

De Clapsadle

UWSA,OH

Reform Party

<http://members.gnn.com/dclapsadle/index.htm>

=====

ACT is not approved, chartered, or licensed by the state. We answer to the Lord God Almighty. We are a first amendment protected network. We are not a 501c3 and therefore contributions are not tax deductible. If we were a 501c3, the federal government would have the right to tell us what we could do and say. Knowing that we are NOT a 501c3, if you would like to help with our efforts to communicate the truth and promote people of principle, please send your contributions to the following address:

ASSOCIATED CONSERVATIVES OF TEXAS

2029 Levee Street

Dallas, Texas 75207

(214) 278-2511 (leave message or send fax with code)

INTERNET:act@why.net - general information

INTERNET:75000,1763@compuserve.com - BBS information

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061304 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[FBI/Clinton scandle and related Republican scandle.] PIML

=====

Date: 11 Jun 96 22:22:30 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:Shame on FBI

William Safire on the FBI's role in the latest Clinton related scandal.

The unauthorized release of over 300 confidential files on people the

FBI had investigated.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is

being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees.

Any other assumption is **not* *safe**.

10-Jun-96 18:51 EDT

Sb: Shame on the FBI: Safire

Fm: Brenda C. Jinkins [70762,154]

June 10, 1996

ESSAY / By WILLIAM SAFIRE

Shame on the F.B.I.

[W] ASHINGTON -- Overlooked in the scandal of Travelgate has been the

failure of F.B.I. Director Louis Freeh to protect the confidential files

of citizens from political snoops. Say what you like about J. Edgar Hoover -- he never let the bureau become a doormat for White House aides.

The background: when President Clinton claimed "executive privilege" to keep 3,000 embarrassing documents from investigators, Congress threatened White House Counsel Jack Quinn with criminal contempt. To avoid jail, he forked over a thousand of the least damning documents.

One of them illuminates why Mr. Clinton has been stonewalling for years on the rest of the subpoenaed files. It is a requisition to the "FBI Liaison," ostensibly from then-White House Counsel Bernard Nussbaum, for the confidential files on Billy Ray Dale, the travel office employee who had been fired seven months earlier to make room for a Clinton cousin. The F.B.I. disgorged 22 letters and reports on Mr. Ray alone; he was then besmeared by White House officials in the press, unjustly prosecuted and financially ruined, before being acquitted by a jury in two hours.

The requisition for the confidential F.B.I. files was unsigned. The name typed on it was Bernard Nussbaum, but Mr. Nussbaum now says he had "absolutely no knowledge" of such a request, and would presumably swear to that. The reason given on the fraudulent requisition was "access" -- as if Mr. Ray were trying to get back into the White House, which was untrue.

That was the tip of the iceberg. Despite "executive privilege," Congressman William Clinger learned that as many as 341 such fraudulent, unsigned requests for confidential files and name checks were sent under the Nussbaum typed name to F.B.I. headquarters. Apparently the snoop was a Clinton political appointee who preferred anonymity.

The cover story peddled by Clinton aides is that this was a "routine"

updating of White House files by a stupid clerk that just happened to focus on holdover Republicans, as well as other Republican political suspects -- including a former Secretary of State whose aides once improperly snooped into Clinton passport files. Clinton's lawyers claim that the fraudulently obtained F.B.I. dossiers were put in a White House vault and nobody looked at them.

What's being done in response to the most egregious invasion of privacy of U.S. citizens in a generation? Not much. Mr. Clinton says he's sorry, but continues to stonewall on 2,000 documents; the Independent Counsel adds this mess to his Travelgate list; and the F.B.I. announces a "thorough" investigation by its in-house counsel, who will rebuke some low-level agent and absolve the boss.

Not good enough. After F.B.I. agents were jerked around to provide political cover for Clinton patronage moves in 1993, Attorney General Reno and the F.B.I.'s Director Freeh promised strict scrutiny of White House requests. They failed abysmally to keep citizens' confidential files safe from politicians' eyes.

Think of it: Unverified slanders and gossip tidbits in your F.B.I. file have been vulnerable to an unsigned form letter from a political partisan hiding behind another person's name. Under Freeh, the anti-encryption zealot hand-picked by the man whose name was used on the phony requisitions, the Justice Department's most confidential file room has become a walk-in closet for White House pols.

Nobody at Freeh's unbuttoned F.B.I. bothered to ask: What individual wants this and for what lawful purpose? Can any anonymous bureaucrat requisition, rifle through and remove confidential records? How come not one agent was required by bureau policy to ask why not even initials appeared on hundreds of requests to check up on Republicans?

What a scandalously sloppy way to run a police agency. To entrust the investigation of this unprecedented hemorrhage of F.B.I. confidentiality to the same easily manipulated Justice Department invites a whitewash.

Summer civil libertarians shade their eyes when personal privacy is invaded by political allies, but this top-level failure to resist an abuse of power dismays F.B.I. agents in the field. When Clintonites send over for the file on me, Director Freeh, don't buy their baloney about "seeks access" -- I won't be going to the White House picnic this summer.

Copyright 1996 The New York Times Company

Brenda C. Jenkins

=====

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 10:28:19 -0400
From: Terry McIntyre <tm@sbe.switch.com>
Subject: Re: L&J: MJN:Shame on FBI
Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

That's very nice of Safire to point out the flaws in the opposition, and in the FBI, but he ought also to look closer to home.

There are plenty of "anti-encryption zealots" in the GOP who need to be mindful of the adage "remove the beam in your own eye".

If the Republicans control Congress, and if they care about our rights, they could surely have stopped such "zealots" from passing anti-encryption laws, anti-free-speech laws, and so on. Instead, they joined right in.

The so-called "Communications Decency Act" suffered a blow today, in a federal court in Philadelphia.

This unconstitutional abridgement of the right to free speech on the internet was supported by 84 Senators (including Dole), lots of representatives, and signed by President Bill Clinton, in a bipartisan effort against all the rest of us. Let's tell them, come November, what we think of those who break their oaths to uphold the Constitution.

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061303 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[A selection of four messages on the BATF's latest attempt to raise their budget.] PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 1996 12:16:49 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: Reno Assistant committed to exceed Dept. of Justice's legal authority?

From: gmcgath@mv.mv.com (Gary McGath)

Subject: DoJ finding more "suicide cults"?

According to an Associated Press article in Monday's news, "Federal investigators are committed to finding the people responsible for a rash of fires at black Southern churches even if that means giving church members lie-detector tests and searching church records, a top Justice Department official said yesterday." If the notion that a burning church should be blamed on the people in it sounds familiar, the fact that Attorney General Reno is involved is probably of little comfort.

"Reno told the pastors about the Church Arson Task Force, a joint effort of the Treasury and Justice departments, which will report to President Clinton about the status of the church fire investigations." The BATF has been involved in the investigations of 30 church fires since January 1995.

Rev. Mac Charlie Jones of the National Council of Churches has complained that investigators have required lie-detector tests, issued subpoenas for church records and told congregations that everyone is a suspect. He referred to "the sense of intimidation that they [pastors] feel from investigators... the victims have also become the suspects."

Particularly ominous is the statement of Deval Patrick, assistant attorney general for civil rights, on Cnn's "Late Edition": "Our responsibility is to solve these crimes, and we are determined to do that. That includes whatever investigative tools are at our disposal and then some." This suggests that Patrick considers the DoJ committed to exceed its legal authority.

Gary McGath

gmcgath@mv.mv.com

<http://www.mv.com/users/gmcgath>

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: freematt@coil.com with the words subscribe FA on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-30 messages per week)

Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

=====

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 1996 08:27:17 -0400 (EDT)

From: Patricia Neill <pnnpj@db1.cc.rochester.edu>

Subject: L&J: Hypocrisy in Action: Clinton and the Church Burnings

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

Hypocrisy in Action: Clinton and the Church Burnings

by Patricia Neill

"President Clinton, saying there is no evidence of a national conspiracy in the burning of 30 black churches in the South, vowed today to end the racially motivated attacks as quickly as possible.

"It's hard to think of a more depraved act of violence than the destruction of a place of worship," Clinton said in his weekly radio address."

Whoever is burning Southern black churches is certainly depraved, let there be no doubt about that. However, at this moment, we simply don't know who is doing this or why.

What we do know is that President Clinton and Attorney General Janet Reno burned down a church -- while the congregation was still inside. A headline in the mainstream media such as "Reno Vows Vigorous Hunt for Church Arsonists" is terribly ironic, as well as breathtakingly hypocritical.

That church, of course, was Mount Carmel, located just outside of Waco, Texas. Members of that church were black, white, Asian, and Mexican-American; they were many races, of many nationalities.

Mainstream media reports claimed that the Branch Davidians were religious extremists, gun-running, drug-dealing child abusers. Of course, none of that was true. The guns were legal, there were no drugs, there was no child abuse, nothing. Have we yet heard an apology from the mainstream media for their lies, distortions, or even their craven, cowardly manner of getting all their "news" directly from ATF and FBI handouts? Of course not. It was business as usual.

These same pundits are now pontificating about these despicable new church burnings. This is as smugly hypocritical as Clinton's and Reno's proclamations. The media was complicit in that church burning in Waco, Texas in 1993.

Mount Carmel was a church, a Christian church, although of a minority

sect. At Mount Carmel they studied the Bible and listened to sermons. They believed in Jesus Christ, and that He would come again. David Koresh was writing a manuscript concerning the Book of Revelations, a study of the seven seals. He never got to finish his manuscript. He was interrupted by the burning of his church.

After a sustained attack and siege, the ATF and FBI, under orders from Clinton and Reno, finally used tanks against the Mount Carmel church. These tanks lobbed highly flammable CS gas, which is banned from use in war with our enemies, into the church. The CS gas caught fire, burning down the church, and burning most of the congregation of men, women and seventeen children to death.

In a Sunday, June 9 article, Reuters says that "some 200 Justice and Treasury department agents were involved in the investigations" of the new rash of church burnings. These "Justice and Treasury" agents are, of course, FBI and ATF, who have experience with church burning, having performed one themselves back in 1993.

"The Clinton administration will 'devote whatever resources are necessary' to their search for the arsonists of the black churches." And that is as it should be. We would all like to see a quick end to this.

And then Clinton and Reno should resign their offices of honor and trust, since they are also guilty of torching a church. With the congregation inside.

"It's hard to think of a more depraved act of violence than the destruction of a place of worship."

Indeed. And it's hard to think of anything more thoroughly hypocritical Clinton could say than this.

=====
Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"
in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

Date: 12 Jun 96 11:12:49 EDT
From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>
Subject: MJN:Church burnings

Further information supporting the claim that the burnings of churches that has been taking place in the south are split almost 50-50 between black churches and white churches. The press and the Clinton administration are focusing attention almost exclusively on the *black* churches that have been burned. One wonders if this means that Clinton et al think that burning *white* churches is ok? Or is this simply yet another cynical ploy to make political capital when and where it can be found? By turning a problem that is affecting *all* the Christians in the south into a problem that is affecting only black Christians.

I mean, let's face it, the idea that the KKK must be responsible for these heinous deeds looks a lot less likely when one considers that an equal number of white and black churches have been burned. Or, given that one cannot usually tell a "black" church from a "white" church unless one first observes the members of the congregation, could this not simply be an indication that the churches are being burned by people who either do not know or do not care about the distinction?

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is

being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees.

Any other assumption is *not* *safe*.

10-Jun-96 19:48:49

Sb: #Black&White ChurchesBurn

Fm: Brenda C. Jinkins 70762,154

On Ken Hamblin's radio show today today, he quoted an AP story which stated that there have been 51 church fires since January 1995. Twenty-six were in black churches, and twenty-five were in white churches.

This is another "convenient" outbreak of racism/militia plots for Bill Clinton don't you think? Living here in the South, I can tell you that there are churches of all types on every corner, rural and city. This outbreak needs to be measured by percent of churches relative to the whole and compared with a like time period. There are probably has many underlying causes, but Hamblin for one was asking "cui bono".

DON'T TREAD ON ME- Brenda/ Olive Branch MS

=====

Date: 12 Jun 96 18:26:54 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: FTW: Militia Reps Talk o

Commentary by Kevin on useful things for the militia to do.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is

being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is **not* *safe**.

12-Jun-96 16:20 EDT

Sb: FTW: Militia Reps Talk of Protecting Churches

Fm: Kevin McGehee [102117,2606]

From the Top of the World

by KEVIN MCGEHEE (Editor of "The Armed Genius")

North Pole, Alaska

Permission granted to anyone wishing to forward, redistribute, or broadcast this article, provided its source is properly acknowledged.
Thank you.

=====

(Old news to some of you, but new to others...)

MILITIAS MAY MOBILIZE TO PROTECT CHURCHES AGAINST ARSONISTS

In response to Big Media hype about an alleged national (and presumably "right-wing") conspiracy to torch Southern churches ministering to African-American congregations, a discussion has begun among members of the militia movement about having militia members volunteer to watch over churches to deter arsonists, and to aid those congregations that have already lost their houses of worship. (A message distributed on the Internet putting forth this idea is appended to this essay.)

The hyperbole from the federal government, Big Media, and the "anti-intolerance" lobby has focused on the instances where churches

serving the black community in the South were targeted by arsonists (although the truth seems to be that at least as many white-congregation churches have also been burned in the region), and has usually been accompanied by innuendo that "militia types," whom Big Media would have America equate with the Ku Klux Klan, are responsible. The talk of offering to help arson victims, and try to prevent additional acts, was partly inspired by this, and by the suspicion among some that the arsons might be the work of anti-militia provocateurs.

One individual, in commenting on the idea, noted that states have called upon the militia in the past to counter the KKK -- suggesting that even in the event of a racist conspiracy, militia intervention would be in keeping with precedent.

=====

*The following is the text of an Internet message promoting the idea of using militia groups to counter future arson attempts at Southern churches:

I can think of no better way to raise the image of the militia movement in the eyes of the general public than for the key representatives of that movement to inform the ministries in the Black community of their resolve to watch out for, and if possible to protect, the Black churches against whoever might be responsible for the fires; to offer to help to rebuild the churches already torched; and to solicit new-militia recruits from among America's Black citizenry in order to assist in this manpower intensive guard duty and rebuilding. Militia members need to keep their eyes open and their ears tuned to the possibility of some bad apples.

Perhaps militia people, including the newly recruited black militiamen, might start sleeping armed in the threatened churches. And congregations

temporarily dispossessed of church buildings could be invited to worship with their militiamen neighbors of all races. Just a thought...

The media and the Clinton Administration have been demonizing the militias as racist. Here's the chance to scotch that image and to build general public support for the movement. The militias could also volunteer to help the "overworked" police in the latter's thus-far-unsuccessful attempt to protect the Black churches in menaced areas.

Donald Silberger <silbergd@npvm.newpaltz.edu>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061302 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Liberty on the net -- until the next time.] PIML

=====

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 12:28:47 -0400

From: "Peter J. Celano" <celano@ic.net>

Organization: SILENCE IS DEATH! <http://ic.net/~celano>

Subject: L&J: We won!

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

FEDERAL COURT HANDS DOWN IT'S DECISION

The Federal court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has handed down it's decision today with a strong support for free speech on the internet.

The court recognized the net for the vibrant, free-wheeling world-wide conversation that it is and upheld netizens rights to communicate and spread their words with the highest protection from government interference.

Judge Dalzell wrote:

Cutting through the acronyms and argot that littered the hearing testimony, the Internet may fairly be regarded as a never-ending worldwide conversation. The Government may not, through the CDA, interrupt that conversation. As the most participatory form of mass speech yet developed, the Internet deserves the highest protection from governmental intrusion.

True it is that many find some of the speech on the

Internet to be offensive, and amid the din of cyberspace many hear discordant voices that they regard as indecent. The absence of governmental regulation of Internet content has unquestionably produced a kind of chaos, but as one of plaintiffs' experts put it with such resonance at the hearing:

What achieved success was the very chaos that the Internet is. The strength of the Internet is that chaos.

Just as the strength of the Internet is chaos, so the strength of our liberty depends upon the chaos and cacophony of the unfettered speech the First Amendment protects.

For these reasons, I without hesitation hold that the CDA is unconstitutional on its face.

To read more wonderful words, you can access the full text of the opinion from our web site. Enjoy!

-Michele

Member of Families Against Internet Censorship:

www.rmii.com/~fagin/faic, email faic@rmii.com

=====
Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>
=====

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 15:17:17 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: Excerpts from ACLU v. Reno decision

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 10:53:30 -0700

From: Rich Burroughs <richieb@teleport.com>

Subject: Excerpts from ACLU v. Reno decision

Wooohoo! :)

Rich

=====

A preliminary injunction in the ACLU v RENO case was announced this morning! The judges ruled 3-0. The next step is probably an appeal to the Supreme Court.

More info and the full text of the decision are available at:

<http://www.cdt.org/ciec>

It looks like these judges have reached a very good understanding of how the Net works, and the issues involved. The decision is very interesting, and here are some things that caught my eye during my first read:

>From the panel's Finding's of Fact (80-81):

"Once one has entered cyberspace, one may engage in the dialogue that occurs there. In the argot of the medium, the receiver can and does become the content provider, and vice-versa. The Internet is therefore a unique and wholly new medium of worldwide human communication."

Judge Dalzell:

"Just as the strength of the Internet is chaos, so the strength of our liberty depends upon the chaos and cacophony of the unfettered speech the First Amendment protects."

"It is no exaggeration to conclude that the Internet has achieved, and continues to achieve, the most participatory marketplace of mass speech that this country -- and indeed the world -- has yet seen.... Indeed, the Government's asserted 'failure' of the Internet rests on the implicit premise that too much speech occurs in that medium, and that speech there is too available to the participants. This is exactly the benefit of Internet communication, however. The Government, therefore, implicitly asks this court to limit both the amount of speech on the Internet and the availability of that speech. This argument is profoundly repugnant to First Amendment principles."

"Some of the dialogue on the Internet surely tests the limits of conventional discourse. Speech on the Internet can be unfiltered, unpolished, and unconventional, even emotionally charged, sexually explicit, and vulgar -- in a word, 'indecent' in many communities. But we should expect such speech to occur in a medium in which citizens from all walks of life have a voice. We should also protect the autonomy that such a medium confers to ordinary people as well as media magnates."

"Moreover, the CDA will almost certainly fail to accomplish the Government's interest in shielding children from pornography on the Internet. Nearly half of Internet communications originate outside the United States, and some percentage of that figure represents pornography. Pornography from, say, Amsterdam will be no less appealing to a child on the Internet than pornography from New York City, and residents of Amsterdam have little incentive to comply with the CDA."

"The diversity of the content will necessarily diminish as a result [of the CDA]. The economic costs associated with compliance with the Act will drive from the Internet speakers whose content falls within the zone of possible prosecution. Many Web sites, newsgroups, and chat rooms will shut down, since users cannot discern the age of other participants. In this respect, the Internet would ultimately come to mirror broadcasting and print, with messages tailored to a mainstream society from speakers who could be sure that their message was likely decent in every community in the country."

Judge Sloviter:

"Subjecting speakers to criminal penalties for speech that is constitutionally protected in itself raises the spectre of irreparable harm. Even if a court were unwilling to draw that conclusion from the language of the statute itself, plaintiffs have introduced ample evidence that the challenged provisions, if not enjoined, will have a chilling effect on their free expression."

"Even if a broad search will, on occasion, retrieve unwanted materials, the user virtually always receives some warning of its content, significantly reducing the element of surprise or 'assault' involved in broadcasting. Therefore, it is highly unlikely that a very young child will be randomly 'surfing' the Web and come across 'indecent' or 'patently offensive' material."

"The Supreme Court has held that 'minors are entitled to a significant measure of First Amendment protection, and only in relatively narrow and well-defined circumstances may government bar public dissemination of protected materials to them.' *Erznoznik v. City of Jacksonville*, 422 U.S. 205, 212-213 (1975)(citations omitted)."

"But the bottom line is that the First Amendment should not be interpreted to require us to entrust the protection it affords to the judgment of prosecutors. Prosecutors come and go. Even federal judges are limited to life tenure. The First Amendment remains to give protection to future generations as well. I have no hesitancy in concluding that it is likely that plaintiffs will prevail on the merits of their argument that the challenged provisions of the CDA are facially invalid under both the First and Fifth Amendments."

Judge Buckwalter:

"I continue to believe that the word 'indecent' is unconstitutionally vague, and I find that the terms 'in context' and 'patently offensive' also are so vague as to violate the First and Fifth Amendments."

"The fundamental constitutional principle that concerns me is one of simple fairness, and that is absent in the CDA. The Government initially argues that "indecent" in this statute is the same as "patently offensive." I do not agree that a facial reading of this statute supports that conclusion. The CDA does not define the term "indecent," and the FCC has not promulgated regulations defining indecency in the medium of cyberspace."

"Contrary to the Government's suggestion, *Pacifica* does not answer the question of whether the terms pass constitutional muster in the present case. In *Pacifica*, the Court did not consider a vagueness challenge to the term "indecent," but considered only whether the Government had the authority to regulate the particular broadcast at issue -- George Carlin's Monologue entitled "Filthy Words." In finding in the affirmative, the Court emphasized that its narrow holding applied only to broadcasting, which is 'uniquely accessible to children, even those too young to read.' 438 U.S. at 749. Thus, while the Court sanctioned the FCC's time restrictions on a radio program that repeatedly used

vulgar language, the Supreme Court did not hold that use of the term 'indecent' in a statute applied to other media, particularly a criminal statute, would be on safe constitutional ground."

=====

Rich

Rich Burroughs richieb@teleport.com <http://www.teleport.com/~richieb>
See my Blue Ribbon Page at <http://www.teleport.com/~richieb/blueribbon>
New EF zine "cause for alarm" - <http://www.teleport.com/~richieb/cause>

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: freematt@coil.com with the words subscribe FA
on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-30 messages per week)

Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

=====

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 13:53:15 -0400

From: Terry McIntyre <tm@sbe.switch.com>

Subject: L&J: Oathbreakers!

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

Senator Bob Dole, like all other congresspeople, and the President,
swore an oath to uphold the Constitution.

Tens of thousands of people on the internet sent e-mail, letters,

telegraphs, and every other form of speech to Congress and the President, clearly and elequently informing them that the so-called "Communications Decency Act" was not only bad law, but violated the Constitution.

Yet most of Congress, preferring the sensational tales told by Marty Rimm to the sober voices of their constituents, ignored their oaths, and voted to violate our rights, even though these rights are acknowledged in the employment contract of the President and of Congress - the Constitution.

Today, three justices in Philadelphia called them on it.

Judge Dalzell wrote:

"As the most participatory form of mass speech yet developed, the Internet deserves the highest protection from governmental intrusion."

"I without hesitation hold that the CDA is unconstitutional on its face."

As for me, I name Senator Bob Dole and President Bill Clinton, and all others who supported the CDA, as oathbreakers. They failed in their sworn duty to uphold our rights.

Clinton and Dole and their companions may not understand the meaning of the word "no", as in "Congress shall make NO law ... abridging the freedom of speech", but I do.

And they'll understand what we mean when they are told "NO" at the voting booths. If our Liberty is worth anything, it is certainly worth voting for!

Terrence McIntyre, Chair
Allegheny County Chapter,
Libertarian Party of Pennsylvania

RD 3 Box 237
Waynesburg, PA 15370

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"
in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

- =====
- * Patriot Information Mailing List
 - * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
 - * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061301 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Latest update on the Georgia "bombing" case.] PIML

=====

From: CopWatch@aol.com

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 15:47:57 -0400

Subject: Letter from ATF

Apparently-To: <butterb@sagenet.net>

Below is a letter that most of you have already seen, this letter is a complaint against ATF Agent Bart McEntire, following this letter is a response I received from Bart's boss, Richard Fox, Special Agent in Charge.

=====

Department of the Treasury

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

101 Marietta Street

Suite 406

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

May 28, 1996

Attn: Richard Fox

Dear Sir:

During my investigation of the Macon, Georgia, case involving Robert Starr, many interesting facts have been discovered. My investigation

leads me to believe that the only persons guilty of any crimes were the confidential informants, and possibly the agent in charge of these CIs.

I have interviewed many witnesses in this case, I can find no criminal acts committed by the defendants. In an internal ATF Status Report, dubbed "Operation Piedmont," leaked by one of your agents, there are many inconsistencies in CI 62 and 63's testimony, some dates are wrong, and much of their report seems to be amateurishly fabricated. This document also [implicates] ATF, by clearly showing an intent to target a specific group of individuals based on their beliefs.

There is also taped conversation between Agent McEntire and militia members that is very damaging to the agency and will show that McEntire seems to have an agenda against militia members.

In one audio tape, during a pre trial hearing at the federal court house. Bart McEntire, Resident Agent in Charge, BATF, Macon, was recorded, talking to a militia member from South Alabama. This militiaman was speaking calmly to McEntire, asking him about the Waco case. Mr. McEntire became highly upset, advanced towards this person, saying in a loud voice, "You're about to fucking piss me off!" This is recorded on tape, and other witnesses will testify to this altercation.

I feel this agent is dangerous to anyone he may target, and further ask that your agency fully investigate this case and Bart McEntire. If this man is allowed to continue to operate in the capacity of Resident Agent in Charge, I feel he will cause further embarrassment to your agency, and also cause undue hardships to any person he may target for investigation.

I am formally requesting an immediate investigation into the Starr case and the actions of Bart McEntire.

Sincerely,

Jeff Randall

Route 1 Box 182

Gallant, Alabama 35972

cc: Robert Browning (BATF Public Information Officer)

Bart McEntire (Resident Agent in Charge, Macon)

Department of the Treasury, Internal Affairs, Washington DC

=====

Below is response to above letter from BATF

=====

Department of the Treasury

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 406

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

June 7, 1996

Mr. Jeff Randall

Route 1, Box 182

Gallant, Alabama 35972

Dear Mr. Randall:

This letter is in reply to your correspondence of May 28, 1996, regarding a conversation between ATF Agent Bart McEntire of our Macon office and a South Alabama militia member. While it is regrettable that the context of your letter is of a negative nature, I appreciate your willingness to share your concerns with me.

Upon review, I have forwarded a copy of your letter to the ATF Office of Inspection. As you may know, the Office of Inspection is charged with the responsibility of addressing allegations of ethical and/or professional indiscretions by ATF employees.

Again, I thank you for sharing your concerns with me. Please know that you are welcome to contact me at any time should you have questions or concerns regarding ATF, our employees or mission.

Sincerely,

<signed>

Richard C. Fox

Special Agent in Charge

=====

From: CopWatch@aol.com

Date: Wed, 12 Jun 1996 15:48:21 -0400

Subject: Commentary on ATF and Macon

Apparently-To: <butterb@sagenet.net>

I have obtained transcripts of a recent ATF meeting, at which a senior official in the Treasury Department states that when ATF testifies in

court, many times their testimony may not be true. I know this is not surprising to all of you, including me, but, we now have ATF [agents] stating, in their own meetings, that they sometimes provide false information to the courts. This is not the only thing that is very detrimental to the Treasury in this document.... It's chock full of goodies against this agency.

Before any of you ask, I cannot release this document to the public yet, due to certain legal reasons, and protection of my "friends" inside the ATF. However, I'm sure it will make it's way into the public arena, before too long.

Also, let me say, that the patriot movement needs to be cautious at painting all federal law enforcement as bad people. In the last month, I have learned that there are some agents who are good people, and are actively working to expose the corruption inside their own agencies. Some are working with me and providing tremendous help and documentation on the Starr case. Any of you that may want to call me a "fed" or "CIA Operative," due to this statement, then feel free to, but you will not be accusing me of anything new. Currently, according to a few in the movement, I am an agent provocateur, I'm taking money like a gentleman in South Dakota did, and the latest and greatest thing: I'm working as a CIA spook.

This brings me to another topic, the Bob Starr case. Some of the people in this movement have been getting their feelings hurt over the lack of information that the defense team has been making public, many have gone as far to accuse the whole damn team, including Bob Starr, as working directly for the government. One of the funnier ones came from a well known person in this movement, this person has accused Attorney Nancy Lord of working directly for Israeli intelligence Mossad (since she's Jewish). Many of these Morris Dees' poster children have spewed their

accusations, but have yet to attend any function (pre-trial hearings - town hall rally) that the defense team and supporters have been at. They cite their reasoning by saying, "They must be bad, because I don't understand everything that's going on," yet they still refuse to be part of what's happening in Macon. They are worried about the personal lives of J.J. Johnson and Nancy Lord, but yet they have not witnessed the many hours of work that these people have spent on Starr's case.... hell.... they haven't even called the Starr family and offered a word of support.

So, to all of you who are fully intent on furthering the government's and Dees' cause, please take me off of your e-mail lists, your phone lists and fax lists. I have no desire to communicate with you. If you are merely pissed becuse we will not release all our evidence in the case, well, it's not becuse we don't like you, it's because we don't trust you. Ya'll have no comprehension of investigation, court proceedings... and most of all, there is no way you select few I speak of could ever keep anything quiet.

For the many of you that have offered support, whether you have sent money, attended functions, or simply called to offer support, we really appreciate you.

Bob Starr did want me to tell everyone one thing. There has been a man at many of the court proceedings in Macon, named Frank Smith. Frank lives in Bolingbroke, Ga. He has been making a royal ass of himself to the media. Frank was booted out of Bob's group because of his radical ideas. Frank has made statements to the press that are totally against the miltia movement and Bob Starr. I can only suspect that he is actively working for some agency in an attempt to hurt public opinion of Mr. Starr. Bob wanted me to tell all of you that Frank Smith does not speak for the Militia of Georgia. Period. If any of you are wondering who this man is, then show up at the next court date... he will be the one who is ranting like a mad man to anyone that will listen..... the

press absolutley loves him. I refuse to deny him of his right to free speech, however, I will set the record straight with the press, and inform them that he does not speak for the militia.

In closing, again, thanks to all of you who have continued your support of this case. We appreciate you for keeping it in the public eye, also, let me thank those people on the "inside" who have helped and are continuing to help. This is probably the biggest case to ever come about concerning the militia and Constitutional Rights. As I have said before, if this case is lost, then everyone in the movement should immediately go underground or give up, because they will be coming for you shortly.

Jeff

Bob Starr Defense Fund

c/o Jeff Randall

Route 1 Box 182

Gallant, Alabama 35972

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061205 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[It seems like I recall that putting on a big show just prior to the BATF congressional budget decision was the reason for the BATF assault on the Branch Davidian church at Waco.] PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 1996 14:38:03 -0600
From: dhenson@1eagle1.com (Don Henson)
Subject: Black Church Burnings
Reply-To: republic-of-texas@colossus.net

When I first heard about the large number of black churches in the South that had been burned recently, I thought to myself that this sounds like a covert operation. But I couldn't figure out what the purpose was. Well today they announced the purpose on CNN Headline News. In response to the burnings, Congress is working on a bill titled 'Church Protection Act' (or something like that). The main feature of this bill is increased funding for the BATF. Yes, that's right. The incompetent cowboys who stomp kittens and burn babies (and whose name does not have fire or arson in it anywhere) are not going to jail. They are being rewarded with more money supposedly to stomp more kittens and burn more babies. Keep your powder dry, people. The NWO is getting damned confident.

Don Henson, Managing Director (PGP Key ID = 0X03002DC9)
West El Paso Information Network (WEPIN)
email: wepinsto@colossus.net
Check out The WEPIN Store at URL:
<http://colossus.net/wepinsto/wshome.html>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
 - * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
 - * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061204 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Folks, I'm afraid I just failed eighth grade. This test speaks volumes about the decline of our educational system.] PIML

=====

From: Roger Voss <rogerv@microsoft.com>
To: "'snetnews@alterzone.com'" <snetnews@alterzone.com>
Subject: 1895 8th grade final exam
Date: Fri, 7 Jun 1996 19:21:39 -0700
Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

This is the eighth-grade final exam from 1895 from Salina, KS that Rush has mentioned. It was taken from the original document on file at the Smoky Valley Genealogical Society and Library in Salina, KS and reprinted by the Salina Journal.

http://www.dailynews.net/salina/news/HNS-SJ_Saline_County.html

>From the Salina Journal

Content-Length: 3745

Grammar (Time, one hour)

1. Give nine rules for the use of Capital Letters.
2. Name the Parts of Speech and define those that have no modifications.
3. Define Verse, Stanza and Paragraph.
4. What are the Principal Parts of a verb? Give Principal Parts of do, lie, lay and run.
5. Define Case, Illustrate each Case.

6. What is Punctuation? Give rules for principal marks of Punctuation.

7 - 10. Write a composition of about 150 words and show therein that you understand the practical use of the rules of grammar.

Arithmetic (Time, 1.25 hours)

1. Name and define the Fundamental Rules of Arithmetic.

2. A wagon box is 2 ft. deep, 10 feet long, and 3 ft. wide. How many bushels of wheat will it hold?

3. If a load of wheat weighs 3942 lbs., what is it worth at 50 cts. per bu., deducting 1050 lbs. for tare?

4. District No. 33 has a valuation of \$35,000. What is the necessary levy to carry on a school seven months at \$50 per month, and have \$104 for incidentals?

5. Find cost of 6720 lbs. coal at \$6.00 per ton.

6. Find the interest of \$512.60 for 8 months and 18 days at 7 percent.

7. What is the cost of 40 boards 12 inches wide and 16 ft. long at \$20 per m?

8. Find bank discount on \$300 for 90 days (no grace) at 10 percent.

9. What is the cost of a square farm at \$15 per acre, the distance around which is 640 rods?

10. Write a Bank Check, a Promissory Note, and a Receipt.

U.S. History (Time, 45 minutes)

1. Give the epochs into which U.S. History is divided.

2. Give an account of the discovery of America by Columbus.

3. Relate the causes and results of the Revolutionary War.

4. Show the territorial growth of the United States.

5. Tell what you can of the history of Kansas.

6. Describe three of the most prominent battles of the Rebellion.

7. Who were the following: Morse, Whitney, Fulton, Bell, Lincoln, Penn, and Howe?

8. Name events connected with the following dates: 1607, 1620, 1800, 1849, and 1865?

Orthography (Time, one hour)

1. What is meant by the following: Alphabet, phonetic orthography, etymology, syllabication?
2. What are elementary sounds? How classified?
3. What are the following, and give examples of each: Trigraph, subvocals, diphthong, cognate letters, linguals?
4. Give four substitutes for caret 'u'.
5. Give two rules for spelling words with final 'e'. Name two exceptions under each rule.
6. Give two uses of silent letters in spelling. Illustrate each.
7. Define the following prefixes and use in connection with a word: Bi, dis, mis, pre, semi, post, non, inter, mono, super.
8. Mark diacritically and divide into syllables the following, and name the sign that indicates the sound: Card, ball, mercy, sir, odd, cell, rise, blood, fare, last.
9. Use the following correctly in sentences, Cite, site, sight, fane, fain, feign, vane, vain, vein, raze, raise, rays.
10. Write 10 words frequently mispronounced and indicate pronunciation by use of diacritical marks and by syllabication.

Geography (Time, one hour)

1. What is climate? Upon what does climate depend?
2. How do you account for the extremes of climate in Kansas?
3. Of what use are rivers? Of what use is the ocean?
4. Describe the mountains of N.A.
5. Name and describe the following: Monrovia, Odessa, Denver, Manitoba, Hecla, Yukon, St. Helena, Juan Fernandez, Aspinwall and Orinoco.
6. Name and locate the principal trade centers of the U.S.
7. Name all the republics of Europe and give capital of each.
8. Why is the Atlantic Coast colder than the Pacific in the same latitude?

9. Describe the process by which the water of the ocean returns to the sources of rivers.

10. Describe the movements of the earth. Give inclination of the earth.

John Switzer jswitzer@limbaugh.com "It wasn't known at press time that s///ee caused | multiple evaluations of the replacement expression. This is to be construed as a feature." - Larry Wall

*** Access the Congressional Record at *** <http://thomas.loc.gov>

Regards,

RogerV

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Roger Voss <rogerv@microsoft.com>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061203 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Speaking as a currently certificated FAA flight instructor with 2500 hours flight time and 1250 hours instruction given time, and with two years experience as a civilian contract instructor pilot for the Air Force and eleven years search and rescue flying with Civil Air Patrol, plus four years Air Force air operations experience and three years FAA air traffic controller experience, the official Ron Brown crash story stinks.

The fact that the Air Force decided not to do a safety crash investigation should be enough to cause the odor, but there is more: The Air Force revealed that the aircraft's landing gear and flaps were retracted when ground impact occurred. The procedure is to lower the gear and flaps after crossing the final approach fix. The final approach fix was a non-directional radio beacon (NDB) on the flight path in front of the airport. The fact that the gear and flaps were not lowered is a clear indication that the pilot never received radio indication of crossing the NDB. This could have been caused by turning off the NDB and turning on another, located to guide the aircraft slightly off course into the hill where it crashed. In this scenario, the pilot would crash into the hill before he crossed the false NDB and never receive the crossing indication that would prompt the lowering of the gear and flaps. Mobile NDB radio transmitters are obsolescent military equipment.

It is a fact that there is no reason for the aircraft to be down at approach altitude with the gear and flaps still retracted after crossing the NDB -- unless he received no crossing indication. It is also a fact that the Bosnian radio navigational aid supervisor responsible for the final approach fix NDB "committed suicide"

shortly after the crash occurred. How obvious can it get?] PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 1996 13:16:20 -0400 (EDT)

From: jwhitley@inforamp.net (John K. Whitley)

Subject: RON BROWN'S DEATH: The questions remain...

Apparently-To: <butterb@sagenet.net>

A few days ago, the U.S. Air Force released the results of its investigation into the "crash" of U.S. Commerce Secretary Ron Brown's plane as it approached Dubrovnik airpost in the fabled "worst storm in ten years."

One week after the crash, THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE released its own detailed report and, quite frankly, we prefer ours to theirs.

In fact, we think that they could have saved quite a bit of money by just taking out a subscription.

We're assuming, of course, that they were actually after the *truth*.

The entire article from our May issue is far too long and detailed to reproduce in full, so we'll tease you with some extracts from the beginning and the end of it.

We think that that will be sufficient to indicate

why we and the U.S. Air Force came to different conclusions.

We looked at the evidence they looked at - and then we looked at some key, non-technical factors too.

And, try as we might, we've had the hardest time finding meteorological evidence of that "worst storm of the decade", which they are still touting as the prime culprit.....

from the MAY 1996 issue of THE NEW WORLD ORDER
INTELLIGENCE UPDATE...

THE SUSPICIOUSLY-CONVENIENT "ACCIDENTAL" DEATH OF
COMMERCE SECRETARY RON BROWN:

When the U.S. Air Force Boeing 737 carrying U.S. Commerce Secretary Ron Brown crashed, "in the midst of a blinding rain storm", into a rocky peak just outside Dubrovnik, Croatia, on April 4th, killing all but one aboard [and that lone survivor to die on the evacuation helicopter later], no one could have anticipated the sequence of strange events and the storm of suspicious question which would almost immediately follow.

But, first, some background. The 54-year-old Washington lawyer had been described by some as "flamboyant",

by others as "a super salesman", by admirers as oozing a "smooth charm", by colleagues as "dynamic, aggressive, imaginative and flexible", by President Clinton as "a magnificent life force", and by Charles Lewis, Director of the Centre for Public Integrity, as "an operator", who used his political connections to maintain a lavish lifestyle and to make some questionable business deals.

Clinton was indebted to him for rebuilding the Democratic party after Dukakis' defeat; James Carville, Clinton's election strategist, said that Ron Brown had been "extremely influential" in Clinton's winning the 1992 election: "he raised more money [for the Democratic party] than we had ever raised before." Businessmen competed for places on his world-girdling "trade tours", which seemed to effortlessly result in major business deals and invaluable high-level contacts.

But Brown's ebullient taste for high-living and emphasis on the business as well as the political aspects of his role raised queries regarding his ethics, which inevitably sullied his reputation.

U.S. NEWS AND WORLD REPORT made a number of revealing comments in its epitaph on Brown: "He also had a taste for the good life, and when he built bridges between people or companies, he sometimes took his commission." ... "He was a deal maker and proud of it, a man who traded in choices and not absolutes. Says Mark Steitz, a former aide: "He had no particular truck for what he used to call the 'feel good' politics of losers'." Brown learned the inside workings of the Washington power structure early and well. He was in many ways

an expression of the corrupting influence of the high-powered, highly- paid legal and lobbyist circuit, where influence and money push ethics, often permanently, out of mind. In a city where appearances, connections, power, influence and money are everything, Brown applied his drive, creativity and energy to gaining them. Sometimes, it appears, he wasn't too particular about the means employed or the moral constraints that had to be suspended. He was a particularly talented and successful hustler. Be that as it may, he was a symbol of success for many black Americans and, whatever his shortcomings may have been, was widely liked.

In 1981, he joined Patton, Boggs and Blow, a law firm which has variously been described as "one of Washington's most powerful and unprincipled lobbying firms" and as "the consummate insider firm." Patton, Boggs was his springboard to connections, power and success and he made full use of the opportunities it offered to him.

After his stunning success in revitalizing and re-financing the Democratic party, Brown was appointed Commerce Secretary in the new Clinton Administration. He threw his energies into reinvigorating a department of government that had become bureaucratic to excess, hidebound and unsure of its own purpose or objectives. He favoured, supported and tirelessly promoted American business, and was frequently accompanied on his trips by some of the CEO's of America's most powerful corporations. He flew out on 19 trade missions in three years, to 25 countries, which resulted in an estimated

\$80 billion dollars worth of new contracts for American business.

But a string of eyebrow-raising and increasingly-questioned business deals and financial arrangements - his Chemfix connection, his association with Texas wheeler-dealer Noland Hill, Corridor Communications, the First International Communications Corporation affair - were drawing renewed attention. Pursued by Pennsylvania Congressman William Clinger, newly-appointed in 1995 to the Chairmanship of the Government Operations Committee, the denials and stonewalling tactics adopted by Brown and his lawyers began, for the first time, to prove insufficient. His connections were unable or unwilling to shield him and his own blustering threats were probably beginning to turn the thoughts of some of his erstwhile and current partners and associates more toward self-preservation than to Ron Brown's preservation.

The man who had previously been under investigation by the Senate Judiciary Committee, the Commerce Department's Inspector General, the Justice Department, the FDIC, and the House Government Reform and Oversight Committee was within two weeks of possibly being indicted for an bribe allegedly paid by Dynamic Energy Resources in Oklahoma.

The string of near-scandals and near-escapes is impressive. AP reported on February 3, 1995, that 22 Republicans in the House had written to Clinton and, citing Brown's financial dealings, had demanded that

he be dismissed. The WASHINGTON TIMES National Weekly Edition reported four weeks later that Clinger had announced that his Brown probe had "developed a large body of information and documentation that seems to indicate Secretary Brown may have violated federal law in several instances." Finally, in early July, 1995, Daniel S. Pearson, a former appeals court judge, was appointed to investigate Brown. He was directed, according to the NEW YORK TIMES, "to investigate whether Mr. Brown improperly accepted nearly \$500,000 from a business partner and filed inaccurate financial disclosure statements."

A few years ago, a Vietnamese official accused him of asking for a \$700,000 or so bribe in exchange for using his influence with Clinton to get sanctions against Vietnam lifted and to open the country up to U.S. trade and investment. After apparently stalling on the issue, the Justice Department convened a grand jury to hear evidence, which could have resulted in a possible criminal indictment. Veteran investigative journalist Sherman Skolnick, whose connections inside the Beltway are remarkable, commented that "There was jury-tampering by President Clinton and his Justice Department. The grand jury proceedings were supposed to be kept secret, yet Brown and his confederates were day by day illegally kept informed, so they could obstruct justice by bribing or terrorizing grand jury witnesses. Brown was not indicted.".....

This time, escape would not prove so easy. Brown made

sure that Democratic leaders got the message: "I'm too old to go to jail. If I go down, I'll take everyone else down with me." That, of course, would include the Clintons. Brown's oft-repeated warning was clearly heard; but it resulted in a response that he hadn't allowed for. Given his knowledge of inner circle amorality perhaps, in hindsight, he might be considered naive in not expecting it.

The April 1996 issue of the AMERICAN SPECTATOR contained an article - written before these events - entitled, ironically, "Why Ron Brown Won't Go Down." We say "ironically" because, shortly thereafter, that's exactly what he did do. Brown's threats may have become his own death warrant.

Contrary to the widely-publicized media accounts of the tragic "crash" of Ron Brown's plane due to stormy weather, a number of other unsavoury possible scenarios are now beginning to emerge. The truth appears to lie in one, or some combination, of them....

[substance of article, detailing facts and lengthy background and analysis, deleted to save space]

Anyone who prefers to think that agencies of the U.S. government and the U.S. Special Forces are above assassinating U.S. citizens, not to mention senior U.S. officials, where expedient may wish to bear in mind the following testimony given by a retired U.S. Green Beret in a telephone interview on Texxe Marrs' Saturday, April 20th, 1996, program on WWCR shortwave. This Colonel confessed that he had been so moved by the

death of President Kennedy that he determined to join the Green Berets, a unit the President had apparently regarded highly.

While going through Green Beret training at Fort Bragg in 1963, he stated that his trainee group was asked if any members would like to volunteer to take special assassination training to work with the CIA on the eliminating of U.S. citizens who had become "national security risks." About 6 people, himself included, volunteered.

One of the operational scenarios employed in their assassination training was the killing of Kennedy [he said there were four shooters, including two -each with a spotter - who were positioned on the two routes which could be employed to take the wounded President to hospital; if the spotter determined he was still alive, the accompanying shooter would finish him off]. He heard his CIA instructors saying at the time that "we sure got him![the President]." He assumed that Kennedy himself must therefore have been a national security risk for some reason [Kennedy had vowed to break up the CIA and wind down the U.S. intelligence community, which might explain the CIA's animosity to him].

As he continued with his Fort Bragg training, he was approached by a CIA operative who asked if he was ready to "do a job" for them. He answered that he was, and asked who the target was. "Lt. Com. Bruce Pitcher, at Bethesda Naval Hospital," he was told.

He backed off quickly, and declined: he explained that the "arrangement" was that they would "take out" U.S. citizens overseas, as there was no statute of limitations on murder in the U.S. and they could be denied as "deserters" if they were caught. He said that it was left to the individual assassin to decide when, where and how he would eliminate his victim. He saw the same CIA operative go over to another Green Beret in the CIA program and talk to him. He never saw that Green Beret again.

Years later he saw Dr. Bruce Pitcher's name among those who had died violently or mysteriously subsequent to the Kennedy assassination.

Shaken, he made enquiries and discovered he'd been found with a pistol in his hand at the base of a ladder; his death had been ruled "a suicide".

He discovered that Pitcher had been responsible for the audio/visual records of the President's autopsy at Bethesda Naval Hospital, and for photographically recording those present. He had kept a set of the actual autopsy results, which he'd shown to a close friend. What they revealed terrified him, and he had hidden them.

The Colonel surmised that the CIA's Green Beret assassin had killed him just as he was in the process of retrieving these hidden records. He also mentioned that a later President, who he named, had reactivated two FBI assassination teams, until stopped by a Congressional Committee. However, he

personally felt that they were probably still operational.

He has since become a Christian, has confessed to his wife what is was he was involved in, and has spent a considerable amount of time and effort since trying to get Congress to investigate the death of Lt. Com. Pritchard; he feels that this is the key which will open up to public scrutiny the entire Kennedy assassination and the coverup which followed.

It's in the light of this alarming history and this amazing series of events that the death of Ron Brown is raising questions. Was he just one more potential embarrassment to Bill Clinton and his elite establishment backers, intent as they were on winning the 1996 election, completing their transformation of America and furthering their globalist agenda? Or have the same globalist-minded elite decided, as some sources indicate, that an Al Gore-Jay Rockefeller combination will better serve their future purposes in the White House - until, that is, they "unseat" President Gore to permit Vice President Jay Rockefeller to slide effortlessly and unelected into the ultimate seat of power in the Oval Office?

If so, the death of Ron Brown may be a chilling and public warning from the elite to those on their payroll who step out of line. And the ouster of President Clinton, if it comes to pass, may then - ironically - be a second one.

AS A POSTSCRIPT TO THIS STORY: we reproduce, without comment [other than for our emphasis of certain passages], the following interesting insights volunteered concerning his own personality by President Clinton. We leave the reader to assess their relevance:

DAILY TELEGRAPH,

Friday, 6 October, 1995

UNHAPPY CHILDHOOD SHAPED ME, SAYS CLINTON

By Stephen Robinson

PRESIDENT Clinton believes his unhappy childhood is to blame for his inability to convince America's enemies that they should heed his warnings.

He says in a magazine interview that growing up in a home dominated by his alcoholic stepfather made him into a man who always wanted to please people.

Having watched his mother's marriage, Mr Clinton said he once doubted that he could ever be happy with his wife.

"When I was 21, I put down the things I really wanted in my life, and having a good family life and a child was one of them," he said.

But he feared he could not achieve it "because if your model of a marriage has been bad, it has a subconscious drag on you".

During the interview, he indulges in introspection about how the loss of his mother affected him. When she died last year, Mr Clinton had to go straight from her funeral in Arkansas to a summit meeting in Moscow.

"Boris Yeltsin and I have a good relationship, and one of the reasons is that we both loved our mothers.

"He lost his mother after he became the President of

Russia, so he understood what I was going through. It was very touching." The story of how a teenage Bill Clinton stood up to his drunken stepfather and warned him never to touch his mother again became an essential part of his biography in the 1992 election.

He believes that his "dysfunctional home" affected him deeply and causes him, as an adult, to send mixed signals to friends and enemies.

"In Haiti, *I pretty much had to invade the country because people didn't believe me*. When I finally had planes in the air, *they [the junta leaders] believed me* and got out of there.

"That's happened all my life, from the time I was in school. People underestimate your resolve because you go out of your way to accommodate them *before you drop the hammer*," Mr Clinton told Good Housekeeping magazine.

Abstracted from an article in the May, 1996 issue of THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE, an analytical monthly which provides up-to-date news, comment and geopolitical forecasts on issues relating to liberty, world events and the New World Order.

Visit our Web site at

<http://www.inforamp.net/~jwhitley>

for subscription and review copy information, and for a comprehensive listing of books and videos on the New World Order.

THIS ITEM MAY BE RE-POSTED IF THIS CREDIT

IS INCLUDED

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061202 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[One step in the direction of Liberty.] PIML

=====

Date: 11 Jun 96 22:20:43 EDT

From: William Winter <73163.3063@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: LP RELEASE: NH Roadblocks Ended

NEWS FROM THE LIBERTARIAN PARTY

2600 Virginia Avenue, NW, Suite 100

Washington DC 20037

For release: June 12, 1996

For additional information:

Bill Winter, Director of Communications

(202) 333-0008

Internet:73163.3063@CompuServe.com

New Libertarian law ends random drunk-driving roadblocks in N.H.

WASHINGTON, DC -- Random drunk-driving roadblocks will vanish from New Hampshire as of midnight, June 11, thanks to the first Libertarian-sponsored legislation to become law at the state level in more than a decade.

House Bill 1285, introduced by Libertarian State Representative Don Gorman (L-Deerfield), requires police to get permission from a judge before

setting up drunk-driving roadblocks, and requires the judge to affirm that "all constitutional guarantees are met."

"This effectively means that there won't be another sobriety roadblock in New Hampshire," said Gorman.

The bill passed the N.H. House by voice vote in March, and the State Senate in April. It became law on Tuesday when Governor Steve Merrill (R) did not sign or veto the bill within five days.

Random drunk-driving roadblocks are "no question, unconstitutional," said Gorman, explaining why he filed the bill.

"Roadblocks of this type infringe on the right of law-abiding citizens to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures. The NH State Constitution, as interpreted by the State Supreme Court (State vs. Koppel, 1985) clearly indicates that NH residents are entitled to greater protection against these types of 'no-reason' stops than is provided for in the 4th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution," he said.

"It's about time that law enforcement learned that the Constitution and the Bill of Rights are supreme in the land," said Gorman, the lone Libertarian legislator in New Hampshire's 400-member House.

In addition, sobriety checkpoints are not effective, argued Gorman.

Citing New Hampshire's capitol city Concord as an example, Gorman noted, "During a six-month period, the Concord police department conducted 47 checkpoints, making 1,680 stops and 18 arrests for DWI [Driving While Intoxicated]. During the same period, routine patrols using traditional methods arrested 175 for DWI. Clearly, the checkpoints are ineffective as well as unconstitutional."

National Libertarian Party Chair Steve Dasbach applauded Gorman's achievement, calling it a victory for civil liberties in America and for Libertarian political action.

"Passage of the bill helps take the Libertarian Party to the next level, from electoral victory to successful Libertarian legislation. That's how we will change this country -- town by town, state by state, issue by issue," said Dasbach.

The last Libertarian-sponsored state-level legislation was voted into law in Alaska in the early 1980s.

#

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061201 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[The following is a message I received and my reply. I put so much thought into my little sermon that I decided it would be worth posting on PIML. If some patriots get upset, it will be worth it, as long as some others may be inspired to do something constructive.] PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 11 Jun 1996

From: XXX

To: butterb@sagenet.net

Subject: Personal

Dear Sir,

I do hope that you will forgive me for being so forward, but I am in need of guidance in a present endeavor of mine and thought that you might be able to offer some assistance.

Simply put, I am dating a gentleman who calls himself a "Patriot" and I desire to know more of what a patriot is or is not. I have been utilizing the Internet as to better understand him and his beliefs.

I would be grateful if you would be so kind as to suggest different Web pages where I might explore the Patriot Movement further. In addition, would you please recommend additional mailing lists that might help me.

In that the managing of a mailing list is quite time consuming, I would understand if you are unable to assist me. Consequently, I would not object if you were to forward my email to another individual who might be able to make a few suggestions that would help me and if possible,

even answer a few questions.

Sir, I thank you for your time and assistance.

Sincerely,

XXX

=====

XXX:

Saying you are a patriot is like saying you are an American, as there are many different kinds of both. I'll give you some general information but that doesn't say much about one individual's beliefs and attitudes.

The really radical patriots have given up on fixing the system and do a lot of talking (and sometimes action) about being sovereign. To be sovereign, as they mean it, is to give up your social security number and account, give up your right to vote, don't pay any tax to any level of government, don't have a driver's license or license plates, don't recognize the jurisdiction of any government court, etc. In my opinion these people are unnecessarily looking for trouble and are not willing to work to fix the system.

I feel that a true patriot is not a quitter and is a person who is willing and eager to work to restore limited, constitutional state and federal governments. The well educated patriot will be aware of the New World Order's plans for world domination and also aware of the fraudulent and unconstitutional federal income tax. Some other areas of concern are the sorry state of our judicial and educational systems and recent government atrocities such as

the sniper murder of Vicki Weaver and the poison gas murders of the Branch Davidians (CS gas is lethal in the concentration used at Waco). I would expect a true patriot to be active with some groups such as citizen action groups, political parties, Fully Informed Jury Association, or a militia unit. In short, a true patriot is one who takes action on behalf of himself, his family, and his countrymen -- one who walks the walk, not just talks the talk.

In my personal opinion, the spiritually aware patriot will recognize that we are living in times where all fear-based organizations will tend to crumble and fall while all love-based organizations will tend to grow and expand. Concerning the macho man for whom love is too strong a word, consider a love-based organization to be one which is based on "Do unto others as you would have them do unto you." The spiritually aware patriot will understand the nature of balance in the U.S. Constitution which is based on spiritual principles but prohibits Congress from passing any law, pro or con, about religion. He will follow the concept of "As long as we remain focused on our common goal, we can work shoulder-to-shoulder even though we may not see eye-to-eye."

Moderate patriots often cling to the illusion that constitutional government can be restored by working within the Democratic and Republican Parties. If that could work, it would have worked by now; those are the parties which brought us to our current sorry state.

You asked for some web pages about the patriot movement. Here is a variety:

<http://www.constitution.org/>

<http://www.rahul.net/lp/>
<http://www.logoplex.com/shops/cdr/cdr.html>
<http://quasar.as.utexas.edu/billinfo/FIJA.html>
<http://www.techmgmt.com/restore/restore.htm>
<http://com.primenet.com/callme/>
<http://www.math.princeton.edu/~tpbarber/3pc/index.html>
<http://www.mcs.net/~lpyleprn/goa1.html>
<http://www.harrybrowne96.org/>
<http://www.wolfe.net/~liberty/>
<http://home.megalinx.net/~eplurib/links.html>
<http://www.mediadist.com/>
<http://www.warroom.com/rlinks.htm>
<http://colossus.net/wepinsto/wshome.html>

Some patriot mailing lists:

Send mail to "Majordomo@pobox.com" with the following command
in the body of your email message:

subscribe liberty-and-justice your name <youre-mailaddress>

Send a blank message to freematt@coil.com with the words
subscribe FA in the subject field.

Send e-mail to majordomo@freespeechnews.com with the BODY of the
mail containing the single line: subscribe fsnw-l

Send mail to 102052.3716@CompuServe.COM with the following
command on the subject line: subscribe MJN

I know nothing about your friend and can say nothing about what
kind of "patriot" he might be, but I hope the above information
may be of some assistance.

for Liberty,

Bill

- =====
- * Patriot Information Mailing List
 - * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
 - * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

[Welcome to police state Amerika. Again and again.] PIML

=====

=====

=====

+

The Saga of Tommy Burkett

Earlier this month, I was fortunate to hear Beth George Burkett, mother of Tommy Burkett, as she related her and her husband's story concerning their attempts for justice and a substantive investigation into the evidence and circumstances surrounding the death of their son.

Although Beth George was reserved and somewhat dispassionate in her retelling of the events surrounding her son's death and it's resulting examination by the Fairfax County police and, later, the FBI, it was impossible, as a parent, not to recognize the astonishing sorrow, frustration, and depression with which the Burkett's must be saddled. Their condition results, not just from the loss of their only son, but from their inability to convince the authorities to mount anything remotely resembling an adequate investigation.

Investigative journalist Christopher Ruddy's article concerning the Burkett case, and his comparison of it to the Henry and Ives cases from Little Rock, Arkansas, appeared in the Pittsburg-Tribune Review back in December, 1995. This article also noted some similarities to the death of Deputy White House Counsel Vincent Foster.

All three cases should have serious implications for current federal inquiries into the deaths of Foster and Tommy Burkett. But the recent history of government law enforcement's sloppiness, and sometimes lackadaisical attitude toward some crime investigations, leads one to concede that there no longer

is "...equal justice for all."

Thomas and Beth George Burkett, were informed, after an 18-month investigation, that the FBI had concluded that their 21-year-old son, Tommy, had committed suicide in 1991.

The Burketts had evidence that their son was murdered, a conclusion supported by a second autopsy which they had requested. The first autopsy had been performed by the same Virginia medical examiner who had performed Vincent Foster's.

Beth George Burkett related the story of Tommy's death this way. Some time prior to Tommy's Thanksgiving vacation in 1991, she received a call from him, in an obviously troubled frame of mind. He explained that someone had ransacked his mailbox at school, and stolen his paycheck. He insinuated that the theft was related to something in which he was involved, and that it was not simple theft.

Beth George was a part-time instructor at Marymount College, her son's school. One afternoon, on campus, she was accosted by three male students who informed her that they were going to beat up Tommy, for some reason which was not made clear to her. Her son was subsequently attacked by one of these young men, on campus, on FOUR separate occasions.

The theft and the attacks were reported to campus security and the administration. She later learned that nothing had been done about any of the attacks. Furthermore, the college's administration had not reported the events to the local police.

On December 1, 1991, Mr. & Mrs. Burkett spent the afternoon at a function in town. When they arrived home around 6 p.m., they were surprised to see that nearly all of the house lights were off. They had left them on when leaving earlier. They also noticed Tommy's car in the driveway.

After entering the house, they called to Tommy, letting him know they were back. Receiving no reply, Beth George suggested to her husband that he go up to Tommy's room. Thomas Burkett knocked on his son's door, and opened it to see if perhaps Tommy was asleep.

The light in Tommy's room was on, unlike those in the rest of the house. Thomas Burkett saw his son sitting directly opposite the door, dead. Responding to his screams, Beth George soon joined her husband.

Eventually, through the tears and the heartache, they began to notice some unusual things about Tommy and his surroundings. He had a bloodied right ear, and scratches on his chest and neck visible above the disheveled collar of his favorite sweater. His body was surrounded by towels and such, stuffed around him to prop him up.

It became obvious to the Burketts that Tommy's body had been positioned for them to see as soon as they entered his room. After further examination, Thomas Burkett also noticed that his son's lower jaw was resting on his chest, and appeared to be broken.

Tommy's hands were almost folded on his lap, and on top of his hands rested a .357 magnum revolver. Beth George remembered looking down at the gun and being able to read the

numbers on the end of the cartridges. She realized that the cylinder of the revolver was not fully latched, thus making it impossible to fire.

The Burketts then notified the police. Soon after the arrival of the first Fairfax County officers, Beth George angrily recalls one of the officers saying to them "...don't blame yourselves, it's not your fault..." She now realizes that he had already decided that Tommy had committed suicide.

After what the Burketts deemed a cursory examination, including what they later determined to be an unsatisfactory autopsy by Dr. James Beyer, the deputy medical examiner for northern Virginia, they contacted the FBI.

Having felt cheated by the original investigation, the Burketts hired some forensic specialists of their own. They were soon to discover that the FBI was not interested in their findings.

If the FBI ruled differently on Tommy's death, it would contradict the autopsy done by Dr. Beyer, as well as the quickly drawn conclusions of the Fairfax County police. The FBI apparently chose not to do this. Instead, the Burketts were stonewalled by the FBI, who insisted upon conducting a civil rights investigation, not a criminal investigation.

The Burkett's tale is bewildering. Thomas Burkett said his meeting with FBI officials was appalling. William Megary, special agent in charge of the criminal division of the FBI's local office, informed them that the FBI had conducted a "long and exhaustive" investigation and found "nothing to indicate your

son's death was anything but a suicide."

Beth George said she quickly interrupted. She questioned how the FBI had reached this conclusion when the family was told repeatedly throughout the long investigation that only a civil rights probe was being pursued.

A civil rights probe of a death, especially one examining a local law enforcement agencies' role in possible obstruction of justice or cover-up, would likely have to include an investigation of the death itself, experts claim.

An FBI spokesman told the Burketts that the agency had investigated the death, and had determined that there was no cover-up, and Tommy's death was a suicide.

The Burketts recorded their phone calls with the lead FBI agent, Robert Posica. On these tapes, Posica can be heard, in an offensive tone, proclaiming that he was conducting a narrow civil rights probe and not a death investigation.

Posica told Mrs. Burkett that he had no desire to investigate the death or even to meet with them. Eventually he did meet with them, five months after the inquiry was begun.

In his meeting with the Burketts, FBI Agent Megary told them they could not see the case file. After they complained, he suggested they should file a Freedom of Information Act request. FOIA requests to the FBI frequently take years. Although informed by a spokesman that this was "...standard operating procedure", the couple decided to forego the attempt.

Despite the FBI's conclusion, the Burketts themselves

have accumulated evidence pointing to murder. The autopsy performed for the couple by the former medical examiner for Syracuse, N.Y., showed results quite different from that done by Dr. Beyer.

Dr. Beyer's autopsy had noted a quarter-inch by half-inch hole in the back of Tommy's neck, just above the collar, and offered it as the "exit wound" for the .357 magnum bullet which supposedly killed Tommy. Every forensics expert consulted by the Burkett's refuted this as impossibly small and clean.

Interestingly, the bullet thought to have caused this wound was not only embedded in the wallboard in FRONT of Tommy's body, out of position for the proposed scenario, but was left in place by the police and FBI. No ballistics tests were ever performed on this bullet. Additionally, there was no gunpowder residue in Tommy's mouth, the supposed entry location for the lethal bullet.

The second autopsy also noted that Tommy Burkett's ear had suffered trauma, indicating that he may have been beaten. And, as Thomas Burkett had suspected, his son's lower jaw was fractured. This injury, along with the scratches on his chest, are inconsistent with suicide.

The first autopsy, conducted by Beyer reported no such findings. The second autopsy also discovered Tommy Burkett's lungs had never been dissected, despite Dr. Beyer's claim in his report that he had performed that operation .

Equally as damning forensically, were the scattered blood stains. The day after Tommy's death, Beth George and Thomas

began to notice some out of place items on the first floor of the house; things that looked as though they had been knocked around or tipped over. Then they began to notice what appeared to be blood, splashed on the walls in several locations, in very fine droplets.

The FBI had dismissed the blood splatter as having existed prior to Tommy's death. "...You just never noticed it before..." they told the Burketts. Quite to the contrary, the experts hired by the Burketts identified these same stains as classic blood splatter resulting from an individual being shot, with the resulting very fine bloody mist spraying about. Their conclusion was that Tommy, and perhaps others, were shot on the first floor, probably during a struggle.

Further investigation by the Burketts and their team discovered several other glaring discrepancies in the "official" investigation. Interviews with nearby neighbors uncovered reports of the sound of multiple gunshots originating from the Burkett home that afternoon in December.

One neighbor volunteered as to how she had called 911 that afternoon, after hearing gunshots, and reported their origin as the Burkett address. Subsequent searches of the 911 tapes revealed not only the neighbor's call, but that Tommy Burkett himself had called 911 TWICE that afternoon from his home. NO emergency vehicles OR police officers responded to any of those calls that day. The Burketts also discovered that none of the neighbors had been interviewed by the local police.

Thomas Burkett said he saw one of the original autopsy photos, taken from the files of Dr. Beyer. Beyer later wrote to the couple stating that only one autopsy photo was taken. If

true, it is a violation of proper procedure.

Beyer also said he took no X-rays. This troubling aspect of the autopsy seems to be a relatively consistent aberration of Dr. Beyers'. He subsequently claimed not to have X-rayed Vincent Foster's body either.

So, without x-rays or photos from the first autopsy, it would be difficult to prove that the injured ear and broken jaw were overlooked by Dr. Beyer.

The Burketts' meeting with the FBI did not include a discussion of the first autopsy, but one FBI official insisted that even the second autopsy supported a finding of suicide.

Indications of incompetence, as well as signs of a cover-up, were enough for the program "Unsolved Mysteries" to film a segment for its show.

The Burketts insist that the Fairfax police ruled on Tommy's death too quickly, and conducted too little investigation. Fairfax police contend that the ruling for suicide was based primarily on Dr. Beyer's autopsy. Beyer has said he did not rule that the death was a suicide, only consistent with a self-inflicted gunshot.

The FBI contends it conducted an extensive investigation, including 180 interviews, over 1800 pages of reporting, and a thorough review of the Fairfax police and medical examiner's report.

Since the Burketts can't see the FBI file without a FOIA

request, they don't know for sure who was interviewed during the FBI investigation.

Since their last unsatisfactory meeting with the FBI, the Burketts have contacted every official and congressman whom they thought could be of help. Congressman William Clinger did call for a hearing with the FBI about the Burkett's allegations. While he did conduct interviews with the FBI agents involved, the Burketts and their investigators were not allowed in the hearing room at that time, nor were they given the opportunity to present their case in the hearing. Beth George said she believes that Clinger has dropped any plans to proceed further.

Thomas and Beth George's remaining hope for justice, at this point, appears to be with Senator Orrin Hatch's Judiciary Committee. As of now, he at least has not dismissed them out of hand.

The Burketts have reached the conclusion that their son Tommy had become some sort of informant for the DEA. They believe that his death was the result of a hit, they're just not sure from which side of the drug war.

From the evidence they have gathered, the couple is convinced that as many as two other people were shot in their home that afternoon, in a struggle that resulted in Tommy's death. They also believe that his body was positioned as it was, not to imitate a suicide, but as a warning of some sort.

They are also thoroughly convinced of not only incompetence on the part of some of the Fairfax County officials and the FBI, but also of a cover-up of the ensuing investigation by these same agencies.

One could scarcely imagine such incidents occurring in the United States in days past. Today, unfortunately, this story is only one of an ever increasing number of unbelievable direct and indirect assaults on the citizenry by agencies of the government, with nowhere to look for justice or retribution.

I encourage distribution of "Conspiracy Nation."

If you would like "Conspiracy Nation" sent to your e-mail address, send a message in the form "subscribe cn-l My Name" to listproc@cornell.edu (Note: that is "CN-L" *not* "CN-1")

For information on how to receive the improved Conspiracy Nation Newsletter, send an e-mail message to bigred@shout.net

Want to know more about Whitewater, Oklahoma City bombing, etc?
(1) telnet prairienet.org (2) logon as "visitor" (3) go citcom

See also: <http://www.europa.com/~johnlf/cn.html>

See also: ftp.shout.net pub/users/bigred

Aperi os tuum muto, et causis omnium filiorum qui pertranseunt.
Aperi os tuum, decerne quod justum est, et judica inopem et pauperem. -- Liber Proverbiorum XXXI: 8-9

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Brian Redman <bigred@duracef.shout.net>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
 - * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
 - * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
 - * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061107 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Know your enemy.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>
Subject: CAJI! GROUPS THAT SUPPORTED ANTI-TERRORISTS LEGISLATION
Date: Mon, 10 Jun 96 14:26:00 EST

From: RSayles
Subject: Fwd: CAJI! GROUPS THAT SUPPORTED ANTI-TERRORISTS LEGISLATION
Date: Monday, June 10, 1996 1:20AM

Forwarded message:

From: powens19@mixcom.com (Pat Owens)
Date: 96-06-09 23:46:53 EDT

The following groups that supported the Anti-Terrorist Legislation
S.735 are taken directly from the Conference Report from the (House),
Congressional Record (House) April 18, 1996.

PageH3613: Now, groups supporting this legislation are:

Citizens for Law and Order
National Troopers Coalition (45,000 members)
CHRISTIAN COALITION
ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE
NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION (NRA)
Leon and Marilyn Klinghoffer Foundation
Families of Pam AM 103 Lockerbie
Survivors of the Oklahoma City Bombing

International Association of Chiefs of Police

National Association of Police Organization

Law Enforcement Alliance of America

National Sheriff's Association

the Governor of the State of Oklahoma, a Republican

the Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma, a Democrat

National Association of Attorney Generals

National Association of District Attorneys

This list should make some wonder where their support should go or be.

To subscribe or unsubscribe send mail to:

caji-owner@pobox.com with:

(un)subscribe caji youremail@yourprovider.com

in the body of the message.

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061106 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[More information continues to surface about Clinton's past.]

PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 10 Jun 1996 08:18:39 -0500 (CDT)

From: Brian Redman <bigred@duracef.shout.net>

To: Conspiracy Nation <CN-L@cornell.edu>

Subject: "Leftist" Bill Clinton Spied On Peace Movement

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

[INLINE] International News Electronic Telegraph

Monday June 10 1996

[INLINE] Issue 402

[INLINE] Student Bill Clinton 'spied' on Americans abroad for CIA

A new book alleges that Bill Clinton spent his Oxford days monitoring anti-Vietnam war activists for the CIA, Ambrose Evans-Pritchard reports from Washington

WHEN Bill Clinton ran for the US presidency four years ago, Republicans tried to prove that, as a student, he burnt the Stars and Stripes in protest at the Vietnam War.

Now Dr Roger Morris, author of an astonishing new book called Partners

in Power, claims that, in the late 1960s, Mr Clinton worked as a source for the Central Intelligence Agency. So, was the young Clinton a patriot or just an opportunist? He was certainly no dangerous radical. "No attack by his reactionary opponents would be more undeserved than the charge that young Bill Clinton was 'radical'," concludes Morris.

According to the book, the bearded, dishevelled Rhodes scholar was recruited by the CIA while at Oxford - along with several other young Americans with political aspirations - to keep tabs on fellow students involved in protest activities against the Vietnam War. Morris says that the young Clinton indulged in some low-level spying in Norway in 1969, visiting the Oslo Peace Institute and submitting a CIA informant's report on American peace activists who had taken refuge in Scandinavia to avoid the draft. "An officer in the CIA station in Stockholm confirmed that," said Morris.

The Washington Establishment would like to dismiss this troubling book as the work of a fevered conspiracy theorist. But Morris is no lightweight. He worked at the White House in both the Johnson and Nixon administrations, resigning from the National Security Council in 1970 in protest over the US invasion of Cambodia. He went on to become an acclaimed biographer of Richard Nixon.

Rhodes scholars such as Mr Clinton were favourite targets for recruitment

As a member of America's tight-knit association of retired intelligence officers, he has access to highly privileged information. "It's an incredible network," he explained. "They pass you along from source to source."

The CIA started recruiting campus informants under President Lyndon Johnson when he demanded hard proof that there were, in his words,

"commie money and organisers behind this student s****". The programme, known as Operation Chaos, would offer informants a wide range of inducements: a little cash on the side; taking care of their draft problems; and promises of future help. "You know, if the agency's in a position to help at some point in their careers, there'd be an institutional memory," explained one CIA officer. "They knew the advantages of helping out."

Rhodes scholars such as Mr Clinton were favourite targets for recruitment. This caused serious friction with Britain's MI5 because it violated a US-UK agreement that neither country would conduct covert operations or recruit on each other's home territory. "Because of the sensitivity of the UK, these kids were treated in some ways like high-level agents," recalled one officer.

In the mid-1970s the CIA shredded its archives on Operation Chaos. One of those involved in the purge of the records told Morris that he had seen Bill Clinton listed as a former informant who went on to run for political office. "He was there in the records, with a special designation," the official is quoted as saying in the book.

Mr Clinton's alleged ties to the CIA would explain some later episodes during his tenure as Governor of Arkansas, when his state became a staging-point for President Ronald Reagan's secret effort to supply the Nicaraguan Contra rebels. An Arkansas State Trooper, L. D. Brown, has testified in a deposition that he was inducted into the CIA on Mr Clinton's suggestion, and then went on two clandestine flights to deliver weapons to Central America.

Mr Clinton was even commended for his "patriotic" work by the Reagan White House after he had sent the Arkansas National Guard to Honduras for manoeuvres. The deployment was a ruse by the Pentagon, according to

Morris. The Arkansas Guard left its "excess" inventory behind, providing a cache of weapons that were slipped to the Contras.

The point is not that Bill and Hillary Clinton are Right-wingers in disguise . It is that they have no conviction, no ideology, no guiding purpose

Even Hillary Clinton was a Cold Warrior of sorts. Described in Morris's book as "a closet Contra supporter", she quietly aided Contra fund-raising in Little Rock. She also used her influence in US liberal circles to undercut the legitimacy of peace activists and pro-Sandinista church groups opposed to President Reagan's policies in Central America.

The point is not that Bill and Hillary Clinton are Right-wingers in disguise - although Morris demolishes the pretence that they were progressive reformers in Arkansas. It is that they have no conviction, no ideology, no guiding purpose. Driven by raw ambition, they will make any compromise necessary to advance their interests.

Partners in Power is the first of what will be a succession of books about the Clintons whose authors are not fooled by the shadow-boxing that often passes for substantive debate in American politics. (A second book, by the editor of the American Spectator, will be coming out later this month with another set of revelations.)

Morris violates all the taboos. Impatient with the manicured myth that Bill Clinton was the apple-pie boy from Hope, Arkansas, he reveals the little-known fact that the President spent much of his childhood in Hot Springs, the capital of gambling, drug-smuggling and organised crime in the central United States, where his powerful uncle and mentor, Raymond Clinton, was a member of the Dixie mafia.

It was not Mr Clinton's fault, of course, that he grew up in the culture

of "the Mob". But it is central to understanding who Bill Clinton really is. It helps explain why his brother, Roger, ended up as a convicted drug dealer, and why Bill himself allegedly became a regular user of cocaine. (On a police surveillance videotape quoted from in the book, Roger can be heard saying to a supplier of cocaine: "Got to get some for my brother. He's got a nose like a vacuum cleaner.")

For Morris, ensconced in his New Mexico mountain retreat, the American political system is now fatally corrupted. Democrats and Republicans noisily dispute how many angels can fit on the head of a pin. But both are indentured servants of the permanent government - "a bureaucracy so self-corrupted it is unfit for democracy" - and the interlocking interests of the lobby machine to be found on Washington's K Street.

It already looks as if the US media will try to ignore Partners in Power, which is to be published next week. "Their reaction is entirely predictable," said Morris. "If they were to behave any other way, my book would not be true."

This report appeared in the last edition of The Sunday Telegraph

Reply to Electronic Telegraph

Electronic Telegraph is a Registered Service Mark of The Telegraph plc

-> Send "subscribe snetnews" to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Brian Redman <bigred@duracef.shout.net>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061105 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Insightful message on the duty of the militia.] PIML

=====

From: HPBIII@aol.com

Date: Mon, 10 Jun 1996 12:48:46 -0400

Subject: Fwd: Nukes for the militia

Forwarded message:

From: minutemn@pcl.net (Mike Kemp)

Date: 96-06-09 21:28:55 EDT

To all:

Kemp's Freehold attached: WAR DECLARED- WE'RE GOING NUCLEAR!

KEMP'S FREEHOLD: CRITICAL MASS

5/9/96

When fissile material, such as uranium highly enriched with the isotope U-235 compared to the naturally more abundant U-238 is gathered together in a significant enough quantity in a finite space, the atoms begin to interact. At some point, the "chain reaction" becomes self sustaining. This occurs in nuclear power reactors, and in "atomic" bombs.

The best illustration that I have ever seen of this is a demonstration seen on a Disney show in the late fifties/early sixties. A basketball court was carpeted with cocked mouse traps, each with a ping pong ball on the cocked striker. A single additional ping pong ball is thrown into the room, and the reaction is predictable. The thrown ball sets off a trap, which launches its ball and ricochets the thrown ball. These two

trigger more, which trigger more, and in moments there is a huge, practically simultaneous launching of almost all the ping pong balls in the room.

Envision, if you will, the situation where the air is made "thick," hindering the flight of the launched balls. They strike with less energy, slowing the attainment of a chain reaction. Further, remove a portion of the balls from the traps. This is the situation analogous to a nuclear power reactor. The reaction stretches out, and a slower chain reaction is obtained. If the proportion of traps with balls compared to the traps without balls gets smaller still, it becomes impossible to sustain a chain reaction at all.

We all know that the FBI and all other police forces contain honorable men. We also know that, by and large, these honorable men are not in control, and are likely isolated and unknown to one another. The efforts of any ping pong ball (uh, peace officer) are so isolated that no other ping pong ball is launched by their moral and Constitutional efforts.

As the truth of our situation becomes apparent to more and more honorable peace officers, the proportion of "enriched" isotopes (Constitutionally aware and motivated) compared to "unenriched" isotopes increases. This places more ping pong balls on more traps. It is increasingly likely, therefore, that a chain reaction will begin from the actions of any single peace officer. The Janet Reno's, Louis Freeh's, and James Hayes' (Etowah County Alabama sheriff) devote much of their efforts to isolating loaded traps and removing ping pong balls. It is therefore our duty to preach the truth till we are hoarse, outpacing the efforts of the Reno's and Freeh's and Hayes', hastening the moment of critical mass and self sustaining chain reaction.

Likewise, as Citizens, we are constrained to cock mouse traps and set ping pong balls among our sleeping brethren, the citizens. It is the

media's and the politicians' work to uncock traps and remove ping pong balls, and to make the air more thick, slowing the reaction, with hopes of squelching the possibility of chain reaction entirely.

This is the duty of the militia, to scream the truth (calmly and rationally, of course) 'till we are hoarse, then to scream even louder. It is our job to enrich the citizens to Citizenry. At some point along the way, a critical mass will be achieved and a self sustaining chain reaction will begin. Then we scream some more, taking the self sustaining (but stoppable) chain reaction beyond the point of control (by the media and government) to a mass release of ping pong balls. Those who would control it shall be swept away, peppered to nothingness by flailing ping pong balls.

I say again: this is the duty of the militia. Should we provoke or invite direct confrontation, we shall be isolated and our balls removed (ping pong balls, that is). The tension shall be let from our springs. We will be uncocked, no lock necessary. This will be the result of our challenging with conventional force an adversary who abounds with conventional force, looking only for a conventional battlefield upon which to employ it.

We must claim the unconventional as our own, employing widespread initiative rather than concentrated leadership to load, cock and trigger our fellow Americans, changing them from citizens to Citizens. If we spend our efforts only to build platoons, companies and armies, we are preparing for failure on the battlefield of our enemies' choosing. Of course keep your conventional weapons- but realize that in today's war, already under way, the most effective weapons are the camera and the tape recorder, and the printed and spoken word.

Make no mistake: we are already at war. If you choose to hide and

prepare for the struggle to come, you will have lost before it begins.
Now is the time to win or lose. If we make our fellows aware, we will
nuke our enemies in a flurry of ping pong balls. If we prepare for the
struggle to come, and ignore the one at hand, they will napalm and
strafe, isolate and destroy us.

Repeat after me: I hereby declare war on unConstitutional and anti
Constitutional government and governors. My weapons for these days shall
be the video camera, the still camera, with hide-out weapons of personal
protection to be audio tape recorders. Those capable of operating heavy
weapons shall employ the pen and the spoken word. Challenge our enemies,
confront them. But, on our terms, within our rights, not where the rules
allow our enemies to literally crush us.

William Michael Kemp

-----6E29AC97FC1--

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061104 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Welcome to police state Amerika. Again.] PIML

=====

From: gareth@gnf.com (Gareth Nerisa Fenley)

Newsgroups: misc.activism.progressive

Subject: Censorship Olympic Style

Followup-To: alt.activism.d

Date: 8 Jun 1996 20:04:04 GMT

CENSORSHIP, OLYMPIC STYLE

***Speak out to stop censorship! 2pm, Monday, June 10, Committee Room
Two, Atlanta City Hall, 55 Trinity Avenue. Or send a FAX to Atlanta Mayor
Bill Campbell at (404) 658-7361. Details follow***

Thousands of newspapers are to be seized by police and removed from
downtown Atlanta, under a new ordinance. Targets range from USA Today to
home-finding services and alternative weekly newspapers.

The Atlanta City Council quietly enacted the law, which became effective
June 1. It outlaws all newspaper distribution boxes along main streets
inside the "Olympic ring" -- Atlanta's downtown core -- unless they match
the color, size, shape, weight, construction materials, and even the size
of the graphic logo on boxes owned by the Atlanta Journal-Constitution.

Replacing boxes would cost about \$350 apiece, according to Southern Voice,
a gay and lesbian newspaper that has about 20 boxes in the designated
area. That cost would effectively expel small publishers from the Olympic
ring.

The ordinance mandates boxes built to expensive standards, with a uniform appearance. "No paper wants its box to look like all the others, or have all the boxes look like the Atlanta Journal-Constitution," said Fulton Daily Report editor and publisher S. Richard Gard, Jr.

The Journal-Constitution is Atlanta's only newspaper publishing 7 days a week. It is owned by Cox Communications, which also owns Atlanta's ABC affiliate WSB-TV (Channel 2) as well as NewsRadio WSB-AM.

Sponsored by District 6 council member Mary Davis, the ordinance moved stealthily through the city council's Utility Committee to the full council, which passed it. Opponents spoke out after the fact at a Utility Committee meeting May 29, but Davis was absent, and members decided to let her ordinance take effect.

A recorded message at Davis' office Friday said she has been out of town all week attending her daughter's Olympic tryouts.

The Davis ordinance was crafted and researched by the Atlanta Downtown Partnership, a private business-oriented group. Executive director Ronni French said the regulations would improve downtown aesthetics and safety. She obtained a letter of support from a similar organization, Central Atlanta Progress.

Central Atlanta Progress vice president Paul Kellerman said Friday that several people at the Atlanta Journal-Constitution reviewed and approved the Davis ordinance before it was enacted. Kellerman refused to name the Journal-Constitution staffers, saying he does not want to get them in trouble. He said the Journal-Constitution came under pressure from other publishers to change its position after the enactment.

The Journal-Constitution now has joined with USA Today in backing a

proposed ordinance by council member Doug Alexander. His measure would remove some -- but not all -- of the newspaper-box restrictions. Alexander's proposal was up for consideration at the May 29 Utilities Committee meeting, but he too was absent.

The committee meets again Monday, June 10 to decide what to do. Members of the public are invited to speak at the meeting, which begins at 2 p.m. The newspaper ordinance is expected to come up on the agenda between 2:30 and 3 p.m. Citizens who want the option to speak should sign up with the committee staff as they arrive in Committee Room Two, Atlanta City Hall, 55 Trinity Avenue.

Contacts: (all area codes are 404)

--Mary Davis, District 6 Atlanta City Council Member. Sponsored censorship ordinance. Assistant's name is Rachel. 330-6049.

--Atlanta Mayor Bill Campbell. In charge of enforcing censorship ordinance. Can put pressure on City Council. 330-6100. Fax 658-7361.

--Lee Morris. Council member and chair of the Utilities Committee, which passed the censorship ordinance and is now re-considering. 330-6050.

--Doug Alexander. Council member who proposes to repeal *some* aspects of censorship ordinance. Utilities Committee. 330-6041.

--Vern McCarty. District 1 council member who said he would lobby Mayor Campbell not to enforce the censorship ordinance. Assistant is Carla Smith. Utilities Committee. 330-6039.

--Debi Starnes. District 2 council member who suggests a "compromise." Utilities Committee. 330-6038.

--Atlanta city council clerk. Call this number if you live in the city of Atlanta and want to know what Council districts you are in. (You have two.) Also can give you phone numbers and names. 330-6032.

--Ms. Ronni French, executive director, Atlanta Downtown Partnership. Driving force behind censorship ordinance. Compiled all the supporting

research. 577-0330.

--Paul Kellman, vice president, Central Atlanta Progress. Supports
censorship ordinance. 658-1877.

--CODA (Corporation for Olympic Development in Atlanta). Supports
censorship ordinance. Contact "Randy." 651-1798.

--Atlanta Committee for the Olympic Games. Takes heat and has clout. 224-1996.

This flyer was written Friday, June 7, 1996 by Gareth Fenley
(gareth@gnf.com), an Atlanta voter, and distributed by hand, by fax, and
on the Internet.

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061103 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[This looks like a real good time to move out of California.]

PIML

=====

From: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linux.com>

Date: Mon, 10 Jun 1996 12:31:59 -0700

Subject: Amazing Earthquake Report

Sender: snetnews-approval@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

(I have never seen anything like this. Earthquakes have really increased since the Chinese underground nuclear test last Friday. Let's hope it is the last underground nuclear test on this planet.--SW)

The following near-real-time Earthquake Bulletin is provided by the National Earthquake Information Service (NEIS) of the U. S. Geological Survey as part of a cooperative project of the Council of the National Seismic System. For a description of the earthquake parameters listed below, the availability of additional information, and our publication criteria, please finger qk_info@gldfs.cr.usgs.gov.

Updated as of Mon Jun 10 13:01:53 MDT 1996.

DATE-(UTC)-TIME	LAT	LON	DEP	MAG	Q	COMMENTS
yy/mm/dd hh:mm:ss	deg.	deg.	km			
96/06/10 09:52:19	51.07N	176.31W	33.0	4.8Mb	B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 10:18:28	51.35N	177.75W	33.0	5.0Mb	B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.

96/06/10 10:32:11	51.49N 178.55W	33.0 5.1Mb B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 10:37:10	51.10N 177.04W	33.0 4.9Mb C	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 10:50:16	51.40N 178.29W	33.0 4.9Mb C	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 10:54:47	51.10N 177.86W	33.0 4.6Mb B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 11:23:25	52.00N 178.00W	33.0 4.4Mb	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 11:43:45	51.71N 178.82W	33.0 4.4Mb C	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 11:44:20	51.68N 178.41W	33.0 4.7Mb C	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 11:56:01	51.23N 178.13W	33.0 5.2Mb B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 12:11:54	51.29N 177.59W	33.0 4.6Mb B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 12:27:09	51.30N 175.87W	33.0 4.7Mb B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 13:01:04	51.26N 177.05W	33.0 5.2Mb A	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 13:38:51	51.52N 177.36W	33.0 5.2Mb A	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 13:44:31	51.02N 176.57W	33.0 5.1Mb B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 13:58:27	51.45N 177.34W	33.0 5.6Mb A	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 15:24:56	51.30N 176.99W	33.0 7.2Ms A	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 15:36:31	51.40N 176.53W	33.0 5.9Mb B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 16:31:22	50.82N 177.40W	33.0 4.4Mb C	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 17:07:01	51.45N 176.86W	33.0 4.8Mb B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN IS.
96/06/10 17:21:22	51.28N 176.83W	33.0 4.6Mb B	ANDREANOF ISL, ALEUTIAN I

Anomalous Images and UFO Files

<http://www.linex.com/ufo>

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex.com>

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061102 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Welcome to police state Amerika.] PIML

=====

From: JAdam2594@aol.com

Date: Fri, 7 Jun 1996 08:16:23 -0400

Subject: Fwd: Letter From Jeff

Forwarded message:

Subj: Letter From Jeff

Date: 96-06-05 23:57:09 EDT

From: RSayles

This is a letter recently received from my friend and roommate Jeff Ganaposki. Jeff is currently awaiting federal trial on Title 18 Section 228, "Failure To Pay Child Support" charges.

RSS

Letter from Jeff Ganaposki

Federal Prisoner

May 29, 1996

"I keep hoping I'll wake up and find that it was only a nightmare, but after 5 weeks in jail I'm not optimistic. What is most disturbing is the blatant disregard for the Constitution that I have observed by judges, officers, and agents of the U.S. Government. I'm not illiterate so when I read that: "No Warrant shall issue, but upon Probable Cause, supported by oath or affirmation." I expect that those who have sworn oaths to the

U.S. Constitution would not dare issue unconstitutional warrants, without sworn oath or affirmation.

On April 25, 1996, two men, allegedly U.S. Marshals, burst into my domicile, located in Cobb County, Georgia. They did not have a search warrant, nor did they have a constitutional warrant for my arrest. After pinning my arms behind me, and painfully applying manacles, I was transported to the Atlanta City Detention Center Annex. At the desk I challenged the marshals to present a valid warrant. One of the unknown marshals slapped down a document styled: "Warrant for Arrest" upon the desk. I asked where was the sworn oath or affirmation? The haughty officer flipped over the 1st page and - surprise - no oath or affirmation - not even a judge's signature on the "information." The officer, not without a hint of guilt, quickly grabbed the bogus warrant form the desk and turned me over to the custody of the City of Atlanta.

When I objected to the illegal arrest and demanded an immediate probable cause hearing, I was treated to nine days of solitary confinement in the maximum security section. In a room I estimated to be barely 7' X 9', I had nothing to do, nothing to read, nothing to write with. I was locked down, with only one free hour to shower, wash my clothes, and make collect phone calls.

As a Bishop, and Pastor of the Church of the Living Word, I didn't feel that there was sufficient grounds to assume I was a dangerous felon. In fact, the alleged charge was a petty misdemeanor with a maximum sentence of 6 months. But there may be more sinister grounds for my unwarranted arrest and unlawful incarceration.

When I was brought before Magistrate Daugherty, in the United States District Court in Atlanta, the magistrate disregarded my objections to the unconstitutional arrest. Even the Public Defender, who was counsel, was at a loss, for the "warrant" was patently defective, in violation of

the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, rules 3, 4, 5, & 9.

Perhaps the real reason I'm jailed is not to answer a false and fraudulent charge, but to keep me off the air. I had a radio ministry on the Eagle Radio Network, on satellite Galaxy 6, transponder 14, audio 7.56 MHZ, from 1 to 2 p.m., Monday through Friday. My show dealt with topics like law, philosophy, and history that opposed facts that were contradictory to the policies of the U.S. Government. I told my audience that the Supreme Court affirmed that Americans are blessed with the birthright of Sovereignty, but that Americans surrendered their sovereignty when they applied for a number in the Social Security system.

My charge is for "willful failure to pay child support." But I have a letter from the Enforcement Agency, Domestic Relations Section, that admits that their authority to compel "involuntary servitude" is the Social Security Act of 1935. As a Christian, I do not wish to be "numbered", and have revoked my signature, for fraud, surrendered documents, and renounced all claims to the alleged "benefits" of the Social Security system.

In 1993, Pennsylvania filed a civil suit in Georgia to enforce their "order." But after I placed the facts into the court records, I proved that fraud was the basis of Pennsylvania's claim, and prevailed. Why? To inflict "voluntary Servitude" requires my consent - knowingly, willingly, and intentionally. I was never informed by the Social Security Administration that I waived my rights when I signed up with them.

In 1996 the Domestic Relations Section filed a claim - this time with the Feds under the presumed authority of Title 18 United States Code, Section 228. But the law is unconstitutional for many reasons, not just

because I don't have an account with the Social Security Administration or that I already won the case in a previous suit. The Constitution does not grant legislative authority to the U.S. Congress in the states beyond the exclusive property of the United States government or those who are bound by contract with Congress. The founding fathers expressly forbade incarceration for debt, even if the debt was legitimate. To make a breach of contract into a criminal offense is abuse of delegated Powers and an insult to the brave men and women who fought and died to secure the blessings of Liberty and freedom. If the U.S. Government had an injured party, whose person or property was injured by me, and did swear an affidavit, the government still lacks criminal jurisdiction in Georgia, or Pennsylvania, over a free inhabitant who is not a Federal territory, nor in contract with the U.S. Government.

But such facts do not deter the government from its tyranny. Big Brother will arrest without warrant. Big Brother will deny rights to Probable Cause or Due Process. Three U.S. judges or magistrates already have denied me rights secured by their oaths to the U.S. Constitution. I'm still incarcerated, in violation of the U.S. Constitution, the Supreme Law of the Land.

I've attempted to file for my right to a Habeas Corpus hearing, where the government would be compelled to prove their lawful authority to hold me. When one petition was filed in Fulton County Superior Court, in Atlanta, Georgia, the U.S. Marshals flew me to Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. When a petition was filed with the Supreme Court, it was returned because my situation wasn't extraordinary! And one can't file in district court until after convicted. To further unsure I wouldn't file a Petition, I've been moved to six jails, flown twice, courtesy of the U.S. Marshal's airline, and all in a period of 5 weeks.

Are Americans to have their liberty at risk of rogues who arrest on the hearsay of unsworn "information?" The 4th Amendment protections required

someone to put themselves at risk, under penalty of perjury, before government dare seize a person or his property. That was to prevent false accusations and malicious prosecutions.

Here I am, in the 35th day of my captivity. I never thought this could happen in America. I believed that the government obeyed the law. Apparently, I'm wrong.

I filed a suit, seeking damages in the amount of \$200 million dollars. But I fear that will trigger punitive actions by the Feds. I was warned by others that the Federal Bureau of Prisons will subject irritants to "Diesel Therapy", where the poor convict is shipped from prison to prison. Mail never catches up, and their lawsuits are thus dismissed.

My situation is not unique. I met inmates who were convicted but were waiting to be sentenced - some as long as 3 « years! That is cruel and unusual punishment. It's a sentence to indefinite suffering. To those who still believe in American Justice, please take a closer look.

According to the Declaration of Independence, America's governments were instituted to secure Rights - never violate them. If my suffering is any indication of the "law" enacted by the U.S. Congress, then it's time we consider the sage advice in the Declaration: when governments injure Rights, the people are authorized to alter or abolish it.

The ballot box is impotent when the legislature, executive, and judicial branch is rotten at the foundations. I pray no one else suffers the horror of unlawful arrest and imprisonment. And I pray that America wakes up before the Police State has complete control of our lives, liberties, and land we love.

The outages of Waco, Texas and Ruby Ridge, Idaho are the tip of the

iceberg. When the government breaks the law it breeds contempt for the law. We don't need more police or prisons to end crime. We need to stop the abuses of government - America's real "Organized Crime."

Jeff Ganaposki

May 29, 1996

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96061101 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[It looks as though the Freeman may have become free with your tax dollars.] PIML

=====

Date: Wed, 5 Jun 1996 10:52:41 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: James Bovard on the Freeman Standoff and Farm Subsidies

[James Bovard is the author of "Shakedown: How the Government Screws You From A to Z; "Lost Rights: The Destruction of American Liberty; "The Fair Trade Fraud; and "The Farm Fiasco". A regular contributor to "The Wall Street Journal, his editorials also appear in "The New York Times", "The Washington Post", "Newsweek", "Playboy", and "The New Republic". Jim has been at the forefront of calling for full investigations into the Randy Weaver case and the Waco disaster. George Will described him as "a one-man truth squad who is more than a match for tendentious legions of protectionists in American government and industry."]

###

from : Jim Bovard

6/4/96

Matt:

Considering how the FBI-Freeman standoff is heating up, I thought there might be interest among some of your subscribers on the article I did for the Wall Street Journal on May 21 on that case. Following is a short synopsis (the story is copy-righted by WSJ,

so I am not sending full text).

While the stranger aspects of the ideology of the self-proclaimed Freeman is widely reported, little attention has been paid to the role of the Agriculture Department in paving the way to this confrontation. Regrettably, federal farm subsidy policies have been even loonier than the Freeman themselves.

Ralph Clark, the illiterate grade school dropout who is the mastermind of the Freeman, and his partners have received over \$650,000 in farm subsidy payments since 1985, according to the Environmental Working Group, a Washington DC organization. In addition, Clark received almost \$2 million in federal farm loans. Most generously, the federal government kept sending him annual payments of almost \$50,000 to reward him for not growing on the land he bought with government loans - long after he effectively defaulted on those loans.

Why did Clark receive so many government loans? Because he was uncreditworthy. According to the Farmers Home Administration, this alone made him worthy of a windfall of capital. And, since he kept losing money time and again, that proved that he deserved new loans.

Clark symbolizes the type of farmer favored by the Farmers Home Administration: big - with a 7000-acre government-paid spread - and incompetent. Clark was a poster boy for farm aid lobbyists in the 1980s - portrayed sympathetically in Life magazine, with Geraldo Rivera on ABC's 20/20, and elsewhere. But, since then, he became a racist and a raving anti-semiter, and his panache with the Willie Nelson crowd has suffered.

For many farmers, the road to hell has been paved with cheap government credit. FmHA has encouraged many struggling farmers to continue farming until they financially destroy themselves. According to the agency's own records, by far the most frequent cause of bankruptcy among its borrowers is "poor farming

practices." The General Accounting Office estimated that a quarter of FmHA bankruptcies occurred because the farmers received too many subsidized loans. GAO noted in 1992: "In some cases, continued FMHA assistance has actually worsened the financial condition of farmers who have entered the program."

Under the new so-called "Freedom to Farm" act, the government will remain heavily involved in providing loans to uncreditworthy farmers. Under the recently passed farm bill, the Agriculture Department is authorized to make over \$20 billion direct and guaranteed loans to farmers in the next six years; further multi-billion dollar losses are likely. While congressmen brag about how farm programs are being phased out, subsidized lending to farmers is actually scheduled to increase between now and 2002. And midwest Senate Democrats are on the warpath, pushing a bill to defend every farmer's sacred right to further loans after defaulting on the government.

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: freematt@coil.com with the words subscribe FA on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-30 messages per week)

Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060605 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Quoted from June 4 San Antonio Express-News, page 8B:

"Gov. George W. Bush said Monday there's no reason for a referendum and that history is on his side."

Imperial New World Order Texas Governor "Shrub" Bush is correct when he says history is on his (the state's) side in regard to claims of the General Council of the Provisional "Government" of the Republic of Texas. BUT -- his statement that "there's no reason for a referendum" (a binding referendum on the subject of Texas independence, a plank in the Libertarian Party of Texas platform) indicates that his Imperial Highness is not interested in what the citizens of Texas may desire.

Perhaps Emperor "Shrub" needs to read (possibly for the first time) Article 1, Section 2 of the Texas Constitution which states:

"INHERENT POLITICAL POWER; REPUBLICAN FORM OF GOVERNMENT.

All political power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority, and instituted for their benefit. The faith of the people of Texas stands pledged to the preservation of a republican form of government, and, subject to this limitation only, * THEY * HAVE * AT * ALL * TIMES * THE * INALIENABLE * RIGHT * TO * ALTER, * REFORM * OR * ABOLISH * THEIR * GOVERNMENT * IN * SUCH * MANNER * AS * THEY * MAY * THINK * EXPEDIENT * " (emphasis added).

This Emperor was brought to us by the Republicrat/Demopublican system. The citizens of Texas will again in November 1998 have an opportunity to vote for a Libertarian Governor. Let us hope that they have sufficiently awakened by then. Better yet, let us WORK

so that Texans will be sufficiently awakened by then.

- * Bill Utterback
- * Libertarian Party candidate for Texas House of Representatives,
- * District 45 (Comal and Guadalupe Counties)
- *
- * "It is not the function of our Government to keep the citizen
- * from falling into error; it is the function of the citizen to
- * keep the Government from falling into error."
- * U.S. Supreme Court in American Communications Association v.
- * Douds, 339 U.S. 382,442

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060604 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Note on another subject: If you send e-mail to PIML and don't put PIML on the subject line, it may never be read.] PIML

[Why wait, impeach Clinton now!] PIML

=====

Date: Wed, 5 Jun 1996 22:42:49 -0700 (MST)

To: fsnw-1@freespeechnews.com

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] WILLIAM SAFIRE: Jail to the chief?

WILLIAM SAFIRE: Jail to the chief?

Copyright © 1996 Nando.net

Copyright © 1996 N.Y. Times News Service

WASHINGTON (Jun 5, 1996 8:05 p.m. EDT) -- We now know that the Clintons benefited from a criminal conspiracy to steer taxpayers' money illegally into their Whitewater venture.

A separate criminal investigation is active to see if, in the Whitewater conspiracy, the Clintons attempted to obstruct justice from the White House.

In a trial of two Arkansas bankers later this month, Clinton may be shown to have accepted \$7,000 in perhaps-stolen cash in his office from bankers accused of buying a job from him.

In the light of these and other close brushes Clinton is having with both proven and charged criminality, we can ask the unaskable: Is this president at risk of being indicted for a crime?

That brings up a profound question not directly answered by the Constitution: Is a sitting president subject to prosecution for a criminal violation -- or is the holder of that office "above" the criminal law, unreachable by prosecutors and juries?

I think he cannot be indicted in any criminal court while in office. This conclusion is based on the brief submitted on Oct. 5, 1973, by the U.S. solicitor general, after Vice President Spiro Agnew -- charged with accepting cash payoffs for favors done while governor -- claimed to a federal court in Maryland that a vice president could not be subject to indictment and trial while in office.

Solicitor General Robert Bork argued the opposite: that the Constitution, as "an intensely practical document," did not imply an immunity for a vice president or lesser federal officials. Vice President Aaron Burr had to stay out of two states where he was indicted, but was able to exercise the powers of his office anyway. The government would not be paralyzed if any official were prosecuted -- except the president.

"The president is the only officer of government for whose temporary disability the Constitution provides procedures to qualify a replacement," argued Bork, because it "incapacitates an entire branch of government."

Moreover, "the framers could not have contemplated prosecution of an incumbent president because they vested in him ... the power to control prosecutions."

Presidential pardoning power "is consistent only with the conclusion that the president must be removed by impeachment, and so deprived of the power to pardon, before criminal process can be instituted against him," wrote Bork, who tells me he holds the same opinion today.

What must this mean to independent counsel Kenneth Starr, also a former solicitor general, as he examines potential crimes committed by the Clintons?

It could mean that if the Little Rock grand jury finds credible evidence that the president was part of a criminal conspiracy, then the independent counsel would ask the jurors to limit their action to a presentment, or public report, without an indictment, at the most naming Clinton as an unindicted co-conspirator.

That's little more than what Special Prosecutor Leon Jaworski did in the Nixon case.

The same approach -- to disclose rather than indict -- is probable in the disposition of evidence and conclusions of the D.C. grand jury regarding potential obstruction of justice in Whitewater and abuse of federal power in Travelgate.

Starr would ask the court to forward the evidence to the House Judiciary Committee, on the theory expressed by the framer Gouverneur Morris at the Constitutional Convention that the courts could "try the president after the trial of impeachment."

But impeachment seems Draconian, especially in an election year and in the absence of public outrage. If the White House worst-case scenario develops in coming months, Congress should promptly disclose the untried evidence and let the voters be the jury.

One question that is not a constitutional issue is the ability to indict Hillary Rodham Clinton, private citizen. Her pattern of what prosecutors call "conscious avoidance" of telling the whole truth may link the conspiracy in Arkansas to the obstruction of its prosecution here, as well as to the abuse of power in Travelgate.

Campaigning, Bill Clinton used to promise "two for the price of one," but the criminal law he is above while in office may accuse one for the acts of two.

(William Safire is a New York Times columnist.)

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060603 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[More developments with Starr, McCranie, and now Kyser.] PIML

=====

From: CopWatch@aol.com

Date: Wed, 5 Jun 1996 22:17:01 -0400

Subject: Macon Update - IMPORTANT information

The following article sheds a whole new light on the government's piss poor case. Last night I had an hour long conversation with a 20 year veteran ATF agent, who is currently battling the same agency he worked for. An interesting point he made to me is that federal law requires, that once a government informant is "wired" for the purposes of gathering intelligence, then that informant must be wired for EVERY meeting that is listed as evidence in the ATF's status report. Mr. Gillis admitted on the stand that this CI was not wired, however this meeting is listed in the ATF status report, of which I have a copy. One can only guess the reasons that Danny and Kevin Barker (CIs) had for breaking the government's own rules... I think it may have something to do with the fact that they did not want their ATF handlers to know they were also pushing dope during these militia meetings.

Another interesting point that I have learned is the ATF was originally going to publicise this case as an international terrorist conspiracy to cause problems at the Olympics, however, to do this would involve FBI and Customs, so, instead, one of ATF's PR people in Washington tipped CBS news that these "Macon Pipe Bombers" were targeting the Olympics. The reason CBS will not retract their story is because they have documentation that proves ATF Washington did in fact tip them to this fabricated story.

Again, stay tuned for further developements.

Jeff Randall

Forwarded message:

From: 71562.2561@CompuServe.COM (mike tanner)

To: CopWatch@aol.com

Date: 96-06-05 21:01:45 EDT

News Release: Macon Telegraph, June 5, 1996, Page 1B

Title: Judge ponders bond for bomb suspect

Third militia member pleads not guilty

Author: Audrey Post

The lawyer for the third suspect in what the government calls a militia bomb conspiracy described his client Tuesday as someone who "likes to play Army and talks a little loose," but not a bomb-maker who plotted a war against federal agents.

Troy Allen Kyser, also known as Troy Spain, pleaded not guilty Tuesday morning in U.S. District Court in Macon. U. S. Magistrate Judge Richard Hodge said he would rule by Thursday afternoon on whether to allow Kyser, to be released on bond until his trial.

Kyser's attorney, Gregory Spicer, asked Hodge to deny prosecutors' request to keep Kyser jailed and instead to set a reasonable bond.

Hodge noted that he is "not a strong proponent of pretrial detention" but that sometimes the evidence indicates it is warranted. He promised to consider carefully the evidence and arguments presented during the

hour-long hearing.

Last month, U. S. District Court Judge Wilbur D. Owens Jr. denied bond for the other two defendants in the case, Robert Edward Starr and William James McCranie. They have been jailed since their arrests April 26 when they were charged with conspiring to make pipe bombs.

The same day, federal and local agents raided two tracts of land in Crawford County one owned by Starr and the other McCranie's residence, and seized pipes and chemicals they said could be used to make bombs.

Kyser was not publicly connected to the case until May 28, when a federal grand jury indictment that had been issued two weeks earlier was unsealed. Kyser surrendered voluntarily that evening.

After entering his plea, Kyser was provided a copy of the estimated sentencing guidelines compiled by the federal probation office. Under those guidelines, Kyser could receive a maximum possible sentence of 210 to 262 months -- 17.5 to 21.8 years -- should he be convicted or change his plea to guilty. Starr and McCranie were given the same estimated sentences at their arraignment last Thursday.

[Photo]

Bomb conspiracy suspect Troy Allen Kyser, left, is escorted by a deputy U.S. Marshal as he leaves U.S. District Court in Macon on Tuesday morning.

Life in prison is the maximum penalty allowed for two of the crimes with which the men have been charged. However, federal sentencing guidelines take into account a number of other factors. There is no parole in the

federal court system.

'Absolutely no evidence'

Kyser, wearing a blue jail uniform over a white T-shirt, was escorted into the court-room Tuesday by almost a dozen deputy U.S. marshals. His wife and several friends from the Georgia militia filled one bench of the spectator section.

During the hour-long hearing. Kyser's attorney got the government's only witness to admit there is no evidence that Kyser ever touched or manufactured a bomb. "There is absolutely no evidence on the planet Earth that he's ever had a bomb in his hands, is there?" Spicer asked Agent Stephen W. Gillis of the Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms.

When Gillis responded that Kyser was present during discussions about bombmaking. Spicer said, "That's not what I asked." Gillis then answered, "No, there's no evidence."

One of the three counts against Kyser in the five count federal indictment charges him with possession of a destructive device, or bomb. The other two counts charge that he conspired with co-defendants Starr and McCranie to use a destructive device in a violent crime, and conspired with them to use weapons of mass destruction. The other two counts charge Starr with possessing a semi-automatic assault weapon, and Starr and McCranie with threatening a federal law enforcement officer. Gillis also testified that Kyser was present, along with a half dozen other militia members, when chemicals for the bombs were purchased at a gun show. But Spicer coaxed from the agent that the government has no evidence Kyser ever purchased or stole a bomb component himself, or that he had any direct knowledge of how to build a bomb.

'Special Operations Team'

Much of Gillis' testimony Tuesday echoed his statements at earlier hearings for Starr, 34; and McCranie, 30, although it focused more on the 28 year-old Kyser's alleged role.

Gillis testified that Kyser was to select and train a militia "Special Operations Team" to assassinate politicians in Washington, D.C., if agents ever took action against the militia. The "team" was also supposed to rescue any militia members who were arrested, according to the indictment, and to kill any members who could not be "extricated."

Under cross examination, however, Gillis acknowledged that the discussions about choosing the "team," assassinating politicians and rescuing arrested militia members had not been tape-recorded by the ATF's confidential informants. The government's informants, who have not yet been named in court, provided audio tapes of some militia gatherings.

"We were not aware of any actual planning to assassinate high-level politicians," Gillis testified.

The "team" had targeted the Macon Police SWAT team, Fort Benning, National Guard convoys and the Federal Emergency Management Agency for robbery in the "event of "war" with federal agents, Gillis testified. Militia members were to steal weapons and explosives from the first three targets, but FEMA "was just listed as a target of opportunity," he said.

When asked about the militia team's plans to blow up buildings, bridges and communications towers in the "war," Gillis said that conversation had been taped. When asked if Kyser himself had made the statements, Gillis said, "I don't recall at this point who it was that made that

comment."

The 'team" was to pick which bridges to blow up by throwing darts at a map of Georgia, Gillis testified.

Risk of flight

Assistant U.S. Attorney Sharon Ratley urged the magistrate not to set bond for Kyser. She said his behavior after child welfare authorities in Washington County wanted to question two of his four step-children makes him a flight risk and likely to try to intimidate witnesses.

Gillis had testified earlier that Kyser and Starr led an aborted armed mission to the Sandersville office of the Department of Family and Children Services in April, shortly after Kyser and his wife received a letter telling them to bring the children to the DFACS office for evaluation. Gillis also said the family disappeared soon afterward.

"When he merely thought that Department of Family and Children's Services officials wanted to question his children, he left. They didn't even pack up," Ratley said.

"There is absolutely no evidence of ties to the Middle Georgia community," she said, adding that Kyser's mother-in-law "was not privy to where they were" during their disappearance.

The ATF agent also testified that Georgia Bureau of Investigation agents could not find Kyser to serve him with a federal grand jury subpoena shortly after Starr and McCrannie were arrested. ATF agents had been looking for him too, Gillis said.

Neither Ratley nor Gillis explained how Kyser went from a potential grand jury witness to an indicted co-defendant in three weeks.

Kyser's wife, Cheryl Spain, said last week the family moved from Sandersville to Warner Robins about a month ago.

After the hearing, Kyser's attorney characterized the government's case as "weak."

"I don't think they made any grandiose plans," Spicer said. "There was some loose talk that's all."

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060602 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Three messages on the war games in Pittsburgh. This is the latest in a series of similar exercises in which our military, usually in cooperation with multi-jurisdictional law enforcement, is training on how to handle massive civil disturbance -- like what might happen if the feds try to confiscate our guns. Time to wake up folks, and work on political action before it's too late. The Republicrats and Demoplubicans are not going to help, they've had their chance. Look to Libertarians or other patriots and WORK to get them elected to all levels of government.]

* Bill Utterback

* Libertarian Party candidate for Texas House of Representatives,

* District 45 (Comal and Guadalupe Counties)

*

* butterb@sagenet.net

*

* "It is not the function of our Government to keep the citizen

* from falling into error; it is the function of the citizen to

* keep the Government from falling into error."

* U.S. Supreme Court in American Communications Association v.

* Douds, 339 U.S. 382,442

=====

Date: Wed, 5 Jun 1996 16:12:59 -0400

To: butterb@sagenet.net

From: EBRyans <ebryan19@fellini.syr.vcomm.net>

Subject: War Games in Pittsburgh

Date: Wed, 5 Jun 1996 08:17:38 +0200

From: cschrec@ns1.cosmosbbs.com (Chris Schrecengost)

Subject: CAJI! War Games in Pittsburgh

At approximately 10:30pm on June 3, 1996, U.S. Army helicopters initiated urban warfare exercises in the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania area. Affected areas were Downtown Pittsburgh, the Strip District of Pittsburgh, the north side of Pittsburgh and McKeesport. Several battle groups of unmarked, black colored, Blackhawk helicopters along with multiple reconnaissance helicopters were involved.

WTAE was told by a U.S. Army spokesman that the helicopters were from a base in Kentucky but did not specify which base. The spokesman also said that Pittsburgh Police Department was notified and were to do a door-to-door notification in the exercise areas. Pittsburgh Police had no comment. On the other hand, Pittsburgh City Council convened today as a result of the exercise. The council was not notified that the exercise was going to occur and members were extremely angry that the military had performed these exercises within the city.

>From reports on KDKA television, Channel 2 and WTAE television, Channel 4, Pittsburgh, in their 5 and 6 p.m. news broadcasts on June 4, 1996, helicopters landed on the roof of an empty hospital building, formerly St John's Hospital, in Brighton Heights and off-loaded soldiers. The soldiers then performed a dynamic entry into the building.

Witnesses also reported that the helicopters played recordings of gunfire and explosions from on-board public address systems.

This event was also discussed in the second hour of the Rush Limbaugh program on June 4, 1996. The caller stated that the blackhawk helicopters were in the immediate downtown area and were flying over, under and around

the Smithfield Street and Fort Pitt bridges. This caller also noted that the helicopters in that area were completely unmarked.

WTAE also stated that very recently the same exercises have been held in Miami, Dallas, and Los Angeles. WTAE is also looking for copies of video tape shot of the Pittsburgh exercise. Their footage was somewhat limited.

=====

From: Gregory Kellerman <Klaxon@i1.net>

Subject: CAJI! War Games in Pittsburgh

Date: Tue, 4 Jun 1996 22:15:15 -0500

I am a (won't give specific duty) for a very large ammunition manufacturer in (midwest). About 6 years ago, black (before black helicopters were even known about) 2 man helicopters would frequently land at our facility. These were highly secretive visits. All visitors were escorted from the nearby facility. WE couldn't even approach the helos for security's sake. Here's the deal, they were coming in from a base in Kentucky to be fitted with a special under-slung gatling gun that was actuated and maneuvered by the motion of the pilots head. So, from my experience, I can confirm that a very large special operations force of some kind with known "black helos" are indeed at a base in Ky.

=====

Date: Thu, 6 Jun 1996 07:04:35 GMT

To: uwsa@shell.portal.com

From: reply@remail.ecafe.org (ECafe Anonymous Remailer)

Subject: War Games in Pittsburgh

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: Wed, 5 Jun 1996 16:12:55 -0400 (EDT)

Subject: War Games in Pittsburgh (fwd)

----- Forwarded message -----

"Urban Infiltration: Army Training Wakes Up, Scares City"

by Paul Muschick, Kris Mamula, and Mary Anne Lewis [transcribed by xxx, Pittsburgh]

Pittsburgh (Tribune-Review. Wednesday, June 5, 1995. A1) --

A noisy late-night invasion of Pittsburgh by low-flying military helicopters was only an Army training exercise, but only a few people knew that.

Uninformed civilians awakened by the sound of chopper blades and explosions late Monday and early Tuesday called police, emergency operations officials, and radio talk shows, wondering if an invasion or military coup were under way.

Because of concerns expressed by city residents, the Army canceled another training exercise that was scheduled for last night.

"We're re-evaluating to see what can be done with as little disruption as possible," US Army Lt. Col. Pete Pierce said in a telephone interview from Fort Bragg, near Fayetteville, N.C.

Less fearful residents took Monday night's and Tuesday's unusual sights and sounds in stride. But when the facts emerged yesterday, outraged Pittsburgh Council members wondered why they weren't told of the Special Forces' first foray into Pittsburgh to practice what it called "urban infiltration."

"We're not getting paranoid here, but there is a proper way to notify the city," said City Council President Jim Ferlo.

The maneuvers involved 200 troops from Fort Bragg who have been stationed in Pittsburgh since May 28. The troops, working in conjunction with Pittsburgh Police and Allegheny County SWAT teams, practiced dropping soldiers on a rope from a helicopter to buildings, Pierce said.

"What we've found in the past is if we notify with a long lead time, we'll end up with spectators coming down trying to watch what's going on," Pierce said.

The choppers flew over the Monongahela and Allegheny rivers, beginning about 10 p.m. Monday, disturbing residents from McKeesport to West Mifflin. Army and police officials said residents in the immediate area were notified shortly before the exercises began, but there was no general announcement.

"I was sitting here, watching TV," said an elderly bed-ridden woman who lives on the North Side. She watched the soldiers descend from a helicopter.

"I was scared at first. They were low."

The soldiers also fired training ammunition and charges, as well as devices "used to make the training as realistic as possible," said a statement released yesterday by the US Special Operations Command in Pittsburgh.

Many were startled by all the noise. Mary Mondik, of the North Side, at first thought fireworks were going off at the Three Rivers

Stadium. "I just didn't know what it was all about," Mondik said, adding residents should have been told what to expect beforehand.

City Councilman Dan Cohen, who chairs the city's public safety committee, said he would ask army officials to explain why more people weren't informed of its plans. "I think the public needs some answers," Cohen said.

"There's no real danger to anyone," said Pittsburgh Police Chief Robert W. McNeilly Jr., who believes wider notification would have attracted too many bystanders. Police received numerous complaints around midnight from residents in a six-block area of McClure Avenue near Termon Avenue in Brighton Heights, McNeilly said.

Training in urban settings is as important as training in deserts or mountains because forces could be sent anywhere at a moment's notice, Pierce said. The training is being conducted in other cities as well, and Pittsburgh was chosen for its unique geography. "Each area has its own characteristics and its own challenges in terms of training," Pierce said.

Radio talk show hosts, who often receive calls about UFOs and "black helicopter" sightings, were among the first in the media to hear reports of explosions and military aircraft over the city.

Chris Moore, who was filling in on the overnight shift at KDKA Radio early Tuesday, said he at first discounted the callers' claims until he heard the roar of the choppers through an open window in the station's Gateway Center studio downtown.

Callers kept the lines lit until 4:40 with questions about the

unusual sights and noise. "It's the kind of night you dream about on the overnight shift," Moore joked.

But the talk show host said he came away with a new awareness of just how frightened the public is about the possibility of a military takeover or revolution.

"That's the word that kept coming up repeatedly: Revolution," he said. "I was making light of it, but I got serious later on as I recognized the depth of the concern." Fifty percent of the callers were genuinely convinced that some kind of military attack was under way, he said.

Councilman Sala Udin said he had just one question about the military exercises: "I would like to know who the enemy is."

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060601 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Note on another subject: Just in case anyone is filing PIML postings, you should know I messed up (not quite FUBAR, but close). All PIML postings for June 4 and 5 were numbered 05 instead of 06 for the month. Sorry 'bout that.] PIML

[Rumor? Maybe, maybe not. Martial law is unconstitutional; by definition, it can only exist when civil government is non-existent (for whatever reason). If martial law is ever declared, that means the Constitution and all constitutional laws are thrown out the window and it's every man/militia for himself/ themselves until the traitors are dealt with and constitutional government is restored. Personally, I'm working to restore limited, constitutional government before this can happen.] PIML

=====

Date: Wed, 05 Jun 1996 19:44:22 -0700

From: Liberty or Death <ghostpwr@europa.com>

Subject: Re: PIML 96050503 - Posse Comitatus and Fed Use of Military Vehicles

It's time we wake up and realize that from the feds' perspective POSSE COMITATUS DOESN'T EXIST!

In December 1994 there was a closed conference and research symposium for Judge Advocate General (JAG) officers on the missions, roles and capabilities of the US Army and Air Force in support of the suppression of domestic insurrection while under FEMA authority.

A soldier who happened to overhear some of the JAG officers' discussions

in the library asked one of them, "Doesn't Posse Comitatis prevent that?"

The Army lawyer answered, "Not any more it doesn't."

From the Resister, Winter 1995.

Folks, the rules are gone. They just haven't figured out how to eliminate us with the least losses on their part yet.

- Monte

[The reason why the feds can use military vehicles (but not military personnel) at incidents like the Freemen.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: FW: AP on Fed Armor

Date: Tue, 04 Jun 96 13:02:00 EST

A U.S. Air Force attorney's view of Air Force Vehicles in montana.

Roger Cravens

From: Bill Wells

Subject: Re: AP on Fed Armor

Date: Tuesday, June 04, 1996 11:32AM

No posse comitatus violation here, even if they are AF vehicles (which I do not know). The PC applies only to personnel, not to equipment. Loan of military equipment is not covered by the PCA - this is not a technacality - it is the basic coverage of the act. Likewise, non law

enfocement support (transportation, radio, etc) is not a violation of the PCA, because it is not the use of the military to enforce the law. Furthermore, use of National Guard troops in state status is not, nor is the use of DoD civilians.

Oh, by the way, I think the AF Armoured cars on convoy duty carry M60s (though some may have been upgraded to 40mm gernade launchers).

>>> Don't Tread On Me! <<<

* Psalm 33 *

"If ye love wealth greater than liberty, the tranquility of servitude greater than the animating contest for freedom, go home from us in peace. We seek not your counsel, nor your arms. Crouch down and lick the hand that feeds you. May your chains set lightly upon you; and may posterity forget that ye were our countrymen. - Samuel Adams

O-

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050509 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Maybe this e-mail mailing list stuff does some good after all.]

PIML

=====

From: "Mark E. Howerter" <otherside@misslink.net>
Organization: <http://www.cris.com/~dhathaw/otherside>
To: c-news@world.std.com
Date: Wed, 29 May 1996 07:35:29 -0600
Subject: C-NEWS: That Darn Internet
Reply-To: "Mark E. Howerter" <otherside@misslink.net>

THAT DARNED OLD INTERNET!

OR

MAINSTREAM MEDIA IS ROADKILL ON INFORMATION SUPERHIGHWAY

The internet has come under fire a lot lately, but not for pornography or the like. It has been lambasted for spreading information! Imagine that. The mainstream media is in a tizzy because they can no longer control the flow of information. Boo Hoo Hoo. I know I for one feel awfully sorry for them.

For nearly two months the Associated Press ignored the story about the East Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania School District conducting gynecological exams on 11 year old 6th grade girls against the girls' wills and without parental permission. The story would have never been told if not for THAT DARNED OLD INTERNET. You should have heard them. It was awesome. They whined like a bunch of babies who lost their rattles. I love it!

This one was especially good:

"Alternative Media Keeps Story in News." by Michael A. Giarrusso (AP)
from the "Centre Daily Times" May 20, 1996*

"EAST STROUDSBURG -- Long after the story of a female doctor performing genital exams on 59 sixth-grade girls had lost steam in the mainstream media, thousands of people were still complaining about it on talk radio and the Internet."*

The funny part is that the Associated Press and the mainstream media totally ignored the story. So how did it lose steam? It never had any steam in the mainstream media, so how could it lose any? Go figure.

"The two mediums (the internet and talk radio) gave the story a second wind, although some of the facts were muddled."*

The only wind the story had for the first two months after it happened was on the Internet and talk radio. The facts were muddled?...HMMM, in other words somebody other than the mainstream media covered the story and slanted it to the right instead of to the left. That's not supposed to happen, you see. The "mainstream media" (which has never been mainstream, but closer to a channel leading off to the left from the mainstream of society) doesn't like it now that somebody else can get news out without their slant. They are fit to be tied, and I am as happy as a hog in mud about it!

"'With the growth of the Internet and talk radio, you are going to hear interpretations of events that reflect the worries or anxieties people already have,' said John Harwood, an English professor and the associate director of Penn State University's center for academic computing."*

This is so awesome. Let me rephrase what he said a bit...Now that talk radio and the Internet are out there, the news is being slanted to fit the worries and anxieties of the people in the streets instead of those in the media, and I don't like it! Waaaaa! Waaaaa! Waaaaa!

"Those involved in alternative media said that this case is an example of the future of news. A story can now reach millions of people without receiving major coverage by the traditional media."* (Can't you just about hear them whining as they typed this?) Hallelujah!

"Vahanvaty feels the story would have died if people had not posted mistruths on the Internet and repeated them on talk radio."* What mistruths? That's what I'd like to know. Every account of the story I have read that includes statements made by any of the 59 girls who were violated or their parents verifies the facts that went out over the net and talk radio. It was the parents and the girls who were interviewed on talk radio for goodness sake! What she should have said is, "If not for THAT DARNED OLD INTERNET I would not be in hot water right now."

The story couldn't have died, because, if not for talk radio and the Internet, it would have never been born in the first place. The end result would have been that nobody would have ever heard about what happened in East Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, absolutely nothing would have been done about it, and Goals 2000 would still be marching on unimpeded. Wouldn't Dr. Vahanvaty and the N.E.A. have loved that?

''(The Internet) has a life of its own and there's no way to control it,' she (Vahanvaty) said."* Yes, and praise God for it. Finally we don't have to depend on the leftstream media for the news, which either we never get at all or we get a version of it slanted to the far left.

"'Local media quickly accepted the explanations of school officials, and parents wouldn't have gotten their say without talk radio and the Internet,' Tucker said.'" (Mrs. Tucker is the mother of one of the 59 girls who were violated). Isn't it amazing the slant that the AP story did have once it came out? They quickly came to the defense of the doctor, the school, the state and on and on. In almost every paper that eventually carried the story (once it could no longer be hidden) they whined about THAT DARNED OLD INTERNET. I love it! Yesssss!

"'The Internet has changed forever how information is disseminated, and consumers of that information must be more careful of what they believe,' said Harwood, the Penn State professor."*

I'll tell you what, my dear professor, I am a lot more worried about the news I get and the news I never get from the leftstream media than I am about what I get off the net. Only a fool believes everything he hears regardless of where he hears it and only a really stupid darned fool believes all the drivel doled out by the leftstream media.

The following poll, taken by the Roper organization, among 139 Washington bureau chiefs and congressional correspondents, gives a snapshot of the political views prevalent in the "mainstream" media. The margin of error is given as 2.8 percent.

When asked how they would characterize their political orientation only 2% answered conservative. When asked what their current political affiliation was a whopping 4% said Republican and 89% admitted that they voted for Clinton in the last presidential election. No wonder these folks hate THAT DARNED OLD INTERNET huh?

It doesn't much matter where you read the story that finally hit the "mainstream." The spin is the same. Here's one from The New Orleans

Times-Picayune May 19, 1996 "Genital Exams Prompt Deluge Of Calls" by
Walter F. Naedele.

"Wildly inaccurate postings on the Internet are feeding the phone
frenzy, an attorney for the district has said.... Thomas Divonas,
solicitor for the East Stroudsburg Area School District, has stated
that 'much of the information disseminated via the Internet has been
incomplete and misleading.'"

My question remains this, what was misleading? That is never
mentioned. All you hear from anyone who wrote up the story for any
paper in the "mainstream" is that same old line that THAT DARNED OLD
INTERNET put out bad information. Bad for whom, I might ask!

The "Allentown (Pa.) Morning Call" on May 20, 1996, in their story,
"Internet Revives Genital Exam Fuss" by Brian Hay said. "The East
Stroudsburg Area School District has discovered the dark side of the
Internet, where unbridled and inaccurate whisper-down-the-alley
information is being spread about genital exams conducted in a school
this year." I especially liked this one... "inaccurate
whisper-down-the-alley information." Waaaaa! Waaaaa! Waaaaa! The "dark
side of the Internet..." Waaaaa! Waaaaa! Waaaaa!

"The gloved exams of the girls' genitalia, allowed under state law,
ignited outcry from parents, at least one federal lawsuit and now a
flood of harassing phone calls to district offices and employees from
anonymous sources -- all inspired from misleading information spread
on the Internet, the district says." THAT DARNED OLD INTERNET! It
would have been so much better for the liberals involved if THAT
DARNED OLD INTERNET had just never come along! They had it so good
for so long when they controlled all the flow of information and they
are just not very happy now that they don't.

"'Much of the information disseminated via the Internet has been incomplete and misleading and this brings into question the goals and motives of the groups or individuals responsible,' Dirvonas noted." (School District Solicitor, Thomas F. Dirvonas) Yes, just what are the goals of those darned Internet people anyway? Well, as one of that group, I think I could answer that for him as well as anybody. I got the story and passed it out over the internet as far and wide as I could.

"The Other Side" was at least partly responsible for the story not going away like many would have liked it to, so I'll take a stab at Mr. Dirvonas' question. What we want is the TRUTH, the whole TRUTH and nothing but the TRUTH. We're tired of the leftward slant to the news and stories like this being ignored. I have a much higher standard for truth than anyone in the "mainstream" media. I'll tell you that right now.

Dr. Terry Giffel, a professor in East Stroudsburg University's department of media communications said, "As for the news groups on the Internet, where the false information originated, they're 'a free-for-all' -- anybody can write and say anything and people can react to it." Waaaaa! Waaaaa! Waaaaa! Isn't that a frightening thought that, "they're 'a free-for-all' -- anybody can write and say anything and people can react to it?" Free speech is just plain scary to those in the media and the N.E.A., I guess, huh?

"'Once the lie is out, some people aren't willing to believe what the truth may be. Some are willing to believe anything,' Giffel said...The Internet is so open no one can control it. It's the last frontier." How true, Mr. Giffel, the fact that some people will believe anything that Dan Rather, Tom Brokaw, Sam Donaldson and Peter Jennings say is the truth. What scares you, sir, is that those men no longer have a

strangle hold on how information is passed. You can't control the Internet and you are just having a corporate cow.

The "Some are willing to believe anything" syndrome was made into a hilarious movie called "Canadian Bacon" with John Candy. It was certainly not meant to be taken seriously, but I think there actually was a lot of truth to it. In the movie one of the president's advisors said something to the effect that the people will believe whatever we tell them to believe. It was true in the movie (that people believe whatever they hear from the media) and I fear it is more true in real life than any of us would ever want to believe. Those in the media are more powerful than those in politics--or at least they were before the advent of THAT DARNED OLD INTERNET!

Mark E. Howerter author of "The Other Side" conservative opinion on the net:<http://www.cris.com/~dhathaw/otherside/>
Originating from the rural cornfields of Monmouth, Illinois

Q: What's the difference between a pit bull and a social worker? A: You can get your kid back from a pit bull.

To unsubscribe from c-news, send the message UNSUBSCRIBE c-news to majordomo@world.std.com. Contact owner-c-news@world.std.com with questions.

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
 - * constitutional government
 - * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
 - * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
 - * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
 - * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
 - * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
 - * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
 - * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
-
- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
 - * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050508 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[This is a new message plus PIML 96043103, 96043110, and 96050305 repeated to give you the full thread.] PIML

=====

From: FORTFAN@aol.com

Date: Tue, 4 Jun 1996 02:03:28 -0400

cc: fsnw-1@freespeechnews.com

Subject: Re: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] NEW Footage JFK (RIFLE)

In a message dated 96-06-02 11:58:15 EDT, jhdaugh@a-albionic.com (James Daugherty) writes:

>Anyone have any thoughts on this? Did Travis find something here?

According to Garrison's "On the Trail of the Assassins" he claimed that a film made by Dallas Cinema Associates, an independant film company, showed a group of Dallas police officers carrying an unscoped rifle down the fire escape _which had been found on the roof of the depository_. This rifle was identified on the film as being the assassins' rifle.

I haven't seen the newly discovered film yet (amazing how raw evidence of the JFK assassination always has to be "filtered" through the networks before being shown to the American public) but it reportedly also contains footage of Oswald & Ruby together at a press conference where Ruby points out Oswald's involvement in the Fair Play for Cuba Committee (just like in the movie!). We now know that the CIA had long targeted the FPCC for "neutralization." Hopefully we won't have to wait five or more years before this new footage reaches the public.

=====

PIML 96053103 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: PawlRevere@aol.com

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 18:48:06 -0400

Subject: L&J: Fwd: NEW Footage JFK (RIFLE)

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

Anyone have any thoughts on this? Did Travis find something here?

Forwarded message:

From: tbeach@grits.valdosta.peachnet.edu (Travis_Beach)

To: PawlRevere@AOL.Com

Date: 96-05-29 14:45:48 EDT

Did anyone else see it? I am a former Marine with an extreme amount of Firearms Training both State Government and in the USMC. I also am very interested in the JFK assasination. Imagine my SUPRIZE when the NEW film Footage of the day JFK was shot surfaced. Here I sit in my humble abode and watching the footage I spy up to now unseen JFK footage NEW and UNEDITED and the screen flashes to a Dallas Policeman holding up THE RIFLE attempting to keep his prints off of it by holding an object in the reciever (pencil, pen ?) ONLY ONE PROBLEM...THE RIFLE I SAW IN MY BOOKS ON THE JFK ASSASINATION, THE WARREN COMMISSION ect. SHOW A "SCOPED CARCANO CARBINE" The RIFLE the Dallas PD officer held in his hands clearly had NO SCOPE ON IT. MY GOD THE RAMIFICATIONS HERE. DID ANYONE ELSE SEE IT? DID I SEE IT?

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"

in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

Date: Wed, 29 May 1996 07:51:33 -0400 (EDT)

From: Brad Dolan <bdolan@use.usit.net>

Subject: Re: Long Lost JFK Assassination Footage to Air

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

I noted two interesting things about this yesterday.

(1.) The film footage was reportedly rescued from the trash by Cooper.

Cooper gave a *copy* of the film to Sturges and buried his copy under his house (interesting thing to do). Cooper is now dead and his copy has been ruined in a fire. We're seeing the Sturges copy. If the original film was tampered with, it might not be possible to tell from the copy.

(2.) I need to look it up, but there was a Sturgis or Sturges implicated in the assassination

bd

On Tue, 28 May 1996 beazur@skynet.net wrote:

>

> -> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

>

> >

> >I'd like to know why it was "long-lost" , how it was "found" and if it's
> been spliced?
> >
> >DALLAS (Nando.net)-
> > "Long-lost television news film events surrounding the assassination
> of President Kennedy, including the chaos in Dealey Plaza after the
> shooting, has been turned over to the agency compiling the public record.
> > The 45 minutes of silent, black-and-white 16mm film- mostly unaired
> sequences- also includes Kennedy and his wife holding hands at the airport
> before boarding the motorcade and pictures of Jack Ruby waiting for Lee
> Harvey Oswald at an appearance before reporters the night of the
> assassination, The Dallas Morning News reported today.
> > Portions of the film will be aired tonight and Wednesday on the "CBS
> Evening News", the network said.
> > The film does not show the president's motorcade under rifle fire in
> Dealey Plaza on Nov. 22, 1963, and offers no obvious evidence toward
> settling the case's many controversies, according to the few authorities who
> have viewed it. But the film- only a fraction of which has ever been shown
> publicly- does show the confusion that engulfed Dealey Plaza after the
> president was shot and the immediate search for the shooter in the railroad
> yard behind the grassy knoll."
> >
>
>
> -> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com
> -> Posted by: beazur@skynet.net
>

> -> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com
> -> Posted by: Brad Dolan <bdolan@use.usit.net>

=====

PIML 96053110 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[I remember at the time that there was a report that the FBI had to shim the scope mount to get the carbine to shoot on target. I owned at the time an identical Italian 6.5mm Model 1891 Mannlicher Carcano carbine, without scope, and I was familiar with the cheap scope and stamped steel scope mount that was offered installed on the weapons. Just dropping the Carbine a short distance would be sufficient to bend the scope mount. I am POSITIVE that the first news photos showed the carbine WITH scope attached and there was not any mention of the scope being detached. Any any case, there is NO way to operate the bolt and fire accurately as rapidly as would have been necessary for all shots to have come from the one carbine -- as I said at the time.] PIML

=====

Date: Fri, 31 May 1996 06:24:41 -0700
To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>
From: Citizen Dei Gratia <scrc@cogent.net>
Subject: Re: PIML 96053103 - L&J: NEW Footage JFK (RIFLE)

I saw the footage and noticed the rifle, sans scope. At that time I was busy, but I thought it would be good to check the new pictures against the published ones of the Manlicher Carcano that was guilty of killing JFK ;-)

=====

>

>Date: Wed, 29 May 1996 07:51:33 -0400 (EDT)
>From: Brad Dolan <bdolan@use.usit.net>
>Subject: Re: Long Lost JFK Assassination Footage to Air
>Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

>

>

>-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

>

>I noted two interesting things about this yesterday.

>

>(1.) The film footage was reportedly rescued from the trash by Cooper.

>Cooper gave a *copy* of the film to Sturges and buried his copy under his
>house (interesting thing to do). Cooper is now dead and his copy has been
>ruined in a fire. We're seeing the Sturges copy. If the original film
>was tampered with, it might not be possible to tell from the copy.

>

>(2.) I need to look it up, but there was a Sturgis or Sturges implicated
>in the assassination

>

>bd

>

>

>On Tue, 28 May 1996 beazur@skynet.net wrote:

>

>>

>> -> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

>>

>> >

>> >I'd like to know why it was "long-lost" , how it was "found" and if it's
>> been spliced?

>> >

>> >DALLAS (Nando.net)-

>> > "Long-lost television news film events surrounding the assassination
>> of President Kennedy, including the chaos in Dealey Plaza after the
>> shooting, has been turned over to the agency compiling the public record.

>> > The 45 minutes of silent, black-and-white 16mm film- mostly unaired
>> sequences- also includes Kennedy and his wife holding hands at the airport

>> before boarding the motorcade and pictures of Jack Ruby waiting for Lee
>> Harvey Oswald at an appearance before reporters the night of the
>> assassination, The Dallas Morning News reported today.
>> > Portions of the film will be aired tonight and Wednesday on the "CBS
>> Evening News", the network said.
>> > The fim does not show the president's motorcade under rifle fire in
>> Dealey Plaza on Nov. 22, 1963, and offers no obvious evidence toward
>> settling the case's many controversies, according to the few authorities who
>> have viewed it. But the film- only a fraction of which has ever been shown
>> publicly- does show the confusion that engulfed Dealey Plaza after the
>> president was shot and the immediate search for the shooter in the railroad
>> yard behind the grassy knoll."
>> >
>>
>>
>> -> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com
>> -> Posted by: beazur@skynet.net
>>
>
>-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com
>-> Posted by: Brad Dolan <bdolan@use.usit.net>
>
>=====

PIML 96060305 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Another recently revealed JFK film.] PIML

=====

Date: Fri, 31 May 1996 16:00:01 -0700 (PDT)

To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>

From: ftbrady@cosmoslink.net (Frank T. Brady)

Subject: Re: PIML 96053110 - More on NEW Footage JFK (RIFLE)

For PIML if applicable:

Do you gentlemen have "contacts" in the JFK conspiracy investigation genre?

I ask only because I've been following your thread on the scope/no-scope thing and I know there are some super serious "amateur" investigators that seemed to have made this their life work. I saw some of their stuff on CompuServe a couple of years ago.

It would be very helpful for all of you to keep in touch -- I would love to know what they would think about this scope business.

Here my "contacts" (The ones without a name had contributed articles or made comments about the JFK matter, but never responded to my mail).

nssc@inlink.com (Nick Ivanovich)

75720.2644@compuserve.com (Bob Harris)*

76004.2356 "

74656.2333 " (Paul J. Burke)

76217.1053 "

71712.2151 "

76616.3143 " (David F. Chastain)

73247.1414 "

74274.650 "

76513.1730 " (Ronald T. Hannivig)

71574.3311 " (Officer Craig Roberts)**

*NOTE:

Bob Harris is a serious researcher, he has been on many talk shows and sells a cross reference CD ROM to researchers.

****NOTE:**

Officer Craig Roberts wrote two books on the Kennedy assassination ("Kill Zone" and "JFK: The Dead Witnesses").

He may be reached by telephone at (918) 591-4083.

If no answer, please leave any message on voice mail.

Mail can reach Officer Roberts at:

Tulsa Police Air Support Unit
Terminal Building
Tulsa Downtown Airpark
1200 W. 36th St. North
Tulsa, OK 74127

\\ //\\

I'm including the query I sent to all of the above when a new tourist film was found a few months before this latest film find.

I hope these contacts prove useful if you want to share information and opinions.

Best Regards,
Frank T. Brady

\\ //\\

Subject: New JFK tourist film

This is addressed to most of those on CompuServe that have contributed the JFK conspiracy articles that I have enjoyed for the

past year or so.

I have scanned unsuccessfully for some mention of the new JFK assassination film recently announced by the tourist who has kept it secret for all these years.

This seems to me to be the biggest bombshell since the assassination, since even the mainstream media pundits acknowledged that it appears to confirm that shots were indeed fired from the grassy knoll.

I can understand why the media dropped that story immediately thereafter, but it is puzzling that none of you have taken it up.

Is someone making sure this lady is interviewed? Is her film being copied and protected?

Why the silence?

Frank T. Brady

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050507 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[It looks more and more doubtful that Clinton will be able to remain in office until November.] PIML

=====

Date: 04 Jun 96 16:53:07 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:Essence of Whitewater

A short, succinct synopsis of how the verdict in the Whitewater trial ties into Clinton.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is **not* *safe**.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

04-Jun-96 11:03 EDT

Sb: Master Marketing: WW Essence

Fm: Brenda C. Jinkins [70762,154]

To: Mike Johnson [102052,3716]

Forwarded:

=====

May 31, 1996

Wall Street Journal Editorial

"Much ado about nothing" is the spin now hurtling out of various Clinton precincts in the wake of Independent Counsel Kenneth Starr's victory Tuesday in the Tucker-McDougal fraud trial.

The line first out of the White House was that all this is happening to someone else. Mr. Clinton, who testified for the defense in the case involving his former Whitewater Development Co. partners and his handpicked successor as governor, was credible, the White House says, but irrelevant.

"We're letting the jurors' words speak for themselves," said designated White House spinmeister Mark Fabiani, who released seven pages of juror remarks culled from interviews and supportive of Mr. Clinton's testimonial appearance. Alas, missing from the Fabiani packet was juror Janice Green's observation to the Associated Press about the Clintons: "I think he and his wife had just as much to do with it."

Spin, for all its shortcomings, normally has at least a toehold on reality. The political lexicon will have to search elsewhere for an apt description of Senator Christopher Dodd's performance Tuesday night on "Nightline." Senator Dodd castigated critics of the President for engaging in "guilt by association" and claimed that the Little Rock verdicts "had nothing to do with Whitewater."

This, among other things, is nonsense. For the benefit of people only now joining the ranks of Whitewater aficionados, we would suggest that two words in the entirety of the just-completed trial need be committed to memory: Master Marketing. Among the James and Susan McDougal convictions were four counts, the Master Marketing charges, which anyone

paying attention knows were directly linked to Whitewater Development Co.

The purpose of President Clinton's videotaped testimony at the trial was to rebut the claims of former municipal judge David Hale that then-Gov. Clinton and Jim Guy Tucker pressured Hale for a loan to help the "political family." Mr. Hale, who confessed to two felonies and cooperated with the prosecution, said he made the \$300,000 loan to a McDougal front company called Master Marketing.

An FBI agent testified at the trial that he traced nearly \$50,000 of those funds to two payments that benefited Whitewater Co.--\$24,455 for a loan payment and a \$25,000 down payment on a tract purchase from International Paper. Post-verdict juror deference to the Presidency aside, the jury's opinion was clear: David Hale had provided an accurate road map to Arkansas corruption, and one of those avenues led straight to Master Marketing, which funneled money to the Whitewater project.

Based on this FBI testimony, the Clintons as Whitewater partners were the beneficiaries of the Master Marketing fraud. We will quickly add, however, that so far only Mr. Hale's testimony directly links Mr. Clinton to the now-proved conspiracy to loot two federally backed institutions, the McDougals' Madison Guaranty S&L and Mr. Hale's Capital Management Service lending company. At this juncture, this is an essential distinction, and one might expect the President's defenders would make it. Instead, they're attempting to rewrite reality.

See related material:

"Whitewater: The Prosecution Rests"

Copyright 1996 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

=====

Brenda C. Jinkins

=====

Date: Mon, 03 Jun 1996 20:38:07 -0600

From: "J. Horn" <6mysmesa@leagle1.com>

Subject: L&J: Clinton/Wall Street connection

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

Arkansans ask how Bill won friends on Wall St.

By John Crudele, New York Post

06-03-96

Several overseers of Arkansas state pension funds with billions in assets are calling for an investigation into whether Bill Clinton used the retirement money to win friends and campaign donations from Wall Street firms.

Bill Mattox, the assistant director of accounting and auditing for the Pulaski County Special School district, which surrounds Little Rock, says the amount of fees paid to Wall Street companies for advice by the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement fund and the Arkansas Teachers Retirement System started rising sharply beginning in the late 1980s.

That's about the time that then-Arkansas governor Bill Clinton began to seriously think about running for the presidency.

Mattox said that these fees, which in some cases rose over 1,500 percent in just a few years, jumped by a much greater proportion than the assets

that were under management. Plus, Mattox says, the advisement fees were spread out among a much larger number of companies.

And, all of the investment advisers were from outside Arkansas. That's highly unusual for a state that is known for close business relationships between its companies and government.

Mattox and other pension watchdogs have taken their suspicions to the office of Independent Council Kenneth Starr. But Starr has turned them away for now, saying that abuse of pension funds - while it would be interesting and criminal - simply did not fall under the current jurisdiction of the special prosecutor's responsibility to look into abuses at Madison Guaranty Trust.

"It seems to me that the pension funds are being run for the benefit of the political machines," says Mattox.

Mattox says he has already given documents to Hickman Ewing, the chief assistant to Starr and the man running the Arkansas portion of the investigation.

"He was interested, but Hickman said he couldn't connect it to Whitewater so it would be outside his scope," says Mattox. "He suggested someone in the press might be interested in it."

For a Democrat, President Clinton has an unusually close relationship burgh Poll Street. In fact, the financial markets did not react in the typical gloomy fashion when Clinton upset incumbent George Bush in the 1992 election.

And Clinton quickly surrounded himself with Wall Street people, naming Robert Rubin and Robert Altman to top positions in his Administration.

But a number of folks in Arkansas are now wondering just how much of Wall Street's attraction to Bill Clinton was love and how much of it was money.

"All of a sudden they were paying millions and millions in fees," says Roy Drew, who has discussed the handling of pension funds with members of Starr's investigation. "The way you get campaign contributions from all over the country is to let everyone share a piece of the pie."

Drew, who has a background in finance and once helped audit the Arkansas Development Finance Authority, says the special prosecutor's office seemed interested in how the pension funds were being used.

"I definitely think there was corruption," adds Linda Hogue, recently elected director of the Teachers Retirement System.

The numbers certainly are suspicious. In 1987, the Teachers Retirement System and the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement fund had only seven Wall Street firms as advisors. By 1993, that pension fund alone was up to 32 advisors. During that same period, fees paid by the fund for advice soared to \$11.1 million from \$1.1 million.

The biggest increase occurred in the 1991/1992 fiscal year - which just happens to be when Bill Clinton was running for president. In that year, the retirement systems fund paid an extra \$2.3 million in fees.

The assets in the retirement funds only went from \$3.06 billion to just under \$5.4 billion between 1987 and 1993. And the return on those assets was no better when the number of advisers increased, even though the stock and bond markets were experiencing one of its strongest periods ever during these years.

The retirement funds had a 12 percent gain in the 1987/88 fiscal year when they had just seven advisors. When all 32 advisors were on board in 1993/94, the gain was only 8 percent.

And the fees do not include commissions on trades, which are built into the gain or loss on the transaction. Mattox and the others say that there seems to be an unusual increase in trading activity and he has suggested that regulators determine whether "churning" - or unnecessary trading - took place just to generate commissions.

The retirement people are currently trying to correlate a list of those Wall Street firms that are receiving the fees from Arkansas retirement system and those who contributed heavily to the Clinton campaign in 1992. This won't be easy to do since contributions can be concealed in a number of different ways.

The group has not yet looked into whether other state retirement funds, which also have billions in assets, had a similar growth in fees in the same years.

"I'd like to see anyone how has the capability of investigating the investments and money managers of the pension funds do to," says Bill Ray Lewis, assistant superintendent of the Harrison School District.

Bill Shirron, executive director of the Teachers Retirement System, says the number of his advisers has risen over the years because he wanted a diversity of opinion and because the amount of money under management has grown. But he does admit that eight of the current nine advisers are from outside Arkansas.

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"

in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

Date: Mon, 03 Jun 1996 21:47:38 -0700

To: Conservative News <c-news@world.std.com>

From: Kim Weissman <74157.1507@compuserve.com>

Subject: C-NEWS: Congress Action 06/02/96

CONGRESS ACTION

June 02 1996

=====

Kim Weissman 74157.1507@compuserve.com

GUILTY! On Tuesday, the jury in Little Rock returned guilty verdicts in the Whitewater trial of Governor Jim Guy Tucker, James and Susan McDougal on 24 of the 30 criminal counts brought by the Independent Counsel. The defense put on only two witnesses: Jim McDougal and Bill Clinton. In summation, the defense argument was simple, David Hale was a liar, and telling the jury it had to choose between the President's word and that of Hale, a convicted criminal. Jim McDougal's lawyer, Sam Heuer, argued that "In order to buy into David Hale's bill of goods, you have to disbelieve the President. It is humiliating for the President of the United States to have to answer questions in a court of law, but he did it to set the record straight." Of course hyperbole is common in closing arguments, but Bill Clinton did not testify out of the goodness of his heart to "... set the record straight...", he was subpoenaed, and he fought the subpoena. Before the verdicts, speculation was strong, based on this argument, that a guilty verdict would imply that the jury believed Clinton to have lied in his videotaped testimony. Subsequently, a juror said she didn't have to examine Clinton's credibility in light of the paper trail which led to the convictions, and the White House issued a press release designed to emphasize this point. Starr also pointed out that this was not simply a "testimonial" case, as there was

considerable documentary evidence which supported the convictions.

It will be recalled that back in October, 1995, U.S. District Judge Henry Woods dismissed several of the fraud charges filed by Independent Counsel Starr against Governor Tucker, leading to much media hype that such dismissal "proved" that Starr's inquiry was politically motivated and was without any foundation. Much less noticed by the national media was the action of the 8th Circuit Court of Appeals, who heard Starr's appeal of Woods' dismissal. The Court of Appeals not only reinstated most of the charges which Woods had dismissed, but took the extraordinary step of removing Judge Woods from supervision over Starr's investigation, citing Woods' "... link with the Clintons and Clintons' connection to Tucker..." created the appearance of a conflict. The jury verdicts also place in a new light the personal vilification campaign against Starr over the past weeks, waged by administration spokesmen and many sympathetic media figures, since these verdicts marked the first trial of Whitewater related charges, and the decisions were rendered by ordinary Arkansas citizens.

On the evening of the verdicts, the White House (and all the news reports) repeatedly pointed out, accurately, that the Clintons were not parties to this case, and were not charged with anything in this trial. The point, however, is that Clintons' partners were convicted of fraud, which raises the possibility of complicity on the part of the Clintons. The London Times made the point (studiously ignored by most domestic media) that the illegal loan from Hale to Susan McDougal was in part used to prop up the financially failing Clinton-McDougal Whitewater partnership, and Hale testified that Clinton pressured him to make that loan.

White House statements also vehemently denied that the credibility (or lack of it) of Clinton's testimony had anything to do with the verdicts.

No sooner had the verdicts been announced than reporters on the Clinton News Network started to "spin" the story, speculating whether the defense strategy was properly handled, second guessing whether the defense should have put on more of a defense. Put simply, the convictions were not the product of reasoned deliberation by 12 citizens, tried and true, but were the result of incompetent defense attorneys. A variation on the "so what?" defense. The evening news on ABC and NBC led their nightly broadcasts with the story, NBC speculating that, had the verdicts gone the other way, the White House would have claimed credit for the credibility of Clinton's testimony. CBS devoted the first half of it's news coverage on the day of the Whitewater verdict with a feature on the JFK assassination. Governor Tucker announced his resignation pending appeal, with republican Lt. Governor Mike Huckabee taking over and announcing his withdrawal from his race for a Senate seat from Arkansas, which polls placed him at a 2 to 1 advantage to win.

The Independent Counsel is still pursuing his investigation into the death of Vince Foster, and there is another trial beginning in about 2 weeks on charges that two Arkansas bankers illegally directed campaign funds to Clinton's 1990 gubernatorial race in exchange for special favors from the governor. Starr's investigation has produced 9 guilty pleas of Whitewater connected figures to date, and a federal judge has decided to empanel another grand jury to hear details of additional evidence produced by Starr.

Separately, the Independent Counsel is actively investigating the Travel Office affair with an eye toward the possibility of obstruction of justice and perjury, and also looking into the mysteriously disappearing and reappearing Rose firm billing records. Another Independent Counsel is still looking into the affairs of resigned Agriculture Secretary Mike Espy, and has unsealed two indictments of farmers for receiving illegal crop subsidies, after much stonewalling by the Justice Department which

tried to prevent Independent Counsel Smaltz from widening his probe to include a senior official at Agriculture appointed by Espy. That investigation is ongoing, as is the Justice Department inquiry into HUD Secretary Cisneros. The Paula Jones sexual harassment lawsuit against Bill Clinton is proceeding; and the Congress is investigating Iran-Bosnia, the United States role in Iranian arms transfers to Croatia and Bosnia.

TRAVEL OFFICE CONTEMPT: William Clinger's (R-PA) House Government Reform and Oversight Committee set a deadline of May 8 for the White House to turn over Travel Office documents under subpoena since January. The White House refused to comply with the subpoena, Bill Clinton claimed Executive Privileged to protect all remaining documents related to the Travel Office firings, and the committee voted to send a contempt of Congress resolution to the full House for a vote. This week, the full House was scheduled to take up the matter of issuing contempt citations (which carry a penalty of \$1000 fine and 1 year in jail). That vote was delayed when the White House decided to turn over 1000 pages of documents under the subpoena, but maintained their claim of Executive Privileged over 1700 more.

BEST ECONOMY IN 30 YEARS: The Commerce Department recently revised downward the rate of GDP growth for the U.S. economy, to 2.3% annually. It might be noted that at the end of the Bush presidency, which candidate Bill Clinton dubbed the worst economy in 50 years, GDP was growing at an annualized rate of 6.55% from 1991-1992, and 5.05% from 1992-1993. By way of interesting comparisons, during the worst years of the Great Depression, 1933-1938, Gross National Product (a slightly different measure than the currently used GDP) averaged 5.63% annual growth. So the question must be asked of President Bill Clinton: if a growth rate of over 5% was called a Great Depression, and a growth rate of over 5% was called (by Clinton) the worst economy in 50 years, what

shall we call the current growth rate of less than half that, barely above 2%?

The anemic economic growth was recently put in perspective by several economic studies which showed that our economic growth could be nearly one third higher if government spending and regulation had stayed at a per capita rate equivalent to the level it was in 1930 (not staying at the same constant dollars expended, naturally, but the same per capita relative rate). The Environmental Protection Agency's own estimate is that clean air and water regulations alone reduced the 1990 GDP by 5.8%. According to an analysis by the Washington University Macro Model (WUMM), an award winning economic forecasting tool, had it not been for the Clinton/democrat tax increase in 1993, the economy would have produced 1.2 million more private sector jobs than it did between 1993-1996; would have generated \$208 billion more economic output during that period (\$2100 more income for every American household); and would have created 40, 000 more new businesses.

"...class warfare is bad politics because it is bad economics. No country has ever sustained prosperity by punishing educational achievement, hard work and entrepreneurship while subsidizing irresponsibility, indolence and imprudence. A tax policy that discourages people from adding to their incomes must also discourage them from the productive activities that would otherwise add to output."
-- (Alan Reynolds, director of economic research, Hudson Institute)

DEFEND AMERICA ACT: On May 23, a motion was entered to close further debate and proceeded to the consideration of S. 1635, to establish a United States policy for the deployment of a national missile defense system. A vote on the cloture motion (to cut off democrat filibuster) is scheduled to occur on Tuesday, June 4.

END

Congress Action is available on its own web page at

http://www.aimnet.com/~jbv/congress_action.html

and on FTP site at

<ftp.aimnet.com>

[/pub/users/jbv/congress_action/](ftp.aimnet.com/pub/users/jbv/congress_action/)

To unsubscribe from c-news, send the message UNSUBSCRIBE c-news to
majordomo@world.std.com. Contact owner-c-news@world.std.com with questions.

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

* constitutional government

* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html

* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050506 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[One of these days soon, if we just keep pushing, the whole system
is going to come down like the Berlin wall.] PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 03 Jun 1996 20:36:02 -0600

From: "J. Horn" <6mysmesa@1eagle1.com>

Subject: L&J: WSJ - a Democrat speaks

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

"The Wall Street Journal Interactive Edition"

"Editorial Page"

June 3, 1996

Whitewater May Drown Democrats

By TED VAN DYK

We Democrats shouldn't fool ourselves. The conviction last week on 24 felony counts of Arkansas Gov. Jim Guy Tucker and Clinton associates James and Susan McDougal marked the beginning, not the end, of a looming political ordeal that could endanger not only the president and Hillary Rodham Clinton but hundreds of Democratic officeholders and candidates on the ballot this November. It also could set the stage for the ultimate triumph in 1997 of an unadulterated Contract With America, as interpreted by Speaker Newt Gingrich.

Of course the Clintons were found guilty of nothing last week. And they,

as every American citizen, are entitled to be considered innocent of any and all charges until proven guilty. This is all the more true because the credibility of the presidency itself is at stake. No president, of either party, should be challenged lightly in lieu of compelling evidence that he had breached public trust.

No Illusions

Nonetheless we should have no illusions about what will follow. By convincing 12 Arkansas jurors that the charges against the Clinton allies had merit--and that he was not part of some partisan Republican cabal--Independent Counsel Kenneth Starr gained credibility and running room to pursue other cases that could come far closer to the Clintons.

In two weeks, Mr. Starr will bring to trial Arkansas bankers Herbert Branscum and Robert Hill for their alleged illegal diversion of bank funds to Bill Clinton's 1990 gubernatorial campaign. Both Mr. Branscum and Mr. Hill were appointed to state regulatory positions after approving loans totaling \$180,000 to the Clintons. Yet another trial is pending in a separate fraud indictment brought against Gov. Tucker.

The "other" independent counsel, Donald Smaltz, who has been pursuing an investigation of, among others, former Agriculture Secretary Mike Espy and chicken magnate (and Clinton backer) Don Tyson reportedly is close to bringing new indictments of his own. He also is reported to have turned over to Mr. Starr files and evidence that could be used in several other prospective cases. Among possible targets is Dan Lasater, a Clinton financial backer, big time bond trader, and convicted drug trafficker.

House Banking Committee Chairman Jim Leach (R., Iowa) and Sen. Alfonse D'Amato, chairman of the Senate Whitewater and Banking Committees, reportedly are ready to proceed with reports and hearings on matters as

diverse as the Travelgate scandal (in which White House Travel Office employees were fired and then smeared); the Mena, Ark., airstrip associated with drug trafficking, payoffs and money laundering; and possible perjury and obstruction of justice by current and former White House staff and advisers.

Beyond this, Paula Jones remains out there with her sexual harassment charges against Mr. Clinton, whose attorney gave new visibility to the charges by claiming immunity for the president on the basis that as commander-in-chief he was akin to an active duty soldier. Then, way out there on the fringe, are investigations still under way into the death of Deputy White House Counsel Vincent Foster and of several scandal-associated Arkansans who expired under mysterious circumstances.

Presume for a moment that the investigations into unexplained deaths, narcotics trafficking and money laundering have nothing to do with the Clintons or flow from conspiracy-theorist imaginations. The rest of what generically has become known as Whitewater still could provide more than enough raw material to keep Republicans and media researchers busy until Election Day. In addition, we can expect Independent Counsel Starr to leverage his recent convictions to frighten into cooperation not only Mr. Tucker and the McDougals, but also literally dozens of big and bit players in Arkansas who now fear for their own futures as additional cases are pursued.

What can Democrats do? In previous presidential election years, incumbent Democratic presidents in trouble voluntarily have stepped down early in the election year rather than subject their party to divisive debate or probable general election defeat. In 1952 President Harry Truman stepped aside and, in 1968, Lyndon Johnson followed suit. Both did so because they were associated with unpopular wars (Korea and Vietnam) that had driven their popularity through the floor.

President Clinton's situation is different. There is no substantive issue creating a polarizing emotional divide in the party or country. And, unlike Truman and Johnson, he still maintains a respectable approval rating and leads his prospective opponent, Bob Dole, in opinion polls. At any rate, we are midway through the campaign year, and the nominating process is over.

In this circumstance our only option, of course, is to stick by the Clintons until and unless courts of law move against them. Yet congressional and other defenders of the president and first lady understandably will become wary as they fear they might be signing their own political death warrants by defending them against charges that subsequently might prove to be true. No matter, our first obligations as a party is to Stand By Your Man (and his Hillary).

Everything will change, however, if investigations and court proceedings result in one or more indictments against the first couple. Then the task will be to save the party and its candidates rather than let them be destroyed in a Clinton implosion. We as Democrats will have an obligation to forestall the Republican tidal wave that could take place in November, absent a Clinton withdrawal. A GOP landslide could provide hard-edged Contract Republicans with strong majorities in both houses of Congress and the ability to enact into law an agenda we have so far held in check.

Fortunately, there is time. Even though President Clinton has amassed the Democratic delegates necessary for his nomination, party rules provide that every delegate technically is unpledged in the event of an extraordinary circumstance. By our late August convention, it may well be that the charges and rumors will have been resolved and put to rest. However, if disastrous news hits the Clintons between now and late August, the responsibility of party delegates will be clear. It will be

to call on the president voluntarily to step down and to make way for a substitute nominee--logically, Vice President Al Gore, whose reputation for personal integrity is unsullied and who has kept full distance from Whitewater and related matters.

Another Possibility

There is another possibility, of course. It is that the charges and investigations involving the Clintons will develop like those against President Richard Nixon in 1972. Before that election, a series of congressional and media inquiries began to establish that serious wrongdoing had taken place in the Nixon White House. Sen. George McGovern, the Democratic presidential nominee, strongly challenged President Nixon to answer these charges but was not taken seriously. Later, after President Nixon's second inaugural, a worst-case denouement took place and the country approached a constitutional crisis until Mr. Nixon was forced to resign. As Democrats there is little we can do about that eventuality but pray that it doesn't take place.

But if the outlook is clear and gloomy by August, we Democrats must think the unthinkable and be prepared to act on it. Otherwise, the growing Whitewater scandal could overtake and bury our party and its candidates. And all because we blindly walked to the guillotine chanting repetitively the Clinton mantra that "mistakes were made" but "we did nothing wrong."

Mr. Van Dyk, a 1992 adviser to presidential candidate Paul Tsongas, has been active in Democratic national politics since 1961.

Copyright (c) 1996 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"
in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

- * Patriot Information Mailing List
- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050505 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Two messages that lend credibility to the Dois Gene (Chip) Tatum story.] PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 4 Jun 1996 20:08:43 -0700

To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>

From: David M Sampson <sampson@inet1.inetworld.net>

Subject: Re: PIML 96050405 - "neutralize" an American Citizen

Bill

If it is appropriate I would like to add my comment to the current thread.

Regarding the Dois Gene and Nancy Tatum documents. I called and spoke with Nancy Tatum this afternoon for about a half an hour and based on my conversation with her I would say she is a purely honest individual and that what was stated in the documents (and more) are true. I do not beleive there is anything we can do, directly, to help them unless someone can start a legal fund to help cover the legal expenses our government caused them to encure. The best thing we can do is without end keep the truth published on the net.

Keep your eye on William Colbys death and any investigation that may come from it. He was part of it as well.

David M Sampson

1341 East Valley Parkway #145

Internet: sampson@inet1.inetworld.net

Fax: (619) 480-2884

Escondido, Calif. 92027

CIS: 74672,50

=====

Date: Tue, 4 Jun 1996 13:54:24 -0700 (MST)

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Dear Director Colby

Letter sent from Gene Tatum (Operation Pegasus) to William Colby. This letter (original hand written) and associated letters and documents can be found at Free Speech Newspaper <http://www.freespeechnews.com/callme/>

6 March, 1996

Director Colby,

Please find a copy of the Query for the book I have finally written as attached. While being imprisoned I have finally found the time to begin writing. And may I say that it wasn't as difficult as I had thought. So - once again you were right! Are you ever wrong?

The purpose of this letter is to ask you to donate the forward to Nixons Darkest Secret: Operation Red Rock. I have purposefully left the identity of the CIA briefer anonymous to keep you out of it. As you requested years ago.

As you are aware, my wife has been charged with the same crime as me in the middle district of Tampa, Florida. I have always kept my word with you. I told you that I would discredit myself. I don't need your help to accomplish this. But to charge Nancy with a crime, and expect me to allow this, is beyond my comprehension. I know that North and Rodriguez are the fuel for this. But haven't you warned them that I wouldn't sit still for this? Surely they understand that one day I will be free from

these prisons. And surely you have told them what their fate will be! I do not blame you for this, I am disappointed that you have allowed the "Pond Scum" to control you!

This book is only the first. The second book, which I have already started, will contain my movements from 1980 through today. I will not only write about the missions but about the N.W.O. timetable and planned events including a chronology. And I will name names. You must detach yourself from these people! I am scheduled for trial in April and will soon be filing the CIPA notification with a Federal Judge. It will name names, places, and events. If he disallows info or claims it not to be classified then it goes to the media. If he considers it classified and a risk to foreign relations and national security, then a special prosecutor will be required to investigate the information. And the video tape tells no lies. I also had stills and an audio clip of a meeting added to the video.

Out of respect for you I have left your name out to this point. But if you do not separate yourself from those terrorists, I will have no choice but to reveal your involvement also. Either way - the group will be exposed - by the media or the investigating committee. Either way their out of Gas! Mr. Colby - you've done too much for your country to be disgraced in the manner that these men will be.

I await your answer,

[signed]

Chip Tatum

=====

* Patriot Information Mailing List

- * <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- * A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- * returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- * constitutional government
- * Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- * butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- * To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- * "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- * Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- * See World's Smallest Political Quiz: www.self-gov.org/quiz.html
- * Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- * PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- * in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050504 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Something is going on here. What?] PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 4 Jun 1996 20:14:21 -0400 (EDT)

From: Tom Amundrud <tma95588@pegasus.cc.ucf.edu>

Subject: Professional hit on Saitoh, world class scientist! (fwd)

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 1996 22:19:43 -0400

From: dbriars@world.std.com

Reply-To: mclibel@facteur.std.com

Subject: Professional hit on Saitoh, world class scientist!

Subject: PROFESSIONAL HIT ON SAITOH, WORLD CLASS SCIENTIST!

Date: June 3, 1996

From: Claire Gilbert<blazing@CRL.COM>

EXTRA! EXTRA!

PROFESSIONAL HIT ON SAITOH, WORLD CLASS SCIENTIST!*

By Claire W. Gilbert, Ph.D., Copyright 1996

If I had heard the news on Cable Network News a week earlier, I would have totally ignored it. I heard a non-English name I couldn't remember, and that he had been shot and killed, along with his young daughter, in LaJolla, California. He was dead behind the wheel of the car, the side

window had been shot out, and the door was open. His daughter appeared to have tried to run away and she was shot dead, also. The hit was compared to other killings of Japanese in this country by muggers. What made my antenna stand on end is that the victim was an expert in abnormal proteins in Alzheimer.

ABNORMAL PROTEINS? I had just put together May Blazing Tattles with its EXTRA! on the Gajdusek bust by the FBI. (See Dr. D.C. Gajdusek and Mad Cows, Page 10.) I had already interviewed Mark Purdey in Somerset England twice by telephone. (See "Mark Purdey and the Mad Cows" on Page 9.) I was becoming familiar with the expression "abnormal brain protein." Is it a coincidence that scientists studying brain protein (a very rare area of study) seem to be having hard times?

As soon as I heard on TV "brain protein" and "there were no witnesses," I said to myself: "This is a professional hit. This is not a random killing by muggers." (My judgement was later borne out by a Reuters report [5/11/96, San Diego] which called the double murder "very professionally done.") I was also becoming familiar with violence against scientists and others victims who were all involved in one way or another with abnormal brain proteins. Some of them implicated a chemical in Mad Cow Disease and its species-transmissible form, including the human version, CJD.

Purdey's house was burned down and his lawyer who was working with him on Mad Cow Disease had been driven off the road by another vehicle and subsequently died. The veterinarian on the case also died in a car crash. Purdey's new lawyer, too, had a car accident, but not fatal. Dr. C. Bruton, a CJD specialist -- who had just produced a paper on the a new strain of CJD -- was killed in a car crash before his work was announced to the public. Purdey speculates that Bruton might have known more than what was revealed in his paper. The Brits have a tendency to knock each other off in car crashes it seems. In the US, we

do it by drownings (See "William Colby and CIA dirty tricks, or, Did George Bush have a joint bank account with Saddam Hussein?" on page 15.) or shootings. Both the wives of Colby and Saitoh were out of town at the time of their husband's deaths.

What all of these have in common -- Alzheimer Disease (AD), Mad Cow Disease, and CJ Disease -- is abnormal brain proteins.

After I heard the news, I continued to listen from program to program to learn as much as possible. The victim's name is Tsunao Saitoh, aged 46. The name of his 13-year-old daughter's is Loullie.

Once again I called Mark Purdey to find out if he knew anything of the work of Saitoh, as he did of Gajusek. While Saitoh's name sounded familiar to Purdey, he couldn't pinpoint it, but when I told him that Saitoh had been working in the area of abnormal brain proteins in AD, Purdey said that the protein was called an abnormal "tau" in Alzheimer. He said OP (Organophosphate chemical, a pesticide) would cause the tau deformity in the same way it causes the deformed prion protein in the brains of cattle.

To verify Purdey's explanation of tau in AD, I spoke with a few experts and this was confirmed for me. One of two hallmarks of AZ is a neurofibrillary tangle inside dying nerve (brain) cells and the whole cell body is filled with this abnormal tau. I made a lot of phone calls to various offices at Saitoh's institution, seeking information. Saitoh's list of publications is exceedingly long. His recognition is in the study of amyloids (related to the second AD hallmark) if I understand the press material.

I had heard on the news that there was a conference on AD in San Diego (where the medical college is located and near LaJolla). The conference

had been mentioned on the news in conjunction with Saitoh's assassination. Saitoh was a "globally recognized" authority on AD and he was at UCSD. His death occurred in the evening prior to the opening of the conference. I thought perhaps he was going to present some radical new theory of OP-induced Alzheimers. Yet a spokesperson told me: "He was not on the program and he had not been expected to attend." Hummmmmmm.

I obtained via fax from the PR department their standard press handout for media regarding the late doctor. It says "Dr Saitoh... served as an associate at the Howard Hughes Medical Institute at Columbia University, New York, from 1982 to 1985." Avid oldtime readers of Blazing Tattles will recall what Alan S. Levin, M.D., had to say about Hughes: "I used to work for Bechtel and Hughes people in covert operations. I was in the Marine Corps Covert Operations in Laos, Thailand, and Viet Nam... Bechtel and Hughes were the major operations. And also Bechtel was very instrumental in building air strips from which cocaine is transported in Central and South America."

Then when Levin was in Medicine at University of California, San Francisco: "What was going on was that the Chief of the Department of Medicine was lobbying for Howard Hughes' funds, Hughes Industries! Now, most of the funds in the Hughes Medical Foundation comes from Hughes' black budget, Hughes' CIA contract. So, I had worked with these guys in Operation Phoenix, and here this very same (dirty) money that was generated by that company was going to build this Institute at the UCSF."

And I asked Levin: "And Hughes' money comes from?"

And he replied: "Hughes' CIA contract... With Hughes, Bechtel, and McDonnell Douglas, it is a big sort of conspiracy that I will describe to you in the next 10 or 15 minutes. It was very well orchestrated and it is very complex." (Blazing Tattles, August 1993.) The story is too

long to reproduce here. Copies are available from Blazing Tattles.

Essentially the story is that defense contractors have found they needed a new industry and there is a lot of money to be made in medicine. Despite Saitoh's connection to Hughes through the Medical Institute at Columbia, and despite the fact that the Hughes organization has the connections to do a professional hit, my instincts say that the Hughes organization is not directly responsible for Saitoh's death. I could be wrong. I've been wrong before. At least one person thinks Hughes is involved:

"The boys are playing dirty pool again. This Japanese scientist is also connected up to the Howard Hughes Institute, a mysterious, low key medical research facility," was written in a personal letter to an Internet friend and forwarded to me, with the name of the original writer deleted.

The name of Hughes came up again in connection with abnormal brain proteins but it takes a bigger stretch of the imagination than I can figure to connect the following with dirty tricks by Hughes. Jim Scanlon posted the following to <sci.environment> on Usenet:

"... there was an investigation of a CJD cluster in Tucson Arizona (pop. 400,000) in 1987 because a local neurologist suspected as many as 20 cases over a ten(?) year period. An article in the Arizona Daily Star (3/19/87) indicated three cases in young men in their thirties who worked in the Hughes Missile Plant.

"An investigation was done by the Center for Disease Control (CDC) and reported in the same paper (7/8/87). The conclusion was that the CJD cluster was coincidental. The CDC was, from the newspaper reports, not in a hurry to investigate, and did not apparently experience any

pressure to come to its conclusion.

"Interest in the matter, which was never high, died out... Are there other clusters? One of the doctors quoted in the 7/87 article (the same name) was quoted recently in New Scientist stating that pigs and chickens are sensitive to BSE (Mad Cow Disease). He should have known of the Tucson cluster.

"The CDC should have known, and so should the British Ministry of Health. Why this silence? There is something seriously amiss. Even considering that the CDC excluded several cases for apparently bogus reasons, "outside the city limits", "worked at Hughes only 4 months", there still appeared to be seven cases in a small city not terribly different from the 50 million plus population of the UK over a similar period of time."

Scanlon goes on to say that CJD is known to be transmitted through intrusive medical procedures which introduce contaminated neurological tissue and perhaps dental procedures. No one has looked into this aspect previously. All prions are difficult to destroy using traditional methods. It is fortunate that they are not alive and it is difficult to get them inside you.

Whether or not there is a cover up in the case of Alzheimer, Mad Cow, and Creutzfeldt-Jakob (CJ) diseases -- all of which affect the -- brain remains to be seen and may never been known. What is certain is that bad things have happened to Mark Purdey, his lawyers, his veterinarian, and others leading figures dealing with abnormal brain proteins. I'm sorry folks, but statistically it is so unlikely to be a chance occupance that I have to believe there is some connection here. My hypothesis is that the victimized US and British researchers have found a link between OP -- or more generally chemical mixes -- and diseases of the brain; and some entity profiting from chemicals is orchestrating the disasters.

~~~~~

FLASH: News sources say second type of Alzheimer discovered. JC disease  
or OP-caused?

~~~~~

Claire W. Gilbert, Ph.D., Publisher, Blazing Tattles

P.O. Box 1073, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019, USA

Phone: 415-712-0772

Email: <blazing@crl.com> FAX: 415-712-8547 (call first)

<<http://www.weber.ucsd.edu/~cnorman/blazing.html>>

* From Blazing Tattles (BT) May 1996. US subscriptions cost \$25 a year
with reduced rates for students, disabled, underemployed, and retirees.
Individual copies sell for \$5. Email sent to Blazing Tattles in response
to this article becomes the property of BT and may be quoted in its
entirety or in part in future issues unless writer explicitly requests
it not be printed. BT links pollution to health, weather, and
ecosystems. Vision: Clean & healthy planet. For introductory copy of BT
send SASE (or air coupon non- US) to POB 1073, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019.

Best Regards,

David Briars

U.S. McLibel Support Campaign

Email dbriars@world.std.com

PO Box 62

Phone/Fax 802-586-9628

Craftsbury VT 05826-0062

<http://www.mcspotlight.org/>

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Tom Amundrud <tma95588@pegasus.cc.ucf.edu>

=====

Date: Tue, 4 Jun 1996 20:13:00 -0400 (EDT)

From: Tom Amundrud <tma95588@pegasus.cc.ucf.edu>

To: SNETNEWS <snetnews@alterzone.com>

Subject: Mark Purdey: New Theory, New Intrigue and the Mad Cows (fwd)

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 1996 22:19:30 -0400

From: dbriars@world.std.com

Reply-To: mclibel@facteur.std.com

Subject: Mark Purdey: New Theory, New Intrigue and the Mad Cows

Subject: Mark Purdey: New Theory, New Intrigue and the Mad Cows

Date: June 3, 1996

From: Claire Gilbert <blazing@CRL.COM

Mark Purdey and the Mad Cows

By Claire W. Gilbert, Ph.D.

Copyright 1996

On May 5, 1996, I conducted a long distance telephone interview with Mark Purdey of Somerset, England. Purdey has published scientific papers on Mad Cow Disease and he has appeared on British television regarding the same. It was his TV appearance last year which prompted the November, 1995 cover of Blazing Tattles. His views are not mainstream because he sees an "intervening variable" of chemicals between the healthy cow and the mad cow. I had assumed incorrectly that the "prion

protein" was a figment of scientific imagination, but according to Purdey there is such a thing. The question is how the prion protein becomes "incorrectly folded." Now, before the reader says "Whoa!," let me backtrack.

Of recent years, a disease has been observed among cattle. A sick cow drools, wobbles as if drunk, and has difficulty using its hind legs (if I have that right). Then one day it falls over dead. [The US version may be the "downer cow."] It has been found upon autopsy that their brains are not normal, and the disease is caused from an infectious agent. It was widely believed to be a slow incubating virus, but now some scientists believe it is caused from an almost indestructible protein molecule called a "prion." It was assumed humans could not catch this disease. It is an incorrectly folded prion protein molecule, according to Purdey. These incorrectly folded molecules have an abnormal electrical charge which facilitate the neighboring prions to become incorrectly folded. These proteins are not destroyed by ordinary cooking and there is also no known remedy for the disease. Over a period of years, this process continues, until the cow falls ill.

Purdey believes that the cause of the problem is that a chemical gets into the brain and binds with the normal prions to produce abnormal ones. He believes it is a specific chemical called "phosmet" which does this. Phosmet is a combination of an organophosphate pesticide (OP) and thalimide (base of thalidomide) which is used against the warble fly in cattle. The phosmet is applied on the skin in an oily base, with the intent of it being taken into the skin, into the cow's body where the fly burrows in. According to Purdey, the warble fly actually lives in the cow nine months of the year. The OP plus thalimide initiates the prion change. Once changed, its electrical charge can trigger normal prion protein into abnormal form.

Purdey controls the warble fly with "derris root powder." It kills the warble fly and does not harm the cow -- it is not necessary to use phosmet to kill the warble fly.

It seems researchers in many fields who get onto something regarding toxic chemicals have bad things happen. Purdey's re- search that was contracted out to the Medical Research Council was intercepted by the government, and one trial was cancelled while the other was mysteriously changed. The chemical he designated for the experiment was altered and diluted. The results therefrom were weakened, although still was found a slight bond with the OG and the prion protein.

CROSSING THE SPECIES BARRIER

A big issue today is whether the mad cow disease could cross the species barrier and be transmitted to humans or between other animal species. There is an emerging view, based on a variety of correlations, that this is possible.

With respect to humans, there is a disease known as "CJD" which resembles Mad Cow Disease in that it has a long incubation period and when a fatality is autopsied, the human brain shows minuscule holes as does the cow's. The British believe it is caused from eating contaminated beef. CJD is an extremely rare illness and normally strikes only older people. More recently a second strain has emerged in which younger people have been affected.

But Purdey says that human cases can be caused from chemicals. He says there was a cluster of CJD in Kent, England. There were four cases in four small villages. These villages are ten miles downwind of a factory which manufactures phosmet. In 1986 they had a leak and the chemical went all around the countryside. The incubation period is ten years. He says there is another cluster of this very rare disease in Teeside,

where another plant is located. His conclusion is that the second strain is caused from chemicals.

There's been another ominous story going around about hay mites infecting minks. Purdey says the mite prion which infected the minks was itself affected by the chemical.

COINCIDENCE OR CONSPIRACY?

I asked Purdey if it is true that both his veterinarian and his lawyer were killed in car crashes, and he confirmed this. His lawyer was run off the road by another car and died as a result of the accident. His new lawyer also had a car crash, but he survived. Purdey's house was burned down.

Purdey related that the scientist, Dr. C. Bruton died in a car crash. He had just produced a paper on a new strain of CJD. Bruton was a CJD specialist. Posthumously, his work was announced to the public. It is likely or at least possible that Bruton knew more than what was in the paper, said Purdey.

I asked Purdey if he thought the arrest and firing from his research position of the distinguished, US resident, Nobel Award CJD specialist, Dr. D.C. Gajdusek was also related to this mad cow situation. Purdey said that weeks before the arrest of Gajdusek Purdey was told by Ray Bradly of the Ministry of Agriculture that Gajdusek was seeking alternative hypotheses and specifically requested all of Purdey's papers. They were faxed to Gajdusek.

~~~~~

Claire W. Gilbert, Ph.D., Publisher, Blazing Tattles

P.O. Box 1073, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019, USA

Phone: 415-712-0772

Email: <blazing@crl.com> FAX: 415-712-8547 (call first)

<<http://www.weber.ucsd.edu/~cnorman/blazing.html>>

\* From Blazing Tattles (BT) May 1996. Email sent to Blazing Tattles in response to this article becomes the property of BT. BT links pollution to health, weather, and ecosystems. Vision: Clean & healthy planet. For introductory copy of BT send SASE (or air coupon non-US) to POB 1073, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019.

Best Regards,

David Briars

-----  
U.S. McLibel Support Campaign

Email [dbriars@world.std.com](mailto:dbriars@world.std.com)

PO Box 62

Phone/Fax 802-586-9628

Craftsbury VT 05826-0062

<http://www.mcspotlight.org/>

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to [majordomo@alterzone.com](mailto:majordomo@alterzone.com)

-> Posted by: Tom Amundrud <[tma95588@pegasus.cc.ucf.edu](mailto:tma95588@pegasus.cc.ucf.edu)>

=====  
\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

Edited for spelling, etc. JR

=====

PIML 96050503 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[The reason why the feds can use military vehicles (but not  
military personnel) at incidents like the Freeman.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: FW: AP on Fed Armor

Date: Tue, 04 Jun 96 13:02:00 EST

A U.S. Air Force attorney's view of Air Force Vehicles in Montana.

Roger Cravens

-----

From: Bill Wells

Subject: Re: AP on Fed Armor

Date: Tuesday, June 04, 1996 11:32AM

No posse comitatus violation here, even if they are AF vehicles (which I  
do not know). The PCA applies only to personnel, not to equipment. Loan  
of military equipment is not covered by the PCA - this is not a  
technicality - it is the basic coverage of the act. Likewise, non-law  
enforcement support (transportation, radio, etc) is not a violation of  
the PCA, because it is not the use of the military to enforce the law.  
Furthermore, use of National Guard troops in state status is not, nor is  
the use of DoD civilians.

Oh, by the way, I think the AF Armored cars on convoy duty carry M60s

(though some may have been upgraded to 40mm grenade launchers).

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050502 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[The jury, and especially the fully informed jury, is the last protection of the rights of the people. Take action when you hear of any attempt to dilute our jury system. For information, call 1-800-TEL-JURY, Fully Informed Jury Association.] PIML

=====

All the interesting news seems to be reported  
by the patriots now a days.

>From Carol Moore in D.C., belly of the beast, cmoore@upx.net

Date: 21 May 1996 07:32:37 U

From: John Burr <john.burr@qmail.eonetworks.com>

Liberty Law <libertylaw@www.ultimate.org>,

Subject: LLAW: FWD>Judges protect "Judicial Discretion"

Date: 5/18/96 1:46 AM

From: R.L. Cheney Jr.

!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!PLEASE POST FAR AN WIDE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**\*\*NEWS RELEASE\*\***

Dear People,

Today, I went to the Enloe Confence center in downtown Chico, California to attend a conference held by the Judges and State Assemblymen in which to radically change our present court systems. They were quite successful. Such actions taken here today, adumbrate a future dark, and replete with injustice and even more Court corruption.



First, I watched completely amazed and dissapointed as they passed a resolution to virtually stop all camera coverage or any form of electronic recording ability in court. Now, with an assured shadow over the courts, Judges can even more readily 'change' the transcripts behind closed doors and thus change testimony, decisions, facts, even many more sublime records of the court. Essentially, the Judges of this State in a clear move to protect "Judicial Discretion" are turning out the lights, and locking the doors of the court room. This can only do one thing: and that is hurt the defendant, and assure the courts of unrestrained injustice.

Indeed, one Judge testifying made the absurd comment "I can see no First Amendment right or protections in allowing any type of electronic recording in the courts." With such clear, party-line stupidity and bias placed forwards by 'experts' as this, we: the Citizens of California are factually doomed. In a vote of 13 to 6 the measure passed to bar camera's from California court rooms!

This is but one staggering feature of this militant Judicial tribunal that assembled today in Chico, California. Also under consideration by the Judicial elite are even more draconian measures to aid courts, Government and Judges, and to simply deny citizens their Constitutional rights.

Things being proposed for passage are:

- > Having a TWO juror hung jury minimum, rather than a ONE juror hung jury system.
- > Allowing massive increases to Court Budgets
- > PROactively surpressing the Fully Informed Jury initiatives.
- > Cutting Juries from 12 Jurors to 8 Jurors.
- > Not naming the Jurors, just numbering them so that they be

'unidentified'.

- > Not allowing Jury trials for low level crimes and infractions.  
(Example cited: Less than 1 gram marijuana=NO JURY TRIAL.)

Right now our present 'incarceration' rate per persons charged stands at approximately 93%. When these measures get passed, then the conviction rate for Government will go up to 99%. We will join the illustrious ranks of Communist China, North Korea, South Africa and other oppressive regimes. Our rate of incarceration will to jump up to 99%, thereby assuring our 'benevolent' government that if it all but CHARGES you with a crime--it will a virtual probability that they will win.

This is a far cry from Abraham Lincoln's attestment that he would "rather let 500 innocent people go rather than unjustly incarcerate 1 innocent person." In fathers rights matters, and other 'Social Engineering' venues, such as environmentalism, or feminism...Government will all but have to send you mail; in which to destroy your life and have you incarcerated, or worse.

This Judicial convention that occured here today, was one of the biggest crimes ever to be committed against the American Public. It is all being done by a high, untouchable strata caste of this society, that no longer obeys the Constitution, and now; through their self-serving designs are shredding all constitutional infrastructures in which to place their interests supreme over that of the Constitution and the rights of the People and the Individual themselves. What transpired in that polite colloquim of concentrated power, was really a last shot fired that indeed, will have PROFOUND and CANCEROUS effects upon this society.

What they have hewn out of paper and pen strokes, is a false god, a golden calf that will be but a cancer to this nation. Today, all citizens will regret the actions of these high powered priests and

priestesses that selfishly, only protect themselves.

Surprisingly, at a time when this nation is experiencing great Political duress, when this nation is bifurcating due to the applied injustices and unlawful oppressions of government itself, the Judicial system should have taken this opportunity to critically review its sad and conspiratorial performances, and should have moved towards an more enlightened and Constitutional view of providing more justice, more openness, more leniency and Liberty within the law!

Instead, like the unseeing tyrant it is, it moved 180 degrees in the wrong direction! It moved towards imbuing itself with more power, more injustices, more darkness, more money, more control, more 'Judicial Descretion" capabilities. All while turning out the light of providing easy and readily available electronic technologies that would help the defendant (especially poor defendants) do one small thing: keep an accurate account of the record being used against him or her, in trial proceedings by government.

A great click was heard here today from Chico, California. Like a cannon shot that started a revolution 250 years earlier, the switch that turned off today is a direct affront to every freedom loving American. That one small action taken by these power elites, could be yet; one more quiet sound heard across this county, that may in the end, sadly precipitate revolution.

I was disgusted and repulsed by the actions taken here today by the Judges, and Government "Representatives." What they participated in here, can be seen as no more than a crime against all of us. More importantly, after leaving the assembly today, I'm sincerely convinced they are no longer acting in our interests. Only their own.

Respectfully Submitted,

R.L. Cheney Jr.

Executive Director

The Sovereign Patriot Group

In Defense of the Constitution

Lest We Forget

c/o 975 East Ave., STE 112

Chico, California Republic PZ 95926

E-Mail = [spg@ecst.csuchico.edu](mailto:spg@ecst.csuchico.edu)

WWW = <http://www.ecst.csuchico.edu/~spg/index.html>

TV Show= Sovereign America (c) A weekly TV show on Constitutional Issues.

=====

Date: Tue, 4 Jun 1996 10:33:38 -0700 (MST)

To: [fija@teleport.com](mailto:fija@teleport.com)

From: "L. A. ANDERSON" <[landers@azstarnet.com](mailto:landers@azstarnet.com)>

Letter to the Editor

The Dear Abby columns of June third and forth, point out a glaring need to educate the American people of the need and right of American citizen jurors to be the final judge of any application of this countries laws.

Last week's vicious persecution by the Pima County Prosecutors Office, of Donald Vanover, was stopped by a conscientious jury... which, by rendering a verdict of NOT guilty, said No... the power and force of the County Prosecutor's Office will NOT... be used as a magisterial bludgeon to yet again victimize a heart broken father, whose son was accidentally killed by a gun. Bravo ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you have performed as anticipated by the wise men who founded our country.

You can bet the family farm that this thwarted persecution was not about the unfortunate Mr. Vanover, nor was it even about the death of his beloved nine year old son Jesse. NO... not at all... It was about the enforcement of a political agenda regarding guns, held by those in official power.

Hooray for the magnificent jury system which the founding fathers of the this great country set into place. Hooray for its protection against such tyranny this case exemplified. Remember this my fellow citizens, before you think to shirk you civic right and moral responsibility to sit as a juror... the next such trial may be your own. Accept you responsibility to sit as a juror, and when you do, vote your conscience. Your job is NOT to enforce the law... but it IS... to do justice. A quality that is all to rare in today's theatrical, and lawyer controlled, court rooms.

L. A. Anderson landers@azstarnet.com

298-8775

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050501 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Two messages explaining Goals 2000] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: May Education Reporter -- What Really is Goals 2000? 8/11

Date: Tue, 04 Jun 96 14:01:00 EST

Subject: May Education Reporter -- What Really is Goals 2000? 8/11

Date: Friday, May 10, 1996 4:31PM

What Really is Goals 2000?

by Lance T. Izumi and Natalie Williams

Few topics in recent years have inflamed parents and grassroots education activists as the Clinton administration=FEs Goals 2000: Educate America Act, passed in 1994. Although the law=FEs supporters contend that Goals 2000 is merely a helpful attempt to increase academic standards in K-12 education, the authors of this study find that in any common sensical reading of the law, power is transferred from state and local education authorities to the federal bureaucracy in Washington.

The law makes mandatory a wide variety of federal dictates, controls education=FEs purse strings, requires national standards, issues federal "skill certificates," imposes new regulations, and manipulates state and local assessments. In so doing, Goals 2000 radically alters the education decisionmaking landscape by centralizing policymaking at the federal level, leaving the states and localities to carry out Washington=FEs dictates.

- \* Drafters of the Goals 2000 law use the command "will" at least forty-five times in describing what the federal government expects states and local districts to do to accomplish the Act's eight national goals. The more permissive "should" is used only three times.
- \* Goals 2000 creates a wide variety of bureaucracies (e.g., the National Goals Panel, regional education laboratories, federal education research institutes, etc.) which are endowed with wide "advocacy" powers in order to get states and localities to implement the national goals and objectives contained in the Act.
- \* Once a state accepts federal Goals 2000 money, it must implement the national goals and objectives of Goals 2000. According to the Act, any state that applies for Goals 2000 funding must devise a state improvement plan that incorporates "strategies for meeting the National Education Goals."
- \* Through its control of the purse, the federal government will increase its influence over school curricula. Washington explicitly recommends that states include "gender equitable and multicultural materials" in its improvement strategies.
- \* Goals 2000 tells states how to cut their education pie and in some cases even dictates the size of the pie (the level of spending) and mandates what social services schools are to provide on campus.
- \* Goals 2000 creates a National Skills Standards Board that will endorse a broad spectrum of skills standards to be



considered by business and employers when hiring. The related School-to-Work law strongly encourages states to issue "skill certificates" to students who supposedly have met the skills standards promulgated by the National Skills Standards Board. Schools and students who refuse to participate in the skill-certificate program will be at a disadvantage in the job market.

- \* Even though compliance with Goals 2000 is "voluntary" for states, the law holds disadvantaged children hostage to its dictates. Goals 2000 requires states that receive federal money under the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 (IASA) to align state assessments, curriculum, and professional teacher development programs with the standards contained in the Goals 2000 law. California is slated to receive more than \$1 billion in IASA money in 1995-96.

The above is the executive summary of a 28-page report on Goals 2000 published by the Claremont Institute, 1127 Eleventh St., Suite 206, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916) 446-7924.

#### EAGLE FORUM

-----

Be sure to get on our Eagle Forum Alert List!!

Tell a friend about us!

<http://www.accessus.net/~eagle>

[eagle@accessus.net](mailto:eagle@accessus.net)

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOSA1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: Goals 2000 report - Part II

Date: Tue, 04 Jun 96 14:08:00 EST

Subject: Goals 2000 report - Part II

Date: Tuesday, May 28, 1996 11:49AM

Find out what YOUR state is doing in this Goals 2000 project. Get the information FIRST-HAND. Then you know who to oppose and support.

-----

Date: Tue, 21 May 1996 16:40:29 -0400

From: kwinters@inet.ed.gov (Kirk Winters)

To: Multiple recipients of list <edinfo@inet.ed.gov>

Subject: Goals 2000 report

THE FIRST REPORT TO CONGRESS on Goals 2000 was completed three weeks ago & has been added to our Online Library. The 34-page report looks at...

the CONTEXT that led to the creation of Goals 2000.

how STATES are using Goals 2000 -- each in its own way -- to build partnerships, develop challenging standards & better assessments, & strengthen accountability.

how SCHOOLS & LOCAL PARTNERSHIPS are using Goals 2000 to upgrade teacher preparation, improve curricula & instruction, get technology into schools, & more.

how FLEXIBILITY in Goals 2000 is helping the Department find better ways of doing business & provide waivers from federal requirements that may impede school improvement.

AMENDMENTS (passed by Congress & signed by the President last month) to the Goals 2000: Educate America Act.

Charts showing state-by-state allocations (under Goals 2000) are included, along with information on 10 urban & rural reform efforts and 28 parent assistance centers (supported by Goals 2000). The report also tells that...

Forty-eight states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), and all of the outlying areas received funds in the first year of Goals 2000, primarily for designing or updating their education improvement strategies.

Forty states, DC, Puerto Rico, the BIA, and all of the outlying areas but Guam have received second-year funds, and most of the other states are expected to apply for second-year money before the June 30th deadline.

Twenty states and the Bureau of Indian Affairs have submitted comprehensive improvement plans for review.

The full report -- "Goals 2000: Increasing Student Achievement Through State and Local Initiatives" -- is available at:

<http://www.ed.gov/G2K/GoalsRpt/>

[gopher.ed.gov](http://gopher.ed.gov) -> Department-Wide Initiatives ->

## Goals 2000

A paper copy can be requested by  
calling 1-800-USA-LEARN.

\*\*\*\*\*

EXCERPTS from "Local Improvements in Teaching & Learning,"  
a chapter in "Goals 2000: Increasing Student Achievement  
Through State and Local Initiatives" (April 30, 1996)

\*\*\*\*\*

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050409 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Apparently an attempt by feds to intimidate a witness into  
silence.] PIML

=====

From: CopWatch@aol.com

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 1996 20:44:20 -0400

Subject: Macon Intimidation

Update from Macon

06/03/96

Taken from a phone call report from Bruce Sullivan

On the evening of June 2, Bruce Sullivan, a member of Bob Starr's militia group and also a crucial witness for the defense, was traveling to his home in Warner Robbins, Ga. While enroute headed south on highway 247, Bruce noticed a vehicle at the side of the highway. As Bruce approached, an adult male, standing beside his vehicle, lifted a firearm, pointed towards Bruce. Bruce swerved his truck away from the stopped vehicle and passed on by. As he passed, a shot was fired. Bruce was not hurt, nor did any projectile strike his vehicle, however, Bruce decided not to stop and investigate. What we see happening here is intimidation of witnesses, however, it is not going to work on Mr. Sullivan... He intends to testify, regardless of this harrassment.

Jeff Randall

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050408 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Below are two postings from MJN with Mike's comments.] PIML

=====

Date: 03 Jun 96 18:09:33 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:AP on Fed Armor

A piece from TIME magazine about the FBI moving armored vehicles up to the Freeman ranch. According to one of the lines in the article, "The black armored cars are the same model that the Air Force uses to escort nuclear weapons between silos in northern Montana."

Alright, given that my previous information appears to be wrong and these armored vehicles are in fact carried as part of U.S. military inventory:

(1) Who authorized their use in possible violation of the Posse Comitatus law? Have there been any drug related charges against the Freeman?

(2) What ordnance are these armored vehicles carrying? I seriously doubt that the Air Force uses \*unarmed\* armored vehicles as part of their nuclear weapons escort force. Therefore, these vehicles are either likely to be armed, or have suitable hardpoints provided that would make it easy to attach machineguns and other whatnot to them on an as needed basis. Also, again bear in mind that merely running an armored vehicle into a standard wood frame construction building can be exceedingly bad news for the inhabitants therein regardless of what weaponry happens to be attached to the vehicle at the time.

(3) Again, we see an instance where any argument that this is not a violation of Posse Comitatus is likely to be as a result of splitting hairs, and not a real limitation on what the Federal government can get away with.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is *\*not\* \*safe\**.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

03-Jun-96 12:59 EDT

Sb: June 2, 1996 Turning Up The Pressure

Fm: Charlotte Shore [72113,1673]

To: Mike Johnson [102052,3716]

Mike, A question: WHO is it that needs rescuing-- ???

Am sending two more shorter AP articles, also-- TTYL, -Char

12:40 PM ET

TIME Daily: June 2, 1996

Turning Up The Pressure

JORDAN, Montana: As negotiations between the FBI and the anti-government  
Freemen appear to have hit a brick wall, the FBI has begun moving  
armored vehicles to the area outside the Freeman compound. TIME's  
Patrick Dawson reports that at approximately 10 a.m. Sunday a truck  
hauled three armored cars with FBI lettering from its headquarters in



Jordan up a sealed off back road toward the Freeman farm. "The FBI is just getting everything into place," Dawson says. "This is not a big mobilization in process. The FBI wants the Freeman to see that they are tightening up around the compound. This is creeping toward a resolution."

The FBI brought the three rescue vehicles and a helicopter to their command center outside on Friday. The black armored cars are the same model that the Air Force uses to escort nuclear warheads between silos in northern Montana. Notes Dawson: "There's talk here that the FBI will just drive them around and see what happens, see if they get shot at, and generally exercise a presence." The FBI says it needs the vehicles in case rescues are needed or the bureau occupies some of the Freeman property, but the machines are also highly-visible bargaining chips for the feds. From Washington, TIME correspondent Elaine Shannon reports that the FBI is implementing a pretty standard crisis-management plan: "You shrink the perimeter and deny them free movement to get their heads into bargaining mode. The Freeman right now have no incentive to bargain, and the FBI has no leverage. Doing this, and cutting off the power, will give them leverage."

The resolution will probably be very gradual. The conclusion will be oozing, not striking." Back at the ranch, surveillance planes continue to buzz the compound as the media peer in from their new positions more than two miles from the scene. The FBI evicted news crews from the immediate area earlier this week, citing attempts by a FOX TV crew to interview the Freeman as the reason for the decision. But removing the media also gave agents one more chip.

-- Scot Woods

Copyright Time Inc. 1996. All Rights Reserved.

=====

Date: 03 Jun 96 18:09:57 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:AP on Fed armor

An AP story covering pretty much the same things that TIME did.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is *\*not\* \*safe\**.

----Forwarded Message(s)----

03-Jun-96 12:59 EDT

Sb: Vehicles Moved Nearer Freeman

Fm: Charlotte Shore [72113,1673]

To: Mike Johnson [102052,3716]

AP 2-Jun-1996 15:22 EDT REF5339

Copyright 1996. The Associated Press. All Rights Reserved.

The information contained in the AP news report may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or otherwise distributed without the prior written authority of The Associated Press.

By HAL SPENCER

Associated Press Writer

JORDAN, Mont. (AP) -- Federal agents moved three armored vehicles closer to the Freeman ranch Sunday, within the FBI's road blocks around the ranch where the anti-government group has been holed up for 70 days.

Reporters could get no closer than 2 1/2 miles from the entrance to the foreclosed wheat and cattle ranch, but there were no indications the FBI planned to enter the compound.

"We've moved them from one parking place to another," said a government source who spoke on condition of anonymity. "We haven't given up" trying to end the standoff peacefully.

The FBI established additional checkpoints Sunday along a rural road between Jordan and the Freeman ranch 30 miles away.

According to Tom Stanton, a neighboring rancher, the vehicles are parked at a spot about four miles east of the compound and would have access through a back road to it.

The vehicles, which were not visible, were last seen by reporters topping a hill about eight miles from the ranch's back gate, which was as far as reporters could go on that road.

At the FBI staging area in Jordan, all appeared relatively calm. A helicopter that arrived on Friday remained there.

The FBI has said it wanted the armored vehicles to be available in the event it became necessary to conduct emergency rescues or occupy parts of the ranch.

The FBI believes 18 people, three of them children, are inside the

ranch. Some of the adults are wanted on criminal charges, including allegations they circulated millions of dollars in bogus checks and threatened the life of a federal judge.

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050407 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Below are two messages on Media Bypass Magazine - a fine patriot publication.] PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 1996 09:46:36 -0700 (MST)

To: fsnw-1@freespeechnews.com

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Media Bypass Publisher's Note

Media Bypass Publisher's Note

The business of publishing a national magazine has been quite an experience. Some very good experience, some very bad experience. The good part is being able to share information that is sorely needed and not taught in the public schools, or found in the mainstream media. The bad part of this business is the huge egos of many people that despise anyone that may get more attention than themselves. Numerous libelous and slanderous statements against Media Bypass and some of the staff have been posted on the Internet. Those statements include (and conclude) that we have a "government mole" on our staff who oversees publication of the magazine. This statement is absolutely false.

Such statements and other false information was posted by Sherman Skolnick of Chicago and was read on the air by William Cooper on at least two occasions. It remains to be seen why those two gentlemen and others are so dedicated to providing false information to the American people. May I suggest that when you hear such ridiculous statements being made about Media Bypass, just consider the source. Truly, if they had been doing their jobs well, perhaps Media Bypass would never have

been born.

If one really wants to find out what is happening in our country, then tune in the new Media Bypass Radio Network. We are making it happen! Also note that we now have full video capability and will be airing video productions soon.

--Jim

===st activ=====

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 1996 18:57:47 -0500 (CDT)

From: "G. Carroll" <gcarroll@blue.weeg.uiowa.edu>

Subject: Re: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Media Bypass Publisher's Note

As a regular contributor to Media Bypass (and a newspaper veteran with 23 years' experience who was thrown out of the business for simply telling the truth), I can safely inform you and anyone else out there that the latest "allegations" that somehow the magazine is in cahoots with "government agents" is downright silly. Clearly, the magazine is getting much closer to the truth in many areas, and when that happens, the inevitable credibility attacks will ensue. Any MB subscribers out there: Save your back issues, and watch what happens in the coming weeks. See if MB was on the button with a lot of its earlier material. If you haven't subscribed yet, please try and \$10 four-month trial by dialing 1-800-4BYPASS. You might be surprised.--Gerald A. Carroll

=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

- \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050406 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[I have spoken with Ambrose Evans-Pritchard; he is a U.S. based English investigative reporter of the old school.] PIML

=====

Date: Sun, 2 Jun 1996 21:57:35 -0700 (MST)

To: fsnw-1@freespeechnews.com

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Bad ol' good ol' boys

Bad ol' good ol' boys

America's moral minority prepares to judge Clinton Clinton's lead in opinion poll cut

CORRUPTION in high places quietly oiled the wheels of Arkansas until Governor Clinton made it to the White House. Now the darkest secrets of Little Rock have been exposed to the glare of world attention and members of the ruling elite are paying the price.

Ambrose Evans-Pritchard reports

IT HAD seemed such a glamorous adventure four years ago, when Bill Clinton stood in front of the Old State House and declared his candidacy for President. Who could be blamed for thinking that an Arkansas presidency would elevate a state that had always been cast in American folklore as a backwater of cross-eyed hillbillies?

Instead, the Clinton triumph has brought nothing but humiliation, grief and a plague of criminal indictments. Last week Governor Jim Guy Tucker



became the latest casualty of the spreading Whitewater scandal, along with Jim and Susan McDougal, the partners of the Clintons in the Whitewater Development Corp.

Tucker, 52, was convicted by a federal jury on charges of conspiracy and fraud after being caught in the dragnet of a special prosecutor from Washington with unlimited funds and an army of FBI agents at his disposal. The bitterness was written all over his face when he stepped out of the courthouse into the baking heat and the glare of TV cameras from all over the world. It would not have happened if Bill Clinton had only stayed at home.

It is hard to keep count of the lives that have been destroyed. Webster Hubbell, the man appointed by Clinton to help run the US Justice Department, is now serving time in a federal penitentiary for fraud. He had once been Chief Justice of the Arkansas Supreme Court and a stalwart of the Little Rock Country Club - one of the chosen few.

Hubbell's old partner at the Rose Law firm, Vincent Foster, is dead, supposedly having shot himself because he could not take the strain of being Deputy Counsel in the Clinton White House. Others in the inner circle have been notified that they are "targets" of the Whitewater prosecutor. Even if they succeed in clearing their names, they will be ruined by legal fees. What a disaster it has been for every Friend of Bill.

But it does not end there. A special prosecutor - yet another one - is combing through the books of Arkansas poultry king Don Tyson, who now laments that the election of Bill Clinton as President was the worst thing that ever happened to his business empire. Separately, the House Banking Committee of the US Congress has a team of investigators looking into allegations that large amounts of drug money were laundered through the state's financial system in the 1980s when Bill Clinton was

governor.

Sometimes it seems as if the whole state of Arkansas is on trial. And about time too, says Gene Wirgess, a small town newspaper editor who has spent the past 40 years battling the corrupt political machine of the Arkansas elite.

Wirgess describes the state government as the tool of a small oligarchy of ... powerful families - much like Mexico - who rely on a supporting cast of political apparatchiks, and a docile newspaper appropriately called the Arkansas Democrat-Gazette.

For decades the "good ol' boys" have been left to their own devices, but all of a sudden they have been exposed to scrutiny by the spotlight of national attention; or as their enemies put it, caught scurrying like cockroaches in the kitchen.

'This group has been in power so long, and their abuses have been so callous, that finally the people have risen up'

Wirgess is testament that the Democratic machine does not shrink from using raw police power and compromised judges to suppress dissent. He has several missing teeth to prove it. In the 1960s he was indicted seven times on trumped up charges, and was once sentenced to hard labour.

On the surface the system works just the way it does in Iowa or Vermont. But in reality Arkansas is special. The Sunday Telegraph has been shown pictures of several judges and prosecutors photographed with naked girls - one of them pre-pubescent - that were taken by blackmailers in a surveillance sting operation at a hotel in North Little Rock.

Equally telling is the story of the seventh judicial district task force appointed to investigate corruption among public officials in 1990. It was closed down when an informant, Sharlene Wilson, testified before a federal grand jury that she had witnessed Governor Bill Clinton and other key figures taking cocaine.

Soon afterwards Wilson was charged with minor drug dealing and sent to prison, although the US Supreme Court has now ruled that her conviction was a clear case of entrapment. The prosecutor in charge of the task force, Jeanne Duffey, was forced into hiding, and eventually moved to Texas. "This group has been in power so long, and their abuses have been so callous, that finally the people have risen up," said Wirgess, describing the guilty verdict against Governor Tucker - by a jury of Arkansas common folk, most of them registered Democrats - as a turning point in the history of the state. "They've woken up and realised that they don't have to let the machine run roughshod over them."

It was a methodical jury that worked its way through hundreds of pages of complex financial documents. "We fought for each defendant's liberty," said Tracy Pleasants, 30, a hospital employee. "But we were defeated by the evidence."

The Whitewater prosecutor, Kenneth Starr, has now shown that he can win convictions from an Arkansas home team'

The jury foreman was a nurse, Sandra Lynn Wood, as pure as the driven snow and dedicated to her civic duty. Despite most predictions, the verdict has proved to be a triumph of the US judicial system. It has left the "good ol' boys" in catatonic shock.

Nothing will ever be the same. Lieutenant-Governor Mike Huckabee, a Republican and a Baptist Minister, is already starting to take over the executive machinery of the state. He is working quietly on a plan to

cleanse the Arkansas State Police, with its rogue elements in the criminal intelligence division.

As soon as he takes full power in July the axe will fall, and fall hard. Businessmen who have never given a cent to the Republican Party are now scrambling to adjust to the new political landscape, aware that the Republicans are likely to sweep the 1996 Congressional elections in Arkansas and perhaps win a Senate seat for the first time in modern history.

For the Clintons it is nothing less than calamitous. The Whitewater prosecutor, Kenneth Starr, has now shown that he can win convictions from an Arkansas "home team". Those who have been refusing to co-operate with the investigation - fearing reprisals from the political machine more than they fear the indictments of Mr Starr - have a new calculus before them.

The juries will not be rigged, they know that now. Glib appeals to Arkansas pride will not succeed. Convictions, followed by long prison sentences, are becoming almost certain. Their only choice is to go over to the Office of the Independent Counsel on Financial Centre Parkway, abandon loyalty to the Clintons, and try to save their own skins.

The rush has already begun.

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government

- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050405 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[True? Maybe . . .] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: "neutralize" an American Citizen

Date: Mon, 03 Jun 96 10:56:00 EST

Forwarded message:

From: ron@grapevinenews.com

Date: 96-06-02 03:48:53 EDT

..."neutralize" an American Citizen

I am the subject of these articles (Attachments 1, 2 & 3). What you read is only the surface of the real story.

The story begins in 1971 when, as a new recruit in the Air Force, I was at the wrong place at the right time. As a young man thrown into the prongs of covert operations, I barely escaped my first mission with my life. This experience is what I have written about in "Nixon's Darkest Secret: Operation Red Rock." I have attached the query which summarizes the manuscript (Attachment 4). However, having survived the mission, I was held in tight reins by the White House. After recovering, I was sent back to South East Asia. My handler was Ambassador Colby. I worked for Mr. Colby through 1976 (Attachment %). I left active duty shortly thereafter, at Mr. Colby's suggestion. In June of 1980, I was involuntarily reactivated and sent to Ft. Rucker, Alabama for U.S. Army Flight School. Following flight school, I was sent to Ft. Campbell, Kentucky. At Ft. Campbell I was attached to a new Special Operations

Task Force (TF) which was formed following our unfortunate performance in the Great Salt Desert of Iran (Attachment 6). I continued with TF until 1986.

My area of expertise has always been a jungle terrain. During the '80s, in association with the task Force, I spent the majority of my time in Central and South America. I was pilot in command on a medivac helicopter, flying the injured Contras and civilians to emergency care. I also flew several trips from Ft. Campbell, Kentucky, my home base into Mena and Little Rock, Arkansas during 1983 and 1984, delivering large coolers marked "medical supplies" that had been delivered to Ft. Campbell from Honduras. The aircraft was met, at various times, By Dan Lasater, Buddy Young and Jerry Parks who also made arrangements for the crew for the night.

In 1986 it was determined that due to my battle injuries sustained through the years, I was no longer qualified for military duty (Attachment 7 & 8). I was immediately recruited into a black operations organization by Ambassador Colby. This unit was being directed by, among others, Vice President George Bush and was code named "Pegasus". Henry Hyde was responsible for all finances for the unit and arranged for lines of credit for the operation.

I continued with Pegasus until 1992. In 1992 I had been directed to "neutralize" an American Citizen (Attachment 9). To this point I had successfully neutralized a Mossad agent, an Army Chief-of-Staff of a foreign government and the President of a third world country, so neutralization did not bother me. The fact that I was ordered to neutralize a civilian American citizen went against all that I believed in. I walked away fro black ops that day. I was warned at the time that you don't just walk away. I was aware of my precarious situation. Realizing the fate of those who quit, I began planning for my retirement as far back as 1985. Since that time, I have documented my activities

and had them placed in a "safe zone". In 1994 I was told to surrender the documents "or else". So, I sit in the "or else" position - in a jail.

To surrender the documents is no doubt terminal. So, I had chosen an alternative which Colby and North agreed to. I agreed to plead guilty to charges in New York and Colorado. This would leave me a convicted felon and completely unbelievable as a witness should I choose to go public. However, just prior to my being sentenced in Colorado by a federal judge for wire fraud, my wife was charged with a complaint filed in Tampa, Florida. I agreed only to allow prosecution against me. When my wife was charged, they voided our agreement.

I have recently found that the grand jury witness used by the government is a crony of Ollie North.

In lieu of allowing the persecution of my wife go unchallenged, I have decided to expose the command elements of Pegasus to include their past and proposed activities.

This is my story. If you are interested in pursuing my allegation, please contact me via my wife, Nancy Tatum at (352) 787-4886. Or you may contact me at the Hillsborough County Jail at (813) 247-8301.

Dois Gene Tatum

NOTE: We have just found in some of Gene's old military files, a copy of a 1985 plan where a Raymond Young was listed as a passenger on a medical recon flight to contra camps prior to Gene flying a Colonel from the Arkansas National Guard on tour of the area.

-----



(Attachment 9)

April 20, 1996

Mr. Ross Perot

12377 Merit Drive, Suite 1700

Dallas, Texas 75251

Dear Mr. Perot:

As you prepare your part for the 1996 election, there is a matter of grave importance of which you should be aware.

In 1992, as the commander of a Black Operations Unit called Pegasus, I was ordered to neutralize you. Our unit was directed by President George Bush. It was determined, at some point, that the party you formed was counter to the American system of democracy. In his attempt to justify your neutralization, Mr. Bush expressed not only his concerns of the existence of your party and the threat which you posed to free America, but also the positions of other U.S. and world leaders.

I had been associated with Pegasus since its creation in 1985. The original mission of our unit was to align world leaders and financiers with the United States. I was personally responsible for the naturalization of one Mussad agent, an army Chief of Staff of a foreign government, a rebel leader and the president of a foreign government.

However, all of these missions were directed toward enemies of the United States as determined by our President. And because of this, I did not hesitate to successfully neutralize these enemies.

The order to neutralize you, however, went against all that I believed in. It was obvious to me that his order was predicated on a desire to

remain as President rather than a matter of enemy alignment.

I refused the order. I further advised the President and others that if you or members of your organization or family were threatened or harmed in any way, I would cause information, which includes certain documents, to be disseminated from their six location in various areas of the world, to various media and political destinations. I walked away from Special Operations that day with the knowledge that you don't just quit! I felt, however, that the time capsules protected my interests.

In September of 1994, I received a telephone call demanding the information "or else"! It was obvious from the day that I walked out of Pegasus that to turn this information over would be terminal. In the spring of 1995, I was arrested by the FBI for wire fraud. Although innocent of the allegations, I found it necessary to plead guilty in an attempt to tarnish my credibility. It was my opinion, as I expressed it to Rodriguez when he called and threatened me, that if I were of questionable credibility, the documents, if ever made public, may not stand on their merits. With this arrest, I seized upon the opportunity to effect this theory. I have since been indicted on a second fraud charge, this time involving my wife. I will not allow this prosecution of my family. I have notified the authorities that I intend to put my case to a jury. While awaiting the trial, I wrote a book involving my first experience in the Special Operations arena. Since then, I have found that the U.S. Marshals have instructed the Hillsborough County jail to hold me, regardless of the outcome of the instant trial charge. The new charge is treason.

For over twenty years I have dedicated my skills, time, and health to my country. I have been shot, tortured, and beaten, fighting to protect our right to form and run our government as determined by the Constitution.

I am not aware of an active Pegasus unit. I had assumed it was disbanded with the new President. I am suspect to the existence of some organization, however due to my present situation. Someone had to orchestrate this. So, be aware and alert!

Good luck and good fortune in 1996.

Sincerely,

Dois Gene Tatum  
1301 N. Morgan St.  
Tampa, Florida 33062

P.S. I have sent a few documents which I have access to in prison which will help authenticate my position. They are as follows:

DD Form 214

Medical Evaluation which correlates my captivity  
The phone number for the Hillsborough County jail is  
(813) 247-8301 so you can verify the treason charge.

-----

Two articles from the Tampa Tribune Newspaper  
Ray Locker is taking over from David Sommer  
Ray Locker phone number 813-259-7915  
Tampa Tribune phone number 813-259-7600

May 4, 1996

COUNTY JAIL INMATE

FACING MYSTERIOUS

CHARGE OF TREASON

By David Sommer

Tribune Staff Writer

TAMPA - Dois Gene Tatum sits in the Hillsborough County Jail on a treason charge, but nobody seems to know why.

Tatum, 45, says he has a theory. While awaiting trial on fraud charges, he has been working on a book about being a prisoner of war in Vietnam, where he said he was sent on a secret, "one way mission" into Cambodia.

But the book makes not mention of later work as an agent in CIA "Black operations," Tatum said in a telephone interview from Morgan Street jail. Maybe someone is trying to make sure things stay that way, he says.

Jail officials say Tatum is being held on the treason charge at the request of the U.S. Marshals' Service. Such a charge is highly unusual. They said Tatum is not being held on the federal fraud charges, although jury selection in that trial is scheduled to begin Monday before U.S. District Judge Henry Lee Adams, Jr.

Tatum and codefendant Nancy Jane Tatum identified in court records as his girlfriend with an alias of Nancy Fullilove, are accused of taking more than \$82,000 in federal money while Dois Tatum operated a government-seized Hudson golf course.

Deputy U.S. Marshal David Jacobs, in charge of federal prisoners in Tampa, said his records show Tatum is in custody solely on the fraud case.

"There is nothing in his file whatsoever related to treason," Jacobs said.

Federal prosecutors know nothing of the charge, said Assistant U.S. Attorney Gary Montilla.

"CIA guys are generally charged with other kinds of espionage," the prosecutor said. "My thought is it's a screw-up or some sort of macabre joke."

May 7, 1996

TREASON DROPPED

FROM CHARGES LIST

Ex-military man now faces

fraud-embezzlement count

By David Sommer

Tribune Staff Writer

TAMPA - It seems that Dois Gene Tatum is not facing a treason charge after all.

Tatum, awaiting trial on federal fraud charges, was booked into the Morgan Street Jail on a charge of treason last week. Neither the Hillsborough County Sheriff's Office, which runs the jail, or the U.S. Marshals Service, which parked Tatum there for safekeeping, could explain the origin of the treason count.

By Monday, jail records had been updated. "Booked in error," reads Tatum's new computerized record. The treason charge is gone and a fraud-embezzlement charge is entered in its place.

Tatum, who is listed in federal records as serving in both the Army and Air Force, theorized that someone was trying to scare him into remaining silent about secret missions he worked for the CIA.

He said he is working on his first book, about his experiences in Vietnam, where he was captured while on a secret mission. But the book

makes no mention of his subsequent CIA activities, Tatum said.

Now, with the treason issue cleared up, Tatum is scheduled for trial May 20 on Charges of taking more than \$82,000 in federal funds while managing a government owned property. A jury was selected Monday before U.S. District Judge Henry Lee Adams, Jr.

Also Monday, Tatum's wife and codefendant was on hand to pass out copies of a letter seeking a publisher for Tatum's second book.

"The author is offering information on 18 covert mission, spanning from the Nixon administration through the Bush administration," the letter states.

Tatum, in a telephone interview from the jail, said he is not concerned about provoking further retaliation from his former CIA cohorts.

"A good planner always has an ace in the hole, and they are aware of my ace," he said. "If they want to send a message, I can send a message, too."

=====

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 1996 14:45:53 -0500

Reply-To: General Journalism Discussion <SPJ-L@PSUVM.PSU.EDU>

From: "A. Harris" <ajharris@BLUE.WEEG.UIOWA.EDU>

Subject: Re: Operation Pegasus

For the past couple of weeks, I've sifted through dozens of bitches and moans about the absence of relevant topics on SPJ-L. Now when something of major importance suddenly pops up, namely, the past attempt of Bush to assassinate Perot, I've only seen one curt reply.

Some of you out there will no doubt dismiss this as some "paranoid conspiracy plot" or as a ranting, crazed prisoner - but that's the easy way out. The fact is that our "democratic" leaders are up to a lot more than us and the rest of the public will ever know. But that doesn't mean that we can't try to find out.

To those of you in positions of journalistic power out there - maybe following up on the leads left by Tatum would be a good idea. We need this account verified and widely published if it is true. If this man has really been imprisoned for attempting to tell the truth about atrocities that our government tried to force him to commit, a movement should be introduced that would help to release him - if justice can ever really prevail.

If anyone knows someone that could help Tatum or somehow that us regular citizens can help him, please let us know. On the other hand, if anyone knows more about the truth or falsity of the documents he posted, please let us know about that, too.

Sincerely,

A. Harris

P.S. - Please don't send me any flames just because I want to know more about this topic. Thanks.

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government

- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96050404 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[People have been asking why Terry Reed quit; here he explains.]

PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 1996 19:50:35 -0500 (CDT)

From: Brian Redman <bired@duracef.shout.net>

Subject: Conspiracy Nation -- Vol. 8 Num. 06

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

The following is brought to you thanks, in part, to the kind  
assistance of CyberNews and the fine folks at Cornell University.

Conspiracy Nation -- Vol. 8 Num. 06

=====

("Quid coniuratio est?")

-----

STATEMENT OF PLAINTIFF TERRY K. REED

=====

June 3, 1996

RE: LRC 94-634

REED v. YOUNG, et al.

On July 5, 1991, one month shy of 5 years ago, my wife Janis and I mustered up the courage to register ourselves as plaintiffs in a civil law suit that we knew was seeded with political land mines. That original lawsuit, LRC 91-414, filed in federal court in Little Rock, Arkansas, and later to evolve into case number LRC 94-634, has transformed into an all-consuming endeavor, for not only Janis and me, our team of lawyers, but many concerned American citizens as well.

We knew all along, as well did Little Rock Federal District Judge George Howard, Jr., that this case was not only about the violation of our civil rights, but carried with it the burden of re-educating the Court, the jury, and the American people about a painful period known in the annals of history as Iran-Contra.

Slowly but surely, we and our legal team have been penetrating layer upon layer of government disinformation created for the sole purpose of preventing the truth to surface concerning the Reagan Administration's efforts to assist the Nicaraguan Contras in Arkansas. The Executive branch's by-passing of congressional restraints designed to prohibit or restrict military aid to the Contras through the law known as the Boland Amendment was in great part carried out on Arkansas soil with the full knowledge and complicity of our now-sitting President, Bill Clinton.

Some of the CIA's activities which took place at Bill Clinton's Mena, Arkansas were patriotically driven and justified. Other activities, however, those which included the importation of cocaine and money laundering, can never be justified in the domain of the public. For this reason, there has been a concerted and bi-partisan effort to keep the subject matter known as MENA from becoming legitimized through the court process.

Judge Howard's court has at times condoned our efforts to amass non-partisan evidence to not only prove our case, but to also re-write history and do what Independent Counsel Lawrence Walsh either feared or failed to do after conducting a \$40 million investigation: to tell the truth about the CIA's activities in Mena, Arkansas in the mid-1980s.

To begin, let me recap our motivations for suing Raymond (Buddy) Young, then a captain with the Arkansas State Police and serving as Bill Clinton's gubernatorial chief of security. Young is now the director of Region 6 of the Federal Emergency Management Authority (FEMA) and based at Denton, Texas. From his position at FEMA he still finds time to protect Bill Clinton by threatening former Clinton bodyguards whenever they come forward with evidence which may embarrass the President or hint of wrong-doing.

We felt then, as we feel now, that Mr. Young was a dangerous and unethical man who should never have been allowed to wear a badge. Yet, there he was in 1987, officing out of the Governor's mansion, drawing from the resources of the state of Arkansas, and behaving as any modern day crime family "enforcer" as he provided "security" for Arkansas' first family, Bill, Hillary, and Chelsea Clinton.

Providing security: what does this encompass? That is what this case was destined to expose. Does it include destroying the lives of people who innocently become a political liability to powerful politicians, namely his boss, Bill Clinton?

Well, the senior federal judge in Wichita, Kansas, Frank G. Theis, ruled in 1990 that these "security services" included at

least the orchestration of phony criminal indictments against myself and Janis. The question is, why? We wanted to answer that question in Judge Howard's court. We already knew the answer. I had worked at the Mena airport and could connect prominent Arkansan power brokers and politicians to some very nefarious activity. Simply said: Janice and I were liabilities and had to be dealt with.

As Judge Theis clearly saw, Young was not a solo actor as he conducted the despicable deed of trying to wrongfully and illegally cause innocent people to be incarcerated or killed. Theis concluded that Young had at least one accomplice, Tommy Lee Baker, of Little Rock, Arkansas, another former Arkansas State cop and sometimes private detective. Mr. Baker now sells alcohol to some of Little Rock's lower socio-economic groups through his liquor store on East 9th Street.

Judge Theis, the senior federal judge for the 10th circuit district, had witnessed Young and Baker shuffling through his court room on more than one occasion between 1988 and 1990 as they emerged as the star witnesses in a U.S. Government prosecution designed to imprison Janis and myself for 20 years each -- for a crime we knew absolutely nothing about.

Yet, Young and Baker, through their own perjurous lips, and manufactured material evidence, had convinced a Kansas U.S. Attorney that Janis and I were drug smuggling airplane thieves who were so "armed and dangerous" that we and our young children could have been shot on sight by any overly wary or trigger-happy law enforcement officer. Young, by his own admission, fabricated and authored a criminal profile that presented us as a modern day Bonnie and Clyde.

But miraculously, by November, 1990, through two and one half years of effort, a lot of money, and a little bit of luck, Janis and I and our criminal defense lawyers were able to set the record straight in Judge Theis' courtroom. The judge exonerated us of \*all\* alleged criminal wrong-doing by finding me "not guilty" and at the same time lashed out at the government-embraced accusers. In the judge's own written words, sworn statements made by both Baker and Young were "made with at least reckless disregard for the truth."

On my day of judgement, November 9, 1990, when I was acquitted, I quietly vowed to bring Buddy Young and Tommy Baker to justice -- to expose them for the dregs that they are -- simply bad cops hiding behind tarnished badges and taking instructions from corrupt power-brokers and politicians who likewise should be prosecuted and forced from office to an awaiting prison cell.

But that is not going to happen in Judge Howard's courtroom, and not through the five years of sweat and effort that Janis and I have devoted to this uphill and horrendously expensive legal exercise.

Judge Howard, for reasons unexplained but clearly understood, has decided to protect these scoundrels, and in so doing protect those who assigned Young and Baker their "targets". In recent months Judge Howard has gutted our lawsuit and in the process has exposed the strings to which he is attached -- strings that are obviously being pulled from Washington, and more significantly, the White House.

So who is Judge Howard protecting? I can't fathom it would be the enemies of civil liberties like Young and Baker. Why is a

federal judge, who up until recently appeared to be so sympathetic with civil rights issues, ordering me to \*not\* put on the evidence we have amassed that can clearly prove WHO was instructing Young and Baker to violate our civil rights?

The judge, by his own order, has specifically forbidden me to introduce the following evidence, evidence my lawyers desperately need to prove motive on behalf of Young and Baker and other unnamed co-conspirators.

#### ORDER

Pending before the Court is defendants' December 4th motion in limine to exclude the following matters: ..... These general areas will be referred to as the "Mena" evidence or documents.

.....Even if the Court were to find that the complaint adequately states sufficient facts to make the allegations..... relevant to the alleged overt actions of these defendants, the probative value is substantially outweighed by the dangers of unfair prejudice, confusion of issues, the potential for misleading of the jury and considerations of undue delay and waste of time. The following description will control:

Any reference to the plaintiffs' participation in programs, operations or missions sponsored by the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Central Intelligence Agency or any other agency of the United States government, covert or otherwise, as well as any organization sponsored by or aligned with the United States government specifically including, but not limited to, any programs, operations or missions conducted in

southwest Arkansas regarding the training of Nicaraguan nationals, the funding and support for any factions involved in the Nicaraguan conflict and any contact or communications with operatives or officials of the above-named agencies or organizations. Any reference to President or Governor Bill Clinton and/or Hillary Clinton and the Mena or Nella Airports. Any references to Barry Seale [sic] and any alleged drug smuggling operation or other references to the Mena and Nella Airports, or to a business relationship of Barry Seale [sic] and Dan Lasater, Lasater and Company and the Arkansas Development and Finance Authority (ADFA) and ADFA's former Director, Bob Nash.

.....IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 8th day of March, 1996.

George Howard, Jr. [signed]

United States District Judge

Judge Howard, through his own order, has exposed himself. Unwittingly, he and his integrity have now been compromised. By dissecting his order, one can clearly see two things.

1. Judge Howard is eliminating evidence that he has not yet even seen. Most of our 110 witnesses were not deposed prior to trial. The judge, and even worse, the defendants, don't know what our witnesses would say on the stand... and that's the problem, isn't it? Heaven forbid the unadulterated and unedited and unrehearsed and uncensored truth spill out within the walls of a federal court house.

2. Judge Howard is protecting people and government agencies

not even named in the lawsuit. This is abundantly clear when one notes the names of Bill Clinton, Hillary Clinton, Dan Lasater, Bob Nash, and the Arkansas state agency known as ADFA. Bill, Hillary, Dan, Bob and ADFA are not defendants in the suit. One can only conclude from this judge's bizarre behavior that there has been wrongful, unethical, and illegal communication between the judge and the White House. Otherwise, these names wouldn't appear in the Order -- especially that of Bob Nash. Bob Nash has never been officially accused of any wrong doing, although I have knowledge of same, and this would come out in court. And where is Bob Nash? He's in the White House -- Director of Personnel.

As a former U.S. Air Force intelligence professional, I am making the following observation: this Democrat appointed judge is running interference for Bill Clinton in this election year. Mena will not be \*allowed\* to become a political issue in the 1996 race for the Presidency. Judge Howard has been appointed to be our road block to justice. At the same time he is cleverly putting Janis, me and my lawyers in a suicidal posture. We can go to trial, we just cannot put on our evidence.

And speaking of suicide, imagine the forces that are causing judge Howard to fall on his sword. When this is over, surely he will have no judicial integrity or respect. But I guess that is a price one pays when one is securely employed for life.

And what was the judge's response when my law team filed a 20 page motion on April 23, 1996 giving him the alternatives to:

1. Reconsider his March 8th gag order, or
2. Hear oral arguments on our evidentiary dispute, or
3. Allow us to appeal this evidentiary dispute to the 8th



Circuit Court of Appeals prior to trial

His succinct response was

ORDER

Plaintiffs' April 23rd motion for reconsideration and clarification or, alternatively, for leave to file interlocutory appeal (#55) is denied for the reasons contained in the March 8th order and defendants' May 6th response.

.....IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 10th day of May, 1996.

George Howard, Jr. [signed]

United States District Judge

Devastation does not come close to describing our feelings. After years of dragging this case through the federal civil justice system, Judge Howard has manipulated us into the following legal posture: we can go to court but we cannot put on critical evidence, therefore we will lose. That loss, even though we could appeal it (and the appeal would consume at minimum another year of our lives and tens of thousands more dollars), will be interpreted by the Clinton spin doctors as a victory. There will be no mention of the fact our hands were tied, and our mouths were gagged, and the so-called "trial" was a travesty of justice. They will attempt to convince the media, at a critical point in the election process, that Mena is a figment of my imagination, even though the Mena evidence was not allowed to be presented in court.

Proudly being a former member of the U.S. armed services, I was trained to win wars, not lose them. I refuse to repeat my

Vietnam experience and not be \*allowed\* to win.

When we rather naively filed the original complaint, our sons were ages 4, 6, and 8. They are now 9, 11, and 13, and have little or no memory of their parents not being consumed in the efforts to properly litigate this case. For the sake of our children, and the sanity of our family unit, we are making a very difficult and painful decision.

Ruefully, after 59 months of a stressful, tumultuous and at times triumphant struggle, we are being forced to conclude that the subject of Mena will never be presented within the confines of a federal court room, and that Janis and I will not see justice served. Instead, we now cynically view the federal court house in Little Rock as a monument to federal corruption, and a slap in the face to all Americans who believe in the separation of powers provided under the Constitution.

With tears in our eyes, lumps in our throats, and knots in our stomachs, we are instructing our attorneys to non-suit this case.

To the hundreds of people who directly participated in our struggle, we would like to remind them of the many victories achieved throughout the course of our ordeal. In many ways we did win -- at least in the court of public opinion. Our numerous depositions, which were funded in great part by public contributions, have clearly established the fact that the CIA's activities at Mena, Arkansas \*did\* take place, and that prominent politicians from both parties either were complicit in the illegal activities that swirled around this covert operation, or even worse, were directly involved or benefited.

I would like to think that my personal hero, Harry S. Truman,

would be proud of our accomplishments, even in the face of overwhelming odds. At times of strife he was encouraged by the average citizen to "Give 'em hell, Harry!" I too have heard voices who backed me shout, "Give 'em hell, Terry!" I hope I've lived up to the task.

And to Raymond (Buddy) Young, Tommy Lee Baker, and those working with the Arkansas political establishment who have successfully undermined my efforts to take this material to trial, I will now quote from another hero of mine, Douglas McArthur: "I will return."

Terry K. Reed [signed]

3 Jun 96

-----

-----

-----  
I encourage distribution of "Conspiracy Nation."  
-----

If you would like "Conspiracy Nation" sent to your e-mail address, send a message in the form "subscribe cn-l My Name" to listproc@cornell.edu (Note: that is "CN-L" \*not\* "CN-1")  
-----

For information on how to receive the improved Conspiracy Nation Newsletter, send an e-mail message to bigred@shout.net  
-----

Want to know more about Whitewater, Oklahoma City bombing, etc?  
(1) telnet prairienet.org (2) logon as "visitor" (3) go citcom  
-----

See also: <http://www.europa.com/~johnlf/cn.html>  
-----

See also: [ftp.shout.net pub/users/bigred](ftp://ftp.shout.net/pub/users/bigred)  
-----

Aperi os tuum muto, et causis omnium filiorum qui pertranseunt.

Aperi os tuum, decerne quod justum est, et judica inopem et

pauperem.

-- Liber Proverbiorum XXXI: 8-9

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Brian Redman <bired@duracef.shout.net>

=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050403 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Until next year for the Bilderbergers . . .] PIML

=====

From: PawlRevere@aol.com

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 1996 19:53:42 -0400

Subject: Fwd: BILDERBERG Conference ends; list of attendees...

-----

Forwarded message:

From: jwhitley@inforamp.net (John K. Whitley)

Date: 96-06-02 17:37:24 EDT

LIST OF U.S., CANADIAN AND EUROPEAN V.I.P.'s AT  
SUPERSECRET TORONTO BILDERBERG MEETING!

Toronto Bilderberg Conference    Contact: John Whitley

416-481 4868/fax: 416-322 7238

e-mail: jwhitley@inforamp.net

TORONTO, 2nd June -- The supersecretive 1996 Bilderberg Conference at King City, north of Toronto, ends today. As usual, the 120 assorted members of European royalty, internancial financiers, major newspaper publishers, heads of supranational agencies, leading U.S. and North American politicians, and selected titans of industry and commerce have managed to conceal - so far - the substance of, and the consensus reached after, each of their "Round Table" discussions.

The Toronto-based NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE [Web address: <http://www.inforamp.net/~jwhitley>], which first alerted the Toronto media and wire services to this well- concealed huddle of the super-rich

and -influential publicity-shy elite, has stated repeatedly, based on sources we consider absolutely reliable, that we understand that one of their main topics of discussion has been a prearranged Unilateral Declaration of Independence by Quebec. For a variety of reasons, we expect this to occur between February and April of 1997. The ultimate aim of the slow and prolonged destruction of Canada as a viable national entity which would follow is a predetermined Continental Union of Canada and the U.S. by the year 2005.

We invite you to remember which Canadians attended this Conference if Quebec UDI does in fact occur early next year: Premier Mike Harris of Ontario, recently-returned from his first-ever discussion with Premier Lucien Bouchard of Quebec, just prior to the Conference; Prime Minister Jean Chretien, whose "counsellor, strategist and advisor" for the past thirty years has been Mitchell Sharp, a key member of David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission; Paul Martin, Finance Minister, and a product of Paul Desmarais' - also a Trilateral Commission member - Power Corporation; Lloyd Axworthy, Foreign Affairs Minister; Conrad Black, ardent international businessman and Chairman of Hollinger, Inc.; Frederik Eaton, wealthy scion of the Eaton department store family; Allan Gotlieb, former Ambassador to the U.S.; Anthony Griffin, Honorary Chairman, Guardian Group; Ted Rogers, communications and cable mogul; and Red Wilson Chairman of Bell Canada Enterprises.

David Rockefeller himself, the "titan of the Western hemisphere", is of course also in attendance.

Prime Minister Chretien "excused" his presence at this Conference by stating that the members of the Bilderberg Conference were traditionally welcomed by the Head of State of the host country. This is not in fact so: the Bilderbergers meet secretly as, when and where they want, without respect of protocol. One glaring example of this was the September, 1958, meeting at the Palace Hotel in Buxton, Derbyshire,

England. One contemporary observer stated that:

The Mayor of Buxton, whose courteous function it was to welcome conferences to his town, was rudely ignored, as the Queen seems to have been, by Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, whose presence on British soil one would have thought necessitated a courtesy call on Her Majesty. Protocol goes by the board when esoteric international policies are to be discussed.

A.K Chesterton, the astute and informed author of THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, had this to say concerning the Bilderberg Conference:

To the unsuspecting, all this might seem innocuous, almost fatuous. For instance, there might not appear to be much danger in a body that does not attempt to reach conclusions or to recommend policies. However, there are other factors to be taken into account. Quite a lot of money is needed to fly [120] delegates from all over the world to an annual conference. Who finds that money, and why? And who delegates the delegates? The author finds it hard to believe that the expense is incurred merely for the pleasure of staging discussions not aimed at any conclusions. Let there be no doubts about this business. When [such people] foregather it is not for the purpose of amiable chats and mutual back-scratching. If the Bilderberg Conferences reach no conclusions and recommend no policies, it is because the conclusions have already been reached and the policies determined, so that the delegates assemble to be told what the form is. They do not need to be given their orders. Once the form is declared they know well enough what

is expected of them..."

Who indeed selects the delegates? Who sets the agenda? And who is "higher" than the Bilderbergers; who, by their own admission, "reach no decisions and come to no conclusions" during their Conferences?

Sharp-eyed observers might ask themselves who Mr. Carl Bildt, the cryptically-described "High representative" in the following list of Bilderberg attendees, represents.

Can a small coterie of international groups and national financial titans truly exert this amount of influence upon both the nature and direction of national policy and international events?

Respected author Malachi Martin, who has top-level connections in the Vatican and around the world, has written a number of interesting and revealing books on international politics and the Roman Catholic church and Pontiff. In THE KEYS OF THIS BLOOD, which centres on the life and connections of the present Pope, Martin made this intriguing statement:

"Television commentator Bill Moyers found out during a fifteen-day, globe-spanning trip in the company of David Rockefeller that 'just about a dozen or fifteen individuals made day-to-day decisions that regulated the flow of capital and goods throughout the entire world.'"

He quotes Bill Moyers himself as saying:

"David Rockefeller is the most conspicuous representative today of the ruling class, a multinational fraternity of men who shape the global economy and manage the flow of its capital.



Rockefeller was born to it, and he has made the most of it. But what some critics see as a vast international conspiracy, he considers a circumstance of life and just another day's work... In the world of David Rockefeller it's hard to tell where business ends and politics begins."

Alex Constantine [alex@directnet.com] began an intriguing Saturday, June 1st posting, entitled BILDERBERG: The Round Table Of The Fourth Reich, to the Internet newsgroup alt.politics.org.cia with these comments:

"As one editorial wit put it: "If the Bilderberg group is not a conspiracy of some sort, it is conducted in such a way as to give a remarkably good imitation."

The commentary came from G. Gordon Tether, a writer for England's Financial Times, subsequently ordered not to write about the organization again. Within a year he was squeezed out of his position at the newspaper."

This helps explain why Bilderberg meetings usually go completely unscrutinized by the media, and why the recent uninhibited TORONTO STAR coverage of the 1996 Toronto Bilderberg Conference is such an extraordinary event.

Before listing the attendees at this year's Conference, we would like to draw the attention of our U.S. media readers to the following facts, also largely or completely unreported.

THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE has already pointed out that President Bill Clinton was "anointed" for the Presidency at the 1991

Bilderberg Conference in Baden-Baden, which he attended. What was equally ignored by most of the U.S. media was that he then took an unexpected, unannounced trip to Moscow, directly from the Bilderberg meeting

He met for one-and-a-half hours, on Tuesday, June 9th, with Soviet Interior Minister Vadim Bakatin. Mr. Vakatin, a minister in the doomed cabinet of President Mikhail Gorbachev, was in the middle of campaigning in the fiercely-contested Presidential election, the vote for which was a mere six days away - yet he took one-and-a-half hours out of his crowded schedule to meet unexpectedly with the internationally-obscure Governor of Arkansas. Why?

Mr. Bakatin's subsequent career might provide a clue. Although Gorbachev lost the Presidential election, Bakatin, a "reformer", was rewarded by President Yeltsin with the top spot at the KGB. It would appear that President Clinton was sent by the Bilderbergers directly to Moscow to get his KGB student-era, anti-Vietnam war files "buried" before announcing his candidacy for the Presidency some two-and-a-half months later.

One of the few U.S. papers to run this story was the ARKANSAS DEMOCRAT, which did so under the headline CLINTON HAS POWERFUL BUDDY IN U.S.S.R. - NEW HEAD OF KGB.

It may come as no surprise, therefore, when we state that, through our own sources, THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE has learned that Bilderberger-backed President Clinton has promised President Yeltsin that, after he has won the upcoming U.S. Presidential election, Russian warships will be given full refuelling and other port privileges at all U.S. Navy bases. One wonders about the content of that intense 1991 private conversation with Mr. Bakatin.

The NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE would like to publicly say "Goodbye" to each of the shy Bilderberg attendees who've graced our city with their virtually-invisible but luminous presence during the past four days. We must all get together again, Queens, money moguls, assorted pols, and you, too, Big Dave! Be very sure that we'll be watching out for you.

#### LIST OF 1996 BILDERBERG ATTENDEES

##### THE UNITED STATES:

- \* Paul Allaire [ Chairman of Xerox]
- \* Dwayne Andreas [Chairman, Archer-Daniels, Midland]
- \* Lloyd Benson [former Treasury Secretary]
- \* John Bryan [Chairman, Sarah Lee Corp.]
- \* William Buckley [the NATIONAL REVIEW]
- \* Jon Corzine [Chairman, Goldman Sachs]
- \* Stanley Fischer [International Monetary Fund]
- \* Charles Freeman [Former Assistant Secretary of Defence]
- \* Richard Holbrooke [former Assistant Secretary of State]
- \* Henry Kissinger [former U.S. Secretary of State]
- \* Henry Kravis [Kohlberg, Kravis, Roberts]
- \* Winston Lord [Assistant Secretary of State]
- \* Sam Nunn [U.S. Senator]
- \* William Perry [Secretary of Defence]
- \* David Rockefeller [Chase Manhattan Bank]
- \* Jack Scheinkman [Chairman, Amalgamated Bank]
- \* George Soros [President, Soros Fund Management]
- \* George Stephanopoulos [Senior Advisor to the President]
- \* Alex Trotma [Chairman, Ford Motor Company]
- \* John Whitehead [former Deputy Secretary of State]

##### CANADA:

- \* Lloyd Axworthy [Minister of Foreign Affairs]
- \* Conrad Black [Chairman, Hollinger Inc.,]
- \* Jean Chretien [Prime Minister of Canada]
- \* Frederik Eaton [Chairman, Eatons]
- \* Al Flood [Chairman, CIBC]
- \* Allan Gottlieb [former Amassador to U.S.]
- \* Anthony Griffin [Hon. Chairman, Guardian Group]
- \* Mike Harris [Premier of Ontario]
- \* Paul Martin [Finance Minister]
- \* Sylvia Ostry [Centre fot International Studies,  
Univ. of Toronto]
- \* Ted Rogers [President, Rogers Communications]
- \* Red Wison [Chairman, Bell Canada Enterprises]

#### EASTERN EUROPE:

- \* Andrzej Olechowski [Former Polish Foreign Affairs Minister]
- \* Flavio Cotti [Foreign Affairs Minister, Czech Republic]
- \* Cornelio Sommaruga [President, Red Cross Committee,  
Czech Republic]
- \* Gyorgy Suranyi [President, National Bank of Hungary]

#### WESTERN EUROPE AND TURKEY::

- \* Lord Carrington [Conference chairman: former NATO  
Secretary-General]
- \* Martti Ahtissaari [Finnish President]
- \* Giovanni Agnelli [Hon. Chairman, Fiat, Italy]
- \* Anders Aslund [Carnegie Endowment for International  
Peace, Sweden]
- \* Francisco Pinto Balsemao [Former Prime Minister of  
Portugal]
- \* Percy Barnevik [President, ABB Asca Brown Boveri,  
Sweden]
- \* Queen Beatrix [the Netherlands]

- \* Franco Bernabe [CEO, Ente Nazionale Idrocarburi,  
Italy]
- \* Carl Bildt [the High representative]
- \* Frits Bolkestein [Liberal Party leader, Netherlands]
- \* Jaime Carvarja Urquito [Chairman, Iberfomento, Spain]
- \* Bertrand Collomb [Chairman, Lafarge, France]
- \* George David [Chairman, Hellenic Bottling, Greece]
- \* Etienne Davignon [Executive Chairman, Societie  
Generale de Belgique, Belgium]
- \* Gazi Ercel [Central Bank of Turkey]
- \* Emre Gonesay [Governor, Central Bank of Turkey]
- \* Westye Hoegh [Chairman, Leif Hoegh & Co.,  
Norway]
- \* Jan Huyghebaert [Chairman, Almanij-Kredietbank  
Group, Belgium]
- \* Jaakko Iloniemi [Former Finnish ambassador to U.S.]
- \* Peter Job [Chief Executive, Reuters, Britain]
- \* Lionel Jospin [Socialist Party leader, France]
- \* Dietrich Karner [Chairman, Erste Allgemeine-Generali  
Aktiengesellschaft, Austria]
- \* Andrew Knight [News Corp., Britain]
- \* Max Kohnstamm [European Policy Centre, Belgium]
- \* Phillipe Maystadt [Finance Minister, Belgium]
- \* Ad P.W. Melkert [Social Affairs Minister,  
Netherlands]
- \* John Monks [Union Leader, Britain]
- \* Mario Monti [European Commissioner]
- \* Theodoros Pangalos [Foreign Affairs Minister,  
Greece]
- \* Jan Petersen [Conservative Party leader, Norway]
- \* Malcolm Rifkind [Foreign Secretary, Britain]
- \* Simon Robertson [Chairman, Kleinwort Benson]

Group, Britain]

- \* Renato Ruggiero [Director-General, World Trade Organization]
- \* Mona Sahlin [Member of Swedish Parliament]
- \* Jurgen Schrempp [Chairman, Daimler-Benz, Germany]
- \* Klaus Schwab [President, World Economic Forum]
- \* Queen Sofia [Spain]
- \* Peter Sutherland [former Director-General, GATT and WTO]
- \* Morris Tabaksblat [Chairman, Unilever, Netherlands]
- \* J. Martin Taylor [Chief Executive, Barclays Bank, Britain]
- \* Franz Vranitzky [Chancellor of Austria]
- \* Antonio Vitorino [Deputy Prime Minister, Portugal]
- \* Karel Vuursteen [Chairman, Heineken, Netherlands]
- \* James Wolfensohn [President, World Bank]

Are those who direct the Bilderbergers, the "just about a dozen or fifteen individuals [who make] day-to-day decisions that regulated the flow of capital and goods throughout the entire world." who Bill Moyers spoke of, now filling the positions of those individuals of whom President Woodrow Wilson wrote about in his book, THE NEW FREEDOM:

Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it."

Canadian and Americans will never know. The Bilderbergers, whether through fear or purpose, maintain their vows of silence. And the press, apart from the TORONTO STAR, have willingly shielded them in privacy.

We can now only await the outworkings of their secret deliberations.

- 30 -

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050402 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[The latest declaration of a national emergency to keep the FEMA  
and other executive orders legal (but not lawful).] PIML

=====

From: caji@pobox.com on May 24, 1996 23:11

Subject: CAJI! 1996-05-24 Notice of Emergency re Republic of Yugoslavia

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

---

For Immediate Release

May 24, 1996

NOTICE

- - - - -

CONTINUATION OF EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC  
OF YUGOSLAVIA (SERBIA AND MONTENEGRO) AND THE BOSNIAN SERBS

On May 30, 1992, by Executive Order 12808, President Bush  
declared a national emergency to deal with the unusual and  
extraordinary threat to the national security, foreign policy,  
and economy of the United States constituted by the actions and



policies of the Governments of Serbia and Montenegro, blocking all property and interests in property of those Governments. President Bush took additional measures to prohibit trade and other transactions with the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro) by Executive Orders 12810 and 12831, issued on June 5, 1992, and January 15, 1993, respectively. On April 25, 1993, I issued Executive Order 12846, blocking the property and interests in property of all commercial, industrial, or public utility undertakings or entities organized or located in the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro), and prohibiting trade-related transactions by United States persons involving those areas of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina controlled by Bosnian Serb forces and the United Nations Protected Areas in the Republic of Croatia. On October 25, 1994, because of the actions and policies of the Bosnian Serbs, I expanded the scope of the national emergency to block the property of the Bosnian Serb forces and the authorities in the territory that they control within the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina, as well as the property of any entity organized or located in, or controlled by any person in, or resident in, those areas.

On December 27, 1995, I issued Presidential Determination No. 96-7, directing the Secretary of the Treasury, inter alia, to suspend the application of sanctions imposed on the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro) pursuant to the above-referenced Executive orders and to continue to block property previously blocked until provision is made to address claims or encumbrances, including the claims of the other successor states of the former Yugoslavia. This sanctions relief, in conformity with United Nations Security Council Resolution 1022 of November 22, 1995 (hereinafter the "Resolution"), was an essential factor motivating Serbia and

Montenegro's acceptance of the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina initialled by the parties in Dayton, Ohio, on November 21, 1995, and signed in Paris on December 14, 1995 (hereinafter the "Peace Agreement"). The sanctions imposed on the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro) were accordingly suspended prospectively, effective January 16, 1996. Sanctions imposed on the Bosnian Serb forces and authorities and on the territory that they control within the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina were subsequently suspended prospectively, effective May 10, 1996, also in conformity with the Peace Agreement and Resolution.

In the last year, substantial progress has been achieved to bring about a settlement on the conflict in the former Yugoslavia acceptable to the parties. Before agreeing to the sanctions suspension, the United States insisted on a credible reimposition mechanism to ensure the full implementation of the Peace Agreement. Thus, Resolution 1022 provides a mechanism to reimpose the sanctions if the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia or the Bosnian Serb authorities fail significantly to meet their obligations under the Peace Agreement. It also provides that sanctions will not be terminated until after the first free and fair elections occur in the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina, as provided for in the Peace Agreement, and provided that the Bosnian Serb forces have continued to respect the zones of separation as provided in the Peace Agreement. The Resolution also provides for the continued blocking of assets potentially subject to conflicting claims and encumbrances, including the claims of the other successor states of the former Yugoslavia, until provision is made to address them.

Because the resolution of the crisis and conflict in the

former Yugoslavia that resulted from the actions and policies of the Government of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro), and of the Bosnian Serb forces and the authorities in the territory that they control, will not be complete until such time as the Peace Agreement is implemented fully and the terms of Resolution 1022 have been met, the national emergency declared on May 30, 1992, as expanded in scope on October 25, 1994, and the measures adopted pursuant thereto to deal with that emergency must continue beyond May 30, 1996.

Therefore, in accordance with section 202(d) of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1622(d)), I am continuing the national emergency with respect to the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro) and the Bosnian Serb forces and those areas of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina under the control of the Bosnian Serb forces.

This notice shall be published in the Federal Register and transmitted to the Congress.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON

THE WHITE HOUSE,

May 24, 1996.

# # #

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050401 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Note on another subject: There is an article by Chip Berlet of Political Research Associates floating around the net. The purpose of the article is to attempt to paint Bo Gritz as a racist. I know Bo Gritz; he is NOT a racist. Period. I know of Chip Berlet; he is a self-styled "militia expert", known far and wide for spreading disinformation. He seems to be following in Morris Dees' footsteps. Question: Why does a Morris Dees wannabe want to be? You may as well be a Satan wannabe.] PIML

[Below Charlie Duke tells it like it is with the Freeman.] PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 03 Jun 1996 00:14:16 -0700

From: Liberty or Death <ghostpwr@europa.com>

Subject: L&J: More from Charles Duke

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

By Senator Charles R. Duke

Colorado District 9

#### CLARK RANCH FREEMEN ARE NOT PATRIOTS

The recent trip I took to Brusett, Montana, has to be called a mixed blessing. The objectives for my role in the talks were all accomplished. My personal goal of obtaining the release of two small children has not yet been successful. Nevertheless, a great deal was learned that had not been known before and that, too, will be helpful.

The objectives for the talks themselves were threefold: 1)

The complete de-escalation of the standoff and exit from the property of all residents of the Clark Ranch; 2) Preservation of the Freeman's data and records; 3) A public forum from which the Freeman could present their case. Verbal plans to accomplish each of these were discussed, but the Freeman would not allow the discussion to remain stable long enough to reduce the plans to writing. On every major agreement that was made between the Freeman and the FBI, the Freeman would open the discussions the following day with a new escalation of demands.

First of all, who are the people on the Clark Ranch? Of the 21 people believed to be there, 14 have a variety of state and federal charges against them, including bank fraud, conspiracy to commit bank fraud, unlawful flight to avoid prosecution, possession of a deadly weapon, impersonating an officer of the state, criminal syndicalism and others. The remaining seven of the twenty-one had no criminal charges pending as of the beginning of the standoff.

Of the 14 who had charges pending, only six are believed to be truly in the classification of Freeman. The other eight are simply trying to hook their wagon to the Freeman star as a means of avoiding arrest and prosecution.

My own personal tasks were officially three-fold in nature also: 1) Initiate discussions between the Freeman and the FBI; 2) "Humanize" the discussions as much as possible; 3) Facilitate the development of a plan whereby the standoff would end.

Prior to my appearance at the site, the Freeman refused to talk to the FBI. In their world, the FBI had never been created by statute, and, therefore, did not exist. By talking to the FBI, the Freeman would be granting venue to the agency which would interfere with their purported stand on principle. My presence allowed the Freeman to talk to me in a way that would let the FBI overhear. Similarly, the FBI could talk to me in a

way that would let the Freeman overhear. That is how the discussions began.

A plan was drawn up for what was believed to be the de-escalation process. As the talks to finalize details began on Monday, however, the Freeman, abruptly and without warning, suspended talks until a letter could be received from Attorney General Janet Reno stating that all elements of the de-escalation plan had been approved. They did this despite being told that the overall plan might take a week or two to be approved.

Another plan for the release of the Ward children was drawn up on Monday. Certain details were demanded by the Freeman. They stated that if those details could be provided, the Ward family would be released without delay. With some considerable difficulty, those details were provided as requested.

On Tuesday morning, however, as the FBI arrived with escort vehicles for the Ward family, a new demand was presented by the Freeman. This time the Freeman wanted a letter from the President of the United States authorizing the FBI's presence under the "War and Emergency Powers Act." There is no such act, of course. They may have meant to say, "The Emergency Banking and Relief Act of 1933." The Freeman have made a considerable amount of money conducting seminars blasting the use of war and emergency powers by various presidents. Now, they appeared to be willing to allow the use of those powers when it suited them. This showed the Freeman to be, at the very best, disingenuous.

Having learned that the two Ward children had strategic value to the FBI, the Freeman then moved the two girls to the house where the main troublemakers, Russ Landers, Dale Jacobi and Rod Skurdal, lived. Not only could the terms of agreement not be kept, but they also appeared to be ready to hide behind children.

It became obvious to me we were not dealing with honorable people and that most of these Clark Ranch Freeman were not true partners of the much larger Montana Freeman movement; they were simply trying to commandeer the patriot movement for their own. I could not, therefore, maintain a neutral and impartial position with the Freeman and subsequently left.

Throughout our discussions, Edwin Clark, the fourth member of the Freeman negotiating team, was cooperative and willing to compromise. As idea blocks were put together, however, the other three would enter and ideologically kick the blocks over.

It has been said the Freeman were patriots attempting to defend the Constitution and are supported by the militia. I do not believe any of this. Most of the residents of the Clark Ranch are neither patriots nor constitutionists. For the most part, they are using a Freeman facade as a means of defying the exercise of civil authority.

END

-----  
>>> Don't Tread On Me! <<<  
-----

\* Psalm 33 \*  
-----

"If ye love wealth greater than liberty, the tranquility of servitude greater than the animating contest for freedom, go home from us in peace. We seek not your counsel, nor your arms. Crouch down and lick the hand that feeds you. May your chains set lightly upon you; and may posterity forget that ye were our countrymen. - Samuel Adams  
-----

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>



=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060310 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Not all feds are bad guys.] PIML

=====

Date: Sat, 1 Jun 1996 22:07:44 -0700 (MST)

To: fsnw-1@freespeechnews.com

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Agent Criticizes FBI Probe of Okla. City Blast

Saturday June 1 12:50 AM EDT

Agent Criticizes FBI Probe of Okla. City Blast

DENVER (Reuter) - An FBI agent charged Friday that the federal government's investigation of the Oklahoma City bombing case ``has been seriously compromised'' by what he called FBI misconduct.

The allegation was made by veteran FBI crime lab chemist Frederic Whitehurst, who testified against the FBI in the World Trade Center bombing case and submitted a deposition in the O.J. Simpson murder trial that was critical of the agency.

Whitehurst made his written allegations to U.S. District Court Judge Richard Matsch, who moved the case to Denver after ruling defendants Timothy McVeigh and Terry Nichols could not get a fair trial in Oklahoma.

The two are accused of the April 19, 1995, bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building that claimed 168 lives. No date has been set for a trial, but it is expected to get under way late this year or early in 1997.

A spokeswoman for prosecutors said they would not comment, because the Justice Department had not completed an investigation of various allegations Whitehurst had made.

Whitehurst said the alleged improper conduct in the bombing case involved ``scientific fraud, misconduct and gross negligence in the administration of the FBI crime lab in general, and with respect to this case in particular.''

Whitehurst said he had been ``unfairly and publicly maligned'' by prosecutor Beth Wilkinson, who he said ``smeared'' him at an April 9 pre-trial hearing after McVeigh's attorneys disclosed they might use Whitehurst as a defense witness.

Whitehurst also asked the judge to unseal documents about him and his allegations that prosecutors filed. The documents corroborate many of his allegations, he said.

The documents are ``central to protecting the American people from future tragedies like the Oklahoma City bombing or the World Trade Center bombing disaster,'' Whitehurst said.

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060309 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

=====

Subj: Received at 3'oclock Montana tim

Date: 96-05-31 18:34:26 EDT

From: Rdy4Battle

To: HPBIII

Hi folks,

M.O.M. Received this fax from the FBI at 3 about 3 o'clock Montana Time,  
May 31, 1996: (I am retyping it, because they sent it to me on a paper  
fax--so excuse typos's)

May 31, 1996

The FBI said today it has moved three emergency rescue vehicles and  
anticipates moving a rescue helicopter to Jordan, Montana, in the event  
it become necessary to conduct emergency rescues and to safely occupy  
portions of the Freeman occupied property.

The FBI emphasized that it still hopes there can be a peaceful  
negotiated resolution without jeopardizing the safety of law enforcement  
personnel or others. The FBI continued to urge the Freeman to negotiate  
in good faith. At the same time, the FBI intends to keep open all lawful  
options.

The three emergency rescue vehicles are the type commercially produced  
for law enforcement agencies and are designed to protect the safety of  
the occupants by offering armored protection. They are defensive in  
nature.

Despite the best efforts of the FBI and third-party intermediaries, the Freeman have terminated all efforts at negotiations. One of the latest intermediaries, Colorado State Senator Charles Duke, who facilitated direct negotiations between the FBI and the Freeman, said "Several times we had an agreement and then when we would come back the next day and the FBI met the terms of their agreement, then the Freeman would escalate their side and present new demands. So, you know, they really weren't interested in compromising." In addition, State Senator Duke said, "...I think I saw really what I consider outstanding willingness to negotiate on the part of the FBI. They really went out of their way offering many proposals. They (The Freeman) just continued to escalate."

Since the arrest of LeRoy Schweitzer and Daniel Peterson on March 25, 1996, on various charges including threatening to kidnap and murder a federal judge, the FBI has utilized 42 third-party intermediaries, on multiple occasions, in an effort to resolve this matter peacefully.

The FBI stressed today it has continued to consult a variety of non-federal experts in its effort to find ways to persuade the Freeman to negotiate and reach a peaceful resolution. For example, Dr. Philip Arnold, director of the Religious Crisis Task Force of Houston, conferred in Jordan this week with FBI officials and received detailed briefings on the Freeman case.

Generators placed a short distance from the ranch occupied by the Freeman remain available to ensure that electric services to neighboring farms is not disrupted should the power to the ranch be disconnected.

The emergency rescue vehicles are located at the law enforcement command post at the county fairgrounds near Jordan.

End of Fax

The Milita of Montana says, and I quote, "We are watching the situation very carefully."

If you have any questions, contact them. They are there. They know what's going on. 406-847-2735 or 406-847-2246

Paula Demers

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060308 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[More or less current events -- worth reading.] PIML

=====

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 96 01:55 BST-1

From: d8782@cix.compulink.co.uk (David Canfil)

Subject: Even some yankees are rumbling

Reply-To: republic-of-texas@colossus.net

Even yankees are becoming a lot more sensitive to States Rights  
and regional sovereignty issues:

Ohio Gov. George Voinovich: "Congress has bankrupted the federal  
government and now they are trying to bankrupt state and local  
governments."

MOST FEDERAL MANDATES ARE IN WELFARE, SOCIAL SERVICES AND ESPECIALLY  
MEDICAID PROGRAMS. HUMAN SERVICES MANDATES COST OHIO \$234.1 MILLION IN  
1992 AND ARE PROJECTED TO COST \$331.2 MILLION IN 1995. (+40%)

ENVIRONMENTAL MANDATE COSTS ROSE FROM \$16.6 million in 1992.  
THEY ARE PROJECTED TO RISE TO NEARLY \$56 million in 1997. (+62%)

TRANSPORTATION MANDATE COSTS WERE \$4.9 million in 1992. They are  
projected to rise to nearly \$56 million in 1997. (+1100%) (Department of  
Transportation handed down final rules to the Omnibus Transportation  
Employee Testing Act of 1991 mandating drug and alcohol testing of all  
municipal employees for towns of more than 50 employees by 1/1/95 and  
less than 50 by 1/1/96.)



"The reason so much decision-making has been moved to Washington is because that's the way bureaucrats and public policy groups want it." says Roger Pilon, director of the Center for Constitutional Studies at the Cato Institute. "Over the past 40 years, a huge army of central planners have come to Washington, become ensconced in the political branches, and is now seeking to impose its vision of the good society upon the country."

The three reasons for going directly to Washington are:

You don't have to run 50 different campaigns to get things through legislatures.

Any rule made in Washington trumps state law to date, giving people with influence in Washington enormous leverage.

You don't run into grass-roots opposition in Washington.

The following is the Ohio General Assembly 10th Amendment Resolution:

LSC      120 2264 - 1

120th General Assembly Regular Session 1993-1994

Joint Resolution:

To claim sovereignty on behalf of the State of Ohio over all powers not granted to the federal government by the United States Constitution.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF OHIO:

WHEREAS, The Tenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States

reads as follows: "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people"; and

WHEREAS, The Tenth Amendment defines the total scope of federal power as being that specifically granted by the United States Constitution and no more; and

WHEREAS, The scope of the power defined by the Tenth Amendment means that the federal government was created by the states specifically to be an agent of the states; and

WHEREAS, Today, in 1994, the states are demonstrably treated as agents of the federal government; and

WHEREAS, Numerous resolutions have been forwarded to the federal government by the Ohio General Assembly without any response or result from Congress or the federal government; and

WHEREAS, Many federal mandates are directly in violation of the Tenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States; and

WHEREAS, The United States Supreme Court has ruled in *New York v. United States*, 112 S. Ct. 2408 (1992), that Congress may not simply commandeer the legislative and regulatory processes of the states; and

WHEREAS, A number of proposals from previous administrations and some now pending from the present administration and from Congress may further violate the United States Constitution; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the State of Ohio hereby claims sovereignty under the Tenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States over all powers

not otherwise enumerated and granted to the federal government by the United States Constitution; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this Resolution serve as notice and demand to the federal government, as our agent, to cease and desist, effective immediately, from imposing mandates that are beyond the scope of its constitutionally delegated powers; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Legislative Clerk of the House of Representatives transmit duly authenticated copies of this Resolution to the President of the United States, to the Speaker and Clerk of the United States House of Representatives, to the President Pro Tempore and Secretary of the United States Senate, to the speaker of the house and the president of the senate of every other state legislature, to the members of Ohio's Congressional delegation, and to the news media of Ohio.

=====

A Speech by Lt. Harry Thomas, Cincinnati Police Division,  
Fountain Square, Cincinnati, Ohio. Delivered February 27, 1994.

Welcome to the People's Republic of Cincinnati!

As usual, since I am speaking publicly, I must make the following disclaimer: I am not speaking to you as an official spokesman or representative of the Cincinnati Police Division. If I don't say that, I'm liable to have visitors waiting for me when I get back to work.

For the past 21 years, I have been a member of the Cincinnati Police Division. On three occasions, I have sworn a solemn oath; once when I was promoted from cadet to patrolman, once when I was promoted from patrolman to sergeant, and yet again when I was promoted from sergeant

to lieutenant. That oath was to support the Constitution of The United States of America.

I have buried almost a dozen of my fellow police officers who died defending that oath. The last one died right before my eyes in the major trauma room of University Hospital. I signed the receipt for his body so that he could be transported to the morgue. I think about those men often, and I think about what they died for. And that is why I become furiously angry when I see our Constitution, the most remarkable document ever written in the course of human existence, being used as toilet paper at every level of government.

The Brady Bill is now a reality. For the first time in the history of our country, American citizens must request the government's permission to exercise a constitutional right. And if the government sees its way clear to grant permission, we must wait 5 days to exercise the right.

But even this is not enough to please our keepers in Sodom-By-The-Potomac. Gun laws are not being passed quickly enough to suit our federal law enforcement agencies, so they have formulated their own plan to discourage gun ownership.

In Ruby Ridge, Idaho, Sammy Weaver, age 14, the son of Randy Weaver, a man who had taken his family to the mountains to escape the tyranny of a government run amok, was hunting in the forest near the Weaver cabin with his dog. He wasn't the only person hunting in that forest that day. Sammy Weaver was ambushed and fatally shot in the back by two United States Marshals. And lest anyone accuse the US Marshals of not being thorough in the performance of their assigned tasks, I would point out that they also shot the dog, also in the back.

Later, Vicki Weaver, Randy's wife and the mother of the Weaver children,

opened the door of the Weaver cabin to admit her husband, who had been in a nearby shed to visit the body of his son. Vicki Weaver was holding her 10 month old infant daughter in her arms. That proved to be only a slight inconvenience to FBI sniper Lon Horiuchi, as he shot Vicki Weaver through the head. She fell dead to the floor, her skull exploded, still clutching her daughter in her lifeless arms. It would appear that it is now a capital offense to be the son, wife, or dog of a gun owner.

Waco. "Waco" is a word which, among American patriots, engenders the same anguished feelings of outrage as the word "Alamo." Last year, at the NRA Convention in Nashville, my wife and I returned to our hotel room and flipped on CNN to see the latest developments in Waco. The Branch Davidian compound was burning. My wife cried. She knew that there were many children in that compound. She asked me why. Why are they burning the compound? I told her the simple truth: They have to burn it. Has anyone here seen and read the Waco search warrant affidavit? It's crap. It didn't establish enough probable cause to even knock on the Branch Davidian's door.

When the FBI took over from the BATF (which some people say actually stands for Burn All Toddlers First) they knew that they would find no illegal weapons in the Branch Davidian compound. They were between a rock and a hard place. 4 ATF men dead, and an unknown number of Branch Davidians dead, the FBI had only one choice: destroy the compound, so that no one could ever prove whether illegal weapons were present or not.

For hours, the FBI pumped supposedly non-lethal CS gas into the compound. Those of us in law enforcement and the military know differently. CS gas, in high concentrations in an enclosed area, is lethal. The first ones to be affected, by vomiting, convulsions, unconsciousness and death, would be the children. The same children that the feds claimed they were trying to rescue from the evil cultists. The

same children that local Texas authorities found to be happy and healthy under the care of the Branch Davidians.

The FBI did not pump CS gas into the Branch Davidian compound to force its occupants to come out. They pumped that gas in to make sure the occupants couldn't come out. Dead gun owners, and dead gun owners' children, tell no tales.

The time has come for us to openly discuss something that up to this time we have mainly whispered about. The purpose of the 2nd amendment is to threaten the government. The framers of our Constitution knew that government is a necessary evil, which, as in the case of the British government, could easily become more evil than necessary. The Founding Fathers wanted to ensure that should that situation again come to pass, the American people would have the capability to reclaim their country by force of arms.

I believe that we are dangerously close to that day when we will have to use the 2nd Amendment in exactly the manner that our forefathers anticipated. When I was a boy, my father could buy firearms through the mail. It was rightly believed at that time that such a transaction was the business of the buyer, the gun dealer, and no one else. I lost that right with the passage of the GCA 68 [Gun Control Act of 1968]. In my lifetime, I have been able to walk into a gun store, select a handgun, and walk out of that store with that gun in my hand. My children lost that right with the passage of the Brady Bill. I'm not giving up any more rights.

I sincerely hope that a political solution to this problem is still possible, and I will continue to work on the NRA Board of Directors to try to find that solution. But if that solution cannot be found, I say this to the megalomaniacs in Washington:

Pass your gun laws. I will not beg the government for a license to continue to be a handgun owner. I will not submit to being fingerprinted, or photographed, or interrogated like a criminal for claiming my birthright as a free American. I will not register a single gun that I own. I will not surrender a single gun that I own. I will not apply for an "arsenal" license because I own more than 20 guns or more than a thousand rounds of ammunition. I will not attend mandatory safety training, nor will I submit to a test to prove that I'm fit to be a gun owner.

And Miss Reno, I have this to say to you: If you send your jack-booted, baby-burning bushwhackers to confiscate my guns, pack them a lunch; it will be a damned long day. The Branch Davidians were amateurs; I'm a professional.

Patrick Henry, while addressing the Virginia House of Burgesses on March 23, 1775, put these concepts into words in a manner far better than I can ever hope to:

"Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take, but as for me, GIVE ME LIBERTY OR GIVE ME DEATH!"

=====

Date: Mon, 3 Jun 96 01:54 BST-1  
From: d8782@cix.compulink.co.uk (David Canfil)  
Subject: Diverse rumbles  
Reply-To: republic-of-texas@colossus.net

The following article is from the Casper Star-Tribune on 5/21/94,  
Casper, Wyoming.

Summit tries to fuel states' rights renewal

PHOENIX (AP) In Arizona, they want to sue the federal government. In New Mexico, they want to rewrite the 10th Amendment to the Constitution. In Utah, they're willing to give up millions of dollars.

All want relief from federal control.

They are governors, legislators, county commissioners, and officials of varying capacities in 18 states, and they're not happy.

"I think it has something to do with Western mentality and Western culture," said Graham County Sheriff Richard Mack, whose lawsuit over the federal Brady gun law prompted Arizona to consider joining him.

"We don't want our lives dictated to us, especially by Eastern Washington bureaucrats," Mack said.

About 350 representatives met for the Western States' Summit on Thursday and Friday. Although discussions centered on Western issues of grazing, water rights and timberlands, some of its leaders said they hope its theme of winning back states' rights catches on nationally.

Arizona Gov. Fife Symington urged the participants to join him in earmarking funds to sue the federal government over regulations deemed intrusive on states' rights.

Arizona already has sued the federal government for the cost of imprisoning illegal aliens convicted of felonies or other crimes.

Symington joked that the only reason he isn't for secession from the



union is because his state doesn't have a seaport. But there were serious discussions regarding secession or abolishing federal government.

"I do not deny that what we have in mind here is revolutionary thought, but what we're trying to do is strengthen the country, not tear it apart," Symington said.

Although attendees said the idea of stopping federal intrusion is catching on nationwide, it's of particular potency in the West. There mandates affect land issues, and some states have more than 80 percent of their land controlled by the government.

"In Wyoming, we don't have Silicon Valley, or a manufacturing base. All we have is our natural resources," said Rep. Carolyn Paseneaux, R-Casper.

Besides the economic impact, land issues hit the heart.

"If you grow up in New York City and your feet never touch the earth, you don't have that feeling, that tenacity to hold on," Paseneaux said. "It's very easy to make a decision about something you never have to live with yourself."

Even so, the nation might not rally around land issues, which are complex and dry, said Marta Agee, a Nevada land commissioner from Lincoln City. She said it's hard enough getting urban residents of Nevada interested.

=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060307 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

=====

Date: Fri, 31 May 1996 15:01:54 -0400 (EDT)

From: jwhitley@inforamp.net (John K. Whitley)

Subject: TORONTO STAR runs major article on secret Bilderberg meeting

Apparently-To: <butterb@sagenet.net>

TORONTO STAR RUNS MAJOR ARTICLE ON

SECRET TORONTO BILDERBERG MEETING!

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: John Whitley

416-481 4848

fax 416-322 7238

e-mail: jwhitley@inforamp.net

TORONTO, 31st May -- The elite and secretive Bilderbergers are not used to choking on their breakfasts, but that's what many of them probably did this morning if they opened today's TORONTO STAR, or tuned into Toronto's 680-NEWS radio station.

The TORONTO STAR ran a virtually full-page story, complete with an illustrated list of the immensely powerful but publicity-shy attendees, on the secretive 1996 Bilderberg Conference on the front page of its widely-read Business Section.

The detailed and revealing story, headlined BIG HITTERS GATHER: Vast Array Of International VIP's Talk Things Over At Secretive Bilderberg '96 In King City, noted that "[Conrad] Black, media baron and chairman of Hollinger Inc., and other permanent members of the group, are unhappy at suggestions by extremists of the left and right that the private

event is part of a system of secret government."

This is the first time in the history of the Bilderberg Conferences that a major newspaper has ever scrutinized them in such a fashion. Normally, Bilderberg meetings are not even mentioned in the main-line media.

Bilderbergers are not accustomed to have to provide explanations to anyone, particularly since certain of their members own or control major metropolitan newspapers, newspaper chains and wire services.

TORONTO STAR Business Reporter John Deverell quoted Black as stating that the secrecy surrounding Bilderberg meetings has "no purpose other than to allow leading citizens to speak their minds openly and freely."

The list of such "leading citizens", who Black stated "attend Bilderberg in a private and not an official capacity", includes among its hand-picked ranks such international financiers as Andrew Soros and David Rockefeller, European bluebloods like the Queens of the Netherlands and Spain, U.S. government officials like Defence Secretary William Perry and Senior Advisor to the President George Stephanopolous, U.S. Senator Sam Nunn, the NATIONAL REVIEW's William Buckley, ex-U.S. government luminaries Lloyd Bentsen [former Treasury Secretary] and Henry Kissinger, and distinguished "international citizens" like Peter Sutherland [former director-general of GATT and the WTO] and World Bank President James Wolfensohn.

Canadian attendees include Frederik Eaton, of the major department store chain; Paul Martin, Finance Minister; Jean Chretien, the Prime Minister of Canada; Red Wilson, Chairman of Bell Canada Enterprises; Ted Rogers, the communications and cable mogul; Al Flood, Chairman of the Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce; and Ontario Premier Mike Harris.

"Security was barely visible at the [King] Ranch yesterday, " Deverell reported. He quoted James Tucker, a reporter for THE SPOTLIGHT newspaper

in Washington D.C., who is an experienced Bilderberger watcher, as commenting "This is very low-key. In Switzerland, where they had this last year, they brought out the Swiss Army."

According to Deverell's detailed report, "This year's topics include the enlargement of the European Union, the problems of former Yugoslavia, relations with China and Russia and obstacles to economic growth."

The TORONTO STAR, a liberal paper with a record of award-winning journalism and superb investigative articles, is one of the few remaining independent newspapers in Canada.

At 7:45 this morning, 680-NEWS, a popular news radio station with a large metro Toronto audience, carried the following report which was re-aired at intervals as part of their news schedule:

Dick Smythe: "Well, this sounds like the plot of a conspiracy movie, as the world's movers and shakers meet in secret. Conrad Black is holding his annual Bilderberg Conference. Here's 680's Karen Parsons...

Reporter: "About one hundred notables, including the Queens of the Netherlands and Spain, along with Henry Kissinger, the U.S. Defence Secretary William Perry and our Prime Minister, have gathered for the conference. Also along, the heads of Ford Motor Company, Xerox, the Bank of Commerce and Reuters. Black says there is a ban on reporters, so discussion will be intimate and candid. He says "exchanges can often be quite heated." Participants are required to take a vow of silence. Last year's conference was held

in three mountaintop luxury hotels in Switzerland.

This year, it's at a \$60 million dollar luxury  
spa in King City."

Canadian Press also distributed a brief report on the previously-secret  
meeting, which was published today by, among other newspapers, the  
TORONTO SUN.

The major Toronto media and news services were first alerted to this  
well-concealed gathering by Press Releases from the Toronto-based NEW  
WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE, the Web site address for which is  
<http://www.inforamp.net/~jwhitley>.

THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE has also learned, through its  
own sources, that the 1996 Bilderberg Conference will also be discussing  
the planned breakup of Canada by way of a 1997 Unilateral Declaration of  
Independence by Quebec, with the ultimate goal being Continental Union  
by the year 2005; the planned privatization sale of Ontario Hydro, a  
source of vast profits for well-placed investors; and certain of the  
Draconian recommendations included in the 1995 Report of the elite's  
Commission on Global Governance, OUR GLOBAL NEIGHBOURHOOD.

Despite the disarming disclaimer that the Bilderberg is just an  
innocuous group of "private citizens", drawn together to discuss the  
world's ills and opportunities privately and therefore uninhibitedly,  
solid evidence exists that this is not the case.

In his groundbreaking 1966 1300-page book, TRAGEDY AND HOPE, Professor  
Carroll Quigley, a distinguished faculty member at the Georgetown  
University School of Foreign Service and a member of "insider" elite  
organizations sharing membership with the Bilderbergers, confirmed that  
an international "network" of wealthy and influential personages did  
indeed secretly control world events through private conferences,

consensus, and concerted action. He wrote:

"This network, which we may identify as the Round Table Groups, has no aversion to cooperating with the Communists or any other group, and frequently does so. I know of the operations of this network because I have studied it for twenty years and was permitted, for two years, in the early 1960's, to examine its papers and secret records. I have no aversion to it or to most of its aims and I have, for much of my life, been close to it and to many of its instruments."

No one can accuse Dr. Quigley of having been either an "extremist" or a "conspiratorialist." His students spoke of him in awed tones as "a genius." One of his more distinguished students, President Bill Clinton [who was "anointed" for the Presidency by the Bilderbergers at their June, 1991 Baden-Baden meeting] has referred to Professor Quigley publicly on several occasions as having had an outstanding and formative influence on his view of the world.

Professor Quigley summarized this "network's" grand plan in this way:

"Their aim is nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. The system was to be controlled in a feudalistic fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences."

Thus, the secrecy which surrounds Bilderberger meetings.

Not surprisingly, TRAGEDY AND HOPE, though written by Professor Quigley with the aim of recruiting support among more "enlightened" academics, publishers, politicians, labour leaders and business magnates for a planned New World Order, the foundations of which had already largely been laid, revealed too much. Within months of its publication, it was abruptly withdrawn by Macmillan, its publishers.

Additional information on the Bilderbergers and their background can be found at Paul Angus Sullivan's Web page at <http://ezmail.net> [scroll down to the section entitled "Russ Limbaugh's Greatest Fears"]. Paul, the President of Toronto's GLOBAL MULTIMEDIA, has been engaged in an on-going public Internet debate on this topic with Rush Limbaugh, the well-known American talk-show host. Paul can be reached by journalists at 416-483 8317 [mornings] and at 416-226 0317 [afternoons].

Journalists interested in finding additional documentary sources on Quebec separation, the planned breakup of Canada, and the continent-reshaping elite-sponsored James Bay-U.S. GRAND CANAL water diversion scheme will find these archived at Jeff Koftinoff's GRAND CANAL Web site at: <http://www.xmission.com/~seer/jdksoftware/canal.html> [scroll down to the "Main Documents and Interviews" section].

Please note that, after sustained Internet exposure of the secret GRAND CANAL project during the last Quebec referendum, Premier Jaques Parizeau announced that the final, necessary, expansion of the James Bay dam system was "cancelled". A subsequent Quebec Hydro Press Release, however, declared it only "postponed until after 2005" - the projected date for Canada-U.S. Continental Union! The GRAND CANAL project will turn Canada's fresh water resources into a river of "liquid gold" for its elite backers, some of whom are Bilderberg members.



- 30 -

=====

From: "Steve Wingate" <steve@linex2.linex.com>  
Date: Sat, 1 Jun 1996 20:27:27 -0700  
Subject: (Fwd) TORONTO STAR continues coverage of secret Toronto Bilder  
Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

----- Forwarded Message Follows -----

Date: Sat, 1 Jun 1996 13:58:57 -0400 (EDT)  
From: jwhitley@inforamp.net (John K. Whitley)  
Subject: TORONTO STAR continues coverage of secret Toronto Bilderberg Conference

TORONTO STAR CONTINUES COVERAGE OF BILDERBERG  
MEETINGS: SECRECY MAINTAINED!

Toronto Bilderberg meeting      Contact: John Whitley  
416-481 4868/fax: 416-322 7238  
e-mail: jwhitley@inforamp.net

TORONTO, June 1st -- THE TORONTO STAR continued its unprecedented coverage of the secretive 1996 Bilderberg meeting with a large full-colour aerial photograph of the private \$60 million resort ranch which is the site of their conference prominently displayed across the front page of the paper's Business Section. The bold print caption read HEAVY SECRECY AT VIP CENTRAL.

The Bilderbergers, an elite, publicity-shy group of 120 of the world's

wealthiest and most influential people, meet annually in different parts of the world for private discussions. No list of attendees is usually released, no agenda is published, and the participants are sworn to secrecy. Bilderberger meetings are not usually even mentioned by the major media.

The TORONTO STAR's persistent and professional coverage of this story has been record-breaking in several ways: they are the first major newspaper ever to scrutinize a Bilderberg Conference in such a courageous and critical fashion; their coverage has resulted in the first-ever listing of attendees at an on-going Conference; and they appear to have prompted a Bilderberg spokesman, for the first time in the organization's secretive history, to produce an "agenda" for the elite group's discussions. Since journalists are barred from the meetings, however, and since no final decisions are ever released to the Press, there is of course no way in which the accuracy or completeness of such an agenda can be verified.

Conference participants include European royalty; major international financiers and supranational agency chairmen; key U.S., Canadian and European politicians; a representative of President Clinton [who was "anointed" for the Presidency by the Bilderbergers at their 1991 Baden-Baden meeting, which he attended]; and assorted titans of industry and commerce.

Their four-day, private and closely-guarded meeting at the Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce's luxurious King Ranch "leadership centre", outside King City, just north of Toronto, ends on June 2nd.

Toronto and other media were first alerted to this well-concealed meeting by Press Releases from the Toronto-based NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE, the Web page address for which is <http://www.inforamp.net/~jwhitley>.

In a separate story headlined BILDERBERG SECRECY LID INTACT, John Deverell, a business reporter for the TORONTO STAR, noted that "THE SPOTLIGHT, a right-wing populist newsweekly based in Washington, D.C., for example, claims that Bilderberg is part of a larger scheme to squelch nationalism in Europe and the United States, and establish a European superstate that will join an 'America Union and an Asia-Pacific Union'".

For example, financial titan David Rockefeller, who is attending the meeting, has expressed a desire to see NAFTA spread "from Alaska to Chile."

Deverell also quotes an lengthy Internet summary on the Bilderbergers posted by Brian Redman, which included the assertion that "The role of the Bilderberg group is a one-world totalitarian, socialist government and economic system." Brian is a frequent and highly-respected Internet contributor.

This assertion, as noted in yesterday's Press Release, has been confirmed by Professor Carroll Quigley, a distinguished member of the faculty of Georgetown University School of Foreign Service, in his revealing 1966 book, TRAGEDY AND HOPE. The massive 1300-page scholarly volume was abruptly withdrawn by Macmillan months after being published. Professor Quigley, who was associated with this group, has been spoken of in terms of adulation by one of his most prominent ex- students, President Bill Clinton, who has said repeatedly and publicly that Quigley shaped his view of the world. Professor Quigley was in agreement with the aims of the Bilderbergers, and supported them.

Journalists seeking detailed background information on the Bilderberg and its members will find an excellent, detailed article on the

organization at the NEXUS MAGAZINE Web site, the address of which is <http://www.peg.apc.org/~nexus/> [this site is also mirrored, for U.S. and Canadian readers, at <http://www.icom.net/~nexus/>]: scroll down the first, index, page until you come to the item "Article on the Bilderbergers from the Dec. '95 - Jan. '96 Issue of Nexus Magazine.

As reported in our previous Press Releases, THE NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE has also learned from its own sources that one of the items on the agenda of this year's Bilderberg Conference is a prearranged Unilateral Declaration of Independence by Quebec, leading to the breakup of Canada and its eventual absorption into Continental Union with the United States by the year 2005. We anticipate, for a variety of reasons, that this UDI will be declared between February and April, 1997.

When we first shared information on the planned destruction of Canada with Canadian journalists and media outlets, over three years ago, it was greeted with incredulity. However, since then our assertions have been confirmed in virtually every detail from an entirely unexpected direction.

Lansing Lamont, for 10 years Managing Director of the Canadian Desk of the Americas Society [a Rockefeller organization] published a 1994 book entitled BREAKUP: THE COMING END OF CANADA AND THE STATES FOR AMERICA. In a chapter entitled "ADIEU QUEBEC" he confirmed to the last detail every one of our prior assertions, which had been drawn from other, unimpeachable private sources.

The scenario basically is as follows:

- \* Quebec declares UDI. This removes the impediment otherwise faced in attempting to merge a bi-lingual country [Canada] with a uni-lingual one [the U.S.], even as it provides the trigger for

the catastrophic and irreversible breakup of Canada.

- \* the Cree Indians, unwilling to remain in an independent Quebec, rise up in the Ungava Rebellion, appeal to the Canadian government to honour Crown treaties with the Cree, and attempt to retain their lands and loyalty to Canada.
- \* a three-way civil war erupts, involving the Cree, Quebec and Canadian Forces. The Cree seize the massive James Bay hydro-generating facilities in Northern Quebec and sabotage them, causing massive power outages in Quebec which ripple through the grid down the entire east coast of North America.
- \* the U.S. government, under heavy pressure at home, sends the U.S. Army's 10th Mountain Division, a crack 10,000 shock assault Division permanently stationed at Fort Drum, N.Y., just south of the international bridge near Cornwall, Ontario, into Canada to "assist" the overwhelmed Canadian forces and to "re-take" James Bay.
- \* at the conclusion of the conflict, the massive James Bay fresh-water reservoir [which, at 500 miles by 121 miles, fed by 20 Arctic rivers, is the largest in the world] and the huge hydro-electrical generating facilities are purchased from the Cree, on whose land they are, for "pennies on the dollar" by the elite-backed GRAND CANAL CORPORATION, some of whose owners are Bilderbergers.
- \* Canadians, dispirited by a shattered country, are offered a new "National Dream" - the construction of the massive GRAND CANAL infrastructure, which will channel James Bay fresh water to the Great Lakes, from there to go down the Mississippi and other river systems to Texas and northern Mexico; a second section will funnel

water out of Lake Superior westward to Lake Diefenbaker and the Rafferty-Alameda dams, from where it will be piped down to the dry southwestern states. The continuing profits will be astronomical.

\* Canada, by now broken up into regions, will slowly drift into the embrace of the United States by 2005, in full Continental Union. The crippling public debt associated with GRAND CANAL construction will have impoverished the nation indescribably and will have led to a series of financial crises.

Though he was apparently careful not to mention the massive GRAND CANAL project and its backers, Lamont confirmed the outline above in every other key detail.

Since the NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE editor extensively publicized this huge secret water-diversion scheme on the Internet, during the last Quebec Referendum campaign, the elite appear to have modified their strategy. As one of his last official acts before resigning, Quebec Premier Jacques Parizeau announced that the final, essential dam project in the James Bay reservoir system had been cancelled. A subsequent Hydro Quebec Press Release later modified that statement by saying that it had been "postponed until after 2005."

Those recipients of the printed edition of this Press Release who still find this too incredible to believe should examine with care the accompanying maps of the entire GRAND CANAL project drawn from ex-Quebec Premier Robert Bourassa's book, POWER FROM THE NORTH. Bourassa was reported by the reliable WASHINGTON OBSERVER NEWSLETTER to have attended the 1971 Bilderberg Conference at the Rockefeller- owned Woodstock Inn, in Vermont, along with Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau. His book appeared after that meeting.

Interested journalists can find background documents and interviews on

the GRAND CANAL project and the breakup of Canada archived at Jeff Koftinoff's excellent GRAND CANAL web site at:  
<http://www.xmission.com/~seer/jdksoftware/canal.html>.

When decisions and projects of this magnitude are being discussed,  
Bilderberger secrecy is not a luxury but a necessity!

-30-

Anomalous Images and UFO Files

<http://www.linex.com/ufo/>

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to [majordomo@alterzone.com](mailto:majordomo@alterzone.com)

-> Posted by: "Steve Wingate" <[steve@linex2.linex.com](mailto:steve@linex2.linex.com)>

=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.





PIML 96060306 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Inaccuracies pointed out on CBS' Ruby Ridge Show.] PIML

=====

Date: Fri, 31 May 1996 12:46:06 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: James Bovard on CBS's "Ruby Ridge: An American Tragedy"

Reply-To: libernet-d@listserv.rmii.com

[Note: From Matthew Gaylor: James Bovard is the author of "Shakedown: How the Government Screws You From A to Z; "Lost Rights: The Destruction of American Liberty; "The Fair Trade Fraud; and "The Farm Fiasco". A regular contributor to "The Wall Street Journal, his editorials also appear in "The New York Times", "The Washington Post", "Newsweek", "Playboy", and "The New Republic". Jim has been at the forefront of calling for full investigations into the Randy Weaver case and the Waco disaster. George Will described him as "a one-man truth squad who is more than a match for tendentious legions of protectionists in American government and industry."

Jim Bovard is a subscriber to Freematt's Alerts and I had the pleasure to personally meet him in Chicago at the memorial weekend conference (5-25-96) of The Future of Freedom Foundation. Jim is one of the most knowledgeable individuals anywhere on government abuses. I asked Jim to write a list of the inaccuracies of the recent two part CBS's made for TV Movie; "RUBY RIDGE: AN AMERICAN TRAGEDY".]

###

(c) copyright James Bovard 1996

Here's my take on the CBS series. I don't want to trash it completely; I don't know how hard the producers may have had to work to get even a version of this case that was even mildly critical of the government on network TV. The following comments are a rough but have perhaps a few insights. I am sure that there are other inaccuracies in the film which I may have missed; if folks have other observations on the film, I'd be happy to hear them.

\* \* \* \* \*

The CBS Miniseries on Ruby Ridge last week may help alert folks who know little or nothing about the Weaver case to government entrapment and FBI sniping abuses. Parts of the series were quite strong; unfortunately, there were several aspects of the series that were painfully inaccurate.

The scenes where the sniper kills Vicki Weaver makes the window on the door appear to be opaque; the reality was otherwise. The Justice Department in late October sent a team of investigators back to Ruby Ridge to reconstruct Horiuchi's angle of vision for the shootings. One Idaho expert observed in a November 22 interview, "When you look through the scope [of Horiuchi's rifle] at the door - you can see a wedding ring on the hand of someone standing behind the window of the door. You can see someone standing back there with great resolution and great visibility."

For instance, CBS made it appear that the FBI never knew that Vicki Weaver had been gunned down by Lon Horiuchi; in reality, the family was screaming out that she had been thought within minutes of the killing - and the FBI had planted bugs right by the cabin so they could hear the inside conversation. During the 11 day siege, FBI agents taunted the Weavers, broadcasting through a microphone near the cabin: "Good morning Mrs. Weaver, We had pancakes for breakfast. What did you have?"

CBS made it appear that the FBI officials felt bad when they learned about Vicki Weaver's "accidental" death. On the night of the killing, Horiuchi was debriefed by an FBI expert and made a sketch of his target for the second shot. While Freeh has claimed that Horiuchi shot at a man who was running into the cabin at the time, Horiuchi's drawing showed his cross hairs just above an upright head clearly visible through the window of the open cabin door. At the time that Horiuchi fired, Kevin Harris - his alleged target - was running into the cabin and would not have been in a fixed upright position. But Vicki Weaver was standing in the doorway holding her baby.

If the FBI thought the killing of Vicki Weaver was an accident, they likely would not have told so many preposterous lies to justify the shooting. As the Senate hearings revealed, FBI assistant special agent Thomas Miller's official report on the shooting falsely claimed that Vicki Weaver had been in the front yard of the cabin pointing a gun at helicopters before she was slain, though she never left the cabin during the time at question. The FBI report noted, "This female, however, did pose an immediate threat to the circling helicopter... the use of deadly force was justified in that she willfully placed herself in harm's way by attempting to assist Harris, and so doing, overtly contributed to the immediate threat which continued to exist against the helicopter crew and approaching HRT personnel." But all that Vicki Weaver did was stand in the doorway of a cabin, shouting for her husband and his friend to hurry back into their home after the FBI sniper shot her husband.

After the FBI sniper had gunned down all the adults in the cabin, the CBS series showed an FBI official going up to the cabin in an armored personnel carrier and calling for Randy Weaver to come out and talk on a telephone. This was one of the starkest misrepresentations in the entire film. In reality, the FBI sent a robot up to the cabin - a robot holding

a 12-gauge shotgun in one arm and a phone in the other arm outside the cabin. FBI siege negotiators continually demanded that Weaver come out of his cabin, pick up the phone, and talk to the FBI agents at the other end of the line. But the robot's shotgun was pointing right at the door. FBI press spokesmen repeatedly complained to the news media during the 11-day siege that Weaver refused to negotiate - but never mentioned the shotgun. The FBI robot was one of the hottest issues in last Fall's Senate hearings, but, somehow, CBS went creative on this key point.

In general, CBS did a fair job of hinting at some of the Marshals' lies and shenanigans; but, when it came to the FBI, the series was deferential to the point of trying to stick the viewers' head into the ground.

The CBS series left out all the numerous different scenes from the trial where the federal judge condemned the FBI and Justice Department for fabricating evidence or obstructing evidence. The CBS Series showed the same federal prosecutor apparently making his case throughout the trial; in reality, the lead federal prosecutor had a mental breakdown in court shortly before he was supposed to begin his final summation to the jury - apparently even he was appalled by all the lies the government had told. The representation of the trial seemed extremely soft on the government, compared to the comments made by Federal Judge Lodge and the jury foreman after the trial.

And, while CBS used the Weavers' names and the names of family friend Kevin Harris, none of the names of federal agents were used - even those FBI agents who may be indicted in the next few months by the federal grand jury hearing evidence in Washington.

(c) copyright James Bovard 1996

###

\*\*\*\*\*

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: [freematt@coil.com](mailto:freematt@coil.com) with the words subscribe FA  
on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-30 messages per week)  
Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

\*\*\*\*\*

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060305 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Another recently revealed JFK film.] PIML

=====

Date: Fri, 31 May 1996 16:00:01 -0700 (PDT)

To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>

From: ftbrady@cosmoslink.net (Frank T. Brady)

Subject: Re: PIML 96053110 - More on NEW Footage JFK (RIFLE)

For PIML if applicable:

Do you gentlemen have "contacts" in the JFK conspiracy investigation genre?

I ask only because I've been following your thread on the scope/no-scope thing and I know there are some super serious "amateur" investigators that seemed to have made this their life work. I saw some of their stuff on CompuServe a couple of years ago.

It would be very helpful for all of you to keep in touch -- I would love to know what they would think about this scope business.

Here my "contacts" (The ones without a name had contributed articles or made comments about the JFK matter, but never responded to my mail).

nssc@inlink.com (Nick Ivanovich)

75720.2644@compuserve.com (Bob Harris)\*

76004.2356 "

74656.2333 " (Paul J. Burke)

76217.1053 "

71712.2151 "

|            |   |                           |
|------------|---|---------------------------|
| 76616.3143 | " | (David F. Chastain)       |
| 73247.1414 | " |                           |
| 74274.650  | " |                           |
| 76513.1730 | " | (Ronald T. Hannivig)      |
| 71574.3311 | " | (Officer Craig Roberts)** |

**\*NOTE:**

Bob Harris is a serious researcher, he has been on many talk shows and sells a cross reference CD ROM to researchers.

**\*\*NOTE:**

Officer Craig Roberts wrote two books on the Kennedy assassination ("Kill Zone" and "JFK: The Dead Witnesses").

He may be reached by telephone at (918) 591-4083.

If no answer, please leave any message on voice mail.

Mail can reach Officer Roberts at:

Tulsa Police Air Support Unit  
Terminal Building  
Tulsa Downtown Airpark  
1200 W. 36th St. North  
Tulsa, OK 74127

[illegible]

I'm including the query I sent to all of the above when a new tourist film was found a few months before this latest film find.

I hope these contacts prove useful if you want to share information and opinions.

Best Regards,

Frank T. Brady

[illegible]

Subject: New JFK tourist film

This is addressed to most of those on CompuServe that have contributed the JFK conspiracy articles that I have enjoyed for the past year or so.

I have scanned unsuccessfully for some mention of the new JFK assassination film recently announced by the tourist who has kept it secret for all these years.

This seems to me to be the biggest bombshell since the assassination, since even the mainstream media pundits acknowledged that it appears to confirm that shots were indeed fired from the grassy knoll.

I can understand why the media dropped that story immediately thereafter, but it is puzzling that none of you have taken it up.

Is someone making sure this lady is interviewed? Is her film being copied and protected?

## Why the silence?

Frank T. Brady

=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List



- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060304 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[For those who are bugged by buggers planting bugs.] PIML

=====

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: Undercover Bugging Operational Frequencies

Date: Sat, 01 Jun 96 17:18:00 EST

I thought for you who have an interest I would forward this  
listing of undercover frequencies that I use on a daily basis  
along with my mobile and base scanners. Who knows what  
you will find around your own area?

Roger Cravens

-----

Created: 27 August 1993

Modified: 16 March 1995

Modified: 15 May 1996

Bugging Frequencies

Major "Real-World" Bugging Frequencies

Over the years I have had numerous TSCM and intelligence people ask for  
an outline of the RF spectrum used by bugging devices. Bugging devices  
can appear on any frequency between DC and light, this list covers the  
more common bugging frequencies that tend to be used.

The frequencies that follow are all based on hard documentation  
(catalogs, intel reports, technical materials, etc...). For the purpose

of intelligence analysis the Source Reliability Scale should be considered A, and the Data Validity Scale should be rated as 1.

This list is updated every two or three weeks, please forward (via US Mail) any technical materials you would like to see reflected in the list.

Remember to check the entire RF spectrum, not just specific frequencies; however, keep in mind that people doing buggings like to stay clustered around certain frequencies.

Summary (this will catch 99.99% of all Non-Intell/Diplomatic RF bugs)

All TSCM Inspections should include the following frequencies:

(Expect to see less than .5-25 mw maximum at the TX antenna)

(Do not expect the signal to be present for more than 20uS-100uS)

To find RF transmitters a search grid of roughly 15 foot by 15 foot (the size of an average office) should be used.

All phone rooms, riser closets, demarcs, boots, etc. MUST be checked.

Remember that the microphone/camera can be hundreds of feet away from the transmitter.

Use a digital spectrum analyzer and a wideband receiver with an analog 10.7/21.4mhz SDU on the IF, dispersions of 100/200/500khz).

RF Spectrum Analysis and monitoring should take place for at least twelve solid hours during a regular sweep (72 hours or more is preferred).

To prepare for IPM the spectrum should be evaluated/monitored for at

least four hours prior to the event.

Most non-gvt RF bugs will have a transmit frequency between 9khz and 6ghz.

The Spectrum Analyzer inspection must cover at least 3khz to 40 ghz

|                      |                      |                                   |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| VLF Detection-Audio  | .3 khz to 100 khz    | Video Cameras &<br>Tape recorders |
| VLF Detection-RF     | 12 khz to 500 khz    | Video Cameras &<br>Tape recorders |
| VLF Detection-Audio  | .3 khz to 150 khz    | Microphone/Ultrasonic<br>Chirping |
| Carrier Current Bugs | 3 khz to 50 mhz      | 99% Voice                         |
| Carrier Current Bugs | 20 mhz to 300 mhz    | 99% Voice<br>(AC Mains Antenna)   |
| RF Bugs-HF           | 3 khz to 50 mhz      | 99% Voice                         |
| Space RF Bugs-VHF    | 30 mhz to 300 mhz    | 10% Video/80%<br>Voice/10% Data   |
| Space RF Bugs-UHF    | 300 mhz to 900 mhz   | 25% Video/60%<br>Voice/15% Data   |
| RF Bugs-Microwave1   | 900 mhz to 3.0 ghz   | 70% Video/20%<br>Voice/10% Data   |
| RF Bugs-Microwave2   | 3 ghz to 18.5 ghz    | 75% Video/15%<br>Voice/10% Data   |
| RF Bugs-Microwave3   | 18.5 ghz to 40.0 ghz | 75% Video/ 5%<br>Voice/20% Data   |

...and of Course Infrared! (Look for "IR Blooms")

700 - 1100nm Audio Transmitters/Lasers (CF between 880 and 950nm)

750 - 900nm Night Vision Illuminators

900 - 1710nm IR Video Illuminators

Note:

Most military/intelligence and governmental TSCM inspections look for devices between 3khz to 220/300mhz for Carrier Current, 20khz to 40ghz for regular free space RF radio devices, and directional microwave devices from .3ghz to 110/120ghz.

Common Wireless Bugs (Low Power - Well under 35-50 mw)

|                       |                                                             |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 44.500 - 51.000 mhz   | "Baby/Room" monitors                                        |
| 72.100 - 75.400 mhz   | Hearing Assistance systems                                  |
| 54.000 - 150.000 mhz  | "Kit" Bugs                                                  |
| 98.000 - 108.000 mhz  | Cheap out-of-band FM Bugs                                   |
| 112.000 - 142.000 mhz | Cheap out-of-band FM Bugs                                   |
| 140.000 - 160.000 mhz | Cheap out-of-band FM Bugs                                   |
| 60.000 - 320.000 mhz  | Low Cost kit bugs                                           |
| 398.000 - 406.000 mhz | DECO Bugs (398.600/605, 399.450/455, 399.025/030, 406mhz)   |
| 25.000 - 450.000 mhz  | European/English Kit Bugs                                   |
| 150.000 - 216.000 mhz | Typical VHF "Body Wire" & Pro-Grade Bugs                    |
| 109.000 - 140.000 mhz | Digital VHF Pro-Grade Bugs                                  |
| 138.000 - 174.000 mhz | Typical "Spy Shop" & LE Cheap VHF Bugs<br>(155-172 Popular) |
| 140.000 - 150.000 mhz | Popular Xandi Flea power kits (143/144mhz)                  |
| 150.000 - 170.000 mhz | Popular Japanese Flea power kits<br>(under 5mw)             |

|                       |                                                       |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 150.000 - 220.000 mhz | Commercial Wireless Microphones                       |
| 169.000 - 172.000 mhz | 47 CFR 90.265 Authorization (VERY Popular)            |
| 174.000 - 216.000 mhz | 47 CFR 2.106 (NG115) Authorization                    |
| 395.000 - 410.000 mhz | German UHF Wireless Microphones<br>(PK Electronics)   |
| 219.000 - 530.000 mhz | English UHF Wireless Microphones<br>(300-500 popular) |
| 470.000 - 608.000 mhz | Commercial Wireless Microphones                       |
| 730.000 - 806.000 mhz | Commercial Wireless Microphones                       |
| 889.000 - 960.000 mhz | Modified Cordless Phones (S/S & Hoppers)              |
| 380.000 - 480.000 mhz | Video Bugs - US                                       |
| 890.000 - 960.000 mhz | Video Bugs - US (902-928 Hot)                         |
| 905.000 - 928.000 mhz | Video/Audio Consumer Products<br>(i.e.: Recoton)      |
| 1.700 - 1.930 ghz     | Video Bugs - US                                       |
| 2.400 - 2.500 ghz     | Video Bugs - US *** VERY Popular ***                  |
| 5.725 - 5.850 ghz     | Video Bugs - US *** VERY Popular ***                  |
| 1.100 - 1.400 ghz     | PAL Video Bugs *Very Hot in<br>England/France/etc*    |
| 2.400 - 2.500 ghz     | PAL Video Bugs *Very Hot in<br>England/France/etc*    |
| 3.500 - 4.500 ghz     | PAL Video Bugs *Very Hot in<br>England/France/etc*    |
| 430 - 550 mhz         | Popular French Video/Audio Bugging System             |
| 950 - 2500 mhz        | Popular French Video/Audio Bugging System             |
| 700 - 900 mhz         | Popular Italian Audio Bugging System                  |

## "Tactical" Bugs

225 - 400 mhz "Throw away" bugs (10uw-300mw Beer can bugs)  
 290 - 330 mhz Micro-powered Bugs (5uw-10mw Cigarette Butt Bugs)

30 - 500 mhz Tactical Repeaters (75mw-300mw)  
 285 - 400 mhz Tactical Repeaters (50mw-10watts)  
 100 - 152 mhz VHF Tactical Repeaters (300mw-25watts)  
 135 - 174 mhz VHF Tactical Repeaters (300mw-25watts)

## VLF and Carrier Current Type Bugs

9khz - 490 khz Carrier Current 47 CFR 15.219 Auth  
 (250 mv max.)

490khz - 1.705 mhz Lossy Cable 47 CFR 15.221 Auth  
 (1000 mv max.)

450khz - 30.00 mhz PLA Systems 47 CFR 15.207 Auth  
 (30 mv@ft/30ft max.)

3 - 200 khz 300 mw High Grade Pro Bugs (over 500\$ each)  
 100 - 200 khz 50-100 mw Older Bugs  
 120 - 200 khz 30-50 mw Pre 1990 intercoms  
 200 - 300 khz 30-50 mw Post 1990 intercoms  
 300 - 400 khz 250-400 mw TELCO Line transmitters (355khz popular)

300hz - 30 khz Cable TV Carrier - Hardwired microphones  
 30khz -300 khz Cable TV Carrier - Coaxial Bugs

100 - 450 khz Threat Window #1 Most CC Bugs  
 3khz - 3 mhz Threat Window #2 Expensive "Pro-Grade" Bugs  
 5khz - 32 mhz Threat Window #3 Popular WJ Carrier Current Rcvr  
 3khz - 50 mhz Threat Window #4 Realistic Threat Window

### for Telephone Wire

15mhz - 70 mhz Threat Window #5 Audio/Video "Micro-Wire"

### RF circuits

WECO Bugs "boosted/ultrasonic audio" found on Power/CATV/Telco lines

64kbps to 1.5mbps Digital Bugs T-Carrier-Lo (T-1 and Below)

1.5mbps to 50mbps Digital Bugs T-Carrier-Hi (T-1 and above)

2.048mbps Digital Bugs Definity Circuit Pack Bug

32mbps Digital Bugs TMS Channel/Backplane bridge

Designed to transmit on CC or Microwave ISM Spread Spectrum (2.4-6.0ghz)

### VLF Devices

25khz - 90khz Tape Recorder Bias Osc-Low End (35-45khz Common)

80khz - 145khz Tape Recorder Bias Osc-Mid Range

(88.2, 96, 100khz Common)

100khz - 325khz Tape Recorder Bias Osc-Pro Grade

(100, 150, 300khz Common)

40khz - 50khz Tape Recorder DAT/Hi8

(44.1/48khz Common)

8khz - 35khz Recorder Audio Servo Noise (10-20khz Common)

7khz - 35khz Video Camera VLF Detectable emissions

15.750 mhz Common NTSC Video Camera

15.734 mhz CCD/PCB Video Camera (very easy to detect)

15.625 mhz Common Video Camera

Also, be aware of ultrasonic/audio emissions from most VLF devices.

(Utilize a EOD/Bomb Tech Stethoscope, and Ultrasonic Spectrum Analyser)

### Commonly Used Law Enforcement Bugging Frequencies



37.000 - 952 mhz FCC Surveillance Blanket Authorization

47 CFR 90.19 "Physical surveillance, stakeouts, raids..."

Straight AM/FM, FM-Subcarrier, SSB, Spread Spectrum, and Hoppers.

If encrypted, it usually uses in-band voice inversion or DES.

(Normally well under 2 watts... most under 35-150mw)

148 - 174 mhz

135 - 250 mhz Out-band Wireless Microphone

174 - 216 mhz In-band Wireless Microphone

350 - 440 mhz Common Video Freqs

380 - 930 mhz Wide Band Hoppers

806 - 824 mhz

851 - 869 mhz

905 - 930 mhz Popular Audio/Video Band

1.4 - 1.5 ghz Common Video Freqs

1.7 - 1.9 ghz Common Video Freqs (1.710 - 1.755 REAL Popular  
at 1/10 watt)

2.4 - 2.5 ghz Common Video Freqs (2.400 - 2.450 REAL Popular)

5.7 - 5.9 ghz Common Video Freqs (5.725 - 5.850 REAL Popular)

8.5 - 10.8 ghz Common Video Freqs

AID - Westinghouse/Audio Intelligence Devices, Inc. Bug Freqs

150 mhz - 174 mhz Standard/Primary Band (Most Popular)

135 mhz - 150 mhz Special Order/Secondary Band

216 mhz - 220 mhz Special Order

400 mhz - 470 mhz UHF Repeaters

36 mhz - 39 mhz Very Low Power WFM (.5mw - 50mw)

\*\*\*Very Dangerous\*\*\*

80 khz - 200 khz "Line Carrier" Microphone Systems

\*\*\*Very Dangerous\*\*\*

1700mhz - 1900mhz 25-250mw Video and audio bugs

(Mostly DEA/DOJ stuff)

2450mhz - 2484mhz 25-250mw Video and audio bugs

If the signal is "scrambled" it is nothing more than simple voice inversion, a circuit to "de-scramble" costs around \$20.

Note: AID devices are often re-tuned for outband channels... so be careful.

The area of spectrum from 35mhz to 500mhz is the primary threat, 500mhz to 3ghz is the secondary threat, a "line carrier" threat is from 30khz to 750khz.

If the person planting the bug suspects that a TSCM may be conducted then AID suggests a frequency between 30mhz to 50mhz, sensitivity of rcvr should be better than .18uv/-122dbm.

Also, keep in mind that AID devices are frequently used for illegal buggings, so be familiar with what their realistic specs are, expect power outputs under 50mw, and expect to see the AC power circuits being used as the antennae.

#### Security Research Bugs

145 mhz - 175 mhz FAST-II-L Computer Bug - 1, 3, 10, 30, 100mw

170 mhz - 204 mhz FAST-II-H Computer Bug - 1, 3, 10, 30, 100mw

60 khz - 200 khz "Line Carrier" Microphone Systems

\*\*\*Very Dangerous\*\*\*

1.1 ghz - 1.4 ghz 250mw Lynx Video/Audio Bugs (L-Band)

2.5 ghz - 2.6 ghz 250mw Lynx Video/Audio Bugs

3.8 ghz - 4.2 ghz 250mw Lynx Video/Audio Bugs

Note1: LYNX video bugs and cameras are frequently built into old bricks, wooden joists, logs, gate posts and such... VERY, VERY Dangerous and easily concealed.

Note2: Most Security Research Bugs utilize fixed frequency inversion to protect against detection, the most commonly used frequency is a 1.862khz inversion point.

173.075 - LOJACK Auto tracking system beacon (200ms burst every second)

Police Speed Enforcement Radar

10.450 - 10.600 ghz X-Band

11.488 - 11.628 ghz RD Detector

22.976 - 23.256 ghz RD Detector-2nd Harmonic

24.050 - 24.250 ghz X-Band

33.400 - 36.000 ghz Ka-Band

700nm - 1100nm Laser Threat

Electronic Article Surveillance/Anti-Shoplifting Systems

8.10mhz - 9.30mhz Checkpoint (Hi-Q Tags) 8.2mhz Common

57.75khz - 58.75khz Sensormatic (Magnetic) Books, CDs, and Tapes

915mhz and 1830mhz Knogo (Microwave Resonant) Adhesive Labels

25 - 50 mhz "Bumper Beepers" (38 - 47 mhz very popular)

135 - 170 mhz "Bumper Beepers" (150-170 mhz very popular)

450 - 512 mhz "Bumper Beepers"

903 - 904 mhz "Bumper Beepers"

904 - 912 mhz "Bumper Beepers"

918 - 926 mhz "Bumper Beepers"

926 - 927 mhz "Bumper Beepers"

WARNING:

The area between 900 mhz and 6 ghz is \*\* EXTREMELY DANGEROUS \*\* as the eavesdropping equipment in that range is inexpensive, low power, and highly directional.

Additionally, most TSCM people will not check frequencies above 1.0/1.5 ghz or 3 ghz (because the equipment is too expensive for them to buy).

I have seen surveillance video tapes of TSCM inspections where the video cameras (Watec), microphones (Sony), and microwave RF transmitters (AID - 2.4ghz) were never detected by the inspectors (using 1ghz AVCOM Spectrum Analyzers).

Surveillance Satellite (TK-Keyhole) Frequencies

Note: Mostly Wideband Spread Spectrum/LPI channels

420mhz - 450mhz

1.2ghz - 1.4ghz

1.7ghz - 1.9ghz (1.76-1.84 real active)

1.9ghz - 2.2ghz (2.115 real active)

4.9ghz - 5.0ghz

7.5ghz - 8.3ghz

10.6ghz - 12.6ghz (11.7-12.2 active)

17.8ghz - 21.2ghz (SIGINT Birds)

23.6ghz - 24.0ghz

25.5ghz - 25.7ghz

22.8ghz - 33.5ghz (30-31ghz SIGINT Birds)

42.5ghz - 47.0ghz

50.3ghz - 52.4ghz

78.0ghz - 85.5ghz

86.3ghz - 98.3ghz (86-92 real active)

Note: Can be anywhere between 20ghz and 110ghz, mostly spread spectrum

Commonly Used by Federal Agencies for Bugs, Wireless Microphones, and  
Body Wires (also 138-220 mhz, and 399-420 mhz, under 25-50 mw).

149.3500, 165.9125, 167.3375, 167.3425, 167.4875, 168.0115,  
169.2000, 169.4450, 169.5050, 170.2450, 170.3050, 171.0450,  
171.1050, 171.4500, 171.6000, 171.7500, 171.8450, 171.8500,  
171.9050, 172.0000, 172.2000, 172.2125, 172.2375, 172.2625,  
172.2875, 172.3125, 172.3375, 172.3625, 172.3875, 172.5500  
173.3375

169.445, 169.505, 170.245, 170.305, 171.045, 171.105, 171.845, 171.905

27.5750 Customs Low Power < 5 watts

27.5850 Customs Low Power < 5 watts

163.1000 Customs Low Power < 30 watts

418.5750 Customs Low Power < 30 watts

40.1200 Federal Shared Mobile Locator Transmitters "Bumper Beepers"

40.1700 Federal Shared Mobile Locator Transmitters "Bumper Beepers"

40.2200 Federal Shared Mobile Locator Transmitters "Bumper Beepers"

40.2700 Federal Shared Mobile Locator Transmitters "Bumper Beepers"

164.9125 FBI Surveillance

165.9125 ATF F5 Surveillance

166.2875 ATF

170.4125 ATF

407.8000 Secret Service

406.2750 Secret Service

408.5000 Secret Service

408.9750 Secret Service

172.2000 DOJ/DEA CH.1

171.6000 DOJ/DEA CH.2

418.0500 DEA Low Power

418.0750 DEA Low Power

418.5750 DEA Low Power

418.7500 DEA

418.6750 DEA

418.9000 DEA F2 CINDY (416.325) Surveillance

418.7500 DEA F3 GAIL Surveillance/Strike Force

418.6750 DEA F4 EMILY (416.325) Surveillance

407.8000 CIA, State Department

408.0500 Federal Shared

408.5750 Federal Shared

409.4000 Federal Shared

960-1215mhz Spread Spectrum Systems (Wideband)

Generally Recognized Federal Bug/Spy Bands

Primary - 25-50mhz, 135-175mhz, 225-440mhz, 1710-1950mhz, 8.3-12.5ghz

Secondary - 890mhz-5.50ghz, 7.0-9.5ghz, 10-39.6ghz

Also, Wide Band Frequency Hopping centered on various UHF-TV channels

(ie: 510 or 670 mhz with a hopping width of +/- 25 mhz)

Keep in mind that the federal government can use virtually any frequency between DC and light.

Spread Spectrum/Hopping Bugging Frequencies

## Legit Industrial Equipment (very inexpensive)

|                       |                           |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| 902 mhz - 928 mhz     | ISM band A (Very Popular) |
| 2400 mhz - 2484 mhz   | ISM band B (Very Popular) |
| 5725 mhz - 5850 mhz   | ISM band C                |
| 10500 mhz - 10550 mhz | ISM band D                |
| 24075 mhz - 24250 mhz | ISM band E                |

## SS Amateur Radio Bands Often used for eavesdropping (very inexpensive)

|                     |                                              |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 50 mhz - 54 mhz     | 6.00m Amateur Radio Equipment (STA)          |
| 144 mhz - 148 mhz   | 2.00m Amateur Radio Equipment (STA)          |
| 222 mhz - 225 mhz   | 1.25m Amateur Radio Equipment (STA)          |
| 420 mhz - 450 mhz   | 70cm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |
| 902 mhz - 928 mhz   | 33cm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |
| 1240 mhz - 1300 mhz | 23cm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |
| 2300 mhz - 2450 mhz | 13cm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |
| 3300 mhz - 3500 mhz | 9cm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305)  |
| 5650 mhz - 5925 mhz | 5cm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305)  |

## SS Amateur Radio Bands Occasionally used for eavesdropping

|                       |                                               |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 10.00 ghz - 10.50 ghz | 3.0cm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |
| 24.00 ghz - 24.25 ghz | 1.2cm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |
| 47.00 ghz - 47.20 ghz | 6.0mm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |

## SS Amateur Radio Bands Rarely used for eavesdropping (very expensive)

|                            |                                               |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 75.50 ghz - 81.00 ghz      | 4.0mm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |
| 119.98 ghz - 120.02 ghz    | 2.5mm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |
| 142.00 ghz - 149.00 ghz    | 2.0mm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |
| 241.00 ghz - 250.00 ghz    | 1.0mm Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305) |
| 300.00 ghz - Light (3 Thz) | Amateur Radio Equipment (47 USC 97.305)       |

Note: Most common spread spectrum/hopping bugging equipment will hop at speeds between 100 and 50,000 hops per second. Equipment is readily available that hops even faster (100,000 to 300,000 hops per second).

Dwell times can be as small as 1us (one widely used military system uses a dwell time of 3-5us)

#### Outband Equipment

ANY Television broadcast or Cable TV frequency

ANY FM radio broadcast frequency

ANY Paging or Beeper frequency

ANY Cellular Telephone frequency

820 mhz - 960 mhz Modified (902-928) Cordless Telephones

Modified Amateur Radio Equipment (can be on ANY frequency)

Keep in mind that wideband (non-ISM) Spread Spectrum/Hopping Bugs are very difficult to detect(even if you are within a few feet). Watch for carrier feed-through, and "Noise Floor Humps".

All Spread Spectrum devices are detectable

Once Spread Spectrum/Hopping Bugs have been located (on the RF spectrum) all that can be done is to locate the source of the emission... it is virtually impossible to demodulate a SS signal unless you have access to the key.

Also, a 500mw ISM device can easily have an effective range of over 20 miles.

Look for spectral anomalies (humps) on the Spectrum Analyzer, and back up with a Compressive receiver.

Note: In the early 80's I spent considerable time working with diplomatic spread spectrum bugging devices operating on frequencies



between 20ghz and 56 ghz, using a 3ghz window.

#### Band Width Resolution and Filters

Examine the spectrum with the following BW resolutions/filters:

Utilize all BW resolutions/filters between 5hz - 200mhz (depending on band being checked)

For frequencies between 9khz and 7ghz I generally prefer to start with a 3mhz IFBW for a "moving" sweep, and to monitor the (wideband spectragram). This makes the energy easy to spot, but tough to identify. The IFBW is then modified until it is roughly 85% of the signal bandwidth, the results are then sent through a vector analyser for identification.

All IFBWs available on the analyser are also cycled through and the results overlayed into a matrix, a little vector analysis is applied, and the channel parameters are easily extracted.

To handle the microwave bands IFBWs between 10khz and 200mhz should be used.

#### Real World Example:

Frequency Hopping bug, randomly hops between 630mhz and 700mhz, a IFBW of 70mhz will allow the activity to be easily identified, but a narrower IFBW will make it much more difficult.

Hint: A programmable digital spectrum analyzer should be used!

(1/3/5/10/15/20/25/30/50/75/100/150/200... Sequence is preferred)

|             |                                                  |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| BW Res      | - Usage/Primary Function                         |
| 100/200 mhz | - Resonate Cavity Bugging Devices/SIGINT Devices |
| 125/35/8mhz | - Resonate Cavity Bugging Devices/SIGINT Devices |

50/20/10mhz - Diplomatic/Intelligence Microwave Bugs

40/20/10mhz - Diplomatic/Intelligence Microwave Bugs

13/16/20mhz - Microwave Wideband

11/12/13mhz - Microwave Wideband

.5/1/2/5mhz - Microwave Wideband (Burst Bugs)

18/26/36mhz - Satellite Wideband (Video)

2/4/6/8 mhz - Microwave Wideband (Video)

3/6/12 mhz - Microwave Wideband (Video) - Common

.5/1/3 mhz - Microwave Wideband (Video/Burst/Pulse)

1.5/.75 khz - Frequency Hopping Bugs - VERY Common

.4/.2/.1khz - Frequency Hopping Bugs - VERY Common

3.2/6.4 khz - Frequency Hopping Bugs - VERY Common

10/20/25khz - Frequency Hopping Bugs - VERY Common

50/75 khz - Frequency Hopping Bugs - VERY Common

100/250 khz - Frequency Hopping Bugs

300/500 khz - Frequency Hopping Bugs

1/2/4/8 mhz - Frequency Hopping Bugs

300/250 khz - Regular Wide Band Broadcast FM

150 khz - Narrowband Broadcast FM Audio, Television Audio

280 khz - Narrowband Broadcast FM Audio, Television Audio

200/150 khz - Commercial Wireless Microphone - High Grade

150/100 khz - Commercial Wireless Microphone - Concert/Broadcast Grade

58/77/84khz - Commercial Wireless Microphone - Typical Body Wire

50/60/75khz - Commercial Wireless Microphone - Typical police device

8/25/50 khz - European/Japanese Industrial Bugging Devices

50/30 khz - Wideband FM two-way communications (ie: Cellular Telephone)

16/15/6khz - Normal FM two-way communications

3/6/8/12khz - Narrowband Commercial - Voice

1/3.2/6khz - Narrowband (Marine, HF, etc...)

120/9/1 khz - Narrowband (Bias Osc./Video Cameras/SIGINT)

30/750/500hz- Narrowband (Bias Osc./Video Cameras/SIGINT)

56/75/100hz - Narrowband (Bias Osc./Video Cameras/SIGINT)

30/150/200hz- Narrowband (Bias Osc./Video Cameras/SIGINT)

250/300 hz - Narrowband (Bias Osc./Video Cameras/SIGINT)

300/250 hz - Narrowband OOK/FSK/Data, etc...

300/250 hz - Narrowband OOK/FSK/Data, etc...

#### Subcarrier Detection:

10 - 500 khz - Most Commonly Used Subcarriers

30 - 150 khz - Typical Broadcast FM Subcarrier (FMSubC)

19/27/38 khz - Typical Stereo Broadcast FM Subcarrier (FMSubC)

67 khz - Typical Musicast/Musak Broadcast FM

Subcarrier (FMSubC)

2 - 10 mhz - Audio Subcarriers often used with Video

(4.2-8 mhz common)

5khz - 950khz - Realistic Subcarrier Threat (Audio)

1mhz - 15 mhz - Realistic Subcarrier Threat (Video)

#### Final Note:

Beware of people in the TSCM industry who try to convince you that bugs don't operate above 1ghz or 3ghz, the folks who push this line are utter frauds, and are playing games with you.

Several "hole-in-the-wall" TSCM schools sell equipment (at highly

inflated prices) and actively attempt to mislead students that all they have to do is spend \$3000 to \$5000 in equipment to enter the TSCM field.

Of course, these are the same crackpots who try to make you believe that they are the only electrical engineer, ex-CIA, ex FBI, etc... in the TSCM business.

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060303 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Not it can happen here, but rather it is happening here.] PIML

=====

From: HPBIII@aol.com

Date: Fri, 31 May 1996 22:55:27 -0400

Subject: Fwd:THEY THOUGHT THEY WERE FREE

Subj: THEY THOUGHT THEY WERE FREE

Date: 96-05-31 12:46:59 EDT

From: jad1@village.ios.com (John Di Nardo)

"THEY THOUGHT THEY WERE FREE: THE GERMANS, 1933-45"

by Milton Mayer

The University of Chicago Press

>From the chapter, "But then it was too late" pages 169 to 172, 1966 edition.

"You see," my colleague went on, "one doesn't see exactly where or how to move. Believe me, this is true. Each act, each occasion, is worse than the last, but only a little worse. You wait for the next and the next. You wait for one great shocking occasion, thinking that others, when such a shock comes, will join with you in resisting somehow. You don't want to act, or even talk, alone; you don't want to 'go out of your way to make trouble.' Why not?--well, you are not in the habit of doing it. And it is not just fear, fear of standing alone, that restrains you; it is also genuine uncertainty."

"Uncertainty is a very important factor, and, instead of decreasing as time goes on, it grows. Outside, in the streets, in the general community, 'everyone' is happy. One hears no protest, and certainly sees none. You know, in France or Italy there would be slogans against the government painted on walls and fences; in Germany, outside the great cities, perhaps, there is not even this. In the university community, in your own community, you speak privately to your colleagues, some of whom certainly feel as you do; but what do they say? They say, 'It's not so bad' or 'You're seeing things' or 'You're an alarmist.'

"And you ARE an alarmist. You are saying that this must lead to this, and you can't prove it. These are the beginnings, yes; but how do you know for sure when you don't know the end, and how do you know, or even surmise, the end? On the one hand, your enemies, the law, the regime, the Party, intimidate you. On the other, your colleagues pooh- pooh you as pessimistic or even neurotic. You are left with your close friends, who are, naturally, people who have always thought as you have."

"But your friends are fewer now. Some have drifted off somewhere or submerged themselves in their work. You no longer see as many as you did at meetings or gatherings. Informal groups become smaller; attendance drops off in little organizations, and the organizations themselves wither. Now, in small gatherings of your oldest friends, you feel that you are talking to yourselves, that you are isolated from the reality of things. This weakens your confidence still further and serves as a further deterrent to---to what? It is clearer all the time that, if you are going to do anything, you must make an occasion to do it, and then you are obviously a troublemaker. So you wait, and you wait."

"But the one great shocking occasion, when tens or hundreds or

thousands will join with you, never comes. That's the difficulty. If the last and worse act of the whole regime had come immediately after the first and smallest, thousands, yes, millions would have been sufficiently shocked---if, let us say, the gassing of the Jews in '43 had come immediately after the 'German Firm' stickers on the windows of non-Jewish shops in '33. But of course this isn't the way it happens. In between come all the hundreds of little steps, some of them imperceptible, each of them preparing you not to be shocked by the next. Step C is not so much worse than Step B, and, if you did not make a stand at Step B, why should you at Step C? And so on to Step D."

"And one day, too late, your principles, if you were ever sensible of them, all rush in upon you. The burden of self-deception has grown too heavy, and some minor incident, in my case my little boy, hardly more than a baby, saying 'Jew swine,' collapses it all at once, and you see that everything, everything, has changed and changed completely under your nose. The world you live in---your nation, your people--- is not the world you were born in at all. The forms are all there, all untouched, all reassuring, the houses, the shops, the jobs, the mealtimes, the visits, the concerts, the cinema, the holidays. But the spirit, which you never noticed because you made the lifelong mistake of identifying it with the forms, is changed. Now you live in a world of hate and fear, and the people who hate and fear do not even know it themselves; when everyone is transformed, no one is transformed. Now you live in a system which rules without responsibility even to God. The system itself could not have intended this in the beginning, but in order to sustain itself it was compelled to go all the way."

"You have gone almost all the way yourself. Life is a continuing process, a flow, not a succession of acts and events at all. It has

flowed to a new level, carrying you with it, without any effort on your part. On this new level you live, you have been living more comfortably everyday, with new morals, new principles. You have accepted things you would not have accepted five years ago, a year ago, things that your father, even in Germany could not have imagined."

"Suddenly it all comes down, all at once. You see what you are, what you have done, or, more accurately, what you haven't done, (for that was all that was required of most of us: that we do nothing). You remember those early meetings of your department in the University when, if one had stood, others would have stood, perhaps, but no one stood. A small matter, a matter of hiring this man or that, and you hired this one rather than that. You remember everything now, and your heart breaks. Too late. You are compromised beyond repair."

--- GEcho 1.00

\* Origin: Gun Control=Criminals & Gestapo vs. the Unarmed. (1:231/110)

=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line



- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060302 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Today they come for illegal drug users and people who look like they might be illegal aliens. Tomorrow they come for patriots and others undesirable to the New World Order -- unless we go to work to stop them now.] PIML

=====

Date: Sun, 2 Jun 1996 13:56:54 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: Matthew Gaylor on Immigration

Immigration is one of the topics that flame the passions of certain individuals. I recently had to unsubscribe a couple of people from this list after getting threats because I sent a post on open immigration. I can only guess at their motivations, but they may include hate, envy and a complete and total lack of understanding of freedom.

My minds eye imagined my attackers as little men, robbed in the sheets of anonymity and ignorance.

I see many comparisons in the INS crackdown on illegal immigration and the war on drugs. In the last ten years the US Federal Government has escalated the 80 year war on the sale and importation of illicit drugs. Nobody seems to care when a drug addicts constitutional rights are routinely violated by illegal searches, forfeiture of money and property without trial, and other abuses.

Now the leviathan is unleashed to interdict the flow of illegal aliens. This program won't work, just as the war on drugs has been a complete failure.

These police and military forces have succeeded in trashing the Bill of Rights and now I wonder if the leviathan can be held in check.

I'm not religious but I find this passage from Acts, Chapter 17-v26 especially noteworthy:

"God hath made of one blood all nations of men."

Regards, Matt Gaylor-

=====

Date: Sun, 2 Jun 1996 14:15:27 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: Border Patrol Abuses By Roberto C. Gonzalez

Reply-To: libernet-d@listserv.rmii.com

Civil Wrongs In the continuing saga of Border Patrol abuses, violent confrontation is on the rise.

By Roberto C. Gonzalez

It was the middle of the night in the border city of Brownsville, Texas, and Eloy Trujillo was returning home. He was just minutes from his front door when he pulled up to an intersection in his 1970 Chevy Nova. In the next lane was a green and white Border Patrol truck. Trujillo says he remembers seeing the Border Patrol agents but didn't pay much attention to them while sitting at the stop light. The Rio Grande, the dividing line between the U.S. and Mexico in South Texas, was less than a mile away and Border Patrol agents had become a much more common sight within the last few months. Trujillo, a 35-year-old U.S.-born American citizen, had lived most of his life in Brownsville and had even served in the

U.S. Army.

There was absolutely no reason for him to worry about the Border Patrol agent next to him. They were simply there to protect the country's border from illegal immigrants."I guess I was wrong," Trujillo said. "I'll never make that mistake again." As he continued home, the agent followed him, even turning on his flashing lights just a couple of blocks from Trujillo's street. "I remember thinking that there was no reason for him to be following me, so I just kept on going," Trujillo said. "I just wanted to get home." When he got out his car, the agent approached him. Trujillo said that he was about to say something when the agent, without provocation, struck him. "He didn't say anything, didn't ask me anything, didn't let me say anything, just, wham, and he begins pounding on me."

Trujillo's 86-year-old grandmother could only watch from the front porch while he was beaten and then handcuffed to a fence. He was so badly whipped that he had to be taken to a hospital before being arrested. He required half a dozen stitches and had to be treated for bruises. To add insult to injury, it is Trujillo, not the Border Patrol agent, who is now facing felony assault charges. If convicted of assaulting a federal officer, Trujillo could receive up to ten years in prison. Border Patrol officials contend that Trujillo, an unemployed mechanic, acted "suspiciously" when he drove away from the intersection. They claim he was driving on the wrong side of the road and refused to yield to the authority of agents who tried to pull him over. He then aggravated the situation by trying to strike at an officer and even went as far as trying to pull the agent's gun out of his holster. The FBI has begun an investigation to determine what exactly happened that night in early October.

Trujillo's case is not an isolated incident. Cases of Border Patrol

agents assaulting civilians, even American citizens, have become much more common since the federal government authorized millions of dollars for the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) to hire more personnel and equipment to enforce the nation's borders. "The number of abuse cases is increasing because of the dramatic increase in enforcement personnel being deployed along the border," said Roberto Martinez with the U.S. Border Program in San Diego. The U.S. Border Program, as well as several other immigrant rights groups, have now begun documenting civil rights violations committed by Border Patrol agents against the tax-payers in whose interest they are employed. The list is a long one. Last year a 22-year-old Brownsville man was acquitted of attempting to shoot a Border Patrol agent while hunting along the Rio Grande. He had been shot in the leg by agents who claimed not only that he was smuggling immigrants but also that he would have shot at the agents if they hadn't fired first.

Civil rights complaints have been filed with the Justice Department by several New Mexico residents who have been harassed by El Paso Sector Border Patrol agents at checkpoints near the New Mexico-Mexico border. The incidents have also proven to be embarrassing for the relationship between the countries. A beauty queen who was serving as a goodwill ambassador from Mexico was detained for two hours at the border by Border Patrol agents who lifted her dress and felt her belly, accusing her of coming to this country to have a baby. "This happens all the time," Martinez said. "Young Latina, or Chicana, women are the main targets for their physical abuse because they are the most vulnerable. "They [Border Patrol agents] figure that well, because they're illegal they get to do whatever they want to them because they have always gotten away with it. They've always felt that they are not accountable to anyone." Sometimes, however, agents will step forward to turn in one of their own. That is extremely rare and risky.

Five agents from San Diego were fired for allegedly throwing rocks at

illegal immigrants, injuring one, and trying to cover it up. A rookie agent involved in the incident later reported the coverup and apparently suffered a campaign of retribution by other agents. Martinez said that an increasing number of abuse complaints is not surprising. With more immigrants coming to the U.S. and more agents along the border the chances for violent confrontations had to increase, he said. The INS has been cited for more than external cases of abuse toward immigrants. Evidence of an unfriendly attitude toward toward the department's own Hispanic employees has also recently surfaced. More than 50 Hispanic managers and line officers at the INS have entered a complaint with the Justice Department alleging that they have not only been discriminated against on issues of hiring but have suffered racial slangs and animosity against them as Hispanics at the INS by fellow, non-Hispanic employees. Last summer the federal government authorized a \$486 million increase to the INS's already hefty \$2 billion annual budget. The money almost changed the face of the border. From agents patrolling the downtown streets in Brownsville, to agents stationed at sentry points along the Rio Grande in El Paso, to high concrete-and-metal walls dividing the U.S. and Mexico at San Diego, the nation's southern border has begun to look increasingly like a military camp. "We need to demilitarize the border," Martinez said. "The government is working hard to create NAFTA, while at the same time they are creating a war zone. You don't see that happening on the Canadian border."

Since 1994 INS has added agents and increased patrols in an effort to catch more illegal immigrants. The number of agents increased 12 percent from a high of 4,031 in 1994 to a total of 4,495 in 1995. Apprehensions increased 15 percent from 446,360 in 1994 to 511,870 in 1995. "This administration has recognized that illegal immigration has been a challenge to us," said Lisa Ross, spokeswoman for the INS in Washington D.C. "We need the resources to enforce the law. Immigration has grown in complexity. It has become a very political issue, especially in the

Southwest. Our responsibility is to ensure our national integrity along the border," Ross said. But even as more money and agents have been pouring into the border, complaints of abuse reported to the INS offices in Washington D.C. have dropped from 196 in 1994 to 65 last year [according to ?]. The INS issued posters and complaint forms printed in both English and Spanish to increase the complaint options available to detainees. The posters and forms are displayed in plain view in each INS processing, holding, and public access area. They are, however, ignored by victims of abuse, immigrant rights groups say.

Groups that monitor the Border Patrol however, have tracked an ever-increasing number of cases of abuse on both immigrants and citizens. Since September 1994 Proyecto Libertad, an immigration advocacy group in South Texas, has documented 62 cases of abuse ranging from physical mistreatment and verbal abuse to violations of due process and unwarranted strip searches. Proyecto Libertad Director Jonathan Jones says that is it difficult enough getting people to speak out, much less file a complaint. "People are willing to some degree to complain, but there is a definite hesitancy to lodge a formal complaint," he said. Many people have family members who work with the Border Patrol and in an area where jobs are hard to find, a good federal job is important to keep, Jones said. "It is the best job in town, and it is on the federal payroll, so people are not going to be too vocal against an agency that is keeping their relatives employed," Jones said "At the same time, many of their grandparents have for years told them stories about the abuses they've suffered over the years. To a lot of people it is simply the way things are."

In contrast, INS officials maintain that Border Patrol agents are among the most highly trained federal officers and are held to high moral standards. The Border Patrol training program is one of the longest and most difficult of any law enforcement agency. Agents receive four-and-a-half months of training in ethics and integrity, immigration

law, criminal law, and narcotics violations, as well as police training and Spanish language skills at the Border Patrol Academy in Glynco, Georgia. Agents also receive six months of post-academy training at the sector to which they are assigned. The post-academy training involves both classroom and field work and agents remain on probation for a total of twelve months. The Border Patrol Academy in 1994 also began a cultural awareness training program with officials of the Government of Mexico. Academy instructors attended seminars on subjects such as Mexican history and culture, as well as the role of the Mexican Consular office. They are also briefed routinely on border issues of concern to both the U.S. and Mexico.

"We try to stress that as public servants that we must uphold the highest moral standards we can," said Ross. But critics say that no matter what the official policy is in Washington, D.C., the rank and file agents in the field are operating in a different environment. It is an environment that echoes the general anti-immigrant mood of the entire country. [Last year Speaker of the House Newt Gingrich proposed a bill that would curb illegal immigration by requiring hospitals to report illegal immigrants who go to emergency rooms for treatment. It further denies federal education funds for undocumented children and calls for a fortified border and a constitutional amendment ending automatic citizenship for children born of undocumented immigrants on U.S. soil. Virtually all federally funded welfare benefits would be denied to illegal immigrants. They could still receive emergency medical treatment, but would risk being turned into the Border Patrol and possibly deported if they went to hospital emergency rooms. The bill is modeled after Proposition 187, which California voters approved in November 1994. It denies public education and nonemergency health care to illegal immigrants and requires doctors, teachers, and welfare workers to turn in those suspected of being in the country illegally. - this paragraphs to be updated] "The backlash against immigrants has just



gotten out of control," Martinez said. "At checkpoints, everyone is now suspect... but strictly based on your skin color. Agents must be expected to respect everyone's rights."

Martinez said that the anti-immigrant sentiment may come back to haunt those who supported it, especially those of Hispanic heritage. "Agents feel that they are more supported, more justified-almost as if they are appeasing a sense of duty-and consequently, everyone is paying for it," said Martinez. Maria Jimenez, director of the Immigration Law Enforcement Monitoring Project, said the anti-immigrant mood is like any other type of hysteria that grips a population. It is totally irrational and based on a perception that immigrants are somehow harmful to the country, she said. It has created an attitude that asks agents to stop immigrants at all costs. "That attitude means that people are more tolerant of abuses, more willing to give up their civil rights as long as that perceived threat is dealt with," she said. Jimenez said that attitude is particularly frustrating because any attempt by monitoring groups to police the Border Patrol is seen as an attempt to tie up their hands and prevent them from doing their work. "We understand that it is their job, but if someone says they are U.S. citizens that should be the end of it, unless there are compelling reasons for doubting it," she said. Jones said that as the Border Patrol is given more and more arrest authority without added training, the possibility exists that more civil rights will be violated. "It is not just immigrants who will feel the impact. With the general arrest authority they now have, we'll all be seeing more cases of abuse," Jones said.

\*\*\*\*\*

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: [freematt@coil.com](mailto:freematt@coil.com) with the words subscribe FA on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-30 messages per week)

Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

\*\*\*\*\*

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96060301 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[All PIML subscribers: This is a MUST READ.] PIML

=====

Date: Sun, 02 Jun 1996 11:44:05 -0700

To: roc@xmission.com, act@efn.org, liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

From: Liberty or Death <ghostpwr@europa.com>

Subject: L&J: (fwd) Ken's Mailing - 6/2/96

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

[This is a mass-mailing going out to those who have subscribed to my mailing list for breaking events that the media does not normally cover. To unsubscribe from this list, which will go out several times a week, please email kencook@tiac.net. If you know others who would like to be on it, please feel free to give out this e-mail address and have them contact me.

Please feel free to distribute these mailings widely, but bear in mind that they often contain copyrighted material being used under the "Fair Use" provision of copyright law, so do so on a not-for-profit basis. And never remove bylines and other credits that precede and follow copyrighted material.]

After a long sabbatical from my mailings, I am back! My problems with Prodigy are over as I now run the mailings from my own Internet account with TIAC (The Internet Access Company) out of Bedford, MA. I will remain on Prodigy and continue to participate in the Whitewater BB. Otherwise, I have no more use for them. They can take a hike!

I picked a good week to resume my mailings! The Whitewater convictions in Little Rock have brought things back to a full boil and the recent elections in Israel will bring some very significant changes to the Middle East situation. Hopefully they will be able to work things out over there.

I will be sending another mailing out shortly that will fully cover the Whitewater situation that is exploding right before our eyes. That juror that claimed she made up her mind before the trial is a fraud. She merely has the same name as one of the jurors, but that didn't stop the liberal media from jumping into this hoax with both feet, excitedly predicting that the Whitewater convictions may be overturned! Well they look like a bunch of jackasses now and they deserve it!

Also, The Arkansas Democrat-Gazette is reporting this weekend that Terry Reed has dropped without prejudice (which means he can't refile) the lawsuit that was to begin tomorrow in Little Rock against Clinton cronies that he accuses of setting him up on false criminal charges.

Strange thing is, Terry Reed's lawyer, Robert Meloni, was contacted about this and he claimed to know nothing about Terry Reed dropping the lawsuit. Efforts to contact Terry Reed at his home by radio talk show host Jack Christy have been unsuccessful. I hope to have information about this in my next mailing.

But before delving into any of the above, I now bring to you a breaking news story out of New York. The following is a blockbuster exclusive that appeared today in The New York Post. I posted about this story on Prodigy a couple of years ago, but nothing ever came of it. It now looks like this story will be getting much attention.

Grab a cup of coffee (or your favorite adult beverage) and read on. This story will take your breath away.

A while back, I posted to the Internet the saga of Wayne Dumond, a man from Arkansas who was railroaded and castrated by Clinton thugs for a crime he did not commit. The story is gruesome, heart-wrenching and absolutely true.

This man was castrated, raped and left for dead before his trial by two men acting on orders from the local sherriff - who was later convicted of racketeering. He was found by his two sons and had by then lost three quarters of his blood. He survived and eleven years later, Dumond still rots in prison, even though evidence clearly shows he did not commit the so-called crime. His release has been denied by Governors Clinton and Tucker because his story is so politically explosive. By releasing him, they will be admitting that that Bill Clinton made a huge mistake and will open up many of Clinton's cronies to more criminal charges.

The story was exposed in a book by Guy Reel called "UNEQUAL JUSTICE," subtitled "Wayne Dumond, Bill Clinton, and the Politics of Rape in Arkansas."

It is a short read but very compelling. And not to be read by those who easily get sick to their stomach. This man is going through hell as we speak and is probably wishing he was one of the "Arkancides," for at least he would be resting in peace right now.

During the past week however, Wayne Dumond has finally seen the proverbial light at the end of the tunnel. Jim Guy Tucker, a real criminal, will be exchanging his governorship for prison stripes. The new governor-to-be, Mike Huckabee, a good man, is almost certain to grant Mr. Dumond his long-deserved pardon.

Today, Steve Dunleavy of the New York Post became the first journalist

to break this story in the mainstream media. It is a shocker. Just when you thought you heard everything with respect to outrageous behavior in Bill Clinton's Arkansas, here is one that takes the cake.

I will post this blockbuster expose in it's entirety. It appeared today in the Sunday edition (6/1/96) of the New York Post. Fasten your seatbelts.

#### A TRAVESTY OF JUSTICE

(HOW GOV. CLINTON DENIED AN INNOCENT MAN HIS FREEDOM)

by Steve Dunleavy

The bombshell Whitewater convictions that my be President Clinton's darkest hour gave a middle-aged Arkansas housewife her brightest moment.

"Now that the Clinton people are going to jail, maybe my husband will finally go free," Mary Lou Dumond told me in Little Rock.

Her husband, Wayne Dumond, 49, has just spent his 11th year in an Arkansas jail.

Many say that Dumond is the victim of one of the most bone-crunching and infuriating examples of Clinton-clan justice the country has ever seen.

And now, because Clinton's alleged bagman, Gov. Jimmy Guy Tucker, is going to jail, Dumond is set to see freedom.

"The new governor, Mike Huckabee, has assured me Wayne will be a free man," Mrs. Dumond said Thursday.

"He is not one of the Clinton crowd. He is a very fair man. He has always been disturbed about the way the Clinton people never wanted my husband free," she added.

And there was a very good reason for the Clinton people not wanting her husband to go free.

#### THE CHARGES

The story of Wayne Dumond is not for the innocent eyes of the young - but every adult of voting age should read closely.

These are the cold facts as an Arkansas court saw it:

- A 17-year-old girl says she was kidnapped and raped on Sept. 11, 1984, in Forrest City, Ark.

- Dumond, father of six, Vietnam veteran, churchgoer, was convicted in August 1985 of the rape.

- He was sentenced to life PLUS 20 years.

- An appeal by Dumond, under Gov. Clinton, got a response of: "No merit."

What the public did not see, while Bill Clinton was governor of Arkansas, were the following very unpretty facts - which Clinton, despite countless personal appeals, ignored:

- A genetic expert stated unequivocally that sperm found on the girl's jeans COULD NOT "IN A MILLION YEARS" belong to Dumond.

- The victim identified two other men as her rapist but they had ironclad alibis and were set free.

- She failed to pick out Dumond as her rapist when presented with a lineup.

But now the clincher:

- The father of the girl is a millionaire and one of Clinton's biggest contributors.

But guess what? The girl is Bill Clinton's cousin. And her mother worked as part of Clinton's inner circle when he was governor.

The worst was yet to come.

#### THE HORROR

On March 7, 1985, while Dumond was awaiting trial, two masked men with guns and knives burst into his house.

They hog-tied him. They raped him.

And then, with surgical scalpels, they castrated him.

[Now get this]

The two monsters ACTED ON ORDERS OF LOCAL SHERIFF COOLIDGE CONLEE!

The sheriff retrieved Dumond's testicles from Dumond's blood-spattered house.

The sheriff then placed the body parts in a jar that he displayed on his desk with the admonition:

"That's what happens to people who fool around in my county."

The sheriff actually took that jar to "a good-ol'-boys wedding."

That is a fact.

No reaction whatsoever from Gov. Clinton.

[Now hold on to your seat for this]

The sheriff - who didn't tolerate any "fooling around" in his county - would later be nabbed by the FBI for extortion and drug-dealing and sentenced to 160 years in jail, where he died of natural causes.



Dumond's attackers were never picked up even though ONE OF THEM CONFESSED TO A STATE COP!

All this and Dumond still rotted away in prison.

And Clinton, both as governor and president, ignored facts that surrounded the case of the rape of his cousin.

"Bitter? Hell yes, I was, at first," Dumond told me from prison at Varner in Arkansas.

But now, I think, I hope, things will change around. With Jimmy Guy Tucker gone as governor, one of Clinton's men, and Mr. Clinton running for cover, maybe the new man will have another look.

"But strange as it may seem, it hasn't been all that bad these days. I have gotten a very good education in here. I think I am becoming a computer nut. I just miss my family, so much.

"That girl? Well it's pretty ridiculous. Sad, but ridiculous.

"She told the police that a man in a new red pickup truck, with no tailgate, drove to her house, burst in, forced her into her car, drove in her car to some woods, tied her up, committed a pretty terrible act, drove her back in her car and took off in her car and dumped it nearby.

"Well, I drove a very old dirty brown pickup with a tailgate. Now, if I took her car, what happened to the pickup I drove to her house in?

"She changed her story, how many times? I mean many times. [Well we know one thing, she sure had to be related to Clinton - changing one's story must run in the family.]

"She was with this guy driving through town and suddenly, out of

nowhere, months after, she saw me driving my old pickup truck. She told the guy out of nowhere: 'That's the man that did it.' She said I had raped her.

"When it came to the lineup, she couldn't identify me. Suddenly she disappears into a room with her father and a cop who showed her a picture of me. She came out and immediately identified me."

#### THE CONVICTION

The outrageous identifying scam was exposed by a local cop who witnessed it all.

Deputy Sheriff Henry Leary had the guts to go against his own and told the world of the scenario. Dumond was still convicted.

"Oh yeah," Dumond told me, "she identified two other guys who were the rapists. They had an ironclad alibi. Then it came to me."

Dumond was still convicted. Gov. Clinton remained silent.

But of course at that time nobody knew that the girl was Clinton's cousin. The governor didn't mention it.

After 4.5 years, with his freedom gone, his manhood gone, a five-person parole board recommended that Dumond go free for time served.

John R. Steer, managing editor of the Arkansas Democrat-Gazette, records the following reaction from then-Gov. Clinton:

"Clinton had a romping, stomping fit. The victim was a distant cousin and St. Francis County [where this all took place] had a lot of votes and he deeply resented the pressure to free Dumond."

Clinton refused to sign a release.

And Dumond rotted.

Dumond has since been before the parole board twice.

"They ask me: 'Do you have any remorse?' Well, I tell them straight. How can I have remorse for something I didn't do?

"No sir, I will stay here until I die before I say I am sorry for something I haven't done."

The day of the castration is not something that should be dealt with in detail [in a family newspaper].

"My two boys, Michael and Joey, found me there after coming home from school. They cut me loose and got help." he said.

"Sure I remember it, but do you really want to know the details?"

Dumond's life was miraculously saved after he lost three-quarters of his blood.

As he lay near death, Sheriff Coolidge Conlee displayed Dumond's testicles in a jar.

Still no reaction from Gov. Clinton.

THE HOPE

The Dumonds later won a lawsuit "of outrage." They cleared just \$20,000

from the settlement.

This money came in handy however, because someone burned down the Dumond house when the couple were in hiding from vigilantes.

No insurance was paid on the home.

Can this story get worse?

"Sometimes," said Mrs. Dumond, "I just want to give up. But now, who knows? The new governor has personally assured me that Wayne's case will be the first thing on his desk, after he clears up everything from this Whitewater thing."

Dwayne Harris, a spokesman for Huckabee, the Republican lieutenant governor who will succeed Democrat Tucker, told me Friday that Huckabee "has voiced a very special intention to thoroughly review the case of Wayne Dumond."

"I hope so," Dr. Moses Schanfield told me Friday. "This case was a disgrace."

Schanfield heads the Analytic Genetic Testing Center in Denver.

He was one of the experts dispatched to Bosnia to examine and identify graves after the civil war there.

He did an independent Allotyping test of sperm of the alleged victim's jeans, which supposedly came from Dumond.

"No way, zip, nada. Didn't happen. No way Dumond was the donor of that sperm," Schanfield said. "The girl's scenario of the so-called crime couldn't have happened. I didn't believe anything she said."

## WHY IT HAPPENED

Fred Odam, a retired Arkansas State Police captain told me "This was and still is a very bad day for justice."

Odam witnessed Sheriff Conlee retrieving Dumond's testicles and later investigated the sheriff for the FBI.

"I have been working to get that boy Dumond free for a long time. In all my time this is the one case when I know a man is not guilty."

What was the crazed motive behind this disgusting affair?

Why Dumond?

Gene Wirges, a fiesty 67-year-old publisher of a local weekly who is writing a book on this mess, told me:

"Well, a Clinton kin had to be revenged. The sheriff was on a hot seat and young Wayne had been talking to a church group about how cars were suddenly disappearing.

"It turned out to be true. The sheriff along with his drugs, and turning the sheriff's department into a casino was heading up a car-theft ring.

"When this girl said she was raped, the sheriff wanted to help out the Clinton clan [so that they might look the other way with respect to his illegal doings]. He would do anything for the girl's father and mother.

"The truth, the terrible truth is, that one of the guys she first identified as the rapist but who had an ironclad alibi had been going

out with the girl.

"But the new governor has indicated to me on several occasions that he was more than disturbed about Wayne's case and the way Clinton and his boys handled this terrible thing.

"You know, this is Arkansas. Right up until now this has been Clinton territory. Maybe not anymore."

END OF EXPLOSIVE COLUMN BY STEVE DUNLEAVY THAT APPEARED IN TODAY'S  
(6/2/96) NEW YORK POST

I don't normally say this but please distribute this article widely - in a non-profit manner, of course. Give credit to Steve Dunleavy and the New York Post.

I first learned of this disgusting case in a book by Guy Reel. The title is "UNEQUAL JUSTICE" and it is put out by Prometheus Books (1993). As the article alluded to, another book is in progress by a Gene Wirges.

The Guy Reel book is explosive and deserved much more attention than it actually received.

Imagine being fingered in a rape you did not commit because you were concerned about cars being stolen in your community. The sheriff was running a car-theft ring and because you threatened to expose it, you get framed for rape and get castrated by a couple of goons. Then the crooked sheriff - who would later be convicted of racketeering, drug dealing and extortion - displays your testicles in a jar on his desk. You then get sentenced to life PLUS 20 years for a crime you didn't commit while your wife is left to raise your two young sons without you. Then the corrupt governor who goes on to be president refuses to let you go, even though experts say it was impossible for you to have committed

the rape, because he is afraid of the political implications.

This case ought to outrage every American. We need to stop this nonsense now. We've been hearing about these strange "Arkancides" and other bizzarre cases that went on in Clinton's Arkansas for too long now. Enough is enough. We need to put this crooked bunch of thugs behind bars where they belong. Jim Guy Tucker and the McDougals are not enough. We need to bring down the entire house of cards.

Let's hope future Gov. Mike Huckabee gets the support he will need to clean this state up. The citizens of Arkansas, regardless of political affiliation, need to get behind him on this.

In closing, I would like to commend Steve Dunleavy of The New York Post for having the courage to take this story on.

Ken Cook

Sunday, June 2, 1996

-----  
>>> Don't Tread On Me! <<<  
-----

\* Psalm 33 \*  
-----

"If ye love wealth greater than liberty, the tranquility of servitude greater than the animating contest for freedom, go home from us in peace. We seek not your counsel, nor your arms. Crouch down and lick the hand that feeds you. May your chains set lightly upon you; and may posterity forget that ye were our countrymen. - Samuel Adams  
-----

O-

=====  
Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"  
in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>  
  
=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96053110 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[I remember at the time that there was a report that the FBI had to shim the scope mount to get the carbine to shoot on target. I owned at the time an identical Italian 6.5mm Model 1891 Mannlicher Carcano carbine, without scope, and I was familiar with the cheap scope and stamped steel scope mount that was offered installed on the weapons. Just dropping the Carbine a short distance would be sufficient to bend the scope mount. I am POSITIVE that the first news photos showed the carbine WITH scope attached and there was not any mention of the scope being detached. Any any case, there is NO way to operate the bolt and fire accurately as rapidly as would have been necessary for all shots to have come from the one carbine -- as I said at the time.] PIML

=====

Date: Fri, 31 May 1996 06:24:41 -0700  
To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>  
From: Citizen Dei Gratia <scrc@cogent.net>  
Subject: Re: PIML 96053103 - L&J: NEW Footage JFK (RIFLE)

I saw the footage and noticed the rifle, sans scope. At that time I was busy, but I thought it would be good to check the new pictures against the published ones of the Manlicher Carcano that was guilty of killing JFK ;-)

=====

At 03:43 AM 5/31/96 -0500, you wrote:  
>From: PawlRevere@aol.com  
>Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 18:48:06 -0400  
>Subject: L&J: Fwd: NEW Footage JFK (RIFLE)

>Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

>

>Anyone have any thoughts on this? Did Travis find something here?

>-----

>Forwarded message:

>From: tbeach@grits.valdosta.peachnet.edu (Travis\_Beach)

>To: PawlRevere@AOL.Com

>Date: 96-05-29 14:45:48 EDT

>

>

>Did anyone else see it? I am a former Marine with an extreme amount of

>Firearms Training both State Government and in the USMC. I also am very

>interested in the JFK assasination. Imagine my SUPRIZE when the NEW film

>Footage of the day JFK was shot surfaced. Here I sit in my humble abode

>and watching the footage I spy up to now unseen JFK footage NEW and

>UNEDITED and the screen flashes to a Dallas Policeman holding up THE

>RIFLE attempting to keep his prints off of it by holding an object in the

>reciever (pencil, pen ?) ONLY ONE PROBLEM...THE RIFLE I SAW IN MY BOOKS

>ON THE JFK ASSASINATION, THE WARREN COMMISSION ect. SHOW A "SCOPED

>CARCANO CARBINE" The RIFLE the Dallas PD officer held in his hands

>clearly had NO SCOPE ON IT. MY GOD THE RAMIFICATIONS HERE. DID ANYONE

>ELSE SEE IT? DID I SEE IT?

>

>

>-----

>Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"

>in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

>

>=====

>

>Date: Wed, 29 May 1996 07:51:33 -0400 (EDT)

>From: Brad Dolan <bdolan@use.usit.net>

>Subject: Re: Long Lost JFK Assassination Footage to Air

>Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

>

>

>-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

>

>I noted two interesting things about this yesterday.

>

>(1.) The film footage was reportedly rescued from the trash by Cooper.

>Cooper gave a \*copy\* of the film to Sturges and buried his copy under his  
>house (interesting thing to do). Cooper is now dead and his copy has been  
>ruined in a fire. We're seeing the Sturges copy. If the original film  
>was tampered with, it might not be possible to tell from the copy.

>

>(2.) I need to look it up, but there was a Sturgis or Sturges implicated  
>in the assassination

>

>bd

>

>

>On Tue, 28 May 1996 beazur@skyyenet.net wrote:

>

>>

>> -> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

>>

>> >

>> >I'd like to know why it was "long-lost" , how it was "found" and if it's  
>> been spliced?

>> >

>> >DALLAS (Nando.net)-

>> > "Long-lost television news film events surrounding the assassination  
>> of President Kennedy, including the chaos in Dealey Plaza after ths  
>> shooting, has been turned over to the agency compiling the public record.

>> > The 45 minutes of silent, black-and-white 16mm film- mostly unaired  
>> sequences- also includes Kennedy and his wife holding hands at the airport  
>> before boarding the motorcade and pictures of Jack Ruby waiting for Lee  
>> Harvey Oswald at an appearance before reporters the night of the  
>> assassination, The Dallas Morning News reported today.

>> > Portions of the film will be aired tonight and Wednesday on the "CBS  
>> Evening News", the network said.

>> > The fim does not show the president's motorcade under rifle fire in  
>> Dealey Plaza on Nov. 22, 1963, and offers no obvious evidence toward  
>> settling the case's many controversies, according to the few authorities who  
>> have viewed it. But the film- only a fraction of which has ever been shown  
>> publicly- does show the confusion that engulfed Dealey Plaza after the  
>> president was shot and the immediate search for the shooter in the railroad  
>> yard behind the grassy knoll."

>> >

>>

>>

>> -> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

>> -> Posted by: beazur@skynet.net

>>

>

>-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

>-> Posted by: Brad Dolan <bdolan@use.usit.net>

>

>=====\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96053108 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 10:44:36 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: Clinton Expands National ID

>From ACLU News:

\*Clinton Expands National ID\*

Seeking to further demonstrate its tough stance against illegal immigration, the Clinton Administration announced Thursday a national expansion of a pilot program in California that requires participating employers to verify the legal status of job seekers, according to a front page article in the New York Times.

Specifically, the Immigration and Naturalization Service reached agreement with the nation's four largest meat-packing companies (representing 80 percent of the industry's 70,000 employees) to use a computerized data system at 41 plants in 12 Western and Midwestern states to determine if job applicants are documented workers.

The ACLU and other civil libertarians have long criticized the plan, saying it would lead to an costly, intrusive and error-prone national identification card.

The effort announced today builds on the seven-month-old pilot program in two Southern California counties, Santa Ana and the City of Industry.

Meanwhile, immigration bills approved by the House and the Senate, and now awaiting resolution in a conference committee, include differing provisions

that would expand pilot programs even further to allow the INS to more quickly evaluate among different systems.

"These pilot programs all lead down the same path," said Greg T. Nojeim, an ACLU Legislative Counsel. "Unless the public steps up its pressure to stop them from proceeding, the government will build a giant computer registry that will require every single hiring decision in this country to be cleared through a centralized database."

For general information about the ACLU, write to [info@aclu.org](mailto:info@aclu.org)

\*\*\*\*\*

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: [freematt@coil.com](mailto:freematt@coil.com) with the words subscribe FA on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-30 messages per week)

Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

\*\*\*\*\*

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96053107 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 12:09:19 -0400 (EDT)

From: jwhitley@inforamp.net (John K. Whitley)

Subject: Major Toronto newspaper reveals secret BILDERBERG meeting

MAJOR CANADIAN NEWSPAPER REVEALS  
SECRET BILDERBERG MEETING IN TORONTO!

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: John Whitley

418-481 4868/fax: 416-322 7238

TORONTO, May 30th.-- The veil of secrecy which usually surrounds annual meetings of the Bilderberg, the highest level of the global and financial elite, was torn apart this morning by a front-page story in one of Canada's most widely-read and influential newspapers, the TORONTO STAR.

Under the headline BLACK PLAYS HOST TO WORLD LEADERS, John Deverell, a business reporter for the newspaper, noted that not only had Canadian publisher Conrad Black offered \$295 million to gain control of Canada's largest newspaper chain and weathered the subsequent annual meeting of his Hollinger Inc, but that - to cap his week - "...he's now the host for a four-day closely guarded meeting of world leaders and royalty just north of Toronto."

The TORONTO STAR, and other major Toronto media, had previously received a detailed news release from the Toronto-based NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE alerting them to this

well-concealed gathering. The UPDATE's Web page address is  
<http://www.inforamp.net/~jwhitley>.

Deverell listed some of the more than 100 hand-picked attendees from around the world as "U.S. Defence Secretary William Perry, Prime Minister Jean Chretien, former U.S. Secretary of State Henry Kissinger, the queens of the Netherlands and Spain as well as other business, political and academic elite." He also noted that Conrad Black, a media magnate, is a permanent member of the Bilderberg, and added "For 42 years the secretive organization has devoted itself to strengthening the Atlantic military alliance and economies."

The elite group is meeting May 30th to June 2nd at the Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce's luxuriously-appointed \$60 million King Ranch top management and leadership centre outside King City, just north of Toronto.

Deverell concluded by reporting that "The guest list and agenda for the four-day conference are secret. A ban on reporters 'makes discussion more intimate and candid', Black said yesterday. 'There are no massive indiscretions, but the exchanges can be quite heated.'"

Bilderberger meetings are normally not even mentioned in the Press. The TORONTO STAR, a liberal paper with a long tradition of award-winning journalism, is one of the few remaining independent newspapers in Canada.

In his 1993 biography, A LIFE IN PROGRESS, Conrad Black had this to say about the Bilderberg, which he first attended in May, 1981:

"This group was set up in the mid-fifties by Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands and was designed to strengthen understanding between prominent people in the North Atlantic community. It met at the Dutch resort hotel that gave the group its name. About 120 or so people meet from every full-fledged traditionally non-communist European country, as well as Canada and the United States. They normally include senior officials of the governments of all the countries represented, with a wide swath of enlightened business, academic, media and military leaders. There was always a group of international officials, led by the NATO Secretary-General and military commander and the head of the OECD [Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development]

The key to the unique success of the Bilderberg meetings has been to hold them in remote places almost entirely without spouses or aides, to discourage prepared texts, and to confine discussion as much as possible to English.

Although I had first met Henry Kissinger in Palm Beach in 1979, and then at a luncheon in Toronto, jointly hosted by the ECONOMIST magazine and our company in 1980, and later socially in New York, it was at Bilderberg that I got to know him and a number of our other, future, directors and advisory board members. These included Gianni Agnelli of Fiat, Dwayne Andreas [controlling shareholder of the giant agri-business Archer-Daniels, Midland], Zbigniew Brzezinski [former national security advisor in the Carter administration], Lord Carrington [former British foreign and defence secretary and secretary-general of NATO], Andrew Knight [editor of the ECONOMIST], Richard Perle [former U.S. assistant secretary

of National Defence and one of the champions of the Strategic Defence Initiative ("Star Wars") and Euro-missile deployment], Paul Volker [former Federal Reserve chairman], and George Will [U.S. conservative columnist and commentator], as well as many other interesting people.

Not having very satisfactory recollections of schooldays, nor being a very enthusiastic or obsevant university alumnus, Bilderberg has been the closest I have known to that sort of comaraderie. The animated social sessions, as much as the cut and thrust on the principal strategic and economic issues faced by the Atlantic community, have given me, and many other regular participants, a powerful and entirely agreeable sense of community with some very talented and prominent people. After 1986, I became the co-leader of the Canadian group and effectively chose most of the Canadian participants.

Providentially, the world became more accessible for me as Canada became less commodious. It was from Bilderberg that our company's eventual vocation as an international newspaper organization arose."

Others have a different view of Bilderberg. In his superbly-researched 1995 book, CLINTON CONFIDENTIAL: THE UNAUTHORIZED BIOGRAPHY OF BILL AND HILLARY CLINTON, George Carposi Jr. had this to say of this powerful organization of which President Clinton is also a member, and which "anoointed" him for the Presidency at its private June, 1991, meeting in Baden-Baden, Germany:

"The organization claims 'secrecy is demanded so as to encourage frank discussions by persons whose positions

otherwise would restrict full expressions of their personal views'. Consequently, the identities of those attending the gatherings are never made known. They are referred to only by their own press releases or by reporters camped at a distance from the meeting sites, always off-limits to both press and public.

Over the years, the Bilderberg Conference has attracted many highly-placed critics who protest that the organization

- \* sets itself above governments
- \* manipulates global finances and imperiously establishes rigid and binding monetary rates around the world.
- \* selects political figures whom the conference decrees should become rulers - and also targets those whom it wants removed from power.
- \* decides which countries shall wage war on others.

"The Bilderbergers are powerful enough to pull the rug out [from under] one nation and to pave the way for the rise of another", said a scholar intimately acquainted with the Bilderberger modus operandi.

"An example is the way the conferees decreed the impoverishment of South American countries for many years. Now they've done an about-face, and are building up those lands.

"They are above government. They dictate terms and run the

world the way the Bilderberger brains trust decides it should be.

"It is incomprehensible how so many highly placed people of influence can be sucked into this secret society's orbit to participate in their closed-door planning sessions that ordain drastic and historic changes to create a new world order to be controlled by the most powerful of global bankers", says the scholar.

A partial roster of influential Americans who have attended Bilderberg Conferences includes Arthur Hayes Sulzberger, president and publisher of the NEW YORK TIMES; C.D. Jackman, vice-president of TIME, Inc; George F. Kennan, former ambassador to the Soviet Union; Eugene R. Black, president of the International Bank; Gabriel Howe, economic advisor to President Dwight D. Eisenhower; David I. McDonald, president of the United Steel Workers; David Rockefeller, chairman of the Chase Manhattan Bank; and former Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger.

Meetings are generally held semi-annually and participants, as some of the aforementioned names indicate, represent selected public officials, economists, professionals, publishers, industrialists, labor leaders, and people of influence from virtually all walks of life....

Meetings are always held in an atmosphere of utmost security. Each delegate is sworn to secrecy, pledging never to divulge what is discussed or to identify others who attend."

As reported in yesterday's Press Release, however, the NEW WORLD ORDER INTELLIGENCE UPDATE has learned that a planned 1997

Unilateral Declaration of Independence by Quebec's Premier Bouchard, resulting in the breakup of Canada and its eventual absorbtion into Continental Union with the United States by the year 2005, will be high on the agenda.

Other items to be discussed include the sweeping and Draconian recommendations of the elite's 1995 Commission on Global Governance Report, OUR GLOBAL NEIGHBOURHOOD. The multi-billion dollar privatization of Ontario Hydro, the province's massive publicly-owned electricity generator and distributor will also be on the agenda. Such privatization sales in England have led to a "pure money machine" flow of profits for top investors.

The standard Bilderberger response to queries concerning their agenda, the identities of participants, and the decisions reached is that "secrecy encourages frank discussion." In his 1967 book, THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS: AN EXPOSURE OF POWER POLITICS, British author A.K. Chesterton responded directly to that excuse by commenting that "Men pursuing purposes which will bear the light of day do not hold secret meetings in different parts of the world."

- 30 -

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96053106 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 19:32:30 -0700 (MST)

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Congressional Record May 29th 1996

Congressional Record May 29th 1996

'The wheels of Justice grind exceedingly slow, but they grind exceedingly fine!'

- author unknown

-----  
-----

The following is taken from the Congressional Record of the 29 of May 1996.

The HONORABLE Mr. Dornan of Indiana is the HONORABLE gentleman who  
has placed them into the Congressional Record for all us.

Perhaps "Judge" Kenneth Starr will now have the testicles to place the mill  
stone in

motion! Personally, I doubt that this will ever occur as Starr was appointed  
Solicitor

General of the United States by the most successfull cocaine smuggler in our  
nation's

history, William Jefferson Clinton's partner, George Herbert Bush.

-nemo

-----  
-----

Title: TRUTH IN SPEAKING

(House of Representatives - May 29, 1996)

CR [Page: H5627]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California Mr. Dornan is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DORNAN. Mr. Speaker, there are so many subjects racing through my mind right now for a 5-minute special order. I was going to talk about an Army hero who was killed in Bosnia trying to, to use his own words from a few hours before his death, clear these stinking minefields for the children of Bosnia, but the last gentlewoman began her remarks by saying it is time for truth in speaking.

Let me tell the gentlewoman, as somebody who started investigating Little Rock in 1992, before the Clintons were in the White house, I think Little Rock, just Little Rock, in an otherwise great State, and only in the field of politics with some businesspeople, was a stinking hole of corruption; with not this current Governor, but the prior Governor, a stinking hole of corruption. And that like Hamlet's line about murder, though it hath no tongue, will by most miraculous organ out, all of this financial corruption will by most miraculous organ out by, using her very words, truth in speaking.

CR [Page: H5628]

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. DORNAN. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, what I did in my special order was ask a number of questions that were still unanswered. One of the questions that I think is very, very important is why did the Arkansas Development Financial Authority send \$50 million of Arkansas money to the Cayman Islands to deposit in a bank in the Cayman Islands, which is a major drug transit point acknowledged by almost every DEA agent in the world? Why would they send \$50 million of Arkansas money down there? That is a question that needs to be answered.

I have the electronic bank transfer statements in my office. I am going to put them in the Congressional Record. There is no doubt the money was wired to the Cayman Islands. The question needs to be asked, why was it wired? Why would the Governor of Arkansas allow that? Why would the Arkansas Development Financial Authority, a State-run agency, send their money out of the country to a drug haven? I hope that the independent counsel will explore that. We are going to ask other questions as well.

Mr. DORNAN. Mr. Speaker, no one other than the gentleman has investigated the Vince Foster thing or analyzed it. And the line of Vince Foster's that comes back to us from the grave about the whole Whitewater mess and the IRS problems was, these are Vince Foster's words, 'This is a can of worms we do not want to open.' The can of worms was opened in front of that jury and they got 24 felony convictions.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas, the Portia from the  
other side of  
the  
aisle.

-----  
-----

END (or perhaps the Beginning!)

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96053105 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: 30 May 96 20:09:49 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:Persecuted Pastor

The following is the text of a fax that I received a while ago.  
I am somewhat familiar with Pastor Richard Mooneyhan and the troubles that he has been faced with. What follows is a legitimate and above board description of what is happening to the man, and what people are trying to do about it.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is *\*not\* \*safe\**.

\*\*\*\*\*

Dear Friends of Richard Mooneyhan:

As you know, Pastor Mooneyhan remains incarcerated in the Marion County (Florida) jail, convicted of violating a court order that prosecution witnesses admitted DID NOT EVEN APPLY TO HIM, and jailed on May 13 for refusing to give up his Fifth Amendment rights by surrendering his personal telephone records (\*). Appeals have been filed.

Folks, we need to exercise our First Amendment rights and let our voices be heard in Ocala on this matter. Judge Victor J. Musleh needs to hear from you. Brad King, State Attorney, needs to hear

from you. The Clerk of Court in Marion County needs to hear from you.

Probably the most effective calls you could make would be to the Chamber of Commerce, Silver Springs, Wild Waters, and the County Commission office. Let them know that you and your family will not patronize any attractions, eat in any restaurants, shop in any stores, or even get gas in Ocala when you vacation in Florida unless this matter is remedied immediately. Let them know you are telling all your friends and relatives about this. A complete economic boycott of Marion County would be appreciated. Money ALWAYS talks.

This information HAS NOT been prepared or released by the Mooneyhan family.

AREA CODE FOR ALL NUMBERS IS 352.

Judge Musleh - Home 351-5446 Office 620-3570 FAX 236-1732

Brad King, State Attorney/John Wethington, Prosecutor - 620-3800

Clerk of Court - 620-3904 FAX 620-3300

Sheriff Ken Egle - 732-8181

Chamber of Commerce - 629-8051

County Commissioners - 620-3307

Judy Johnson, Larry Cretul, Jeff Gann, Randy Harris, Steve Henning

Wild Waters and Silver Springs Business Office - 236-1212

Wild Waters/Silver Springs Public Relations FAX 236-1732

P.S. - Be polite. And don't forget to pray Psalms 109.

\*\*\*\*\*

(\*)Note from Mike:

Pastor Mooneyhan's phone records had NOT been subpoenaed. While I do not have all the details, it appears the Judge had been trying to get Mooneyhan to "voluntarily" surrender his records. Mooneyhan took the position that he was protected under the Fifth Amendment from having to surrender records that could be used against him, and that in any event the court could attempt to subpoena the records from the phone company. The Judge's response was something to the effect that Mooneyhan didn't have any Fifth Amendment rights, and he continued Mooneyhan's incarceration.

It was the opinion of the people I talked to concerning this that the Judge probably cannot simply subpoena the records in question from the phone company because there are not sufficient legal grounds in this case to do so. As far as I can tell that analysis is probably correct.

Anyone desiring more information can contact me, and I will provide the phone number of the person coordinating this effort.

- Mike

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96053104 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: 30 May 96 18:47:45 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN: PC idiots cancel show

30-May-96 16:20 EDT

Sb: Shooting Show

From: Joe Horn <6mysmesa@1eagle1.com>

Subject: Shooting Show

The Shooting Show, an outspoken advocate of the Right to Keep and Bear Arms, has been told by the Outdoor Channel that they will be dropped if they continue to promote conservative ideals. The pressure originates with the big cable companies, notably Time-Warner. It may not be too late to prevent this miscarriage of justice. The Outdoor Channel may be contacted at (800) 551-9707. Spread the word.

=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96053103 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: PawlRevere@aol.com

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 18:48:06 -0400

Subject: L&J: Fwd: NEW Footage JFK (RIFLE)

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

Anyone have any thoughts on this? Did Travis find something here?

-----

Forwarded message:

From: tbeach@grits.valdosta.peachnet.edu (Travis\_Beach)

To: PawlRevere@AOL.Com

Date: 96-05-29 14:45:48 EDT

Did anyone else see it? I am a former Marine with an extreme amount of Firearms Training both State Government and in the USMC. I also am very interested in the JFK assasination. Imagine my SUPRIZE when the NEW film Footage of the day JFK was shot surfaced. Here I sit in my humble abode and watching the footage I spy up to now unseen JFK footage NEW and UNEDITED and the screen flashes to a Dallas Policeman holding up THE RIFLE attempting to keep his prints off of it by holding an object in the reciever (pencil, pen ?) ONLY ONE PROBLEM...THE RIFLE I SAW IN MY BOOKS ON THE JFK ASSASINATION, THE WARREN COMMISSION ect. SHOW A "SCOPED CARCANO CARBINE" The RIFLE the Dallas PD officer held in his hands clearly had NO SCOPE ON IT. MY GOD THE RAMIFICATIONS HERE. DID ANYONE ELSE SEE IT? DID I SEE IT?

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

Date: Wed, 29 May 1996 07:51:33 -0400 (EDT)  
From: Brad Dolan <bdolan@use.usit.net>  
Subject: Re: Long Lost JFK Assassination Footage to Air  
Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

I noted two interesting things about this yesterday.

(1.) The film footage was reportedly rescued from the trash by Cooper. Cooper gave a \*copy\* of the film to Sturges and buried his copy under his house (interesting thing to do). Cooper is now dead and his copy has been ruined in a fire. We're seeing the Sturges copy. If the original film was tampered with, it might not be possible to tell from the copy.

(2.) I need to look it up, but there was a Sturgis or Sturges implicated in the assassination

bd

On Tue, 28 May 1996 beazur@skynet.net wrote:

>

> -> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

>

> >

> >I'd like to know why it was "long-lost" , how it was "found" and if it's  
> been spliced?

> >

> >DALLAS (Nando.net)-

> > "Long-lost television news film events surrounding the assassination

> of President Kennedy, including the chaos in Dealey Plaza after the

> shooting, has been turned over to the agency compiling the public record.

> > The 45 minutes of silent, black-and-white 16mm film- mostly unaired

> sequences- also includes Kennedy and his wife holding hands at the airport

> before boarding the motorcade and pictures of Jack Ruby waiting for Lee

> Harvey Oswald at an appearance before reporters the night of the

> assassination, The Dallas Morning News reported today.

> > Portions of the film will be aired tonight and Wednesday on the "CBS

> Evening News", the network said.

> > The film does not show the president's motorcade under rifle fire in

> Dealey Plaza on Nov. 22, 1963, and offers no obvious evidence toward

> settling the case's many controversies, according to the few authorities who

> have viewed it. But the film- only a fraction of which has ever been shown

> publicly- does show the confusion that engulfed Dealey Plaza after the

> president was shot and the immediate search for the shooter in the railroad

> yard behind the grassy knoll."

> >

>

>

> -> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

> -> Posted by: beazur@skynet.net

>

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: Brad Dolan <bdolan@use.usit.net>

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96053102 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: PawlRevere@aol.com

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 22:15:50 -0400

Subject: Fwd: MJN:Letter to Dees

-----

Forwarded message:

Subj: Fwd: MJN:Letter to Dees

Date: 96-05-30 07:24:07 EDT

From: JAdam2594

To: PawlRevere

-----

Forwarded message:

Subj: Fwd: MJN:Letter to Dees

Date: 96-05-29 01:30:44 EDT

From: RSayles

-----

Forwarded message:

From: pnpj@db1.cc.rochester.edu (Patricia Neill)

To: jad@jupiter.att.com

Date: 96-05-28 09:17:50 EDT

Date: Sun, 26 May 1996 04:08:42 -0400 (EDT)

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:Letter to Dees

A letter from one of Dees' own people to him taking him to task for the way he has been treating the petriot/militia movement.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is \*not\* \*safe\*.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

#: 941680 S8/LIBERTY [OUTFORUM]

23-May-96 09:46:06

Sb: Letter to Morris Dees

Fm: Bruce "Loz" Schoenfelder 72162,1174

To: all

[Editorial note: this is from a well spoken member of the SPLC to its sensationalist founder Morris Dees and should be heeded, but won't. Please copy and distribute far and wide]

May 8, 1996

Mr. Morris Dees

Chair, Executive Committee

The Southern Poverty Law Center

400 Washington Avenue

P.O. Box 548

Montgomery, AL 36101-0548

re: MILITIA TASK FORCE

Dear Mr. Dees:

I have, until recently, been a contributing member to SPLC for several years, and until recently, I have been proud of that association. Even when the center received adverse publicity due to the overwhelming success of its financial strategy, I continued to support it. The



Center's programs for Teaching Tolerance and pursuing justice for those whose civil rights were violated have made a lasting and positive impression on American society. Unfortunately, the SPLC's new focus is detracting from our solid reputation, and if it does not change soon, I fear that the damage to an excellent organization will be permanent.

As the impact of the SPLC lawsuits against bigotry and racially-motivated violations of constitutional protections were felt, their efficacy was proven by the waning severity of the violations that were pursued in the courts. As this occurred, the Southern Poverty Law Center was faced with a choice: it had to widen or shift its focus. The question was, "How?"

In the past, the Lawsuits by SPLC have been taken up on behalf of the weak in order to secure compensation and/ or some measure of justice against a somewhat stronger entity, whether that entity was a well-financed racist fringe group, or a local government. With the overwhelming success of this strategy, new frontiers had to be opened. There are many out there whose civil rights are being ravaged by the strongest entity of all - the United States Government - not for racial reasons, but for political reasons. I personally have three friends\* who are currently fighting for their lives, and who have been through horrors inflicted on them by their own government, our government, the likes of which most people don't even believe can happen in this country. There are literally thousands like them, and these people are in severe need of assistance.

But rather than seek justice for those so abused, the Center has chosen to exploit sensationalist journalism, to which the SPLC itself has contributed heavily, inducing largely irrational fears to fight against the so-called "Patriot Movement" and its related "Militias". In the SPLC campaign, this heterogeneous group is painted with broad strokes in

threatening ways which are very unfair to the great majority of those in the movement.

Abuses of power by the federal government like those mentioned above have given rise to the Patriot Movement and the Militias. These are understandable reactions from a very concerned population. If we in the SPLC are truly concerned with the threat presented by these groups, we should be looking for ways to constructively address their legitimate concerns, rather than seek to blindly discredit and destroy the entire movement.

There is no room for violence in the Patriot Movement. Violence always results in more power being granted to the federal government in the interest of "security" and at the expense of constitutional law - the exact opposite of what the Patriots seek. But any counter-establishment movement which addresses problems the magnitude of which are addressed by the Patriots is bound to attract some fringe elements, whose motives and means are dangerous and counter-productive. (In fact, the deliberate infiltration of such agent provocateurs by the federal government has been a common occurrence in its dealings with rebellious organizations for many years.) But instead of "throwing out the baby with the bath water," the decent and truly patriotic core of the Patriot Movement should be integrated into the Center's constituency, rather than marginalized and alienated from it.

In the SPLC's latest mailing, there is a picture of some militia men in fatigues, taking target practice with rifles in the desert. Their eyes are blacked out, and on the other side, we are informed that this is for "security reasons." I would submit that the real reason for this move is because the defamatory nature of the Center's accompanying description of Patriots and the militias would make a picture without such alterations ripe for a libel lawsuit. If that is true, it should tell us something: that the agenda being pursued here is not entirely correct.

About a year ago, I sent to you a copy of Defrauding America, by Rodney Stich, and I asked that you consider taking up Rod's legal case, as his civil rights were and are clearly being violated. I received a reply from the center indicating that the case was outside of the SPLC's current mission. It is unfortunate that in its evolution, the SPLC has chosen to become closer to Mr. Stich's persecutors than to him. I sincerely hope that this is a reversible trend. If not, I fear that a once-great organization will have lost its ideals in a quest for cash, and will be forever lost to the people it once served.

Sincerely,

David H. Stern, M.D.  
Member, Leadership Council  
Southern Poverty Law Center

DHS/dhs

cc: \*Rodney Stich, Lester K. Coleman, and Michael Williams  
Multiple Concerned Citizens  
Deep Times News Service

-----End Forwarded Message(s)-----

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

- \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96053101 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: PawlRevere@aol.com

Date: Thu, 30 May 1996 22:13:49 -0400

Subject: Fwd: Bob Starr case update

-----

Forwarded message:

Subj: Bob Starr case update

Date: 96-05-30 19:24:33 EDT

From: CopWatch

May 30, 1996

For Distribution:

The upcoming trial of Bob Starr and Jimmy McCranie will be bringing forth a lot of well known expert witnesses, however, we are still looking for more experts in the field of militia, firearms and explosives. These people must be credible experts, we do not want some conspiracy wacko that just wants to get his name in the newspaper. Anyone you may know who can help out, please give me a call. Law enforcement agents from all levels (federal, state and local), who are willing to testify on their level of expertise will be a great help in this case.

Also, let me plead with everyone, to not discuss this case with the media, if the media wishes to ask questions on this case, have them contact me and I will put them in touch with the appropriate people. The reason I say this is during the recent arraignment proceedings, there was a militiaman who insisted on "frothing" to the media. This man came across as a total idiot, and in turn, made the Starr case look bad. So please tell everyone to keep quiet, unless the defense team has authorized them to speak on behalf of Bob Starr. I'm not trying to piss anyone off, but understand that a man's life is

on the line here.

I will do my best to keep everyone informed of case developments. I can tell you that there is going to be co-council on the case due to the magnitude, there will also be more investigators added to this case.

Again, I need to beg for money, not for myself, but to help the defense pay their day to day expenses. Nancy is dedicating herself full time to this case, the only monetary support she has, will come from donations, also the family will have to continue to get by until Starr is released. Please folks, anything you can do, please do it. Bob and Octavia thank you for the past donations, but we must continue to donate if we are to get the full benefits from proper investigations, witnesses and other court proceedings. Make all checks payable to Octavia Starr and send them to below address. I assure you this money is going to the right cause. Bob is an innocent man, we cannot allow him to be convicted of something he didn't do, simply because we were not able to support the defense. The attorneys are not looking for a payday, but court costs, phone bills and travel cost a lot of money in this type of case.

I am leaving for Macon on Friday (tomorrow), I will not be back in until Sunday evening. If you must get in touch with me, call on my cell phone 205 238 2395, or you can leave a message on my answering machine 205 570 0638, and I'll call you back ASAP.

Jeff Randall

Bob Starr Defense Fund

c/o Jeff Randall

Route 1 Box 182

Gallant, Alabama 35972

Please distribute this message.

PS: One thing that everyone can do to help is to get in touch with your Senator or Congressman and ask them to look into this case of setup by paid informants of the ATF, also have them look into the Agent in charge of the raid on Starr, Bart McEntire, as you all know, Mike Kemp has audio tape of this man harrassing a member of the militia. Ask your Congressman why we allow these types of agents the authority to harass the public.

Mr. McEntire is on tape in a calm conversation with a militaman about Waco, as this militiaman continued to ask questions, Bart "lost his cool" in typical ATF fashion and was cuaght on tape in a pissed off voice saying to the militaman, "You're about to fucking piss me off!" He was advancing towards this man as he said this to him. Lawsuits from those who witnessed this incident will be forthcoming against McEntire.

Tell your Congressman that if they wish for the public to have a better view of federal authority, then they will make sure they get agents like McEntire off the streets.

We will be happy to send a copy of the tape to any Congressman who wishes to investigate yet another abuse of federal law enforcement authority.

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96052904 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Charlie Duke speaks on the Freeman and the FBI.] PIML

=====

From: Charlie Duke, INTERNET:cduke@csn.net

DATE: 5/27/96 5:25 PM

May 26, 1996

SENATOR DUKE

(719) 481-9289

By Senator Charles R. Duke

Colorado District 9

A TRUCE TO SAVE LIVES

It is believed there is no public figure that has been more critical of the FBI than I have. Without rehashing old stories, the FBI received heavy, deserved criticism from not only me but also many others, including Congress, for that agency's handling of the Ruby Ridge and Waco incidents.

Nothing has really changed about those incidents today. Instead of cleaning their ranks, the FBI promoted many of the administrators for those two incidents, effectively pouring salt into what many patriots believed was an open wound. Now, here was another incident in Brusett, Montana, threatening stability again between America's citizens and their government.

The residents of the Clark Ranch represented themselves as patriots and constitutionists under attack for their ideology by

the U.S. government. Close inspection reveals that few on the Clark Ranch are ideological zealots. Some are simply fugitives attempting to use constitutional obstructionism to avoid arrest and prosecution. Others are just anti-government-of-any-sort and find the Freeman story a convenient excuse to do nothing in response to the exercise of civil authority.

When I finally reached a point that I could no longer be ideologically neutral between the Freeman and the FBI, I left. Upon leaving, I praised the FBI for their handling of the situation with restraint and understanding. Many in the patriot and militia movements reacted with horror at my comments.

"Good grief!" they said, Charlie has been co-opted by the FBI. His brain was washed with microwaves while there. He was handed a brown envelope full of money to say that. There were other, even stronger, comments. None of these comments are true.

During discussions prior to my arrival at Brusett, I pointed out to the FBI that the Freeman and many others believe the FBI has no standing for police action there. The Montana Constitution, in fact, prohibits their presence without the explicit request of the Governor. Studies show the FBI was never statutorily created or chartered. Thus, technically speaking, they do not exist.

You can imagine the FBI's surprise at hearing all this from me. I explained, in Freeman terms, that the FBI agents are 14th Amendment citizens and not sovereigns as the Freeman claim to be. In the FBI's world, I was speaking a foreign language. They had heard all these terms but had no clue as to their meaning.

I explained that the Freeman objected to the jurisdiction of the admiralty court (distinguished by an American flag with the gold fringe around it), and, as sovereign citizens, wanted instead a Constitutional court. A court which allows an

American flag with no gold fringe is believed by the Freeman to be bound by the Constitution, whereas an admiralty court follows admiralty rules - the rule of necessity - and Constitutional matters are not allowed.)

To their credit, the FBI agreed that, if the residents of the Clark Ranch would leave the ranch of their own free will and allow civil authority, the FBI would be willing to step aside and allow processing by any civil authority of the Freeman's choosing. This was a major concession by the FBI.

The Freeman negotiators wanted only a Common Law Grand Jury with only freeholders on the jury, that is people who have renounced their U.S. citizenship and proclaimed their sovereign status. Again, to the FBI's credit, they were willing to and did give serious consideration as to how this might be offered. In effect, it would amount to the Freeman hand-picking their own jury. Of course, it is highly unlikely that would ever be offered, but it was at least seriously considered.

Throughout the negotiating process, it was the FBI and the sole Freeman, Edwin Clark, who contributed the most to constructive solutions. The FBI met every single request of the Freeman and the Freeman met none of the FBI's. The other Freeman at the Ranch destroyed ideas rather than building them.

Certainly the FBI still has many problems as far as the patriot and militia community is concerned. They are learning, and showed signs of a great willingness to overcome the black marks of Ruby Ridge and Waco.

The FBI was also confronted with their own behavior, not just once, but many times during the course of my stay in Brusett. Both the FBI and I mutually agreed to set the highly emotional issues of Ruby Ridge and Waco aside temporarily and to work cooperatively to save lives and find a peaceful conclusion to the Brusett standoff. It is my belief that a true patriot

could do no less.

It is a mark of the FBI's character that they were willing to work with a critic such as myself to find a peaceful solution. It would have been a negative reflection on my own character had I not responded in kind.

END

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

JR: The following messages were edited for spelling, punctuation, and other minor typos, and the paragraphs were reformatted for length.

=====

PIML 96052903 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Two messages about Morris Dees/Southern Poverty Law Center] PIML

=====

Date: Tue, 28 May 96 21:46 EST

From: lindat@iquest.net (Linda Thompson)

Subject: Cost Dees and get info

Morris Dees, or Bore-us Da-sleaze to those in the know, of the Southern Poverty Law Center, will send a FREE copy of his book "False Patriots" to ANYONE WHO ASKS.

Gee, how long will it take to suck down that 60 million dollar trust fund Dees has amassed playing on the false hopes of poor black women if we all send in for a copy or two or three?

Mention you are a concerned citizen and want to know more.

Write: SPLC 400 Washington Ave. Montgomery, AL 36104

Kind regards,

\*\*\*\*\* V \*\*\*\*\*

DEATH TO THE NEW WORLD ORDER

\*\*\*\*\*

Linda Thompson

American Justice Federation

Home of AEN News

& news videos, "Waco, the Big Lie," "America Under Siege"

3850 S. Emerson Ave.

Indianapolis, IN 46203

Telephone: (317) 780-5200

Fax: (317) 780-5209

Internet: lindat@iquest.net

Website: <http://aen.aen.org>

\*\*\*\*\*

Remember Waco. The Murderers are still free.

\*\*\*\*\*

=====

Subj: Dees Day, doo dah, doo dah

Date: 96-05-24 20:01:21 EDT

From: jimfloyd@cneti.com (Jim Floyd)

Yesterday was a rare day, indeed. Carl Dettmer, a talk-show host at WSTD, 1370, Toledo, Ohio had the infamous Morris Dees of the Southern Poverty Law Center on his program. Mr. Dees was not aware that this humble writer would also be a guest.

Morris was introduced and proceeded with his routine, braggart diatribe about his book and long-past accomplishments in his fight against hate crimes.

Carl: "But you have enemies, Mr. Dees."

Mo: "Of course, the Klan, neo-Nazis, Militia - they all hate me because I've been so successful."

Carl: "We have on the line from Alabama a man Jim Floyd."

Mo: "I don't know any Jim Floyd."

JF: "Hello Mr. Dees, allow me to introduce myself; I'm from Cullman and I am not a Klan member, neo-Nazi. As a fact, I have joined only three organizations in my life - the Boy Scouts, the Baptist Church and the U.S. Army. I do, however, consider you to be nothing but an escape of bad sewer-gases and agree that your silly book should not be laid aside lightly - no, it should be thrown with deliberate force into the nearest trash bin."

Carl: "That's pretty harsh, Mr. Floyd."

JF: "No, I'm simply tired of Morris putting himself forward as the 'social conscience' of my State and I'm ashamed of him and for him because his whole life is an unchallenged lie." "Morris this is not accusatory, it is just a question, DID YOU REALLY GO AFTER YOUR SIXTEEN YEAR OLD STEPDAUGHTER WITH A VIBRATOR/DILDO, as sworn in your court papers?"

What followed was a brief silence and then little Morris threw the phone at the receiver - Dees ran! Morris got rabbit in his feet and ran!

The day before, as we conspired to trap this slime-ball, Carl had agreed that if Morris absconded, (which I knew would be the case) then Carl and I would complete the hour. And I was permitted to continue long enough to cover the incident where Dees hid in the hotel toilet with a P.I. while his wife had intercourse with O'Daugherty of the National Endowment. I described how he had jumped out taking pictures and busting his wife's jaw. I went on to show Morris at Joe Levin's cabin where he

arranged a meeting with his wife and mistress so each could tell him how much they loved him so he could decide which he wanted. I explained that Dees wants to be a quasi-official arm of the Federal Police with arrest and spy powers outside the purview of Congressional oversight. Suddenly my brave talk-show host lost his nerve-thanked me for "calling in" and cut me off. Did Mo call back and threaten? Who knows?

This an 800 plus e-mail/fax list and I apologize to my friends overseas who may not be interested in this posting, however, you have your own little cockalorums who act as commissars of thought and word and this serves to prove when confronted with truth they cringe and flee. Also, my only protection is public exposure - Dees has 90 million dollars and is the darling of the Feds.

Thanks for your indulgence,

Jim

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message



\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052902 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[A useful list of pro and con current legislation with handy free phone numbers to call. What have you done to support Liberty today?] PIML

=====

To: snetnews@alterzone.com

Date: Tue, 28 May 1996 19:30:53 PST

Subject: Congress

From: village6@juno.com (a b c)

Reply-To: snetnews@alterzone.com

-> SearchNet's snetnews Mailing List

1-800-962-3524

1-800-972-3524

1-800-872-8513

Congressional Switchboard

OPPOSE:

HR. 2441 /S. 1284 - National Information Infrastructure Act

(anti-privacy, etc.)

HR. 3460 - will destroy our patent system and small inventors, help multi-nationals

HR. 2202 /S. 269 - Immigration Reform (includes a centralized

national I. D. System)

H. R. 1617 /S. 143 - CAREERS Bill (Nationalization and centralization of employment and education programs)

Continuing Red China's MFN Trading Status (also HR. 1642 - MFN

for Cambodia)

The Health Care Reform Bill (the conference report on the  
Kassenbaum-Kennedy bill)

Minimum Wage Increase

#### SUPPORT:

The Abraham Amendment (to remove the national ID system) to S.  
269 Immigration Bill HR. 2270 /S. 1039 - require each bill to  
name Constitutional

basis HR. 2535 - United Nations Withdrawal Act

H. R. 2867 - prohibit all \$ to UN, if a UN tax is established

H. Con. Res. 134 - condemns the Michael New conviction

HR. 2727 - Congressional Responsibility Act (Congressional  
Approval of Regulations)

HR. 499 - Withdrawal from NAFTA Act HR. 2651 - NAFTA

Accountability Act

HR. 698 /HR. 464 /HR. 78 /HR. 2470 /H. Con. Res. 5 - repeal gun  
bans/protect gun rights

H. R. 920 (Discharge Petition 104-3) - repeal the Clinton Crime  
Bill

H. R. 479 - repeal Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 H.  
R. 475 - repeal ozone provisions of the Clean Air Act

HR. 2483 /S. 1562 - Defend America Act (withdraw from ABM,  
deploy SDI)

H. R. 1623 - repeal Title X (Family Planning Programs) of the  
Public Health Service Act

S. 581 /HR. 1279 - National Right to Work Act H. R.  
1916 - The Civil Asset Forfeiture Reform Act

HR. 867 - Congressional Limitation on Executive Bailouts Act

H. Con. Res. 18 /H. Con. Res. 27 /S. 384 /H. Res. 57 - require full account of the Mexican  
bailout

HR. 1130 - Defund the Left (no private lobbying using tax \$)

S. 218 /HR. 370 - repeal Motor Voter Act HR. 2523 -

Terminates all agricultural price supports and  
marketing quotas

Rep. Chenoweth's Sheriff Check-Off Bill

House Concurrent Resolutions 4 and 9 /Sen. Con. Res. 14 - base rights in Panama

House Resolution 21 - Committee on POW/MIAs

HR. 945 - Missing Service Personnel Act of 1995                      HR. 390

/HR. 2450 - change IRS rules: innocent till proven  
guilty

HR. 1005 /HR. 739 /S. 356 - English the official language

HR. 1883 - abolishes the Education Department      HR. 1946

- Parental Rights & Responsibilities Act of '95

HR. 2180 - repeal National Education Association's Federal  
charter

HR. 209 - end National Endowment & Council on Arts

HR. 211 - limit US \$ to UN

HR. 297 - no tax \$ to National Endowment for Democracy                      HR. 1993 - abolish the  
Department of Energy

HR. 312 - end Appalachian Regional Development Act of '65

HR. 313 /S. 43 - sell-off Tennessee Valley Authority

HR. 403 - repeal Rural Electrification Act of '36

HR. 500 /S. 141 - repeal Davis-Beacon Act

HR. 669 - end '30 Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act

call for Waco hearings in the Senate / Ruby Ridge hearings in  
the House; full hearings into Vince Foster's death

HR. 809 /HR. 888 /HR. 1498 /HR. 949 - Federal Reserve  
audit/reform bills

HR. 1624 - take away the Federal courts' right to have anything  
to do with abortion

HR. 1764 /S. 497 - Act to End Unfair Preferential Treatment  
(Quotas, Affirmative Act.)

HR. 1951 - Food & Dietary Supplement Consumer Information Act of  
'95

HR. 2019 - Access to Medical Treatment Act                      Sen. Res.

133 - opposes UN Children "Rights" Convention

HR. 2331 /S. 790 - Federal Reports Elimination & Sunset Act

HR. 784 /S. 628 - Family Heritage Preservation Act (abolishes  
Fed. estate, gift, and generation-skipping taxes)

HR. 1756 /S. 929 - abolish Commerce Department

H. R. 1045 /S. 469 - eliminates the "National Education Standards and Improvement Council"  
and "opportunity-to-learn standards" (from

Goals 2000) HR. 862 - prohibit use of tax \$ to promote  
homosexuality

S. 219/ H. R. 450 - Regulatory Moratorium

S. 244 /H. R. 830 /H. R. 9 - Paperwork Reduction Act S.  
605 /H. R. 9 /H. R. 925 - Private Property Protection H. R. 994  
/H. R. 1022 /H. R. 9 - Regulatory Reform

H. R. 1162 /S. 1452 - Budget Deficit Reduction

H. R. 1176 - rescind Executive restrictions on striker  
replacement companies

H. R. 1216 /S. 755 - privatize the U. S. Enrichment Corporation

S. 27 - prohibit Fed. \$ to schools that prohibit voluntary  
prayer

S. 908 /S. 1441 - abolish U. S. Information Agency, U. S. Arms  
control & Disarmament Agency, and Agency for International Development  
(AID)

S. 1518 - eliminate the Board of Tea Experts

H. R. 2216 - abolish the Local Rail Freight Assistance Program

F. B.

(All that start with "H" are in the House; all with "S" in the Senate)

-> Send "subscribe snetnews " to majordomo@alterzone.com

-> Posted by: village6@juno.com (a b c)

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052901 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Anytime five Metal of Honor recipients have something to say,  
I'll listen.] PIML

=====

From: PawlRevere@aol.com

Date: Tue, 28 May 1996 21:53:15 -0400

Subject: An Open Letter to the President of the US from Metal of Honor Recipients

**\*\*An Open Letter to the President of the United States from Metal of Honor  
Recipients\*\***

May 27, 1996

The President

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Liberty is the inspiration behind the sacrifices made by the men and women of  
our armed services. It is the ideal that spawns the courage to defend  
freedom, and we honor on Memorial Day those who have gone before in defense  
of that freedom.

The contributions of those who did not return cannot be measured or repaid,

and it is the duty of the living to preserve the memory of their service. It is for this reason we respectfully request you withdraw your claim to armed service under the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act of 1940 in defense of the embarrassing legal charges confronting you today.

It is neither our place nor our desire to render judgment on the nature of the lawsuit against you, nor the legal basis for using the Act in your defense. However, we cannot betray the memory of our fallen comrades by remaining silent on this issue. Your attempt to use a law designed for the brave men and women in uniform is indefensible.

To retreat from the call to arms and then later embrace its code when it is convenient is an outrage to all who served. It is a distasteful irony that you would invoke the Act at a time when we remember those who gave their lives while wearing the uniform of the American military you once professed to "loathe."

Mr. President, please hear the call of those who wore with honor the uniform of our nation. Withdraw your use of the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act.

Respectfully,

Pat Brady, MG, USA, (Ret.) MH

Ernest Childers, Col., USA, (Ret.) MH

James F. Baker Jr., MSGT, USA (Ret.) MH

James R. Hendrix, MSGT, USA, (Ret.) MH

Elliot Williams, BMC, USN, (Ret.) MH

=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List



- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052801 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[For more information on the Fully Informed Jury Association, call  
1-800-TEL-JURY.] PIML

=====

From: Tom Glass <tomglass@ix.netcom.com>  
To: "'FIJA Mailing List'" <fija@teleport.com>  
Date: Mon, 27 May 1996 10:13:21 -0500  
Sender: owner-fija@teleport.com

Am posting the following by Clay Conrad with his permission. This does not  
directly answer Frank Forman and Carol Moore, but is germane.

Tom Glass

\*\*\*\*\*

Surviving Jury Voir Dire

By Clay S. Conrad

How can FIJA advocates keep from getting kicked off of juries, by the Judge  
or by the Prosecutor? Frustrated FIJistas regularly report being excluded  
during voir dire (jury selection). But if our advocates can't get seated  
on juries, FIJA is limited in its ability to affect the law, or to see  
justice done in SPITE of the law.

Voir Dire, which is French for "to speak the truth," consists of having the  
Judge, the Prosecutor and the Defense Attorney each ask the jury a series  
of questions. It exists for two reasons. For the most basic, it allows

the Court to find and eliminate partisans - the Defendant's brother, the arresting officer, etc. Going down the excludability ladder, it allows both sides to challenge jurors for bias, for familiarity with facts or witnesses, etc.

The rules for exclusion of jurors are spelled out in the Code of Criminal Procedure (CCP). First, FIJA members should realize that some veniremembers are absolutely disqualified. For instance, if a veniremember is under 18, or is not a citizen of Texas, the veniremember may not legally serve. The Court is required to exclude such jurors from service.

More importantly, veniremembers may be challenged FOR CAUSE. These challenges are unlimited in number, and are spelled out in CCP Art. 35.16.

A juror may be challenged for cause if he:

1. Is not qualified to vote;
2. Has been convicted of a theft or felony;
3. Is under indictment or other legal accusation for a theft or felony;
4. Is insane;
5. Has "such a defect in the organs of feeling or hearing, or such bodily or mental defect or disease as to render him unfit for jury service, or that he is legally blind, and the Court in its discretion is not satisfied that he is fit for jury service in that particular case";
6. Is a witness in the case;
7. Served on the Grand Jury which indicted the defendant;
8. Served on a Petit Jury in a former trial of the case;
9. Has a bias or prejudice in favor of the State or the Defendant;
10. Has established a conclusion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant that would influence him in his action in finding a verdict;
11. Cannot read or write;
12. Is related to either the defendant or the victim;
13. In a capital case, has conscientious scruples in regard to the

infliction of the death penalty (only to be used by the Prosecution); or

14. Has a bias or prejudice against any of the law applicable to the case.

If the Judge finds the challenge to be good, he MUST exclude the juror. It is not discretionary; failure to exclude will be reversible error.

Additionally, both the Prosecution and the Defense have a limited number of PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES. In a misdemeanor case, both sides have three, in most felonies, ten, and in capital cases, both sides have fifteen.

Peremptory challenges may be exercised for any reason or for no reason whatsoever, EXCEPT that they may not be used to exclude women or minorities from the jury merely because of their gender or race. (See CCP 35.261, Batson v. Kentucky, 476 U.S. 79 (1986)). Peremptory challenges are used to "stack" the jury, as well as the attorneys are capable of (they attempt to get people whom they believe are friendly to their side, by excluding the ones they believe will be really bad to their side.)

Many lawyers believe that the intelligent use of peremptory challenges is the most important skill of a good trial lawyer; yet research shows that few lawyers are good at it. Perhaps that is something to be glad about; try as they may, most jurors will not be so plainly "defense" or "prosecution" jurors that voir dire is much better than a crap shoot.

It certainly is frustrating for a veniremember, especially a FIJA member, to be excluded from jury duty. They have taken time from work, have submitted themselves to questioning, and have been rejected - almost always taken as a personal affront. Yet FIJA members often have only their own eagerness to blame for their exclusion, as I have tried in my answer to the E-Mail post below.

[The following exchange of posts recently appeared on the FIJA echo I

moderate on LibertyBBS in Austin, Texas (the board can be contacted directly by dialing 512-462-1776; the echo can be found on various FIDOnet bulletin boards throughout Texas and the Nation.))]

From: LOY ZIGLER

To: all

Msg #43, May-16-96 00:27:00

Subject: fija

LZ>The FIJA got me out of jury duty too.<G> The woman says the transcript (of my LZ>dismissal) will be mailed out next week. Then I need to decide what the next step will be.

It came today. What about this:

Judge: Is there anyone here that believes that they should not follow the instructions of law given to them by the court.

Me: Yeah, I guess I do. You mean the fully informed Jury?

Judge: Hold on, sir. I don't want you to taint the panel. I'll just ask you a couple questions.

He asked my name and juror #

Judge: okay, Mr. Zigler, you heard me explain-- you believe that you would not --- and you have to answer yes or no, because I don't want to taint the panel. You would not be able to follow the instructions of law given to you by the court? Just answer yes or no.

Me: Depends if I think the law is legal.

Judge: Well, all right. And I'm saying to you, it's not your judgment of what the law may be. The court's responsibility to tell you what the law is----

Me: Not if I'm in a jury. It's my responsibility.

Judge: Okay, well, I think we've heard enough from you, sir, in terms of your responsibility. You're not giving me direct answers. The answer I'm asking you is if you say to yourself and tell me, "Judge, I know you have responsibility to tell me what the law is, but the fact that you've told me what the law is isn't going to change my mind at all. I believe that I have an absolute right to determine what the law is." Is that your position?

Me: That's right.

Judge: All right. Thank you. Then we'll excuse number 48. Report back to the jury commissioner. Okay, We're not--- we're not being incriminatory to anyone or condemning anyone's beliefs, but that's the only way we can operate.

End of transcript

Now think of this in light of John Adams, our second president who had this to say about jurors. "It is not only his right, but the duty....to find the verdict according to his own best understanding, judgment, and conscience, though in direct opposition to the direction of the court."

In 1895, the U.S. Supreme Court formally recognized jury nullification in *Sparf vs. United States*. The court held that juries have the power to return verdicts contrary to law and evidence.

Taken from the Arizona Republic by Richard Lessner Deputy Editor of

the Editorial Pages.

I was denied the right to serve on a jury by this judge and would like to know where I should go to file a complaint.

From: Clay Conrad

To: Loy Zigler

Msg #44, May-18-96 10:12:50

Subject: fija

It does not appear that you wanted to serve on the jury.

In voir dire, if you intend to serve, the less said, the better. And if you do want to make a speech in voir dire, it should be aimed at informing the other jurors as much as possible.

Finally, the juror does not determine what the law IS, so much as he determines whether the law should or should not be applied in the case before him.

Even the best laws can be misapplied, and when misapplied should be nullified. There is no human way to write a law so complete and perfect that an ambitious prosecutor can't find an excuse to charge a morally innocent person with its violation...

As for any "violation" of your rights because you were excluded as a juror, don't waste your time. The rights involved belong to the people on trial, not to the jurors, with one exception: racial or gender discrimination in jury selection ("Batson" violations.) The Court has every right to exclude someone who has made themselves excludeable under the Code of Criminal Procedure. I don't know the specifics for your state - or even what state you are in - but I do know enough to assert that any Court in America will exclude a juror who answers as you did!

HINT: NEVER mention FIJA in voir dire.... NEVER answer more than the bare minimums. If the judge asks if you "CAN" do something, always answer yes if the action would be physically possible to you... he didn't ask if you WOULD...

After you are seated, if you believe nullification is an appropriate response, tell the other jurors that you do not believe the defendant is guilty, because you don't believe the law should be applied in this case, and tell them why. Then try to show them that they have the power to do what is right, and that that is why we have trial by jury. Don't quote, don't rely on authority. They can't check your quotes or look at the authorities.

Talk to them as one individual to another (11), and put the doctrine in your own words. Convince the other people prone to vote to acquit that a hung jury is not a bad outcome, if the panel disagrees. A compromise - voting for conviction when not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt, or voting guilty on lesser charges in order to short-circuit the process and go home - IS a bad outcome, and is very much a violation of their duty.

Juries are empowered to HANG, but never to COMPROMISE.

What needs to happen is for people to get to sit on juries who know something about nullification, and then to politely bring other jurors - who believe that an injustice is being done - around to an understanding of the power which is in their hands.

If you are lucky, the defense attorney should have given you all the ammunition you need, while in closing arguments, voir dire, etc. E-mail LSFIJA@aol.com for the booklet on "Jury Nullification as a Defense Strategy" for more information.



COURTS DO NOT LIKE TO EXCUSE JURORS. What they want to avoid is "busting the panel," excusing so many jurors for cause that they don't have enough left to try the case. If you avoid a dogmatic posture, and if you are respectful and say the minimum, chances are the Court will not find cause to excuse you. (You may still be struck with a peremptory challenge; even if you had not been struck for cause, I am sure, in your case, that the prosecutor would have sent you out of their expeditiously anyway. So, so far as you were concerned, there was no harm done.)

Let me give you a few examples of how the answers could have gone.

1> Judge: Is there anyone here that believes that they should not follow the instructions of law given to them by the court?

FIJA jurors can't know whether they should follow the instructions of law until they have heard the case and the instructions. AS A GENERAL RULE, the instructions probably SHOULD be followed. We should assume the system to be just until proven otherwise. So we should, AS A GENERAL RULE follow the instructions of the court, and therefore I would not raise my hand in response to this question.

2> Judge: okay, Mr. Zigler, you heard me explain-- you believe that you would not --- and you have to answer yes or no, because I don't want to taint the panel. You would not be able to follow the instructions of law given to you by the court? Just answer yes or no.

FIJA jurors would be ABLE to follow the instructions; whether they WOULD do so is another question, and not one the court asked. So the answer to this is no, it is not true that you would not be able to follow the instructions... got it?

3> Judge: Well, all right. And I'm saying to you, it's not your judgment of what the law may be. The court's responsibility to tell you what the law

is----

LZ: Not if I'm in a jury. It's my responsibility.

Here, you are being combative, when if you had answered either of the above questions more discreetly, this question would never have been almost asked - I say almost because you never let the Judge finish.

And you are WRONG. It IS the court's responsibility to tell you what the law is; it is your responsibility to decide whether the law should be applied - whether to convict or acquit.

4> Judge: Okay, well, I think we've heard enough from you, sir, in terms of your responsibility. You're not giving me direct answers. The answer I'm asking you is if you say to yourself and tell me, "Judge, I know you have responsibility to tell me what the law is, but the fact that you've told me what the law is isn't going to change my mind at all. I believe that I have an absolute right to determine what the law is." Is that your position?

LZ: That's right.

This is a misstatement of the jury nullification doctrine. The juror does not have an absolute right to determine what the law is in every instance.

For example, if a person is innocent according to the Court's instructions, the juror does not have a right to CONVICT. Nullification is a doctrine of mercy, not of anarchy.

Secondly, the juror should listen to what the Court says; he should not determine before hearing what the court says that it won't change his mind at all. Many jurors may have their minds changed and decide TO nullify after hearing the Court's charge.

-----

Even worse, your answers were unenlightening to the other jurors. They probably just dismissed you as a kook, without knowing why you were being so adamant or what you were being adamant about. You speak of your responsibility, without explaining anything about that responsibility: a better answer (for someone who wanted off the jury) would have been: it is my verdict, your honor, and that is a serious responsibility. I could not participate in committing injustice, if following the law led to an unjust result.

THEN the Court would have to deal with either a jury of nullifiers, or dismiss the entire panel - a wasted day in Court, which the Judge would not want to have to deal with...

The most important thing, in the end, was the DEFENDANT. Did your attitude and actions make it more or less likely that he would have at least one potential nullifier on his jury? I would say less likely - you got kicked off - and he may well have been unjustly convicted.

Perhaps remembering that a morally innocent man may well be sitting in prison, being beaten and raped by his cellmates while his wife files divorce papers against him and his family goes on welfare may somewhat blunt your sense of self-righteousness in so belligerently challenging the Court.

Without having to have told one lie in Voir Dire, you could have arranged to have been seated in that case - just say the minimum and answer honestly, candidly, but precisely! And that man - a victim of the system - would still have his life in one piece. Think about it...

Consider what is at stake here. A FIJA member may choose to spout off in voir dire, in order to teach that pesky judge a thing or two... or he or she may choose to wait until jury deliberations start, and then REALLY teach the judge a thing or two... Which is more effective? Which approach would you want the veniremember to take, if YOU were on trial?

FINAL COMMENT: I AM NOT ADVOCATING HAVING ANYBODY EVER, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES, LIE DURING VOIR DIRE. If you are forced to announce that you are a FIJA member (Have any of you ever heard of the Fully Informed Jury Association?), admit it. But answer very, very carefully... if asked if you are a member of the organization, but have never officially joined, or if your dues have lapsed, the answer would be NO. Say nothing!

And if you must answer a question which will lead to your being excluded, blurt out as much about the doctrine as you can! Try to make sure that every single veniremember knows as much as possible about jury independence, and that under NO circumstances must a jury commit injustice.

You may "bust" the panel; you may force the Judge to send them all home, and you may even get seated on the jury (the judge may be embarrassed to disqualify a juror who has stated he believes in justice, and count on the Prosecutor to use a peremptory challenge on you.)

Just remember that a criminal trial is not the place for political grandstanding or membership recruitment. Our goal here is not to convert, not to show off, and not to challenge the authority of the Judge or Prosecutor, but to see JUSTICE done. Keep your eyes on the prize, and when you get on that jury, do the right thing!

=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052408 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: 23 May 96 10:33:04 EDT

From: William Winter <73163.3063@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: LP ADVISORY: Party Builds Momentum

-----  
ADVISORY FROM THE LIBERTARIAN PARTY

News from the National LP headquarters for  
members & supporters of the Libertarian Party

-----  
Watergate Office Building

2600 Virginia Avenue, NW, Suite 100

Washington DC 20037

Phone: (202) 333-0008

E-mail: 73163.3063@CompuServe.com

For information about the party: (800) 682-1776

-----  
May 23, 1996  
-----

Libertarian Party is "building momentum" says media

WASHINGTON, DC -- The wave of positive media mentions of the  
Libertarian Party continues to roll along, said National Chair Steve Dasbach  
this week.

"Over the last month, we've seen more journalists -- in newspapers,  
radio, and magazines -- report that the Libertarian Party is America's third  
party; that we're a case study in effective third party organizing; and that

we're building momentum," he said.

"I'm starting to think there may be a media bias -- in favor of the Libertarian Party," he quipped.

Dasbach said the media attention is the "Field of Dreams" theory at work: "Build a growing, professional, successful third party -- and they will come. Journalists will come to notice the Libertarian Party," he said. "And that's exactly what's been happening."

Some recent media comments about the Libertarian Party:

"The Libertarian Party...is the one [political party] that is truly serious about downsizing the U.S. government."

-- Debbie Dudley, Amarillo Daily News, Texas (March 24, 1996)

"It seems that every day there is talk of establishing a third political party to challenge the virtual monopoly the Republicans and Democrats have on federal, state, and local politics. The truth is that the United States already has a third party, and has had one since 1971. That party is the Libertarian Party."

-- Steve Haberman, Portsmouth Herald, New Hampshire (April 14, 1996)

"Even more than [Ross] Perot's Reform Party, which has no announced candidates for Congress, the Libertarian Party provides a case study in how a grassroots organization works to establish itself as a third voice for a frustrated electorate."

-- Craig Karmin, The Hill, Washington, DC (May 1, 1996)

"The Libertarians make a good point about the need to lower taxes and curb government spending."

-- Arkansas Democrat-Gazette, Little Rock, Arkansas (April 24, 1996)

"Right now, both major parties are telling us they are for smaller, cheaper government. The Republicans are positioned as the biggest budget cutters. But after looking at their new seven-year budget, I see that the Republicans will save us only 2% more than the Democrats. I'm not impressed with 2%. So I went looking for real discount government, and found it with Harry Browne, [a candidate for the presidential nomination] of the Libertarian Party. [And] the more I look at it, the more I like the discount government of Harry Browne because government really doesn't work. It's bleeding us dry and stealing our liberties."

-- Don Hull, Orange County Register, California (February 19, 1996)

"Quietly building momentum, [the Libertarian Party], which bases itself on a purist interpretation of the Constitution and 'individual liberty, self-responsibility at all places, at all times,' leads the field [of third parties] by having qualified for ballot access in 30 states, and Libertarian organizers are confident they will qualify for all 50 as they have done for years."

-- Susan Crabtree, Insight, Washington, DC (May 13, 1996)

"Electoral results from 1980 forward, public attitude polls, and rising assertion of individual rights all indicate a hunger for a viable third-party option to the indistinguishable dogma of Republicans and Democrats. Libertarians, perhaps, stand in a unique position to answer that public call and assume leadership of a government they would shrink to a bare minimum."

-- Joe Gillette, Beacon News, Aurora, Illinois (April 25, 1996)

# # #

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in



- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052407 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Thu, 23 May 1996 13:21:14 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: Campaign for Secure Communications Online

=====

Campaign for Secure Communications Online

May 13, 1996

BI-PARTISAN SENATORS INTRODUCE NEW CRYPTO BILL

S.1726 PROMISES TO FREE ENCRYPTION FROM

COLD WAR REGULATORY STRANGLEHOLD

Please widely redistribute this document with this banner intact

until June 15, 1996

---

## CONTENTS

The Latest News

What You Can Do Now

Crypto Factoid

Chronology of Crypto Export Liberalization Bill

For More Information

---

## THE LATEST NEWS

In an effort to improve privacy and security on the Internet, a bi-partisan group of legislators recently introduced a bill to encourage

the widespread availability of strong, easy-to-use encryption technologies. The bill, known as the Promotion of Commerce Online in the Digital Era (Pro-CODE) act of 1996 (S. 1726), would relax Cold War era export controls which have constrained the development and use of strong privacy and security technologies.

Encryption is one of the technologies that will allow us to visualize a secure Internet, an Internet useful for conducting all sorts of private business from communicating with one's doctor, loved one, or spouse, to checking one's bank balance.

S.1726 is sponsored by Senators Burns (R-MT), Leahy (D-VT), Pressler (R-SD), Wyden (D-OR), Ashcroft (R-MO), Dole (R-KS), Faircloth (R-NC), McCain (R-AZ), and Murray (D-WA).

The Pro-CODE Act resolves to:

1. Allow for the \*unrestricted\* export of "mass-market" or "public-domain" encryption programs, including such products as Pretty Good Privacy and popular World Wide Web browsers.
2. Requires the Secretary of Commerce to allow the less restricted export of other encryption technologies if products of similar strength are generally available outside the United States, roughly up to DES strength.
3. Prohibits the federal government from imposing mandatory key-escrow encryption policies on the domestic market and limiting the authority of the Secretary of Commerce to set standards for encryption products.

A copy of the legislation can be found at each of the WWW sites listed at the bottom in the "For More Information" Section.

---

## WHAT YOU CAN DO NOW

As more and more people come online, the need for - and lack of - strong privacy and security is becoming increasingly critical. This legislation represents an important step towards ensuring that the Internet develops into a secure, trusted medium for political, commercial, and private speech.

The co-sponsors of S. 1726 have taken a political risk and are challenging the White House, the NSA (National Security Agency, and the FBI (Federal Bureau of Investigation) in a policy battle to protect your privacy. They need your support.

Please familiarize yourself with the bill (pointers to background information are listed below), and then take a moment to call, write, or fax the sponsors of the bill and thank them for their leadership on this issue.

### 1. Call/Fax/Email Senate sponsors and thank them

| P ST Name and Address                                      | Phone          | Fax            |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| = = =====                                                  | =====          | =====          |
| R MT Burns, Conrad R.<br>conrad_burns@burns.senate.gov     | 1-202-224-2644 | 1-202-224-8594 |
| D VT Leahy, Patrick J.<br>senator_leahy@leahy.senate.gov   | 1-202-224-4242 | 1-202-224-3595 |
| R SD Pressler, Larry<br>larry_pressler@pressler.senate.gov | 1-202-224-5842 | 1-202-224-1259 |
| D OR Wyden, Ron                                            | 1-202-224-5244 | 1-202-228-2717 |
| R MO Ashcroft, John<br>john_ashcroft@ashcroft.senate.gov   | 1-202-224-6154 | na             |

R KS Dole, Robert                      1-202-224-6521    1-202-228-1245  
  
R NC Faircloth, D. M.                  1-202-224-3154    1-202-224-7406  
    senator@faircloth.senate.gov  
  
R AZ McCain, John                      1-202-224-2235    1-202-224-2862  
    senator\_mccain@mccain.senate.gov  
  
D WA Murray, Patty                      1-202-224-2621    1-202-224-0238  
    senator\_murray@murray.senate.gov

## 2. Use sample communication

### SAMPLE PHONE CALL

You:<ring ring>

Sen:Hello, Senator Mojo's office!

You:Hi, I'd like to thank the Senator for helping to introduce  
    legislation to lift the export controls on encryption. I won't  
    use Clipper and don't think there's enough strong encryption on  
    the Internet to protect my messages.

Strong, non-Clipper encryption is needed to secure  
    communications with my doctor, bank, spouse, and attorney.

Sen:Ok, thanks!<click>

## 3. Let VTW know what sort of response you got

Just drop us a line at [vtw@vtw.org](mailto:vtw@vtw.org) and let us know how your phone  
call went!

## 4. Forward this to your friends and colleagues. Unlike the debate over free speech, many netizens still do not know much about the issues of security and privacy on the Internet. Take the time to explain to a friend why security on the Internet is important.

---

## CRYPTO FACTOID

According to a 1993 study of encryption products worldwide, there are 193 products in 18 countries overseas that are sold with DES-strength encryption built into them.

American companies and American programmers are today restricted from selling products with DES-strength encryption to the overseas market, or even distributing them domestically on the Internet.

It is becoming extremely difficult for American companies to compete in the global market against competitors who do not labor under such restrictions.

### Source:

Joint study with Dr. Lance Hoffman, Trusted Information Systems (<http://www.tis.com>), and the Software Publishers Association (<http://www.spa.org>). Study updated December 1995 and is available at <http://www.tis.com/crypto/survey.html>.

---

## CHRONOLOGY OF THE 1996 CRYPTO BILLS

5/2/96

Bi-partisan group of Senators introduce Pro-CODE Act, which would free public-domain encryption software (such as PGP) for export, free much commercial encryption for export, and reduce the government's ability to push Clipper proposals down the throats of an unwilling public. Original sponsors include: Senators Burns (R-MT), Dole (R-KS), Faircloth (R-NC), Leahy (D-VT), Murray (D-WA), Pressler (R-SD), and Wyden (D-OR).

3/5/96

Sen. Leahy (D-VT) and Rep. Goodlatte (R-VA) announce encryption bills (S.1587/H.R.3011) that significantly relax export restrictions on products with encryption functionality in them, as well as free public domain software such as PGP (Pretty Good Privacy).

---

#### FOR MORE INFORMATION

There are many excellent resources online to get up to speed on crypto including the following WWW sites:

|                                                      |                                                    |                                              |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <a href="http://www.privacy.org">www.privacy.org</a> | <a href="http://www.crypto.com">www.crypto.com</a> | <a href="http://www.eff.org">www.eff.org</a> |
| <a href="http://www.cdt.org">www.cdt.org</a>         | <a href="http://www.epic.org">www.epic.org</a>     | <a href="http://www.vtw.org">www.vtw.org</a> |

Please visit them often.

Several organizations are working hard to support your right to have access to strong, effective encryption. We have all collaborated on this alert, funneling it through a single editor. Please address any press queries DIRECTLY to the organizations directly. The editors *\*do not\** speak for the coalition as a whole. Here is an alphabetical list of the coalition members:

|                                |                                     |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| American Civil Liberties Union | Center for Democracy and Technology |
| Computer Professionals for     | Electronic Frontier Foundation      |
| Social Responsibility          | HotWired / Wired Magazine           |
| Electronic Privacy Information |                                     |
| Center                         |                                     |
| People for the American Way    | *Voters Telecommunications Watch    |

\*Editors

End alert

=====

\*\*\*\*\*

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: [freematt@coil.com](mailto:freematt@coil.com) with the words subscribe FA

on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-30 messages per week)

Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

\*\*\*\*\*

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96052406 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: HPBIII@aol.com

Date: Thu, 23 May 1996 11:12:50 -0400

Subject: Fwd: Important Press Release

-----

Forwarded message:

Subj: Important Press Release

Date: 96-05-22 21:35:11 EDT

From: CopWatch

Press Release

May 22, 1996

Gadsden Minutemen

To all militia personnel:

It has come to our attention that the lives of Kevin and Danny Barker may have been threatened. As you all know, the Barker brothers were the paid ATF confidential informants (CI # 62 and CI # 63) in the Starr/McCranie case.

We ask, if you hear of anyone threatening these people please call Jeff Randall immediately at (205) 570 0638. I will immediately turn this information over to the proper authorities. We do not need these people harmed in any fashion, their testimony is crucial to the case and also crucial in exposing ATF "Operation Piedmont," Piedmont is an operation run by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms to implicate and coerce militiamen into manufacturing or experimenting with explosives.

FBI agent William Stewart (Atlanta) has been notified and provided with documentation on this operation. Mr Stewart is also the agent who informed Bob Starr of a possible death threat on his life, this occurred two days before Starr was arrested.

There is more government documentation on the Barker brothers and Operation Piedmont, however, the investigators for the defense cannot release it at this time. Also, there are a couple of agents who are working with us in exposing this illegal exercise, their confidentiality cannot be compromised. We are asking that everyone suspend communications with federal law enforcement until this case is over with and all documentation is made public. If an agent contacts you, DO NOT TALK to him without a subpoena, and immediatley contact me or someone else on the defense investigation. We can tell you that there are a couple of people inside the ATF and FBI that are providing good information to us, some of you may know these people, so please suspend communications with anyone in federal law enforcement until this case is resolved.

Recent, high quality surveillance photos of Kevin and Danny will be distributed shortly. These photos are being distributed for the purposes of information gathering only, so please distribute when you receive them, AGAIN, anyone who hears of any threats on these people, please contact me immediatley. We must keep these people safe, it is very important to the case in Macon.

On another subject, ATTENTION ALABAMA, I was called two days ago, by a "militiamen," stating that a group in Alabama was making pipe bombs. I cannot validate this information, however, we urge everyone to steer clear of anyone talking about explosives. Operation Piedmont is not only localized in Georgia, but other states also. If you hear, talk of or see anyone dealing with any illegal equipment, DO NOT try to run your own intelligence operation, DO NOT try to apprehend these people, if you ask

why, then ask Bob Starr for the reasons.

Thanks,

Jeff Randall

205 570 0638

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052405 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: CGrib70171@aol.com

Date: Thu, 23 May 1996 18:29:28 -0400

Subject: L&J: A FUN AFTERNOON

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

[JR: The following message has been edited for typos, punctuation]

Folks, if you ever want to have a fun day, try calling the Dept. of Justice at their public affairs office (202-514-2007) and ask for a full and complete copy of their "Allegations of Racial and Criminal Misconduct at the Good O'Boy Roundup." I did that today. the girl told me, "Oh, we didn't put that out. The FBI put that out and just gave us a few copies of it, and we have already given out all the copies we had." I then ask her why it had Dept. of Justice on the cover with Inspector General Michael R. Bromwich's name on the cover also. Her reply was "Gee, I don't know." Then she gave me the FBI phone number. I called them, gave them the information that she had given me. ARE THEY EVER POed AT THE JUSTICE DEPT. She gave me the Inspector General's office number and transfered me over there. His office response was immediate. It will be here in a week!!!! So it just goes to show you the type of people who work at the Justice Dept. Even the help doesn't know how to tell the truth. It tells me that they are rotten from the bottom up. WHY TELL THE TRUTH WHEN YOU CAN JUST AS EASILY TELL A LIE!!!! Don't you folks ever learn?????

-----  
Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052404 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: ray@strategicsw.com (Ray Gano)

Subject: M.O.M...FREEMAN, COME OUT!

Date: Thu, 23 May 1996 17:23:00 -0700

MILITIAS REQUEST

FREEMAN, COME OUT!

Most of the militias across America stand with the Militia of Montana, asking for the Freeman to COME OUT, that America may have THEIR day in court along with the Freeman in open court. There is more than adequate co-council with a very successful track record waiting in the wings to assist the Freeman to bring America's issues to the light of day.

A volatile and vulnerable situation has existed far too long, endangering the lives of private citizens and public servants involved in the so called Freeman stand-off.

Most Americans have had at least some disappointment from having gone through the court systems of today. Often stated, they have failed "We The People" miserably. However, they are the only courts functioning at the present time with at least some acceptance by the public, no thanks to the Bar Association. When FORCED to function, be it ever so painful, they have performed as evidenced by the sedition trials in Fort Smith Arkansas in the mid 80's, the Randy Weaver and Kevin Harris Trials of the 90's, etc. Remember, possession is nine tenths of the law, as the old statement goes. The militias have no intention of rescuing the Freeman from their PRESENT state

NOR do we intend to abandon the Freemen when it's time for  
America's day in court.

May 25, 1996

The Militia of Montana

PO Box 1486

Noxon, Montana 59853

Phone 406-847-2735/Fax 1-406-847-2246

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052403 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Two messages with replies follow] PIML

=====

Date: 22 May 96 19:04:50 EDT

From: Chuck Melton <102630.2336@CompuServe.COM>

To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>

Subject: Re: PIML 96052208 - Charlie Duke's Comments

Am I the only one out here that is getting the feeling that, with different negotiators "giving up" on the Freeman and calling them "Kooks" that it is becoming more likely that the Feds will be less reluctant to use Deadly Force? Are the "crimes" they are accused of Capital Offenses? Even if they were proven to be "kooks", doesn't the Constitution and the Bill Of Rights guarantee that we can be "Kooks" in this once great Republic? I would hate to see another blood bath by the Feds, simply because Patriots thought the victims of government oppression were "kooks".

-----

Chuck:

You can expect the feds to use deadly force, and rightfully so, if fired upon while attempting an arrest with a "valid" warrant.

Although it is a simple truth that the feds have no right to be there, the fact that neither the Governor nor the Legislature has told the feds to get out of the state lends the feds some degree of "implied consent" to be there. This is why we need to elect patriots to state governments.



If the state does not tell the FBI to get out of Montana, the FBI can only be expected to attempt to make arrests, as they understand their job to be. Of course the FBI agents have the right of self defense if fired upon.

Any Freeman injuries or deaths will primarily be the responsibility of the Governor of Montana who has not done his duty, in accordance with the Montana Constitution, to tell the FBI to leave the state. There are indications that the FBI will soon move to bring the situation to some kind of resolution.

Most of the "laws" enforced by the feds have no constitutional basis to be federal laws, and are merely statutes of no effect which bear the appearance of law. Jurors need to understand this, and that is why we need Fully Informed Juries (call 800 TEL-JURY).

for Liberty,

Bill

=====

Date: Wed, 22 May 1996 16:25:05 -0700

To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>

From: Citizen Dei Gratia <scrc@cogent.net>

Subject: Charlie Duke's Comments

At 02:17 PM 5/22/96 -0500, you wrote:

>I just heard Charlie Duke say on CNN that he felt that the Freeman  
>were not honorable men and that they were not looking for a  
>solution. He said that they were "common criminals" who are  
>"simply hiding behind these children to shield their criminal  
>behavior."

A local CBS TV news reporter said that the "Freemen" were making some outrageous demands of the FBI and Charlie Duke, including, "demanding President Clinton sign a document giving his authority for the FBI to be in Montana."

IMHO, that does not sound too outrageous. Just what they have in mind I haven't figured out yet. They must know something about a Presidential signature or action, and what its repercussions might be, or they are waiting for a statement that the Prez can't do such a thing. Any thoughts?

Citizen Dei Gratia

Sovereign Citizen Resource Center

MIRRORED WEB SITES:

<http://www.cogent.net/scrc>

<http://www.caprica.com/~scrc>

[scrc@cogent.net](mailto:scrc@cogent.net)

(Standard disclaimers apply)

-----

Citizen Dei Gratia:

See the reply above for remarks on the FBT's "right" to be in Montana.

for Liberty,

Bill

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052402 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[A typical example of inaccurate media coverage - First day at court results are in the second message below.] PIML

From: TADWEAVER@aol.com

Date: Thu, 23 May 1996 11:03:37 -0400

To: butterb@sagenet.net

Subject: Thomas Morrell's press release

Bill,

The attached is Thomas Morrell's press release to be read before his court hearing today (Thursday, 23rd). This situation will require monitoring.

Al Weaver

Content-ID: <0\_21340\_832863811@emout12.mail.aol.com.178199>

Content-type: text/plain;

name="MORREL2.TXT"

(Note how many lies are in this article. This is a perfect example of defamation of character by the press.)

"Morrell Is Arraigned On Weapons Charge"

Chattanooga Free Press 29 April '96

(article)

(comments)

Local congressional hopeful Thomas Morrell went before General Sessions Judge Clarence Shattuck today to be arraigned on allegations that he wielded an ax handle while walking through Highland Park over the weekend.

Morrell, who has announced that he intends to challenge Rep. Zach Wamp for the 3rd District congressional seat, was arrested in the 1000 block of Holly Street and charged with carrying a dangerous weapon.

In court, Morrell said that the ax handle was a religious instrument, which Judge Shattuck dubbed a "rod of God."

According to a court officer, in the incident report completed upon Morrell's arrest, police officers quoted Morrell as saying that all police should be killed. Highland Park residents complained that this was not the first time Morrell had walked through the neighborhood with ax handle.

Morrell is also tied up in federal court with a lawsuit he has brought against the city of Chattanooga, Hamilton County, the state of Tennessee, mayor Gene

'wielded' gives quite the sinister impression. No one mentioned Tom had been bitten by a dog on one of his evening walks.

Tom was not 'arrested' or taken to jail. He reported to the jail at an appointed time to be 'booked'.

Judge Shattuck erroneously implicated Tom was charged with possession of a gun.

Tom told the court that the ax handle was no more a "dangerous weapon" than it was a "rod of God."

Tom said 'If you guys keep on doing what you've been doing, you're going to get us all killed.'

"The ax handle was in my possession months ago as I walked through a home of a Highland Park resident who came home to find her place vandalized. The police did not take the ax handle from me, or say anything about it being in my possession. I

Roberts, General Sessions Judge  
H.L. Smith, County Executive Claude  
Ramsey, Sherriff John Cupp and Gov.  
Don Sunquist.

have carried it for over  
a year. Two days after  
'Liberty or License' was  
printed, I just 'happened'  
to be arrested. Desperate  
people do desperate things!"

Morrell's \$100 million suit alleges  
that his constitutional rights as a  
"sovereign citizen" were violated  
when he was issued a traffic ticket  
and fined for driving without a license.  
The suit is pending.

The suit is neither pending  
nor tied up in court.  
It has been dismissed.  
According to Tom, "My  
objective of the law suit  
was to produce sovereign  
standing for all legal  
residents, and sovereignty  
of Tennessee!"

A hearing on Morrell's weapon  
charge has been scheduled for  
May 23.

(This is Tom's press release to be read at 1:15, Thursday, May 23rd,  
before his court appearance on the ax handle charge. This will be his  
announcement as candidate for Congress.)

#### MORRELL FOR CONGRESS

The MORRELL FOR CONGRESS CAMPAIGN 1994 continues unabated despite the  
controlled and contrived outcomes two years ago. Congressional candidate  
THOMAS MORRELL can never concede to a "defeat" which was no defeat at  
all. The shamelessly corrupt election process was overshadowed by media  
titans who subvert the will of the electorate through economic  
domination of the means of communication. Whoever pays the piper calls  
the tune. The tune that the well-meaning, well-intentioned, misguided  
and complete ly misinformed voter hears is a lullaby of lunacy.

"We the people" have become "we the debt-slaves" of our deceitful

master, the Federal Reserve Corporation. This privately owned corporation enslaves all citizens of Tennessee through their deceit-ridden monetary system which steals the substance created by the good citizens of Tennessee. Tennessee is their "plantation", we are their debt-slaves. Every judge in every court ignores the common law, the constitutional guarantee of sovereign citizenship, to administer the deceit arising from the Geneva Convention six decades ago. The end result is the statutory legal standing of our sovereign citizens, which makes us all victims of a plethora of statutory regulations of every sort. Each one is purely a revenue collecting scam of the Federal Reserve Corporation and their "employees". Treason has never been more profitable in terms of payment in Federal Reserve interest bearing debt notes of the Federal Reserve Corporation to these greedy traitors.

It is in everyone's interest to set aside the unconscionable and unconstitutional contract which forms the foundation of all the statutory demands upon the good citizens of Tennessee. The deceit of statutory citizenship creates a whole society of "we the slaves" neither known nor understood by our good citizens. The people of Tennessee must not only never pay taxes to the direct benefit of the Federal Reserve Corporation, they must receive monetary dividends from all the wealth created in the geographical boundaries of Tennessee by the good citizens of Tennessee as irrevocable shareholders in the abundance that "we the people" create. The Federal Reserve Corporation owns Tennessee lock, stock and barrel - especially our judges and our political leaders. This must be rectified completely.

Sent from: Al Weaver (tadweaver@aol.com)

Thomas Morrell accepts phone calls at (423) 629-7133

=====

From: TADWEAVER@aol.com

Date: Fri, 24 May 1996 00:55:25 -0400

Subject: Fwd: Thomas Morrell Chattanooga trial today (Thursday, 23rd)

Subj: Tommy

Date: 96-05-23 23:48:07 EDT

From: BHaleinc

NEWS RELEASE/5-23-1996 A.D.

FROM: FIGJA National Director

Burk Elder; Hale, III.

C/O POB 667

Chickamauga, Georgia, USA

[30707]

706-861-0500

FAX 706-866-0756

TO: ALL MEDIA

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE-

On 5-23-1996 A.D., Burk Elder; Hale, III., National Director of the Fully Informed Grand Jurors Alliance, Dick Ross, Al Weaver, Bob Warren, Doctor Lyle Hardy and congressional candidate Tom Morrel. appeared at the Chattanooga City court. Tom was there to answer to the charge of carrying a weapon (an ax handle he had carried for a long time to give the neighborhood dogs something to chew on besides him; the news has recently reported a man being dog-bitten in Tom's neighborhood).



After being moved twice from one courtroom to the other, the District Attorney asked Tom to step out into the hall. Tom was asked by the DA if he wanted to talk about his case. Tom responded that he would be glad to listen to anything he had to say, but the d.a. couldn't seem to understand, asking the question two more times. Tom answered the same, totally willing to cooperate. The DA walked away saying you give them a chance and they won't take it and stormed off into the courtroom.

The DA then lied to the judge saying that Tom did not want to talk your honor. Then Tom was called up for the hearing. Tom approached the court remaining behind the bar. Judge H. L. Smith asked Tom if he was going to remain where he was (behind the bar) and Tom said "Yes, your honor." The judge said, "Fine, whatever turns you on..." and joked about it before the court, mocking Tom.

The judge told Tom he was charged with carrying a weapon and asked Tom how he pleads. Tom told the judge, I have some administrative and procedural matters to discuss, your honor. The judge said, "let's get on with it then!" Tom proceeded as instructed by the judge stating the following as he held up the American Flag of Peace:

I AM A LAWFUL CHRISTIAN MAN OF THE KINGDOM OF GOD AND A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

THIS IS THE FLAG OF MY COUNTRY, THE AMERICAN FLAG OF PEACE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

I, RESERVE ALL THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF MY COUNTRY AND THE JURISDICTION OF MY FLAG.

NO TITLE 4 U.S.C. 1(ONE) FLAG APPEARS IN THE COURT. THERE ARE NO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS IN THE SANCTUARY OF THE BAR, UNDER THE WAR POWERS

ACT OF 1933 BY PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT.

BY CROSSING AND ENTERING THE BAR, I WOULD BE GIVING UP MY U.S.  
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE WAR POWERS ACT.

AT THIS POINT IN TIME, THIS COURT LACKS JURISDICTION UNDER FEDERAL RULES  
OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 12 (b)(1) LACKS JURISDICTION OVER THE SUBJECT MATTER  
AND 12 (b)(2) LACKS JURISDICTION OVER MY CITIZENSHIP OF THE AMERICAN  
FLAG OF PEACE (TITLE 4 U.S.C. 1).

I, WILL MAKE MY PLEADING FROM OUTSIDE THE BAR, UNDER THE AMERICAN FLAG  
OF PEACE OF MY COUNTRY, AS I AM NOT AN ATTORNEY AT LAW, BUT A PROPER  
PARTY TO THIS ACTION.

BY THIS COURT PLACING THE AMERICAN FLAG OF PEACE, THE U.S.  
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF ALL CITIZENS IN THE BAR WILL BE PRESERVED.  
THEN, WE CAN GET ON WITH THIS HEARING.

OTHERWISE THIS COURT LACKS JURISDICTION UNDER FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL  
PROCEDURE RULE 12 (b)(1), AND 12 (b)(2) LACKS JURISDICTION OVER MY  
CITIZENSHIP, AND 12 (b)(6) FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN  
BE GRANTED AND UNDER F.R.C.P. RULE 12 (b)(7) FAILS TO JOIN.

ANY ATTEMPT TO USE COLLUSION TO MY PROPER PARTY, WILL GIVE RISE TO A  
CAUSE OF ACTION, AND VIOLATION UNDER TITLE 28 CHAPTER 85 U.S.C.A. 1359  
COLLUSION, YOUR HONOR WILL LOSE ALL JURISDICTION BEFORE THE COURT.

ANY PLEADING BY MYSELF OR ACTION BY THE COURT, WOULD BE A FRAUD UNDER  
FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 9 (b). F.R.C.P. 12 (b)(7).

THE COURT CAN NOT JOIN TWO PARTIES UNDER F.R.C.P. 12 (b)(7) AND TWO  
DIFFERENT JURISDICTIONS UNDER TWO DIFFERENT FLAGS AT THE SAME TIME,  
MARITIME, ADMIRALTY, OR ADMINISTRATIVE WITH JUDICIAL.

The judge mocked Tom, interrupted him and talked over him as Tom gave his statement to the court. The judge even mumbled some things to some officers standing nearby, acting indifferent and aloof, totally making the court appear like a joke.

The judge lied to Tom. The judge clearly approved of Tom being behind the bar, but later defied his own words by telling Tom, "come up here." The judge alluded that he couldn't hear Tom. But it was obvious to the people in the courtroom that the judge didn't really care what Tom had to say, appearing to have already decided how to handle Tom. The judge would joke about what Tom was saying. The judge said, "I'll show you what your Constitutional rights are!"

The bailiff approached Tom intimidatingly and maneuvered behind him. When Tom finished his discourse on procedural violations the bailiff was instructed to arrest Tom. The bailiff yanked Tom around the bar by the arm, man-handling him like a big thug, whisking Tom out of public view. It was the worst display of judicial mockery ever seen here.

Judge Smith is the one that should be arrested. He displayed contempt for the court and proper procedural rules acting like it was all a big joke.

The officers who had originally arrested Tom followed the rest of us out into the hall after the travesty, expressing that they really wanted to talk with Tom, stating that the judge was going to request a psychological evaluation and mentioned the possibility of Tom being charged with contempt. They seemed eager to distance themselves from any appearance of association with the activities we had all just witnessed. They explained that their initial arrest was due to hearsay from some of Tom's neighbors, though no neighbors were at court.

Mr. Hale informed the officers that the City of Chattanooga and the officers of the court may expect a suit for violating Title 42, Title 28 and Title 18 that would entail massive fines and prison time. He also told the officers that the same defense Tom used was available for them as police officers as well, should they desire to uphold their oath of office in good faith under the American Flag of Peace. They shook hands and departed.

At last report, congressional candidate Morrell is being held in jail expecting to be sent to the Moccasin Bend mental hospital for an evaluation. As of 6:30 P.M EDT, Officer Sweeny has informed us that Mr. Morrell has not been allowed counsel or make a phone call. Officer Sweeny and Officer Albert have both been informed that they have violated Mr. Morrell's rights, violating Title 42, Title 28, and Title 18 of the U.S.C.A. Officer Sweeny said he was just following orders. He was reminded that that was said during the Nuremberg trials too as he was also being reminded of his Constitutional Oath.

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052401 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Vote against the incumbent! Vote against incumbent judges. Vote for judges who understand that when there is no victim, there is no crime. Work with a local political party or militia to monitor the polls and the vote counting process. And if your incumbent HAS been acting to return to limited, constitutional government, you know who to vote for. Read the paragraph below with stars at the top and bottom.] PIML

Date: Thu, 23 May 1996 11:23:00 -0500

From: Martin Lindstedt <mlindste@mail.clandjop.com>

Subject: Re: siege expected tonight at Robert Joos' farm

At 05:41 PM 5/22/96 -0500, you wrote:

>  
>  
>  
> According to phone call from McDonald County Jail from Bob Joos  
>today, we learn that a warrant for arrest of Bob's fiancée, ANNIE, is  
>imminent. Bob has attempted to get help for her. She had fought an  
>"illegal traffic fine" up to Mo. Supreme Court...to no avail.  
> It appears that she is alone at the farm. When is ... are..  
>Jessie James Days??  
>  
>  
> Hope this goes..Ha!  
> ave, maria

The lady mentioned was on last night's news on KOAM-TV, an NBC

affiliate.

From above report, she, having learned much law from Robert Joos, fought the illegality of a ticket to the Missouri Supreme Court. Having a good deal of experience with cases before that corrupt and dependent tribunal, doubtless they just blew her off, allowing the even more corrupt (Kuriatnyk Case) McDonald County Sheriff's Department free rein to conduct their usual reign of lawlessness and terror.

The 3 minute report showed her with an SKS, sans bayonet and cleaning rod, patrolling the acreage of her property and in front of her unpainted wooden home. She said something to the effect that it was a shame when citizens have to protect their homes from thieving cops.

The sheriff's department, hearing about the matter, backed off -- for now -- but refused to say whether they would try again later.

The warrant was for a traffic violation. This is how corrupt governments, under color of "safety" upon public lands, make laws to harass and steal from private citizens. No one was hurt by any traffic violation, and doubtless the ticket was bogus and the courts have refused to make it right. I have some experience in that regard.

Now we come to a little scenario that will be played over and over again in the following years to come:

Under color of law, the government gets a license to terrorize citizens, some of them will resist and refuse to acknowledge any allegiance to the government. There will be a number of these pinpricks building up in a crescendo of violence and anarchy. Eventually, we will have a number of these little civil wars, building up to a big one, and civilization will collapse in a cloud of anarchy and injustice. When civilization is re-established, there will be a number of monarchies, petty despotisms, and military dictatorships established

in this former sweet land of liberty, the people no longer trusted  
or trusting themselves with democracy.

\*\*\*\*\*

The only way to head this off is through a return to good government  
through limited constitutional government and a determination to  
render equal government for all. Nothing less -- and soon -- will do.  
Otherwise, we all will learn the cost of tyranny and injustice after  
good and evil get down to the mat for some serious bloodletting.

\*\*\*\*\*

[emphasis (stars) added by PIML]

As far as the case of McDonald County goes. Nothing less than an  
invading army with stern justices in tow will be able to restore THAT  
situation. What we have is gang warfare conducted under color of law.

What will end up happening is a Hatfield-McCoy style feud or Lincoln  
County War as the interrelated families down there decide for themselves  
how they will be governed, and the strongest gang member gets the  
sheriff's department and control of the drug profits. The rest of the  
losers will become deputies and shoot or kill one another (like in the  
Harper incident) for market share.

Regardless, they will prey upon people unlike the Joos's, demonize  
the resistance and put them in jail, as the prosecuting attorney and  
judge Gordon supply legal cover, and the Appellate courts, in the  
interests of government control, turn a blind eye.

Bob Joos made his decision. He is in jail as a political prisoner  
confined illegally for the past 18 months. His wife will have to



decide whether she will get run off her farm or chance dying to defend it. I think she has made her choice.

What I will do as a candidate for governor of Missouri is to bring this matter up as I collect, ascertain, and show more of the facts and let the people of Missouri and elsewhere decide for themselves as to what is right.

Get back to Annie, calm her down. They took 7 years to drag Bob in for a traffic offense as they are such cowards. The situation is hot. I don't think they will move immediately.

If they get the drop on her, tell her to surrender. Otherwise, to keep her SKS with her and carry it openly to town for groceries. The people in McDonald County know what gives, and they all got guns. If she gotta do what she gotta do, well, she's had provocation and if it gets out, heads will roll.

Get copies of her motions in this matter and I'll put them on my WWW page so that everyone can make an informed decision for themselves.

Keep me informed. I don't want this matter hushed up. There's political gold in them thar McDonald County hills.

Martin Lindstedt  
Libertarian Candidate for Governor  
CO, 7th Missouri Militia

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052308 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: ray@strategicsw.com (Ray Gano)

Subject: GOVERNMENT IN DOMESTIC TERRORISM

Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 13:59:56 -0700

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN: Government terror

An editorial in the Washington Weekly. Must read.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is *\*not\* \*safe\**.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

#: 939635 S8/LIBERTY [OUTFORUM]

13-May-96 17:12:07

Sb: Government Terrorism?

Fm: Bruce "Loz" Schoenfelder 72162,1174

To: all

THE INVOLVEMENT OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN DOMESTIC TERRORISM

Editorial

Question: is there any domestic terrorist attack in which the federal government was not involved? The answer is that we don't know, because the Oklahoma bombing has yet to go to trial. But in

all other terrorist attacks we find government involvement.

In the most recent example, the alleged bomb making by Georgia Militia members, the government involvement became clear in a Macon, Georgia courtroom last Monday. Several federal informants had participated in the plans to make pipe bombs, and the attorney to the defendant Robert Starr claimed that Starr was the only conspirator not working for the government. In fact, his attorney claims that bomb making parts were buried on his property by federal agents without his knowledge. Two days before his arrest, Starr appeared on a talk radio show saying that there was a government conspiracy against him and that he was going to expose it.

A BATF agent also admitted in court that Starr's co-defendant, William James "Jimmy" McCranie, had said, "I don't want to know anything about it" and walked away when the government informant was talking about building bombs.

Strangely, CBS news reported on the day of Starr's arrest that it had been a plot to bomb the Olympic Games in Atlanta this summer. Who told them that and why did they believe it? Who is CBS news working for? The uncritical attitude with which the mainstream media repeats everything the government tells it is distasteful.

As for previous terrorist attacks, we know that at the very least, World Trade Center bombers were trained by a federal agent, and we know that a government informant inspired the New York harbor tunnel attacks that were averted at the last moment.

The residents of Oklahoma City were not that fortunate. Was it a government sting operation gone awry? The federal government

shows surprising little interest in the John Doe #2 who was seen in the truck with McVeigh. Was he working for the federal government? If that were the case the government would be likely to cover up that fact rather than face the ensuing public outrage.

The question that presents itself, then, is whether any of these terrorist plots would have materialized without the involvement and assistance of the federal government? The new antiterrorism bill is designed to allow the Clinton administration to better control and monitor the American people. Perhaps what we need more is a bill to keep a better check on what the government is doing. We obviously cannot depend on the mainstream media for that.

The Washington Weekly (<http://www.federal.com>) excellent publication, strongly suggest that you subscribe!

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052307 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Sat, 18 May 1996 21:01:28 -0700

To: Conservative News <c-news@world.std.com>

From: Kim Weissman <74157.1507@compuserve.com>

Subject: C-NEWS: Congress Action 05/19/96

Reply-To: Kim Weissman <74157.1507@compuserve.com>

CONGRESS ACTION

May 19 1996

=====

Kim Weissman 74157.1507@compuserve.com

10TH AMENDMENT ENFORCEMENT ACT: Sen. Ted Stevens (R-AK) has introduced the Tenth Amendment Enforcement Act of 1996 (S.1629). It has been referred to the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs where hearings were held in March. The purpose of this legislation is:

"To protect the rights of the States and the people from abuse by the Federal Government; to strengthen the partnership and the intergovernmental relationship between State and Federal Governments; to restrain Federal agencies from exceeding their authority; to enforce the Tenth Amendment to the Constitution...".

The Congressional findings stated in the Act are:

"(a) in most areas of governmental concern, State governments possess both the Constitutional authority and the competence to discern the needs and the desires of the People and to govern accordingly;

(b) Federal laws and agency regulations, which have interfered with State powers in areas of State jurisdiction, should be restricted to

powers delegated to the Federal Government by the Constitution;

(c) the framers of the Constitution intended to bestow upon the Federal Government only limited authority over the States and the People;

(d) under the Tenth Amendment to the Constitution, the powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people; and

(e) the courts, which have in general construed the Tenth Amendment not to restrain the Federal Government's power to act in areas of state jurisdiction, should be directed to strictly construe Federal laws and regulations which interfere with State powers with a presumption in favor of State authority and against Federal preemption."

The Act further requires that every statute enacted by Congress shall include a declaration, along with specific factual findings in support of the declaration:

"(1) that authority to govern in the area addressed by the statute is delegated to Congress by the Constitution, including a citation to the specific Constitutional authority relied upon;

(2) that Congress specifically finds that it has a greater degree of competence than the States to govern in the area addressed by the statute; and

(3) if the statute interferes with State powers or preempts any State or local government law, regulation or ordinance, that Congress specifically intends to interfere with State powers or preempt State or local government law, regulation, or ordinance, and that such preemption is necessary."

This is the sorry state to which we have come in this country, that it is necessary for the Congress to pass a law which, in



effect, says: "Listen up, federal government, the Constitution really means what it says and you will obey it." All that this bill lacks is retroactive application, to force re-examination of every existing activity of the federal government in light of the Constitution. Watch how many people, and which ones, oppose this bill.

"...the State legislatures, who will always be not only vigilant but suspicious and jealous guardians of the rights of the citizens against encroachments from the federal government, will constantly have their attention awake to the conduct of the national rulers, and will be ready enough, if anything improper appears, to sound the alarm to the people, and not only be the voice, but if necessary, the arm of their discontent." -- Alexander Hamilton

PUBLIC HOUSING REFORM: The deplorable condition of federally run public housing has long been a thorny problem which has usually been blamed on heartless cuts in funds for housing under Ronald Reagan. The fact that federal funds for housing more than doubled between 1982 and 1989, and that state and local funds for housing nearly quadrupled during that time, seems not to have gotten through the fog of media and liberal disinformation.

A more realistic approach is to address the reasons why working middle class families have fled the public housing units, why their places have been increasingly taken by drug dealers, criminals, gangs, and welfare supported single mother headed families, and what to do about that situation. Despite the media's considered opinion that getting tough on crime doesn't work to reduce crime rates, one approach to the problem has been exactly that. Under a program begun during the Bush administration and continued under Clinton, local housing authorities adopted police state tactics, called "building

sweeps". In the words of the Chicago Housing Authority chairman, "We call them emergency inspections, in which Chicago police and CHA security can raid and search apartments without warrants." Under the guise of safety inspections, they enter apartments and then "accidentally" discover drugs, contraband, and illegal weapons. These tactics have supposedly reduced violent crime between 30 and 60 percent, and in the process have brought on the ire (and lawsuits) of the ACLU. While it is true that violent crime is generally lower under police state dictatorships, in this country we still nominally live under a Constitution which is supposed to protect all the people from exactly those sort of warrantless searches lauded by the CHA. Such "sweeps" would hardly be tolerated in middle or upper income neighborhoods, and the Constitution has not yet been repealed for those trapped in public housing. Another sicilian approach, proposed by Dick Durban (D-IL), was recently defeated in the House. Durban's idea was to outlaw self defense in public housing. In typically twisted gun-banner logic, depriving law abiding citizens of the means to defend themselves, in the most crime ridden neighborhoods of our nation, would somehow prevent criminals, gangs, and drug dealers from attacking those unarmed residents. Isn't it obvious that the very drug dealers who pose a violent crime problem, who have no trouble obtaining the illegal drugs which they sell, would also have no trouble getting guns even if guns were outlawed? The only people who would be disarmed by the Durban plan would be people unwilling to break the law. Hardly a solution to the problem of violent crime.

The House recently passed reforms aimed at reversing the decay of public housing. By a vote of 315 to 107, the House passed H.R. 2406, repealing the Housing Act of 1937, deregulated public housing programs, expanding programs for rental housing assistance (vouchers) for low-income families, and increasing community control over those programs. Housing preferences (movement to the head of

any waiting lists) for homeless families, those living in substandard housing, and victims of domestic violence and natural disasters were previously eliminated pursuant to the Continuing Resolution enacted and signed in January, and the new House action included preferences in favor of working families. Amendments were added which limit to 30% of income the maximum rent that the elderly, disabled, and veterans could be required to pay (previously the 1969 Brooke Amendment limited rent to 30% of income for all public housing residents), and which requires 40% of rental assistance vouchers be reserved for families with incomes at or below 30% of median income.

The limitation on rent which could be collected from public housing residents in the past under the Brooke Amendment has resulted in a lack of funds for maintenance, a shortfall which has forced the federal government to pay increasing sums to local authorities for repair of the deteriorating housing, an amount which rose to \$2.8 billion in 1995. According to a study done for HUD eight years ago, \$30 billion in capital expenditures was required at that time to bring the public housing stock up to standards. The recently enacted republican reform provides \$82 billion over 5 years for modernization of aging units and demolition of those units which are beyond repair, nearly triple the amount required by HUD in 1988, so the already emerging demagoguery from the professional liberal whiners about a new war on the poor ("This bill operates under the theory that there aren't enough homeless people in America, so we have to create more of them." -- David Bonior, D-MI), is nothing more than election year hot air.

CHEAPSKATE LIBERALS: Liberals never tire of whining that nobody can really live on the present minimum wage, so in the name of simple humanity they demand that it must be raised to \$5.15. As if someone living in poverty at \$4.25 could live like royalty at \$5.15. If they

really wanted to help minimum wage earners, liberals should recognize that living costs vary greatly across the nation, and demand that a sliding scale of minimum wages be imposed. The proper level they should demand in Hawaii, for example, where the costs of everything are so high, should be at least \$17.50 per hour, while employees in Bill Clinton's Arkansas can probably get by on \$6.35. Employers in other high cost states, such as Alaska and Massachusetts, should be forced to grant a minimum wage of at least \$15.48 and \$14.66 per hour, respectively. New York City workers should be given at least \$14.75.

If these numbers were mandated, then at least the income of minimum wage workers would be brought up to the income level which their local welfare recipients already receive. Those are the levels which the government has decided welfare recipients "need" to live, shouldn't people who actually work for a living get at least as much? It's only fair.

END

Congress Action is available on its own web page at

[http://www.aimnet.com/~jbv/congress\\_action.html](http://www.aimnet.com/~jbv/congress_action.html)

and on FTP site at

<ftp.aimnet.com>

[/pub/users/jbv/congress\\_action/](ftp://pub/users/jbv/congress_action/)

-----

To unsubscribe from c-news, send the message UNSUBSCRIBE c-news to

majordomo@world.std.com. Contact owner-c-news@world.std.com with questions.

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052305 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Wed, 22 May 1996 13:55:32 -0400 (EDT)

From: Carol Moore <cmoore@upx.net>

Subject: FBI to Label L.P. Terrorist Group (?)

Reply-To: libernet-d@listserv.rmii.com

On May 21 I attended part of the National Assoc. of Criminal Defense Lawyers two day D.C. conference and lobbying session whose introductory overview panel was entitled "From Waco to \*Where\* in the 104th Congress?" Since I have not read an analysis of the final terrorism bill as signed (as opposed to many analysis of what it might mean if such and such language included) I had been hoping the new law was not as bad as we had feared. According to several panelists it is as bad and worse. (Unfortunately, haven't been able to find Congress web site where can get text of laws, assuming it exists and law is posted there by now.)

Also, unfortunately, I didn't pick up any written material going into all the details, so some innaccurate information or interpretation may have slipped into my notes, from which the following comes. (I'm sending copy to Harry Browne campaign. Heard him speak couple weeks ago and while he's doing good job overall, lacks certain passion and ability to scare the be-jesus out of Americans about our rapidly eroding liberty. They are not just after druggies anymore, kids, they are after \*\*dissidents.\*\* And Harry's just about to make himself our leading terrorist! :-)

#### Definition of Terrorist Group

Looks like it is broad enough to include any domestic group that has a radical political agenda and not just ones that have any contact with/ make any contribution to any foreign group or individuals the

U.S./President labels as terrorists. (The group gets 30 days notice to appeal, may not see evidence against it for "National Security reasons" and there really is no effective judicial review, so once you are on, you are on til they take you off.) According to Laura Murphy of the ACLU the law does apply domestically since it applies to any group that the govt. considers a threat to national security. David Kopel of the Independence Institute held that it applies if any part of the alleged crime takes place outside of U.S. But Professor David Cole of Georgetown Law Center felt the broadest interpretation will be used. (Remember in this internet world any "terrorist" can read or post to e-mail lists of any group, or ask for and receive funds from members of that group.)

Punishments: Banks will be required to freeze the assets of any group or individual that it suspects, or the govt. encourages it to suspect, are agents of or aiding a foreign terrorist group. Individuals can be sentenced to 10 years for contributing to "terrorist" groups--plus big fines I'm sure. Rep. Henry Hyde has declared that there are already 1500 individuals and groups the FBI is investigating and intends to put on the terrorist list. We can be sure the first go round will not contain too many purely domestic groups with radical but non-violent agendas; they'll wait for court challenges and do that as they see how far they can go.

Why would anyone think the LP is a terrorist group? Hmm, let's start with that right to secession in the platform. (Sure hope no scared nellies move to take it out now.) And how many Libetarian Parties in another nations has the party/members given support to? And how many of our members are members of the International Society for Individual Liberty, a radical international group with contacts with all sorts of "terrorists" who want to break up their nation states for liberty's sake. Gosh, has the party ever given that group money, free ads, free space at a conference? And what about all those pro-militia libertarians? And what about individual libertarians who have been

prosecuted/jailed for terrorism, like the Scarboroughs in Michigan who were in the wrong place/wrong time when someone threw an incendiary device in a mailbox during a tax day protest. And NatCom voted for a resolution supporting them. (Gosh, am I giving the feds ammo to put the LP on the terrorism list?)

I wonder if the Committee for Waco Justice will be on the *\*first\** round of groups labeled as terrorist--that might stop our doing all those pesky demos outside of ATF, FBI, Janet Reno's office and Clinton's house. After all, Judge Walter Smith at sentencing declared that the Davidians were terrorists and we have raised some money on behalf of the Davidians and the prisoners and sent it to them. Gosh, hope this note doesn't hurt our fundraising efforts in the future! (Send contributions to: Carol Moore (no CWJ bank account) c/o CWJ, box 65518 Washington DC 20035 202/635-3739)

It's time to get serious about freedom, guys, before we don't have the freedom to do so.

\*\*

>From Carol Moore in D.C., belly of the beast  
cmoore@upx.net

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"



- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052305 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Wed, 22 May 1996 09:54:55 -0500 (CDT)

From: "G. Carroll" <gcarroll@blue.weeg.uiowa.edu>

Subject: Re: L&J: IRS non-compliance question

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

Last year, nearly 30 million people who would normally be compelled, by statute, to file a federal tax return did not do so. That figure comes from several reliable sources and is regarded as "conservative." The IRS itself estimates non-filers to number at least 10 million, but that number is considered to be ludicrously low. Even those who file are maximizing their deductions and fighting possible audits in a variety of ways; i.e., non-statutory abatements; making the IRS produce an actual document saying that it does indeed have the authority to directly tax wages (there is no such document in existence) before answering ANY correspondence from agents; audit victims who go into any IRS meeting armed with tape recorders and cameras, and demanding (as is their right) to look at and photograph all agent drivers' licences, SS cards and other documents; obstructing statutory law and IRS "rules" by simply pointing out they are too complex even for the IRS itself to define ... And, finally, an internal revolt within the IRS of IRS employees who refuse to destroy the lives of average people through the enforcement process. It was only a few short years back when the Cincinnati IRS office revolted, throwing cartons of unprocessed returns into dumpsters ... Indeed, the fat lady is singing long, high notes at this juncture.

On Tue, 21 May 1996, Gerhard Holford wrote:

> A question for the tax animals in the audience:

>

> A caller on a talk-radio program asserted something along the lines of

> the following: "Even ultra-liberal Massachusetts Congressman Barney Frank

> is beginning to make positive noises about a "consumption tax", like a

> federal sales tax or a VAT tax. The reasons is that the "non-compliance"

> rate for the federal income tax is climbing.

>

> The rate of non-compliance is growing eight percent a year and at that

> rate of growth it will reach forty-five percent in five years."

>

>

> My question is: What ARE the correct numbers?

>

> What does the IRS think the CURRENT rate of tax non-compliance is?

> What has it been over the last ten years? Has it, in fact, been growing?

>

> My gut feel is that the caller is correct, and if he is correct that the

> federal tax system is headed for a 45 or 50 percent non-compliance rate

> in the next few years, then I think it's fair to say: "the fat lady has

> sung". It's over. The IRS is done for.

>

> The U.S. tax system, so they say, is founded on the principle of

> "voluntary compliance", and it works so long as a large percentage of the

> population BELIEVES that almost everyone is voluntarily

> complying. But when a substantial percentage begins to realize that a

> lot of people are NOT complying, no one is going to accept being the

> "last sucker to pay taxes". The result is almost certainly, a growing

> avalanche of non-compliance -- and the end of the fiction of "voluntary

> compliance". And when "voluntary compliance" dies, so does the IRS and

> the current U.S. tax system.

>

>

> Any thoughts?

>

> G. Holford

>

> =====

> Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"

> in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

>

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"

in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052304 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: PawlRevere@aol.com

Date: Wed, 22 May 1996 21:21:07 -0400

To: butterb@sagenet.net

Subject: As Nation's Ills Grow, Solutions Present Themselves

Media Bypass Magazine Nov 95, to subscribe call 1-800-4BY-PASS

As Nation's Ills Grow, Solutions Present Themselves

By State Senator Charles R. Duke (R-Colo)

It is the best of times; it is the worst of times. As the government further damages its own dismal credibility with the Waco and Whitewater hearings, more people are using the time to read and understand the precise actions that have led to the sorry mess in Washington, D.C., today.

The work to define exactly which actions have allowed our Constitution to be effectively suspended has been time -- consuming and laborious. Many people have sacrificed self and family in order to provide the truth. For solutions to our national crisis to be meaningful, they must be based on and directed at the true causes of the problems.

Stated differently, only those solutions that come from a true and factual understanding of the problem can be presented as effective solutions.

It is essential that you who read this column understand that the solutions, like the problems, are painful. The most thorough of us involved in understanding the problem will find it is easy to get

discouraged. The overall magnitude of the problem is so gargantuan, it will seem too great a challenge and too intimidating. It will seem there are no peaceful solutions to our national government. The good news is we have had the peaceful solution before us all along.

The space of a single column does not permit even a simple statement of the overall problem. We can, however, at least begin to introduce those ideas that have most contributed to the loss of our freedoms. The subjects range from the abolishment of gold as a basis for our currency, to the creation of the Federal Reserve Bank, which is neither federal nor reserve, nor for that matter, a bank.

In future columns we will deal with the "Trading with the Enemy Act of 1911" and the actions of the 1933 Congress which declared the American people to be subject to the same suspicion as our nation's enemies. In effect, it declared the American people to be enemies of the government. The real truth will be so astonishing, we will not want to believe at first. But every action can be documented from official government documents and statutes.

How did our Constitution, which most of us regard so highly, come to not provide the protection it promises? What is the nature of money and why is bankruptcy of the United States imminent without decisive, immediate action? What is the difference between the corporate United States and the geographic United States? Why is the Tenth Amendment, and the various resolutions calling for its reinstatement, absolutely crucial?

In capsule form, our nation is in a state of emergency and has been since March 9, 1933. This is the date that newly elected President Franklin Delano Roosevelt placed the nation in a state of emergency which every president since, both Democrat and Republican, has refused to rescind. The purpose of the emergency was to deal with our nation's economic woes by moving from a gold -- based currency to the Federal

Reserve Note used today. It is generally, legally acknowledged that, in a state of emergency, the president may suspend the Constitution in order to efficiently deal with the emergency. The problem is, no president since FDR has taken the action necessary to end said emergency.

Those days are changing. As the awareness of our nation's ills grows, solutions will begin to present themselves. In Resolution No. 5, adopted unanimously by the Republican Party Executive Committee of the State of Texas on June 17, some of these ideas are addressed. The important part of any resolution is the 'Be it resolved" parts, of course.

Resolution No. 5 states those paragraphs as follows, after many "whereas" clauses: "Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Republican Party of Texas being duly apprised of the continuing emergency declared on March 9, 1933, demands that the Gold and Silver Coin be fully reinstated and maintained as the lawful money and tender of payment of debts with the United States of America, and that any and all notes and obligations heretofore or hereinafter issued be brought back to and maintained at par value with the said Coin; and, be it further resolved, that the Republican Party of Texas hereby demands the rescinding of the Emergency Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933, and all subsequent related acts thereunder and demands a Presidential proclamation declaring the related state of national emergency declared on March 9, 1933 terminated, thereby returning the United States of America to its original peacetime Constitutional Republic."

This action by the Executive Committee of the Texas Republican Party is very encouraging. Not from the standpoint of legal precedence, of which it has none, but from the standpoint that it demonstrates a level of understanding at state party leadership levels that is accurate and a willingness by party leadership to take courageous stands. Will it

result in a level of understanding by candidates who run under that party's banner? It can only help.

The race is on. Can we get our Constitutional Republic restored before our Constitution is destroyed by tyranny? Only time will tell. There is a light just ahead in this tunnel. The only question is whether it is the way out or an oncoming train.

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96052303 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Wed, 22 May 1996 12:56:56 -0700 (MST)

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] "President Clinton has prevoked furor"

The Washington Times (5/22, Page A-1, Blomquist) reports "President Clinton has prevoked furor by asserting in legal papers that as commander in chief he is in the military and a sexual harassment lawsuit against him must be postponed until his active duty is completed" Noting Clinton's claim "ignited immediate fury from veterans," the Washington Times adds a quote from J. Thomas Burch, Jr., chairman of the National Vietnam Veterans Coalition: "Bill Clinton was not prepared to carry the sword for his country, but has no hesitancy in using it as a shield if he can get away with it." The report adds that a previous attempt to assert active military status for the commander in chief was rejected by a New York state court, "stating unquestionably that the president is a civilian."

=====

Date: Wed, 22 May 1996 23:29:51 -0700 (MST)

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Clinton seeks sex case immunity

Clinton seeks sex case immunity

By Stephen Robinson in Washington

PRESIDENT Clinton, who avoided service in Vietnam, is seeking a delay in his sexual harassment lawsuit on the grounds that he is commander-in-chief of US forces and therefore covered by a soldier's

legal immunity.

Veterans' groups are enraged by Mr Clinton's latest manoeuvre in seeking a postponement of the action brought by Paula Jones, a former clerk in the Arkansas government.

Members of Congress are circulating a petition demanding that Mr Clinton withdraws the legal motion and condemning him for dishonouring "all of America's veterans who did so proudly serve".

Miss Jones alleges that Mr Clinton dropped his trousers and asked her to perform a sexual act in a Little Rock hotel room in 1991 when he was governor of Arkansas.

She is seeking damages from Mr Clinton of almost £500,000 for the "intentional infliction of emotional distress". The White House is nervous about the political fall-out of an early trial and wants the case delayed until Mr Clinton leaves office. The President's lawyers have taken their argument all the way to the Supreme Court after a court in Little Rock ruled that the case should proceed immediately.

Mr Clinton is commander-in-chief only because America's Founding Fathers wanted military control to remain in civilian hands

Their latest legal motion cites the Soldiers' and Sailors' Relief Act of 1940, an obscure statute which gives military personnel the right to a delay in civil lawsuits until they step down from active duty. The petition seeks for Mr Clinton "relief similar to that which he may be entitled as commander-in-chief of the armed forces, and which is routinely available to service members under his command".

The legal move has led to a furious row on Capitol Hill. Republicans drafted a letter of protest to Mr Clinton, demanding he withdraw the

application and "rectify this ignoble suggestion that you are now somehow a person in military service".

Congressman Bob Stump, an Arizona Republican who saw action with the US Navy in the Pacific in the Second World War, said: "I got 70 signatures in just a few minutes this morning. It just infuriates me: here's a man who loathes the military, and now he's trying to hide behind it."

The letter, to be presented to Mr Clinton today, points out that the 1940 Act was a wartime measure designed to enable active servicemen "to devote their entire energy to the defence needs of the nation".

It says that Mr Clinton is commander-in-chief only because America's Founding Fathers wanted military control to remain in civilian hands. The letter adds the stinging rebuke: "You are not a person in military service, nor have you ever been."

Veterans' groups are just as angry as the Republicans on Capitol Hill. "Bill Clinton was not prepared to carry the sword for his country, but now has no hesitancy in using its shield if he can get away with it," said Thomas Burch of the National Vietnam Veterans Coalition.

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052302 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Wed, 22 May 1996 02:10:34 -0700 (PDT)

From: Franklin Wayne Poley <fwp@vcn.bc.ca>

Subject: Re: PIML 96052206 - FMA: "Drug Train" Searches

To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>

The Franklin Case involved an admixture of drug use and smuggling (cocaine), with illicit sex including the transporting of minors for sex across borders. Rich and powerful people regressed into a hedonistic life-style. We had a hard time finding smoking gun evidence. It was the word of some young people with little status, from the wrong side of the tracks against some rich and powerful people. However, there may be some smoking gun evidence now with modern technology. Alisha Owen said she had a child by a Police Chief. He denied it. DNA evidence was still too new then. Now they only need the amount of tissue you can get from a nose blown into a handkerchief. Ask Mr. DeCamp. If DNA testing proves the parentage I think the whole case changes.

I was part of the investigating team from Canada, Germany, Austria and U.S. which spent a week or so on this case and Mr. DeCamp (whom I met, though I don't know if he'll remember me) is presenting the same story our group told at the Press Conference in Lincoln (or maybe Omaha-just going from memory). I am a psychologist with a lot of clinical experience. What I saw was some "sadistic personality disorders" with ritualistic behaviour and some very sick delusions. There were some people trying to make hay politically and profit-wise out of the sensationalism of "Satanism!" B.S. Sick perverts are just sick perverts. Some of those people were rich and powerful but I can't say as the Larouchies wanted to that it "reached all the way into the Whitehouse". The Whitehouse has robbed America of a PRINCIPLED DEMOCRACY. That I can

PROVE and that's enough for me. Regards-FWP.

**\*\*The "Daily Telegram"\*\***

**\*\*E-mail is a telegram:nothing more and nothing less.The D.T. goes out only to carefully selected parties who have (a) stated their interests; (b) invited response by posting their e-mail address in connection with field of interest. The D.T. never "spams". To be taken off the list just reply with "Take off,eh!",etc. "Free Man" Franklin:604-682-7326\*\***

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052301 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Vote against the incumbent!] PIML

Date: Tue, 21 May 1996 09:10:42 -0400

From: "Peter J. Celano" <celano19@alllinux1.alliance.net>

Organization: SILENCE IS DEATH! <http://www.alliance.net/~celano19>

To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

Subject: L&J: We Stand Divided

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

We Stand Divided

In 1987, Linda Ives son, Kevin, was killed. An autopsy was performed, and the death was declared accidental. After exhuming the body, it was determined that Kevin had been murdered. A coverup was exposed involving many government agencies. Numerous county, state and federal investigations into the murder and subsequent coverup were blocked. Finally, a thirty-month federal grand jury investigation was abruptly shut down.

The FBI's final words on the matter were, "You should accept the fact that a crime has not been committed."

A growing body of evidence exists that shows our government is full of criminal activity, and has been for years. Both the "right" and the "left" recognize parts of this, but neither side seems willing to consider information that implicates "their side". While we point accusing fingers at each other in the political arena, the criminals continue to thrive.

These are the words of Linda Ives, Kevin's mother:

"This is not a political issue with me. We were never a political family. Our lives revolved around going to the ball field and to the lake, and all of the things that a family does, until the Arkansas political machine reached into our lives and destroyed the tranquility we had. And I want the American people to know that we have to stand up against this kind of corruption and we have to hold our officials accountable and make them work for us instead of against us.

"In my experience, I believe what is happening in Arkansas is only a small sample as to what is happening nation wide. And I believe that all of America has to stand up and rescue the American system of justice."

This is a survival issue, not a political issue. Evil is not self contained; it can and does reach out, destroying anybody in its path, without excuse, reason or consideration for political alignment.

We the People have been divided, and a divided house cannot stand. What we need to do now is set aside our political differences long enough to bring our government back under control. We can get back to bickering over the wallpaper later, after the fire in the basement has been extinguished.

Peter J. Celano

celano19@mail.alliance.net

<http://www.alliance.net/~celano19>

-----  
The Linda Ives quote, from the "Obstruction of Justice" video, is used courtesy Integrity Films. It is available through

<http://www.mediadist.com>, along with a plethora of related videos, books,



and online interviews.

Copyright Peter J. Celano, 1996. Permission to copy and distribute in electronic form is granted, provided there is no modification and this copyright notice is included. If you wish to publish this in any other form, please contact me for permission.

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052208 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[PIML has posted several messages on the Freeman. I personally recognize their rights, but feel they are overstepping the bounds. PIML will continue to report major events or worthwhile commentary on the Freeman but there will not be daily updates.

I just heard Charlie Duke say on CNN that he felt that the Freeman were not honorable men and that they were not looking for a solution. He said that they were "common criminals" who are "simply hiding behind these children to shield their criminal behavior." I have a lot of respect for Charlie Duke; he is one of America's foremost patriots. When both Bo Gritz and Charlie Duke give up on the Freeman, it's time to realize that they want a confrontation with the FBI -- and will most likely get what they want.

It seems as though the FBI has learned that the American people will not tolerate another Ruby Ridge or Waco (people = militia and militia = people). This is not a good cause for armed militia units to be involved in unless the FBI starts murdering people again -- which is highly unlikely. The FBI is in a difficult position and I do not know a good answer unless, as Bo Gritz said on CNN, the FBI should put a fence around the Freeman and call it a federal prison -- allowing those who have not been indicted to come out to freedom and the others to come out to stand trial, innocent unless and until proven guilty and found guilty by a jury of their peers.] PIML

=====

From: <http://www.cnn.com/>

'It just became sheer lunacy'

Negotiator in Freeman standoff gives up

May 21, 1996

Web posted at: 9:45 p.m. EDT

LINCOLN, Montana (CNN) -- Colorado state Sen. Charles Duke gave up his efforts to end the 58-day standoff between the anti-government Freeman and the FBI Tuesday. He said the Freeman showed no real desire to compromise, and that after five days of talks, "it just became sheer lunacy."

He said a solution seemed close at times, but the Freeman kept escalating their demands. At one point, Duke said, they demanded President Clinton's signature on a document. He said he is now convinced the Freeman don't want a peaceful solution. Duke says he believes the situation will end peacefully, but not without force. He said the FBI had pursued every avenue for a peaceful solution. Duke accused the Freeman of fraud, calling their motives into question and warning sympathizers not to be deceived.

"These people have absolutely nothing to do with the patriotic movement," Duke said. "My recommendation to anyone who considers themselves in the patriot movement or the militia movement is to stay away from Jordan, Montana." Duke said there are only about half a dozen people on the ranch who properly classify themselves as Freeman. Duke said the others inside the compound have a variety of agendas, and are most concerned about escaping any serious charges.

The Freeman have been holed up at a Montana ranch since two of their leaders were arrested and charged with carrying out a \$1.8 million check fraud scheme, stealing equipment from a television news crew and threatening a federal judge. Members of the ultra-right-wing group do not recognize the authority of the U.S. government, refuse to pay taxes and hold white supremacist religious beliefs.

Duke's last negotiating session Tuesday morning was described as short and heated. Duke and several FBI agents drove to the spot at the edge of the ranch where they have been holding talks, by four of the militants. This time, only Freeman leader Rodney Skurdal showed up. Skurdal talked animatedly with Duke, standing and waving his arms. The FBI agents looked on, apparently taking no part. After 30 minutes Skurdal returned to the ranch and Duke drove away with the agents.

usually attended

Soon afterwards, seven Freeman, several with rifles slung over their shoulders, were seen milling around on the ranch. Usually, most of the 20 people thought to be holed up on the ranch stay indoors or out of sight. The FBI and Freeman leaders held face-to-face talks last Thursday for the first time since the standoff began. Since then, they have been meeting daily. The content of the talks has been kept secret.

On Saturday, Duke said a verbal agreement had been reached on a new proposal from the Freeman. But the FBI denied there was an agreement, and Duke later backed away from the comment.

Reuters contributed to this report.

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052207 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 21:49:57 -0700

From: Liberty or Death <ghostpwr@europa.com>

Subject: L&J: 00 - A Letter to the IRS

Most of us are aware that the IRS and all they stand for are unconstitutional and therefore invalid. Most of us also haven't yet figured out exactly what to do about that, yet. And that includes me.

Here's a letter from someone who has. A copy of was given to me by those fine folks at the Oregon Observer:

-----  
April 12, 1996

Mrs. Margaret M. Richardson  
Commissioner of Internal Revenue  
1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20224

Dear Mrs. Richardson,

Many years ago, I tried to find within the Internal Revenue Code the section which created your agency, the Internal Revenue Service, but I was unable to find it. I then decided to locate other sources of information regarding how the Internal Revenue Service was established and what I found was nothing short of amazing.

In 1972, an Internal Revenue Manual 1100 was published in both the Federal Register and Cumulative Bulletin; see 37 Fed. Reg. 20960, 1972-2

Cum. Bul. 836, a copy of which is attached for your convenience. On the very first page of this statement published in the Bulletin, the following admission was made:

"(3) By common parlance [sic] and understanding of the time, an office of the importance of the Office of Commissioner of Internal Revenue was a bureau. The Secretary of the Treasury in his report at the close of the calendar year 1862 stated that 'The Bureau of Internal Revenue has been organized under the Act of the last session...' Also it can be seen that Congress had intended to establish a Bureau of Internal Revenue, or thought they had, from the act of March 3, 1863, in which provision was made for the President to appoint with Senate confirmation a Deputy Commissioner of Internal Revenue 'who shall be charged with such duties in the bureau of internal revenue as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury, or as may be required by law, and who shall act as Commissioner of internal revenue in the absence of that officer, and exercise the privilege of franking all letters and documents pertaining to the office of internal revenue.' In other words, 'the office of internal revenue' was 'the bureau of internal revenue,' and the act of July 1, 1862, is the organic act of today's Internal Revenue Service."

This statement, which again appears in a similar publication appearing at 39 Fed. Reg. 11572, 1974-1 Cum. Bul. 440, as well as the current IRM 1100, essentially admits that Congress never created either the Bureau of Internal Revenue or the Internal Revenue Service. To conclude that "Congress thought it had created this agency" is an admission that even the government itself cannot even find anything which created either agency. The only office created by the act of July 1, 1862, was the Office of the Commissioner; neither the Bureau nor the Service was actually created by any of these acts.

I have no doubt that when employees of the IRS were researching its origins so that this statement could be included within IRM 1100, those

employees must have performed a very thorough investigation. This obviously is the best position that your agency can develop regarding precisely how the IRS came into being. But besides the problem that these acts simply did not create either the Bureau or the IRS is the fact that these acts were repealed by the adoption of the Revised Statutes of 1873. Therefore, it would appear that your agency has never been created by any act of Congress, and this is a serious flaw.

At the state level, it is a well acknowledged rule that a duly constituted office of state government must be created either by the state constitution itself or by some legislative act; see *Patton v. Bd. of Health*, 127 Cal. 388, 393, 59 P. 702, 704 (1899) ("One of the requisites is that the office must be created by the constitution of the state or it must be authorized by some statute"); *First Nat. Bank of Columbus v. State*, 80 Neb. 597, 114 N.W. 772, 773 (1908); *State ex rel. Peyton v. Cunningham*, 39 Mont. 197, 103 P. 497, 498 (1909); *State ex rel. Stage v. Mackie*, 82 Conn. 398, 74 A. 759, 761 (1909); *State ex rel. Key v. Bond*, 34 W.Va. 255, 118 S.E. 276, 279 (1923) ("a position is a public office when it is created by law"); *Coyne v. State*, 22 Ohio App. 462, 153 N.E. 876, 877 (1926) ("Unless the office existed there could be no officer either de facto or de jure. A de facto officer is one invested with an office; but if there is no office with which to invest one, there can be no officer. An office may exist only by duly constituted law"); *State v. Quinn*, 35 N.M. 62, 290 P. 786, 787 (1930); *Turner v. State*, 226 Ala. 269, 146 So. 601, 602 (1933); *Oklahoma City v. Century Indemnity Co.*, 178 Okl. 212, 62 P.2d 94, 97 (1936); *State ex rel. Nagle v. Kelsey*, 102 Mont. 8, 55 P.2d 685, 689 (1936); *Stapleton v. Frohmiller*, 53 Ariz. 11, 85 P.2d 49, 51 (1938); *Buchholtz v. Hill*, 178 Md. 280, 13 A.2d 348, 350 (1940); *Krawiec v. Industrial Comm.*, 372 Ill. 560, 25 N.E.2d 27, 29 (1940); *People v. Rapsey*, 16 Cal.2d 636, 107 P.2d. 388, 391 (1940); *Industrial Comm. v. Arizona State Highway Comm.*, 61 Ariz. 59, 145 P.2d 846, 849 (1943); *State ex rel. Brown v. Blew*, 20



Wash.2d 47, 145 P.2d 554, 556 (1944); *Martin v. Smith*, 239 Wis. 314, 1 N.W.2d 163, 172 (1941); *Taylor v. Commonwealth*, 305 Ky. 75, 202 S.W.2d 992, 994 (1947); *State ex rel. Hamblen v. Yelle*, 29 Wash.2d 68, 185 P.2d 723, 728 (1947); *Morris v. Peters*, 203 Ga. 350, 46 S.E.2d 729, 733 (1948); *Weaver v. North Bergen Tp.*, 10 N.J. Super. 96, 76 A.2d 701 (1950); *Tomaris v. State*, 71 Ariz. 147, 224 P.2d 209, 211 (1950); *Pollack v. Montoya*, 55 N.M. 390, 234 P.2d 336, 338 (1951); *Schaefer v. Superior Court in & for Santa Barbara County*, 248 P.2d 450, 453 (Cal.App. 1952); *Brusnigham v. State*, 86 Ga.App. 340, 71 S.E.2d 698, 703 (1952); *State exrel. Mathews v O'Murray*, 258 P.2d 982, 984 (Nev. 1953); *Dosicer v. Andrus*, 342 Mich. 548, 70 N.W.2d 765 767 (1955); *Hetrich v. County Comm. of Anne Arundel County*, 222 Md. 304, 159 A.2d 642S 643 (1960); *Meiland v. Cody*, 359 Mich. 78, 101 N.W.2d 336, 341 (1960); *Jones v. Mills*, 216 Ga. 616, 118 S.E.2d 484, 485 (1961); *State v. Hord*, 264 N.C. 149, 141 S.E.2d 241, 245 (1965); *Planning Bd. of Tp. of West Milford v. Tp. Council of Tp. of West Milford*, 123 N.J.Super. 135, 301 A.2d 781, 784 (1973); *Vander Linden v. Crews*, 205 N.W.2d 686, 688 (Iowa 1973); *Kirk v. Flournoy*, 36 Cal.App. 3d 553, 111 Cal. Rptr. 674, 675 (1974); *Wargo v. Industrial Comm.*, 58 Ill.2d 234, 317 N.E.2d 519, 521 (1974); *State v. Bailey*, 220 S.E.2d 432, 435 (W.Va. 1975); *Leek v. Theis*, 217 Kan. 784, 539 P.2d 304, 323 (1975); *Midwest Television, Inc. v. Champaign-Urbana Communications, Inc.*, 37 Ill.App.3d 926, 347 N.E.2d 34, 38 (1976); and *State v. Pinckney*, 276 N.W.2d 433, 436 (Iowa 1979).

This same rule applies at the federal level; see *United States v. Germaine*, 99 U.S. 508 (1879); *Norton v. Shelby County*, 118 U.S. 425, 441, 6 S.Ct. 1121 (1886)("there can be no officer, either de jure or de facto, if there be no office to fill"); *United States v. Mouat*, 124 U.S. 303, 8 S.Ct. 505 (1888); *United States v. Smith*, 124 U.S. 525, 8 S.Ct. 595 (1888); *Glavey v. United States*, 182 U.S. 595, 607, 21 S.Ct. 891 (1901)("The law creates the office, prescribes its duties"); *Cochlower v. United States*, 248 U.S. 405, 407, 39 S.Ct. 137 (1919)("Primarily we may say that the creation of offices and the assignment of their

compensation is a legislative function... And we think the delegation of such function and the extent of its delegation must have clear expression or implication"); *Burnap v. United States*, 252 U.S. 512, 516, 40 S.Ct. 374, 376 (1920); *Metcalf & Eddy v. Mitchell*, 269 U.S. 514, 46 S.Ct. 172, 173 (1926); *IV.L.R.B. v. Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Louisville*, 350 U.S. 264, 269, 76 S.Ct. 383 (1956)("'Officers' normally means those who hold defined offices. It does not mean the boys in the back room or other agencies of invisible government, whether in politics or in the trade-union movement"); *Crowley v. Southern Ry. Co.*, 139 F. 851, 853 (5th Cir. 1905); *Adams v. Murphy*, 165 F. 304 (8th Cir. 1908); *Scully v. United States*, 193 F. 185, 187 (D.Nev. 1910)("there can be no offices of the United States, strictly speaking, except those which are created by the Constitution itself, or by an act of Congress") ; *Commissioner v. Harlan*, 80 F.2d 660, 662 (9th Cir. 1935); *Varden v. Ridings*, 20 F.Supp. 495 (E.D.Ky. 1937); *Annoni v. Blas Nadal's Heirs*, 94 F.2d 513, 515 (1st Cir. 1938); and *Pope v. Commissioner*, 138 F.2d 1006, 1009 (6th Cir. 1943).

Since I have reached the conclusion that the IRS has never been created by Congress, I am asking you to provide to me the citation of any statute which really did create the IRS. Since this is a question of profound national importance, I request that you provide an answer to me within 20 days. Failing a response within that time period, I shall conclude that you cannot find any such statute and shall act accordingly.

Your truly,

XXXXXX XXXXXXXX

-----

This letter was sent to the addressed person on the date indicated. The

IRS has yet to respond. They won't, either, for two reasons: One, they can't; two, they don't care.

Don Harkins

Editor, The Oregon Observer

15033 SE McLoughlin #312

Milwaukie, Oregon 97267-2800

(503) 786-8245 FAX (503) 786-7035

-----  
>>> Don't Tread On Me! <<<  
-----

\* Psalm 33 \*  
-----

"If ye love wealth greater than liberty, the tranquility of servitude greater than the animating contest for freedom, go home from us in peace. We seek not your counsel, nor your arms. Crouch down and lick the hand that feeds you. May your chains set lightly upon you; and may posterity forget that ye were our countrymen. - Samuel Adams  
-----

O- TweedleBill & TweedleBob - the evil of two lessers

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

=====

Date: Tue, 21 May 1996 09:08:49 -0400 (EDT)

From: Paul Waldo - Vienna <pww@ssds.com>

Subject: Re: L&J: 00 - A Letter to the IRS

You might want to take a look at

<http://www.intac.com/~dimitri/dh/fz/fedzone.html> and

<http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~karl/govt/irs.html>

The first is an electronic copy of "The Federal Zone". This is a book that describes who \*really\* is required to pay income tax. The basic gist is that only persons who are Federal citizens or who do business with the Federal govt. are required to pay taxes. Note that by having a SSN you are implicitly "requesting" to be under the auspices of the govt., therefore you are a federal citizen and must pay taxes.

The second reference is a very interesting compilation of correspondence a fellow had with the IRS, arguing that he should not have to pay taxes. The letters back and forth are reproduced as well as commentary by the author. It is not only enlightening, but humorous.

If you feel that 50% of their income being stolen is a crime, I highly recommend taking a look at these two sites.

+-----+

|                                                          |                |                                   |  |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Paul Waldo                                               | <pww@ssds.com> | "We had a band powerful enough to |  |
| PGP public key avail.                                    |                | turn goat piss into gasoline." -  |  |
| via email req., finger,                                  |                | Donald "Duck" Dunn,               |  |
| or key server                                            |                | The Blues Brothers                |  |
| "Government, like dress, is the badge of lost innocence" | - Thomas Paine |                                   |  |

+-----+

Copyright, Paul Waldo, 1996. The Microsoft Network, and any medium that is the property of Microsoft, is hereby prohibited from redistributing this work in any form, in whole or in part, and is specifically prohibited from modifying this work for any reason. License to distribute this post is available to Microsoft for US \$1,000, or equivalent local currency. Posting without permission constitutes an agreement to these terms. Please send notices of any violation to pww@ssds.com, and Postmaster@microsoft.com.

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96052206 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Our very own police state] PIML

Date: Tue, 21 May 1996 02:49:49 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: "Drug Train" Searches

ACLU News-

\*"Drug Train" Searches\*

KANSAS CITY, Mo. -- Passengers on a cross-country Amtrak excursion from Kansas City to Los Angeles have increasingly been complaining about undercover narcotic police regularly searching luggage and even private berths, the Associated Press reports.

Some travelers, the AP said, were so upset by the searches that they have filed a complaint with the American Civil Liberties Union.

"This is supposed to be Kansas. The Land of Oz. But I swear it was just like the 'Midnight Express,'" one passenger, Greg Skibbee, told The Kansas City Star in a story published Thursday.

Police say their searches -- which have uncovered illegal drugs and weapons -- help keep Kansas City safe. A police spokesman told the AP that Kansas City police try to hit the trains as often as they can.

Dick Kurtenbach, executive director of the American Civil Liberties Union of Kansas and Western Missouri, told the AP that he was considering a lawsuit.

"This is the third complaint we've had about this in the last year,"

Kurtenbach said, adding that he believes the searches are illegal.

"Clearly, if you search everyone on a train, you might find people with contraband," he said. "But it doesn't justify the violation of privacy rights of totally innocent people."

Skibbee, 37, said he had no idea why police focused on him and his boss, Paul Fischer, 28. The two had flown to Las Vegas April 26 for a vacation, then they drove to Los Angeles, where they caught a May 3 train.

"We went to bed fairly late on Saturday night, and about 7:30 in the morning on Sunday I hear this banging on the door," Skibbee told the Star in a telephone interview from his office in Tuxedo Park, N.Y.

Skibbee said the officer asked him to step out of the room while he searched for contraband. The officer did not have a search warrant, he said, nor did he ask whether he could search.

-----  
For general information about the ACLU, write to [info@aclu.org](mailto:info@aclu.org)

###

\*\*\*\*\*

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: [freematt@coil.com](mailto:freematt@coil.com) with the words subscribe FA  
on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-30 messages per week)

Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

\*\*\*\*\*

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>



- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052205 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Tue, 21 May 96 22:27:00 PDT

From: CampaignNews@HarryBrowne96.org

Subject: release: Browne Leads Internet Polls

Harry Browne for President

NEWS

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

May 22, 1996

Libertarian Browne Leads Internet Polls,

Dubbed 'President of CyberSpace'

Libertarian Presidential candidate Harry Browne is a consistent winner in Presidential preference polls on the Internet -- so much so that popular Seattle talk-show host Tom Isenberg has dubbed him, "President of CyberSpace."

In three prominent Internet polls, Browne has outpaced his Presidential rivals (as of May 19):

\* In the CNN/Time Virtual Election poll, Browne is in first place with 23% of the vote, ahead of Bob Dole with 19%, Bill Clinton at 18%, Pat Buchanan with 17%, John Hagelin at 9%, and Ross Perot with 5%.

\* The Vox Pop Strawpoll has Browne way ahead with 41%, trailed by Clinton at 23%, Dole with 20%, Ralph Nader at 4%, and Perot at 3%.

\* In the Survey.Net poll, Browne leads handily with 31%, followed by Clinton at 18%, Buchanan with 11%, and Dole at 10%.

And Browne is in second place in the RTIS CyberPoll, the MIT Internet Presidential Poll, and the IPT Interactive Voting Booth.

Why is Browne doing so well in these polls? Campaign Director Sharon Ayres says, "Internet users may be more libertarian than most voters. But these polls still show that Harry Browne -- without national press coverage yet -- has attracted an enormous following through his book "Why Government Doesn't Work," an extensive presence on the Internet, and radio interviews in which he has talked to over 15 million Americans. Further, Harry Browne has staunchly defended Internet freedom; when the Communications Decency Act imposed censorship on the Internet, Browne announced he would pardon anyone convicted of violating its provisions."

The Libertarian Party is America's third largest party. Its candidate will be chosen at its convention in Washington, D.C., July 3-7, and will be on the ballot in all 50 states. Browne is expected to win the nomination by a large margin.

###

World Wide Web addresses for poll results:

Vox Pop Strawpoll, <http://www.voxpop.org/strawpoll/textresults.html>

CNN Time, <http://pathfinder.com/cgi-bin/GDML/report/AllPolitics?AllPolitics/virtualelectionresults.html>

Survey.Net poll, <http://www.survey.net/pol3r.html>

CyberPoll, <http://www.rtis.com/nat/pol/cyberpoll/results.htm>

MIT Presidential Poll, <http://vote.mit.edu/>

IPT Interactive poll, <http://www.ipt.com/vote/vote.htm>

--

Harry Browne for President - <http://www.HarryBrowne96.org/>

4094 Majestic Lane, Suite 240, Fairfax, VA 22033

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052204 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 22:52:41 -0700

From: jon.roland@the-spa.com (Jon Roland)

Subject: ATF Director Magaw Reveals Mental Flaw

The following message was send to all U.S. newspaper editors known to have an email address:

=====

1731 Howe Av #370

Sacramento, CA 95825

5/20/96

Dear Editor:

In the May 19 issue of Parade magazine, in the article "Can John Magaw Save The ATF?", by Peter Maas, ATF Director Magaw is quoted as making a statement that provides the key to understanding how the tragedies of Ruby Ridge and Waco came about. He is quoted, "I'm not going to interpret the Second Amendment. The ATF is simply in the business of enforcing and regulating existing law."

Similar statements were made by those accused of war crimes at the Nuremberg Tribunal, in defense of their actions as "just following orders". Like other government officials, Mr. Magaw once took an oath to "preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution of the United States." He obviously doesn't have a clue what that oath means.

Persons subject to the jurisdiction of a country have the duty to not only obey its laws, but to help enforce them. If two laws or other official acts are in conflict with one another, then it is their duty to determine which

is superior to the other and to obey and help enforce the superior one. In a constitutional system, there is a basic law that is supreme over later statutes, which must be based on it and consistent with it. Those which are not are null and void from inception. They don't exist. And nonexistent laws can't be enforced. To try to enforce nonexistent laws is itself against the law. It is a deprivation of civil rights, and that is a violation of 18 USC 242, which makes it a felony to deprive someone of his rights under color of law.

Mr. Magaw violates his oath of office when he fails to determine that the statutes he is enforcing are incompatible with the Constitution, and therefore null and void, and that his enforcement of them is itself a criminal act. It is not a defense that it is up to judges or superiors to determine whether such statutes are constitutional. It is up to him, and to each of us, to make that determination for any official act with which we may become involved. Interpretation of the Constitution, according to the intent of its Framers, is everyone's job.

No person who takes a position like that of Mr. Magaw is qualified to hold an office of trust under the Constitution of the United States. As long as such men hold official positions, more tragedies will occur, and the divide between the government and the people will widen and deepen.

--Jon Roland

916/927-4935

<http://www.constitution.org/>

=====  
Visit our Web site under its new domain name - <http://www.constitution.org/>  
If you need help setting up your own Web site, call us at 916/927-4935.  
=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052202 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: "Alex DePena" <alex@sagenet.net>

Date: Wed, 22 May 1996 00:12:18 +0000

Subject: Food for thought: Republic

Just a little food for thought.

The nature of a Republic is too little understood these days. I had to educate myself on the subject. I found the words of Thomas Paine helpful and inspiring. Thomas Paine said that:

"In republics, such as those established in America, the sovereign power, or the power over which there is no control and which controls all others, remains where nature placed it - in the people; for the people of America are the fountain of power.... This sovereignty is exercised in electing and deputing a certain number of persons to represent and act for the whole and who, if they do not act right, may be replaced by the same power that placed them there. In a republic, the people retaining the sovereignty themselves, naturally and necessarily retain freedom with it; for whatever the sovereignty is, there must be the freedom be; the one cannot be in the one place and the other in another.

When a people agree to form themselves into a republic...they mutually resolve and pledge themselves to each other, rich and poor alike, to support and maintain this rule of equal justice among them. They therefore renounce not only the despotic form, but the despotic principle, as well as being governed by mere will and power, and substitute in its place a government of justice. By this mutual compact the citizens of a republic put it out of their power, that is, they



renounce, as detestable, the power of exercising at any future time, any species of despotism over each other, or doing a thing, not right in itself, because a majority of them have strength of numbers to accomplish it."

| Alejandro DePena

| Freedom + Responsibility of action = Liberty (F+R=L)

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

JR Notes:

The following quotes have been edited to correct some obvious errors,  
to remove duplicates, and to reformat the paragraphs.

Quotes 001, 002, and 006, although passed along here, should be regarded as apocryphal. In particular, quotes 001 and 002 are not supported by publically available tapes, with a chain of custody leading back to the original reporter, and a computer-voice analysis to confirm the identity of the speaker. Pending such confirmation, they should be regarded with some skepticism. They are quoted here because they reflect the larger impacts of what the alleged speakers are doing, whether they are aware of it or not, or whether, if they are, they would make such explicit statements in a meeting of persons whose loyalty cannot be assured.

Therefore, I offer one more quote whose origin I am certain of, because I said it:

"Know everything, believe nothing, and be prepared for anything."

=====

PIML 96052201 / Forwarded to Patriot Information List:

Date: Tue, 21 May 1996 11:28:48 -0700

From: steve@linex2.linex.com

Subject: (Fwd) CAJI! Fwd: No conspiracy anymore. They admit it.

----- Forwarded Message Follows -----

From: INDIGOCOP@aol.com

Date: Tue, 21 May 1996 08:29:07 -0400

Subject: CAJI! Fwd: No conspiracy anymore. They admit it.

-----  
Forwarded message:

From: MAS8892@aol.com

Date: 96-05-20 21:22:57 EDT

Geoff Metcalf's Significant Quote Series

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 001

"Today Americans would be outraged if U.N. troops entered Los Angeles to restore order; tomorrow they will be grateful! This is especially true if they were told there was an outside threat from beyond, whether real or promulgated, that threatened our very existence. It is then that all peoples of the world will pledge with world leaders to deliver them from this evil. The one thing every man fears is the unknown. When presented with this scenario, individual rights will be willingly relinquished for the guarantee of their well being granted to them by their world government."

--Henry Kissinger in an address to the Bilderberg organization meeting at Evian, France, May 21, 1992. Transcribed from a tape recording made by one of the Swiss delegates.

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 002

"We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years." He went on to explain: "It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subjected to the lights of

publicity during those years. But, the world is more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supernational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national autodetermination practiced in past centuries."

--David Rockefeller speaking at the June 1991 Bilderberger meeting in Baden Baden, Germany (a meeting also attended by then Governor Bill Clinton and Dan Quayle).

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 003

"Patriotism means to stand by the country. It does NOT mean to stand by the President or any other public official save exactly to the degree in which he himself stands by the country. It is patriotic to support him insofar as he efficiently serves the country. It is unpatriotic not to oppose him to the exact extent that by inefficiency or otherwise he fails in his duty to stand by the country."

--Theodore Roosevelt

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 004

"If there is anything which it is the duty of the whole people to never entrust to any hands but their own - that thing is the preservation of their own liberties and institutions."

--Abraham Lincoln

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 005

"..the spirit of the times may alter, will alter. Our rulers will become

corrupt, our people careless. A single zealot may commence persecutor, and better men be his victims. It can never be too often repeated, that the time for fixing every essential right on a legal basis is while our rulers are honest, and ourselves united. From the conclusion of this war [for Independence] we shall be going down hill. It will not then be necessary to resort every moment to the people for support. They will be forgotten, therefore, and their rights disregarded. They will forget themselves, but in the sole faculty of making money, and will never think of uniting to effect a due respect for their rights. The shackles, therefore, which shall not be knocked off at the conclusion of this war, will remain on us long, will be made heavier and heavier, till our rights shall revive or expire in a convulsion."

--Thomas Jefferson, Notes on Virginia, 1791

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 006

"1935 will go down in history! For the first time a civilized nation has full gun registration! Our streets will be safer, our police more efficient, and the world will follow our lead in the future!"

--Adolf Hitler

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 007

"You cannot bring about prosperity by discouraging thrift. you cannot strengthen the weak by weakening the strong. You cannot help the wage earner by pulling down the wage payer. You cannot further the brotherhood of many by encouraging class hatred. You cannot help the poor by destroying the rich. You cannot keep out of trouble by spending more than you earn. You cannot build character and courage by taking away man's initiative and independence. You cannot help men permanently by doing for them what they could and should do for themselves."

--Abraham Lincoln

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 008

Americans are so enamored of equality they would rather be equal in slavery than unequal in freedom.

--Alexis de Tocqueville

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 009

"Government is not reason: It is not eloquence, it is Force; like fire it is a dangerous servant and a fearful master."

--George Washington

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 010

"The only thing necessary for evil to triumph is for good men to do nothing!"

--Edmund Burke

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 011

"Rights come from GOD not the state. You have rights antecedent to any earthly governments, rights that can not be repealed or restrained by human laws. Rights derived from the great legislator: God."

--John Adams

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 012

Inscribed on our Hallowed LIBERTY BELL are these words:

"Proclaim Liberty throughout all the land unto all the inhabitants thereof. We Paid the Price ONCE!"

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 013

PATRIOTS PRAYER (or Motto of BATF)

Lord grant me the  
serenity to accept the  
things I cannot change,  
the courage to change  
the things I can, and the  
wisdom to hide the  
bodies of those people I  
had to kill because they  
pissed me off.

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 014

"It is the sacred principles enshrined in the UN Charter to which we will henceforth pledge our allegiance."

--George Bush addressing the world leaders at the UN.

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 015

Without seeking, truth cannot be known at all. It can neither be declared from pulpits, nor set down in articles, nor in any wise prepared and sold in packages ready for use. Truth must be ground for

every man by itself out of its husk, with such help as he can get,  
indeed, but not without stern labor of his own.

--John Ruskin

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 016

[Omitted - duplicate of 015]

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 017

"The liberty which a citizen employs is to be measured, not by the nature of the governmental machinery he lives under, whether representative or other, but by the relative paucity of the restraints it imposes on him; and that, whether this machinery is or is not one he shared in making, its actions are not of the kind proper to Liberalism if they increase such restraints beyond those which are needful for preventing him from directly or indirectly aggressing on his fellows---needful, that is, for maintaining the liberties of his fellows against his invasions of them; restraints which are, therefore, to be distinguished as negatively coercive, nor positively coercive...."

--Herbert Spencer

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 018

"I consider the foundation of the Constitution as laid on this ground: That 'all powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States or to the people'. To take a single step beyond the boundaries thus specially drawn around the powers of Congress, is to take possession of a boundless field of power, no longer susceptible of any definition. The



incorporation of a bank, and the powers assumed by this bill, have not, in my opinion, been delegated to the United States, by the Constitution."

--Thomas Jefferson - Opinion on the Constitutionality of a national bank  
February 15, 1791

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 019

"He that is good, will infallibly become better, and he that is bad, will as certainly become worse; for vice, virtue and time are three things that never stand still."

--Charles Caleb Colton

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 020

"The reasonable man adapts himself to the world, but the unreasonable man tries to adapt to the world to him - therefore, all progress depends upon the unreasonable man."

--Samuel Butler

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 021

[Omitted - duplicate of 017]

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 022

"The hardest tumble a man can make is to fall over his own bluff."

--Ambrose Bierce

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 023

"Procrastination is the art of keeping up with yesterday."

--Don Marquis

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 024

"When I was a boy I was told that anybody could become President; I'm beginning to believe."

--Clarence Darrow

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 025

"No man will ever bring out of the Presidency the reputation which carried him into it."

--Thomas Jefferson

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 026

"Prejudice, which sees what it pleases, cannot see what is plain."

--Aubrey T. DeVera

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 027

"A prejudice is a vagrant opinion without any visible means of support."

--Ambrose Bierce

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 028

"In a country well governed, poverty is something to be ashamed of. In a country badly governed, wealth is something to be ashamed of."

--Confucius

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 029

"Avoid popularity if you would have peace."

--Abraham Lincoln

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 030

"Popular opinion is the greatest lie in the world."

--Thomas Carlyle

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 031

"Politics is too serious a matter to be left to the politicians."

--Charles DeGaulle

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 032

"Politics is the conduct of public affairs for private advantage."

--Ambrose Bierce

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 033

"Politicians are the same all over. They promise to build a bridge even when there is no river."

--Nikita Khrushchev

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 034

"A pessimist is one who feels bad when he feels good for fear he'll feel worse when he feels better."

--Anonymous

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 035

[blank]

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 036

"A man's country is not a certain area of land, of mountains, rivers and woods, but it is a principle; and patriotism is loyalty to that principle."

--General William Curtis

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 037

"To see what is right, and not do it, is want of courage, or of principle."

--Confucius

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 038

"He serves his party best who serves the country best."

--Rutherford B. Hayes

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 039

"Any party which takes credit for the rain must not be surprised if its opponents blame it for the drought."

--Dwight W. Morrow

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 040

"The foolish and the dead alone never change their opinions."

--James Russell Lowell

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 041

"Man is a reasoning rather than a reasonable animal."

--Alexander Hamilton

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 042

"There are two kinds of light - the glow that illuminates, and the glare that obscures."

--James Thurber

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 043

"Noise proves nothing. Often the hen who has merely laid an egg cackles as if she had laid an asteroid."

--Mark Twain

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series : 044

"We ain't what we ought to be. We ain't what we could be. We ain't what we gonna be, but thank God we ain't what we were."

--Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 045

"If we run into such [government] debts, as that we must be taxed in our meat and in our drink, in our necessities and our comforts, in our labors and our amusements, for our callings and our creeds, as the people of England are, our people, like them, must come to labor sixteen hours in twenty-four, give the earnings of fifteen of these to the government for their debts and daily expenses, and the sixteenth being insufficient to afford us bread, we must live, as they now do, on oatmeal and potatoes, have no time to think, no means of calling the mismanagers to account; but be glad to obtain subsistence by hiring ourselves to rivet their chains on the necks of our fellow-suffers."

--Thomas Jefferson

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quotes: 046

"The best way to destroy the capitalist system is to debase the currency."

--Nikolai Lenin

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quotes Series: 047

"There is no subtler, or surer means of overturning the existing basis of society than to debase the currency. The process engages all the hidden forces of economic law on the side of destruction, and does it in a manner which only one man in a million is able to diagnose."

--John Maynard Keynes

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 048

"In transactions of trade it is not to be supposed that, as in gaming, what one party gains the other must necessarily lose. The gain to each may be equal. If A has more corn than he can consume, but wants cattle; and B has more cattle, but wants corn; exchange is gain to each; thereby the common stock of comforts in life is increased."

--Benjamin Franklin

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 049

"The statesman who should attempt to direct private people in what manner they ought to employ their capitals would not only load himself with a most unnecessary attention, but assume an authority which could safely be trusted, not only to no single person, but to no council or senate whatever, and which would nowhere be so dangerous as in the hands of a man who had folly and presumption enough to fancy himself fit to exercise it."

-- Adam Smith

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 050

"Rightful liberty is unobstructed action according to our will within limits drawn around us by the equal rights of others. I do not add 'within the limits of the law', because law is often but the tyrant's will, and always so when it violates the rights of the individual."

--Thomas Jefferson

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 051

"America is great because America is good. When America ceases to be good, America will cease to be great."

--Alexis de Tocqueville

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 052

"Sometimes it is said that man cannot be trusted with the government of himself. Can he, then, be trusted with the government of others?"

--Thomas Jefferson

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 053

"It is strangely absurd to suppose that a million human beings collected together are not under the same moral laws which bind each of them separately."

--Thomas Jefferson

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 054



"There are severe limits to the good that the government can do for the economy, but there are almost no limits to the harm it can do."

--Milton Friedman. Nobel laureate

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 055

"Never blame a legislative body for not doing something. When they do nothing, they don't hurt anybody. When they do something they can be dangerous."

--Will Rogers

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 056

"Ever time government attempts to handle our affairs, it costs more and the results are worse than if we had handled them ourselves."

--Benjamin Constant, Brazilian statesman 1833-1891

Geoff Metcalf Significant Quote Series: 057

"Five percent of the people think; ten percent of the people think they think; and the other eighty-five percent would rather die than think."

--Thomas Edison

(c) 1996 by KSFO

\*\*\*\*\*

To subscribe or unsubscribe send mail to:  
caji-owner@pobox.com with:  
(un)subscribe caji youremail@yourprovider.com  
in the body of the message.

-> SNETNEWS Mailing List & Fidonet Echo  
-> Post to: listserv@xbn.shore.net  
-> subscribe snetnews

\* Patriot Information Mailing List  
\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>  
\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in  
\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,  
\* constitutional government  
\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to  
\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).  
\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line  
\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"  
\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.  
\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)  
\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)  
  
\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message  
\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052106 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[As one who has a few combat decorations to wear, I don't buy for a minute the story that this was a suicide because of a combat V for valor that Boorda quit wearing a year ago. Even if you assume that the wearing of the V was done knowing that it was not authorized because of high ego and low morals, it would have been too easy for him to say, "Oh, I took that off a year ago when I found out it was incorrect." NO - there is more to this than has yet surfaced. See second message below for one (remote) possibility.] PIM. =====

Date: 20 May 96 16:45:19 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:LT on Boorda

London Times story on the death of Boorda.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is \*not\* \*safe\*.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

20-May-96 14:21 EDT

Sb: London Times on Boorda

Fm: Brenda C. Jinkins [70762,154]

=====

The London Sunday Times, May 19, 1996

# LAST POST FOR A HAUNTED 'HERO'

IT WAS probably the loneliest journey of his life. Admiral Jeremy "Mike" Boorda, the four-star chief of American naval operations, left his sandwiches untouched on his huge, mahogany desk, picked up his hat and ordered his driver to take him to his home in the Washington naval yard.

Moments earlier he had heard the news that he had been expecting for a year. Rear Admiral Kendall Pease, the navy information chief, told him that two reporters from Newsweek magazine would be arriving in two hours to question him about medals he had been wearing for the past 20 years. The reporters wished to discuss the tiny golden "V" pins he wore on his Vietnam ribbons to denote a combat valour he may never have displayed.

Others might have dismissed it as an insignificant affair. Not Boorda, a proud man whose self-deprecating wit masked a sensitive nature. By the time he arrived home, his mind was apparently made up. In his study, he wrote two letters, the first to Bettie, his wife of four decades. It was, a friend said, a "tragic note filled with despair and apology". He feared he was about to be exposed as a fraud and he simply "could not stand this attack on my integrity".

The second letter was to the navy that he had served so faithfully since he lied about his age in 1956 to enlist at 17. He apologised for wearing insignia to which he may not have been entitled and hoped that his sailors would feel, as he did, that it was simply an "honest mistake".

Taking a .38 revolver from his desk, Boorda walked out of the house and down a garden path that meandered through the navy complex, sat down on a bench and shot himself.

The seeds of his self-destruction had been planted a year before. In February 1995, a former marine colonel named Roger Charles received an intriguing tip-off from a navy contact at the Pentagon. America's most senior admiral was regularly to be seen in public wearing medals he had not earned.

Charles realised it was potentially an electrifying story. The navy was awash in scandal. From the appalling sexual debasements of the notorious 1991 Tailhook pilots' convention, to allegations of cheating and crime at the Naval Academy in Annapolis, the navy's top brass was reeling.

Now it seemed that Boorda, a legendary former enlisted man who had gone from "zero to hero" from the bottom-most rank to the topmost had done a little cheating of his own. Charles decided to check. After leaving the marines, he had become a specialist reporter for the National Security News Service, a privately funded Washington agency focusing on defence. On March 6 last year the agency filed a brief request, under the US Freedom of Information Act, for details of Boorda's medal citations.

It seemed a harmless enough application, one of thousands that are filed by the American media every year. Yet few have provoked as much chaos or distress. It took more than a year for Charles to pin down his story. And once it was out, once the biggest guns of the Washington media establishment had picked it up and were threatening to run with it, it blew up in everyone's face.

The suicide left Washington stunned. Television cameras were record President Bill Clinton when an aide slipped him a note with the news. Clinton's jaw dropped and his shoulders sagged.

John Dalton, the civilian navy secretary, was one of many senior officials who paid tribute to Boorda. He declared him a "sailor's sailor" who was "loved by all the people who knew him by officers, enlisted sailors as well". Unfortunately, Dalton was wrong.

There was a great deal more to Boorda's death than a simple matter of public honour and private shame. Behind the rows of ribbons that adorned the admiral's chest lay a complex battle between a navy struggling to adapt to a shrunken role in a post-cold war world, and a voracious media tearing at the tumbling security barrier that for so long preserved the military from the scrutiny imposed on politicians.

As the head of a service with an increasingly fragmented and uncertain future, Boorda, 56, found that his worst enemies were not Iranian battleships or Russian submarines but the far more insidious threats of sexual politics and political correctness. For months they had been threatening to overcome him; when Boorda's honour and bravery were challenged, it may merely have been the last straw.

A former chief of Nato forces in southern Europe, Boorda returned to the Pentagon to take command of the navy in 1994 in the wake of the worst scandal in the service's history. Reverberations from the 1991 Tailhook convention, at which dozens of women were assaulted by drunken naval aviators, were sapping morale. A string of embarrassing problems at the Annapolis officers' academy, from cheating in examinations to drug abuse and car theft, had further helped turn the navy into a recurring media headline - starved

uniforms stained by disgrace.

While there seems no reason to doubt that Boorda was popular in his early days as chief he was the first "mustang", or enlisted man turned officer, to make it to four-star rank the fallout from Tailook began to spread dangerous mistrust.

Amid widespread complaints that the officers involved in the sexual rampage had not been properly punished, Boorda found himself under pressure from his political peers to crack down on suspected wrong-doers, whether or not formal charges had been brought. Reports began to circulate that a secret blacklist had been formed of officers present at the convention. They were allegedly being denied promotion or forced out of the navy, even though some claimed to have played no role in the sexual assaults.

As resentment grew among middle-ranking officers that the top brass were caving in to the politically correct civilian overlords of the powerful Senate armed services committee, the embarrassing case of Naval Commander Robert Stumpf became, in the eyes of many officers, a test of Boorda's loyalty to his men.

Stumpf was a decorated Gulf war hero and popular former commander of the navy's Blue Angels precision flight team. Some called him America's best pilot. He had been present at the convention but witnesses insisted he had left before any trouble broke out. He was formally exonerated of any misconduct by the official commission of inquiry. Yet his promotion to captain was blocked and his career effectively destroyed. His name was on the Senate committee's blacklist.

According to naval sources, Boorda's failure to protect Stumpf -

and other officers in a similar plight was viewed by many in the navy as evidence that he had "sold out" to gender-led political correctness. Last month, in a blistering public attack, James Webb, a Vietnam veteran and former navy secretary, claimed that fine naval careers were being destroyed by "hearsay and unsubstantiated allegations". In a pointed remark aimed at Boorda, he asked: "What admiral has had the courage to risk his own career by putting his stars on the table and defending the integrity of his people?"

Last week Boorda suffered another painful, public blow when the Navy Times published an anonymous letter from a naval officer that called for the admiral's resignation. "The US navy has gone aground," said the letter. "Cover-up and deception are rampant." The author claimed that Boorda was derisively referred to behind his back as "little Mikey Boorda".

While his courage was being questioned in public, Boorda was nursing a secret. Someone at the Pentagon had become so disgusted with Boorda that he had tipped off the media about the admiral's suspect decorations. As soon as the navy learned that Charles had filed a request for Boorda's medal citations, it alerted the admiral. He immediately stopped wearing his "V for valour" pins, which are described in naval regulations as "combat distinguishing devices" (CDDs).

The navy provided Charles with the medal citations on July 20 last year. It was immediately clear that Boorda's commendations for service on board various ships off Vietnam between 1965 and 1973 contained no mention of any CDDs.

It was now up to Charles to prove that Boord had worn the "V" pins.



But as luck would have it, he could trace no archive photograph that showed Boorda with a full set of ribbons. And by last July, Boorda had indeed stopped wearing the pins.

The story languished in Charles's files until last month, when, by chance, a Washington publication called Defense News published an old picture of Borda. He was wearing his Vietnam ribbons with "V" pins. Charles suddenly had his proof. He promptly took history to Newsweek, which just happens to have on its staff America's most decorated combat veteran, retired army colonel David "Hack" Hackworth.

As a man with at least 20 medals for valour, eight of them purple hearts signifying wounds in action, Hackworth could claim to be an expert in the field. According to on senior Pentagon official yesterday, weeks before the suicide Hackworth had been bragging to his military friends that "I could bring down a navy admiral".

For Boorda, the long wait was nearly over. He must have known, the moment the navy advised him of the citation request, that serious embarrassment beckoned. When he was told on Thursday before lunch that Newsweek's Washington bureau chief was coming to talk to him about the medals a couple of hours later, he asked an aide how he should handle the interview, then quickly answered his own question: "I'll tell them the truth."

Instead, he retreated to his home. A father of four, the eldest of whom was severely handicapped, he was found slumped on a bench beside his house by a passer-by who heard the shot.

Some of Boorda's friends argued last week that he might have committed an honest mistake; that he might have believed he was

entitled to the "V" pins. That view was supported yesterday when Elmo Zumwalt, a former admiral, was quoted as saying that a 1965 naval manual suggested that service in a combat zone was sufficient to qualify for a pin no specific evidence of valour was required.

Yet Boorda must have known in his heart and he acknowledged in his suicide note that media reaction would be sceptical. Everyone knows how seriously military men regard their treasured slips of coloured ribbon. The merest hint of fraud would have seriously damaged the admiral.

While nobody can ever be sure what goes through the mind of a man contemplating suicide, it seems likely that Boorda was appalled by his growing unpopularity in the service. Other friends said he had been deeply affected by the death of his father earlier this year. It must also have been a hideous strain, waiting more than a year for a story to come out that he must have known might end his career. When eventually the media caught up with him, he knew this was one battle he could not win.

He shot himself in the chest, where admirals wear their medals.

Brenda C. Jenkins

=====

Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 14:54:53 -0700 (MST)

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Boorda

Date: Fri, 17 May 1996 13:41:54 -0500 (EST)

Subject: War within the US government? Boorda, Colby, the plane crash in ,  
Georgia (US), Brown, Foster, etc...

I've been stringing together a lot of information and it seems that one explanation of the string of suspicious deaths is that there is a war going on within the US government, with forces on one side (factions in the military) opposing the Executive branch, and selective killings on both sides are showing up on the news, but then extremely under-reported or blacked out.

The most recent death, of Admiral Boorda, comes right after there were some reports on the net (from CAJI) that there were large joint military maneuvers in the US now, and that perhaps the Montana Freeman standoff would be used as a diversion. This didn't happen, but something else did: The jet crash in Florida, which has been getting much more news coverage than any plane crash I can remember, and that crash is being called an accident, perhaps due to poor aircraft maintenance. It is not being billed as a terrorist attack, or anything odd or unusual, yet it has dominated the news for over a week, pushing aside most other news.

Boorda's death was immediately ruled a suicide, and there are reports of civilian homicide investigators (police) being turned away at gunpoint by military people. The reason given for his suicide is a mistake in his wearing a single Valor medal, when the citation he got did not specifically authorize the "V" pin. He reportedly stated that he acknowledged the error and took the pin off (no big deal). He was reportedly going to meet with a \_Newsweek\_ reporter to talk about that issue, when he was found shot dead by a shotgun blast to the chest. This is very suspicious, and there is no news of any investigation into the possible murder of the highest ranking naval officer in the US.

Another clue: A few years ago I heard from a friend who might even know about such things that the Admirals' Club (current and retired US Admirals) were considering stepping in to arrest corrupt judges and

politicians who are violating the Constitution. This is what the Admirals' have sworn an oath to do (protect the constitution, etc.) and they were planning on doing it if things didn't straighten out here in the US. So, perhaps this is true, and perhaps Boorda was part of it?

Reports of the "fall of the Clinton Whitehouse" are so numerous on the net that they could be set to music :) But: Could *any* of this be true?

Thanks, I hope we can put all our clues together.

Yours,

Richard

=====

Free Speech Newspaper, Inc.

18631 North 19th Avenue

Suite 128-200

Phoenix, Arizona 85027

ron@freespeechnews.com

<http://www.FreeSpeechNews.com/callme/>

A current collection by top writers and researchers of exposes on government, corporate, religious and institutional coverup and fraud.

Exposing serious media abuses.

-----

" All experience hath shown that even under the best forms of government those entrusted with power have in time and by slow operations perverted power to tyranny, and it would seem that the best means of preventing this would be, insofar as practicable, to enlighten the minds of the public at large."

--Thomas Jefferson

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052105 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Note on another subject: To all you PIML subscribers who have been getting all this good stuff for free: How about returning a favor? The URL for the PIML web page is listed at the bottom of this message. Please pass that on to your favorite patriot web site with a request that they add a link to PIML. Thanks, Bill.]

Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 13:07:32 -0700 (MST)

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] British Parajournalist breaks Vince Foster Case?

"I KNOW HOW HE DIED!"

British Parajournalist breaks Vince Foster Case?

LOS ANGELES -- It has been a very busy time for the LONDON TELEGRAPH'S top U.S. reporter, Ambrose Evans-Pritchard. Over the weekend he beat the American press to a Oklahoma City bomb story -- that if true -- will shake the United States government down to its foundations. (A complicated tale implying that our 'government had prior knowledge of the blast'. That it was a 'sting operation that went berserk'. Pritchard reports that the FBI has refused to pursue and arrest a number of suspects seen near the crime scene with Timothy McVeigh. He has pounds of corroboration for these shockers.)

Now Ambrose is convinced he knows how Vince Foster died. (He plans on writing about it shortly.)

While this reporter is not sure if Pritchard is 100% on the mark, it is clearly refreshing to find someone taking on the declared 'hands off' stories.

Such go-aheadism simply isn't found on these shores.

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052103 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 11:48:44 -0700  
From: steve@linex2.linex.com  
Sender: SnetNews Mailing List <SNETNEWS@xbn.shore.net>  
Reply-To: snetnews@xbn.shore.net  
To: Multiple recipients of list SNETNEWS <SNETNEWS@xbn.shore.net>  
Errors-to: Postmast@xbn.shore.net  
Subject: (Fwd) Fwd: Re: What about Boorda?

----- Forwarded Message Follows -----

Date: Sun, 19 May 1996 18:25:09 -0700  
From: dcox@ix.netcom.com (DANNY COX)  
Reply-to: iufo@xbn.shore.net  
To: Multiple recipients of list IUFO <IUFO@xbn.shore.net>  
Subject: Fwd: Re: What about Boorda?

I have seen a couple of posts concerning the "angel of death" on this list, so I am providing the following update. The following message is from Jim Norman (Tenega) and is directed to Sussman, owner of the cs list. Jim Norman originally published the story about the angel of death/fifth column in a publication of very limited circulation called "Media Bypass", as I recall. Norman originally wrote the article when he was working for "Forbes" magazine, a year or two ago. Forbes initially planned to publish the article, but it was cancelled on orders from top management. Norman continued to research the story and eventually lost his job at "Forbes". Hayes and associates are the "angels of death" or "5th column", and they are supposedly current or former members of the intelligence community who have tracked illegal payments (bribes) to politicians and are forcing them to resign in



order to avoid public disclosure of their crimes.

From: Tenega@aol.com

Date: Fri, 17 May 1996 13:03:39 -0400

To: djsussma@oakland.edu

Subject: Re: What about Boorda?

One of Hayes' guys delivered Dole an envelope on Monday, upon which Dole, on the spot, agreed to resign from office. Don't know what was in the envelope. But apparently it was material OTHER THAN Swiss bank account data. The stuff about Elixabeth Dole's Swiss account is another shoe still to drop. Implication is that if Dole ever gets very high in the polls, it will be delivered before the election.

I'm waiting to get a copy of Hayes' ticket stub from the ValuJet flight. He and a companion, (another intelligence community veteran and explosives expert), had flown to Miami to sell an airplane and had planned to come back to Ky via Atlanta on ValuJet. Their tickets would have allowed them to travel on any flight that day, I think. But they had planned to take the ill-fated flight. They were delayed, decided to have lunch and take a later flight. While at the restaurant, they apparently saw a TV report of the crash.

Hayes tends to discount the idea that the disaster had anything to do with his possible travel on that flight. Yes, he says, his name would have shown up on a preliminary passenger list. But would not appear on the actual manifest, since he didn't make the flight.

As to Boorda: Hayes says that was just a matter of personal tragedy. Boorda was about to be relieved of his command over the series of fuck-ups by the Navy in recent months, from Tailhook to plane crashes to prostitution and car theft rings being run out of the Naval

Academy. No Fifth Col. factor, however.

JN

~

-> SNETNEWS Mailing List & Fidonet Echo

-> Post to: listserv@xbn.shore.net

-> subscribe snetnews

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052008 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 01:33:49 -0700 (MST)

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Oklahomans smell a cover-up

Oklahomans smell a cover-up

By Ambrose Evans-Pritchard in Oklahoma City

IN ONE of the most bizarre twists in the history of America's criminal justice system, a couple are co-operating with the man who allegedly murdered their grandchildren. They have a common purpose: to prove that the government is covering up crucial evidence about the most deadly terrorist attack the country has ever witnessed.

The couple are Glenn and Kathy Wilburn, and the alleged murderer Timothy McVeigh, accused of planting the bomb that killed 168 people in Oklahoma City a year ago this week. The nerve centre of the network of Oklahoma dissidents struggling to reveal the truth is the Wilburns' kitchen. He is a chartered accountant, she a former employee of the Internal Revenue Service.

The couple were just normal citizens, respectful of the authorities, until their two grandchildren were blown up in the day-care centre of the Murrah Federal Building on April 19 last year. Their house has been turned into a shrine for the dead boys, Colton and Chase, three and two, who lived there along with their mother, Eyde Smith. Teddy bears are stacked on the little beds in their room. Tiny clothes hang in their place. "It's just like it was the day they left for school that day," says Kathy Wilburn.

The Wilburns, both in their mid-forties, spend their free time conducting their own private investigation into the Oklahoma bombing

The most poignant memento is a ticket found in Chase's pocket in the rubble. It was for a Sesame Street Live show entitled "When I Grow Up". The Wilburns, both in their mid-forties, spend their free time huddled around a kidney-shaped table, conducting their own private investigation into the Oklahoma bombing. They are also collaborating with McVeigh's defence team.

With the help of their house guest, a retired lawyer called Johnny "J. D." Cash, they have amassed more than 300 hours of tape-recorded interviews with witnesses. Their archive includes confidential documents leaked to them by silent helpers inside the local and federal police agencies.

"[The authorities] are hoping that we'll all get tired and give up, but it isn't going to happen," says Glenn Wilburn. "I'm as tenacious as hell, and I'm not going to stand idly by while some of the murderers are allowed to get off."

Piece by piece the family is building a case that accuses the US government of mounting a cover-up. For some inexplicable reason, the FBI and the federal prosecutors appear determined to confine their case to Tim McVeigh and his partner, Terry Nichols, who was hundreds of miles away in Kansas on the day of the bombing.

The FBI now says it made a mistake when it issued a sketch of the infamous John Doe II

According to the Wilburns, the FBI has ignored a series of witnesses who spotted the tall, gangling McVeigh with at least two other men in Oklahoma City on April 19. Kyle Hunt, the vice-president of a Tulsa bank, was driving through downtown Oklahoma City about 8.35am when he noticed a Ryder van followed by a car with three men inside. They looked lost. (The bomb went off in a Ryder van at 9.02am.)

He was about to offer help when the driver warned him off. "I'm certain it

was McVeigh . . . I got an icy cold, go-to-hell look from him and it unnerved me," he told Mr Wilburn in a taped interview. David Snider, a warehouse worker, saw a heavily-loaded Ryder van crawling along the road shortly after 8.30am. He was expecting a delivery so he went out and gesticulated to the two men in the cabin of the van. They drove within a few feet of him. He later told the FBI that the passenger was Tim McVeigh. The driver was dark-skinned and stocky.

Several other witnesses tell similar tales. None was ever called before the federal Grand Jury investigating the bombing. What is more, the FBI now says it made a mistake when it issued a sketch of the infamous John Doe II, the stocky, swarthy suspect seen with McVeigh in a Ryder rental office in Kansas. The \$2 million reward for the man has been withdrawn.

The US federal judge originally in charge of the bombing case, Wayne Alley, did not come to work on April 19 because he had been warned

"The prosecutors were very careful not to let us hear anything from witnesses who saw McVeigh at the crime scene," said Hoppy Heidelberg, a Grand Juror so angry about the case that he decided to risk prosecution by speaking out. "It wasn't an investigation of the bombing at all. They wheeled in witnesses who'd seen McVeigh at gun shows, as if it was illegal to go to gun shows in the United States."

The Wilburns embarked on their crusade when they started hearing rumours that some government employees had prior warning of the bombing.

Glenn Wilburn was told by the Dispatch Chief of the Oklahoma City fire department, Harvey Weathers, that "they had received a message from the FBI on the Friday before the bombing that they should be on alert for a terrorist act". He learned that the US federal judge originally in charge of the bombing case, Wayne Alley, did not come to work on April 19 because he

had been warned. Judge Alley, from Oregon, admitted as much to a newspaper there the day after the blast.

It was not long before he began to suspect that the bombing might have been a government "sting" operation that had gone disastrously wrong. This is not far-fetched. Trial documents show that the conspiracy to bomb the New York World Trade Centre in 1993 was penetrated by the FBI. According to the New York Times, the FBI had been planning to substitute harmless powder for the explosives at the last moment but failed to do so.

Did the government have an informant among the conspirators? Did it have prior warning of the atrocity? McVeigh's trial, expected to open later this year, is certainly going to be one of the most sensational court cases this century.

-----  
Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 01:42:40 -0700 (MST)

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Oklahoma City shockwaves rumble on

Oklahoma City shockwaves rumble on

By Hugh Davies

One year after the bomb, the grief is still touched by anger

"IT'S not vengeance we're after. We are just going to make sure the truth comes out. They killed two precious little boys who were the whole focus of our family. The guts were ripped out of our house; out of our hearts."

Glenn Wilburn has been on a crusade almost from the moment a year ago when he learned that his two grandsons were among the 168 victims of the Oklahoma City bombing.

But his quest for the truth has caused alarm among the law-enforcement authorities - both in the methods he has used and the conclusions he has reached.

Mr Wilburn, 45, an accountant, and his wife, Kathy, were devoted to their daughter, Edye, 23, and grandchildren - Chase, 3, and two-year-old Colton. They lived together in a bungalow not far from the Alfred P Murrah Federal Building, which was hit by a two-ton bomb.

Their home is now part-shrine. The boys' bedroom remains untouched since their deaths in the day-care centre - "That room's gonna stay that way either until we have other grandkids, or it will be that way forever," said Mr Wilburn - and prominent on a wall is a picture depicting the children as angels.

Mr Wilburn said: "The boys were so close to us. I must know who killed them. For months I watched my wife sit in the living room with her face to the wall and a blanket over her head, crying night and day."

But, in their grief, the Wilburns have not fallen to pieces. They recognise no rules in their relentless pursuit of the truth and have used hidden microphones to record people. Mrs Wilburn has been to a white supremacist outpost near the border with Arkansas chasing up suggestions that Timothy McVeigh, who has been charged with the bombing, was involved with the occupants.

It might appear far-fetched that two middle-aged, amateur sleuths could uncover information that has eluded the weight of a federal investigation but Mr Wilburn is convinced of an official cover-up on two major points. He claims that two men, allegedly associates of McVeigh at the scene of the bombing half-an-hour before the explosion, are still at large. "I have a strong hunch they are members of a radical, Right-wing organisation."

He suspects a neo-Nazi connection with ties to a German national. There is dark talk of a federal informer. The authorities have long abandoned a search for the so-called "John Doe 2", a swarthy, tattooed man with a bad temper who was allegedly seen with McVeigh renting the truck in which the explosives were packed.

Beth Wilkinson, the prosecution lawyer, said: "As of today, we have no information showing that anyone other than Mr McVeigh and Mr [Terry] Nichols were the masterminds of this bombing." Nichols, who is charged with explosives offences, is a former army colleague of McVeigh's.

"If anyone knew there was danger in that area and it was not disseminated, then I am mad"

However, a credible witness has supposedly come forward to tell the authorities that at 8.35am on April 19, 1995 - the bomb went off at 9.02am - he saw McVeigh driving the car the FBI says was used in the "getaway". McVeigh was at the wheel. A man was alongside him in the passenger seat. Another man, with long hair, was sitting in the middle of the back seat.

Mr Wilburn's other main claim is that the authorities had prior knowledge of a bomb threat. He claims at least six people saw a bomb squad in the downtown area more than an hour before the blast and one spotted a white van marked "bomb disposal".

Mr Wilburn said: "If anyone knew there was danger in that area and it was not disseminated, then I am mad. I'm awfully damned mad. We took babies to that building. Our babies didn't work there. They didn't have to go there. They went there to be cared for and protected. Other perpetrators are out there, somewhere."

With his home overloaded with documents, tapes and evidence on video, Mr



Wilburn said he had done everything to authenticate his claims. "I feel this whole thing is going to blow open real soon. Witnesses fear retribution from the federal government. I say to hell with that."

Mrs Wilburn said: "People are scared for their jobs. I grew up to trust your government. I still believe this is the greatest country in the world. But I am shocked by what we have discovered." The authorities assure the Wilburns they had no inkling of danger. "We're not the bogeymen here," said one federal agent.

"I am 23, but I feel old"

The federal prosecutors are trying to delay until after the trial a \$30 million civil suit that Edye Wilburn has filed against McVeigh. Ironically, Steven Jones, McVeigh's lawyer, is trying to use the procedure to obtain more information about the case, relying on the different rules governing the civil case.

The judge who is to try the criminal action in Denver is examining a request from Mr Jones that he be shown all US intelligence data gathered overseas about the bombing. Like Mr Wilburn, the attorney says that he has sources who have told him of information that points to other suspects.

He contends that the explosion may have been financed and executed by a foreign state or terrorist group. He wants information on Iran, Iraq and Sudan, and their connections with men in Britain, Germany and the US. Three Britons have been named as people he wants to interview.

All this is unfolding as bulldozers clear the last of the rubble of the bombing in preparation for a ceremony on the site next week when, exactly a year on from the blast, the names of the 168 dead will be read out. Nothing is left of the building. Turf has been laid to create a field for remembrance.

Those at the ceremony will include Aren Almon, whose daughter Baylee was photographed being carried dead from the rubble in the arms of a fireman. That picture, taken by an Oklahoma City Bank employee, was awarded a Pulitzer prize this week, something about which Aren has mixed feelings. She has had to endure much unwelcome publicity, especially when she found the picture being used to illustrate bombing "souvenirs".

She said: "I am 23, but I feel old. I feel I could be 80, I have been through so much in a year. It's so hard."

A family's personal pursuit of the truth

The Alfred P Murrah building housed federal offices and a day care centre for children

It was torn apart by a two-ton car bomb parked immediately in front

The government wasted little time wiping the office block off the map with a controlled explosion

After the dust had settled, the site was turned into a field of remembrance

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052007 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 00:49:48 -0400 (EDT)

From: Brad Dolan <bdolan@use.usit.net>

Subject: Saint Colby & the Fifth Column (fwd)

True? False? Who knows? It's a good yarn, at least.

bd

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 00:32:48 -0500 (EST)

From: KALLISTE@delphi.com

Subject: Saint Colby & the Fifth Column

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Saint Colby and the Fifth  
Column

by J. Orlin Grabbe

When I first heard of William Colby's capsized canoe and disappearance near his place on the Wicomico River, I thought, "Well, maybe he won't be ragging on Jim Norman and me anymore." It was of course absurd that Norman and I had ever registered on Colby's radar screen in the first place. We were small fry: Norman was an unemployed journalist recently fired from Forbes Magazine, while I was rumored to be an ex-academic suffering a bad case of sunstroke.

Norman and I had met through the dead mediation of Deputy White House Counsel Vince Foster. In my peregrinations as a banking consultant, I had come across the fact of the U.S. National Security Agency (NSA) spying on domestic banking transactions, had thought this a bit too Big Brotherly for my tastes, and had written an essay entitled The End of Ordinary Money about the uses of the monetary system for surveillance. Jim Norman, a Senior editor at Forbes, had written about the same NSA covert project, pointing out that Vince Foster was one of its overseers on behalf of a Little Rock software firm. Norman's research pointed to another explosive issue, namely that at the time of Foster's death both Foster and Hillary Clinton were under counterintelligence investigation for selling U.S. secrets to the Israelis.

When Norman and I met in Reno, Nevada, I learned about one of his sources--the point man of a group called the Fifth Column. This person, Chuck Hayes, had a nice computer and could do some neat tricks with it--things in some specific areas in which I was looking to educate myself. Hayes, meanwhile, had heard about my essay The End of Ordinary Money, which I had published on the Internet. Hayes, ex-CIA, got a copy from the CIA library, and liked it. Hayes and I hit it off right away, discovering an overlap of mutual interests.

For several years the Fifth Column had searched computer data bases, including foreign bank accounts, looking for evidence of political bribery, kickbacks, and related subversion of the U.S. Constitution and political process. They had uncovered the financial information concerning the Foster/Clinton espionage. They had also

transferred millions of dollars from politically-related illegal accounts at off-shore banks in the Cayman Islands, Switzerland, and elsewhere to a holding account at the U.S. Federal Reserve.

Jim Norman wrote an article FosterGate for Forbes magazine about all this, an article which was cleared by the magazine's fact-checkers and lawyers, but at the last minute killed by Steve Forbes, through the urging of Caspar Weinberger, former Defense Secretary and Chairman of the Board of Forbes, Inc. I promised Norman that I would publicize his article through the Internet, and began a series on Vince Foster. The series also allowed me to raise the issues I had discussed in The End of Ordinary Money in a different way. The series generated a large Internet audience, including not only sympathizers to the cause of uncovering the cover-up, but also small coterie of others with counteragendas--including White House disinformation specialists, NSA email and usegroup monitors, and a myriad of others bent on establishing territorial rights to pieces of the story.

One example of the latter was Daniel Brandt, a researcher who made his living off the CIA by selling a database of undigested articles mostly critical of it. Brandt had identified "information warfare" as a new ploy to justify old intelligence budgets, and hence reports of the Fifth Column by Norman and me had to be part of this campaign. After all, Norman referred to Fifth Column members as "CIA hackers", and they were reported to be up to something good, so the story must be propaganda since everyone knew that organization never did anything

worthwhile. Brandt then identified the ultimate source of all this "Fifth Column" disinformation as probably the "well-connected" Jack Wheeler, "a right-wing adventurer" and contributor to Strategic Investment (SI), whom I apparently gullibly believed. Neither my friend Wheeler nor I could think of any good reason why I would be getting information about computers or banking from Wheeler, but this theory apparently made sense to Brandt. (For the record, Wheeler is not "right-wing", whatever that is supposed to mean. He is philosophically a libertarian, although he was once head of Youth for Reagan, a conservative organization. Wheeler had come to admire Reagan when he heard a speech in which Reagan said, "There is no Left or Right. There is only Up or Down: Up toward liberty or Down toward tyranny." As far as connections, I assume Wheeler has a few, stemming from the time his grandfather was chief bodyguard to four successive U.S. Presidents--from Teddy Roosevelt to Warren Harding.)

But over at SI, Brandt's view was supported by William Colby, among others. I don't profess to know how much Colby was actually consulted with respect to SI editorial policy, but Colby was known to support the view that "Foster was killed but he wasn't a spy." (In Colby's own case, this view would be simply inverted: "Colby was a spy, but he wasn't killed.") Moreover, there was no Fifth Column and no high-level source would admit to having ever heard of this Chuck Hayes--hence Hayes was just another liar and huckster with a hidden agenda of his own. Colby, of course, knew very well who Hayes was, but had reasons to pretend otherwise. The most obvious one may relate to the circumstances by which Colby was

removed as CIA director in 1977, an action in which Hayes was involved. But the more probable reason had to do with political turf, for it would become abundantly clear Colby was not in sympathy with the activities of the Fifth Column, as Colby himself had a little piece of the U. S. political process for sale.

SI relentless pursued the notion that the death of Vince Foster was not a suicide. It specialized in highlighting the ease by which the gaping holes in the official story could be exposed. But ultimately it could provide its readers no explanation for the continuance of the cover-up, because it initially rejected the true explanation: namely that at the time of his death Vince Foster was under counterintelligence investigation for selling U.S. secrets to Israel. Thus SI was not in a position to explain to its readers why the Whitewater Committee under Alfonse D'Amato would supposedly accept the Foster suicide verdict at face value. The simple explanation was that doing so allowed D'Amato to take on Bill Clinton through the Whitewater investigation without at the same time having to antagonize his constituents by pursuing a line of inquiry destined to expose a can of worms relating to Israel.

Yes, the Foster murder cover-up was an easy sham to see through. But no one wanted to bear the burden of doing so officially.

There were other people, naturally, who had different reasons for going along with this scenario of events, unrelated to issues of national security. British



journalist Ambrose Evans-Pritchard would dump on the story by ludicrously claiming that a Swiss account number found on a paper from the trunk of Barry Seal's car (an account that turned out to have Caspar Weinberger's name attached to it) was really an aircraft number--thus providing one more reason not to believe those Jim Norman articles about plundered Swiss accounts. But then Evans-Pritchard had carried the information around for some time, in blissful ignorance of what he had. After all, the record from Seal was a series of letters--so how it could be a Swiss "numbered" account?

Meanwhile, William Colby told Washington journalist Sarah McClendon and others that Colin Powell would be the Republican nominee for President. But Colby wasn't able to subsequently explain Powell's failure to stand for office. After all, since the Fifth Column was a mythical entity, and the tales of political retirements inspired by financial disclosure was disinformation, then naturally the packets of financial information that were in fact delivered to Powell could have no bearing on Powell's political decisions. (The packets were said to have detailed millions of dollars of undeclared jewelry received from Kuwait, a stash of gold bars representing payoffs from military deals, and involvement in an arms network that does not hesitate to deal in proscribed products such as plutonium or to plunder U.S. military bases for goods in hot demand on the world market.)

But after Colby's death, how quickly he became Saint Colby. Rumors ran amuck. Since his death followed shortly on the heels of Commerce Secretary Ron Brown's, surely a common hand was involved in both. Since Colby

was the man who had revealed the CIA's family jewels in the 1977 congressional probe into intelligence activities, surely he was now dead for whistle-blowing of the same noble sort. Since Colby was an SI editor, and SI was a publication that relentlessly investigated the Vince Foster murder, surely Colby was a martyr to the cause of truth. Publications that had not heretofore acknowledged the existence of the Fifth Column now breathlessly reported that it "cannot be ruled out" that Colby was the head of it. The rush to deification was all quite nauseating.

Yes, there was definitely a Ron Brown connection, for at the time of Brown's death Colby was working with Brown in representing the interests of Vietnam to the U.S. This hardly shores up the argument for sainthood. Even those convinced a change in U.S. policy toward Vietnam is mandatory might wonder about the propriety of an ex-head of U.S. intelligence representing the interests of a foreign power. This is reinforced by the Brown association, since Brown himself reportedly asked Vietnam to deposit \$700,000 in a bank account for his personal use as the quid pro quo for considering their requests for reconciliation.

Colby had made his reputation as head of the Vietnam-War era Phoenix project which had used computer data bases to track political "enemies" in Vietnam, many of whom were then targeted for assassination. The ruthlessness he showed there was not all that different from the ruthlessness he later showed toward ex-colleagues when it came time to cover his ass before congressional investigators. Colby's whistle-

blowing was dictated by necessity, not choice.

Colby may have simply fallen out of his canoe and drowned. But if he was given a little nudge, one suspects he was out of line with respect to his foreign entanglements. And one seriously doubts the hands involved were the same as those involved in the death of Ron Brown. Ron Brown was after all (as I reported in "Ron Brown's Loose Lips Seal His Fate") a threat to his business colleagues, and he met his fate as a result of a bomb triggered by a descending detonator aboard his plane. Information about the bomb on Brown's plane has already been released to British papers by MI6. Meanwhile, the damage control minions in the U.S. are desperately trying to see that the true story doesn't bleed back here overseas. Fat chance.

Unlike the Fifth Column, which has used information to expose political corruption, Colby was seemingly indifferent to the corrupt uses of information itself. In any event he wasn't around when the Fifth Column delivered a packet of information to Senator Bob Dole on Monday, May 13. On Wednesday, Dole then dramatically announced his resignation from the Senate to run full-time for President on a non-existent campaign budget, in the apparent presumption of a receiving a Republican nomination that will not come his way.

But don't be surprised if equally dramatic and convoluted decisions are announced by the Clintons in the near future.

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: 2.6.2

iQCVAwUBMaAVkWX1Kn9BepeVAQHdOWP/agrLKUKgt97N5rEKUy9rglIgXs7z40Cp  
2+ruMkDGPY+YNkperTcKzzf2P+CtV9+AfIG/MXr+36N99ovyhRiT54UpW5FX0H5a  
jJ2/kyrC+bks402TxImA/YdZwN2EaMYMnvnbirjdleZF9DXBIOf17lc42SQnjSag  
I8wAol/fuq4=  
=iAPr

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96052006 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: 19 May 96 22:09:09 EDT

From: Michael Williams <100705.1252@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: Franklin Cover Up Review

The Franklin Cover-up: Child Abuse, Satanism, and Murder in  
Nebraska

by John W. DeCamp

AWT, Inc., PO Box 85461

Lincoln Nebraska, 1992

\$9.95

If you think the subtitle of this book is outrageous, it isn't. It barely hints at the amazing and terrifying events chronicled in the Franklin Cover-Up. The author, John W. DeCamp, was for 16 years a State Senator in Nebraska, a respected lawyer and businessman in his state. He was on the committee that investigated the Franklin Community Federal Credit Union, which is just the tip of the proverbial iceberg in this circle upon circle of scandals.

DeCamp opens with a conversation with William Colby, former Director of the CIA. Colby, in a friendly talk with DeCamp, tells him to drop his investigation of the corruption behind the Franklin cover-up and the sordid, suppressed scandals surrounding it. Colby says, "You have done your part. You have tried to expose the evil and wrongdoing. It has hurt you terribly. But it has not killed you up to this point. I am telling you, get out of this before it does. Sometimes things are just too big for us to deal with, and we have

to step aside and let history take its course. For you, John, this is one of those times." What is Former CIA Director Colby talking about, what does DeCamp have to stop talking about before he gets himself Fosterized? What is even William Colby afraid of, enough to tell his friend to drop it?

He is talking about the sexual peddling of children to our political elite. He is talking about the snuff film murders of some of those children. He is talking about dope-dealing and money-laundering by politicians, policemen, judges, lawyers, businessmen, and bankers. The usual pillars of the community ain't quite what they seem. He is talking about police collaboration with murders; cops and judges doing and selling dope, while getting sexually "serviced" by children who are coerced into sex with them. Most of these kids were vulnerable orphans, at the mercy of Larry King, who ran the Franklin Federal Credit Union, the centerpiece in this field of shit. He is talking about an S&L style ripoff of the Credit Union to the tune of \$40 million. Colby is talking about the murder by "airplane accident" of the chief investigator of these scandals. He is talking about a brutal cover-up of incredible crimes. He is talking about a stacked grand jury, which, upon hearing the eye-witness evidence of the abused kids, indicts those kids for perjury and sends \*them\* off to rot in jail! This is what Colby says cannot be "dealt with." Why? Because these scandals reach to the highest political offices of Nebraska and of the nation itself. George Bush's name is mentioned, as are many others.

We're not simply dealing with the mere mention of names, an unprovable mass of facts and fictions. This book contains real evidence of satanism, ritual abuse and murders; judges, cops, and politicians having sex with 12, 14-year old boys and girls while they snort cocaine--cocaine provided by Larry King, who also used the kids to smuggle dope for him from state to state. DeCamp

provides documented evidence. Interview upon interview upon interview with witnesses, not only of the abused children, but with outraged social workers, lawyers, and private investigators--people who tried to intervene in this hellacious scandal. There is NO mincing of words here: what is presented as evidence in The Franklin Cover-up would hold up in court, if you could get the case to an honest, uncorrupted court. Apparently this was an impossibility in Nebraska. In a word--you will have to try hard to keep your lunch in your stomach when you read this, so be prepared. I wasn't and at one point, I lost mine. Franklin Cover-up is a horrifying book. You probably don't believe in Satanism--not as a real, active evil in this land. I didn't. I do now.

Franklin Cover-up is a hard book to read. Hard because we don't really want to know how seamy and awful corruption has gotten in this country. The book is extremely well-written and consummately clear--that is not what makes it difficult to read. A more perfectly mapped exhibit of the cover-up of crimes and corruptions of our esteemed political elite cannot be found. The problem is with the content: the sexual rape and murder of 10 year old boys. Grand jury indictment and trials of abused kids who lived through these horrors. The harrassment and sometimes murder of anyone who tried to bring this repulsive mess to the light. The outright crimes and the lies of people in positions of power. All this is genuinely frightening and a gut-twister.

John W. DeCamp did his best to investigate these matters, and was harassed and threatened with death for his trouble. Not a light matter in this case, where more than 15 others had been killed or been in mysterious "accidents" or suspicious "suicides." What he could do finally, was to write this book. DeCamp is a courageous man, as you will see when you read the Franklin Cover-up. He has

written a strong, clear indictment of the current state of America.

Read this book. Read it and weep.

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96052005 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Sun, 19 May 1996 07:31:10 -0700

From: Joe Horn <6mysmesa@1eagle1.com>

Subject: L&J: US Ties to Mexico War

U.S.-MEXICO MILITARY TIES: UNEXAMINED AND GROWING

By Norman Solomon / Creators Syndicate / Media Beat

When the United States and Mexico went to war 150 years ago, the conflict stirred fierce arguments north of the Rio Grande. Controversy raged as Congress approved a declaration of war on May 13, 1846.

Most newspapers endorsed the war with Mexico. The New York Herald claimed, "It is a part of our destiny to civilize that beautiful country." But, in the same city, Tribune editor Horace Greeley demanded: "Is not Life miserable enough, comes not Death soon enough, without resort to the hideous enginery of War?"

The Mexican war split the ranks of literary notables as well. Poet Walt Whitman was enthusiastic: "Mexico must be thoroughly chastised!... America knows how to crush, as well as how to expand!" In contrast, Henry David Thoreau protested the war by going to jail rather than paying a poll tax.

Today, far from clashing on the battlefield, the two nations are engaged in extensive military teamwork. The growing martial alliance is not debated in the United States, where few people

even know it exists.

News watchers remain in the dark while the U.S. government provides Mexican armed forces with high-tech military equipment and training to suppress Indian peasants. The aid has grave consequences for human rights.

Despite a flurry of news coverage after indigenous Mayans launched an uprising in Mexico's southern state of Chiapas at the start of 1994, the U.S. role has stayed in the shadows.

Yet, in his award-winning book "Rebellion From the Roots," journalist John Ross cites Bell-212 transport helicopters obtained from the United States: "There is little doubt that the U.S. aircraft was used by the Mexican military to wage war on the Indians of Chiapas." At the outset, Ross writes, those helicopters "were utilized by the military to ferry prisoners and the dead."

When more than 100 Indians died in early January 1994, much of the lethal firepower came from the sky. Two months later, a Zapatista guerrilla leader known as Commandante Humberto told reporters in the town of San Cristobal: "We want the government of the United States to retire its helicopters because they are being used to repress the Mexican people."

But, instead of pulling back from military entanglement, Washington is now plunging ahead. In late April, Defense Secretary William Perry huddled with his Mexican counterpart, Gen. Enrique Cervantes Aguirre, to "explore ways in which our militaries could cooperate better."

The pair worked out an unprecedented deal. This year, the

U.S. Department of Defense will give Mexico's air force about 50 helicopters -- Hueys -- originally developed for combat. Delivery of the first dozen is set for early summer.

Pentagon sources assert that this is the Defense Department's first direct transfer of aircraft to the Mexican military. "For us, it's a very big story, very important," says Jose Carreno, a Washington correspondent for the Mexico City daily El Universal. "We have been covering it. For whatever reason, the U.S. news media have not."

In theory, the Huey helicopters will primarily serve Mexico's anti-drug program. In practice, the Mexican command can do whatever it wants with them. "They don't have any strings attached," a top Mexican official explained on April 24. In any event, the copters are sure to strengthen the air power of a government that's still on a war footing with indigenous rebels.

Political bloodshed persists in the Chiapas region, where Indian guerrillas receive wide support from a native population that has endured lifetimes of poverty and racial discrimination - along with violent repression from Mexican police and government troops.

Amnesty International charges that human rights violators commit heinous crimes with "impunity" in Mexico. New documents from Human Rights Watch show that "government officials arbitrarily detained, tortured and forced confessions from suspects" during a crackdown in Chiapas last year. Torture and killings of peaceful protesters also occurred elsewhere in the country.

The latest independent reports make for grisly reading. But perhaps most upsetting is a statement by Human Rights Watch: "As it has in the past, the Clinton administration went out of its way to avoid criticizing the Mexican government on human rights issues."

Apparently, the White House is convinced that few of us will notice its shameful silence -- or consider the dire implications as the United States widens its military pipeline into Mexico.

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96052004 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Sun, 19 May 1996 07:16:13 -0700

From: Joe Horn <6mysmesa@1eagle1.com>

Subject: L&J: Jean Duffy Int: Drug Task Force in AR

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: Wed, 15 MAY 1996 04:56:39 -0400

From: Edward W. Zehr <ezehr@capaccess.org>

Newgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

Subject: BILL CLINTON'S ARKANSAS: Jean Duffy's Story

JEAN DUFFY interviewed by Randall Terry

May 1, 1996

---

Jean Duffy headed a drug task force for the law enforcement community in Arkansas. Duffy and three other law enforcement agents have come forward in a new video called "Obstruction of Justice: The Mena Connection." The video deals with the murders of two boys and their connection with drug money. All four law enforcement agents came from different agencies. All of them met with stonewalling and opposition from highly placed officials.

---

TERRY: As many as nine people who were potential witnesses in this case have been murdered already. If you could say anything you

wanted for the next ten or fifteen minutes, what would you say?

DUFFY: I would like for people to wake up and understand the massive amount of drugs that have been transported into the United States and that the war on drugs is a myth. That our government is very well aware, and in some cases is a participant in the drug smuggling. I believe that is incredible for people to understand as it would have been for me had I not been involved in it and [understood] how it happened and why it happened. I would like for people to buy our video, "Obstruction of Justice."

TERRY: Why?

DUFFY: It will begin to explain all of the connections of drug smuggling and how it affects people's lives. It will help people to understand how it is allowed to continue, and why it is allowed to continue. There will be a sequel to "Obstruction of Justice" that will go more in depth into the actual drug-smuggling operation. But our video explains very poignantly how it affected the life of an American family. Linda and Larry Ives, the parents of Kevin Ives, who was killed when he was 17-years-old because he stumbled upon a drug drop. [There was also the death of his friend, Don Henry]. As if their murders were not bad enough, they were murdered by law enforcement officers who were part of the drug-smuggling operation.

TERRY: Let's just stop right here.

DUFFY: Alright,

TERRY: I watched this video yesterday and when I was done, I took my entire staff up after yesterday's show and told them "you all have to watch this." They all went home late for dinner. I don't

usually react to things this bad[ly]. The clear implication of the video is that Dan Harmon and other law enforcement agents murdered these boys.

DUFFY: Well, that's absolutely correct.

TERRY: You're not a crackpot - you're involved in law enforcement. There are other law enforcement [officers] in the film, all risking life and limb and future careers to say things against some very powerful people. You really believe that these boys were murdered by law enforcement agents?

DUFFY: I don't think there's any doubt about it. And I believe the law enforcement agents were connected to some very high political people because they have never been brought to justice and I don't think they ever will be. I think they are protected to avoid exposing the connection.

TERRY: To who? To higher-ups?

DUFFY: Yes, absolutely to higher-ups.

TERRY: How high?

DUFFY: Well, I know that there were CIA people involved in this drug-smuggling operation, that's pretty much un-disputed. I can't really say whether the CIA people were acting officially or whether they were rogue operators, acting outside their official capacity and taking advantage of an opportunity to make private profits off of this drug-smuggling operation. Obviously they were connected to very high-up people. The U.S attorney who first shut down a federal



investigation was appointed by a Republican president - he was appointed by President Bush and I don't believe he had any direct connection with any of this, but he certainly took orders from someone to close down that investigation.

TERRY: This all sounds so fantastic. How unbelievable. I mean how the average America just cannot grasp that state officials in Arkansas, or federal officials were somehow even remotely connected with drug-smuggling, and then to say that some of the lower officials actually murdered these boys - I've got to stop myself. I'm a Christian and I'm a Calvinist and I believe in the wickedness of man's heart, so it should not surprise me that wicked men can do wicked things. Do you believe that Dan Harmon was involved with these murders?

DUFFY: I don't think that there is any doubt that he was.

TERRY: Explain to the listeners who Dan Harmon was at the time and who he is now.

DUFFY: At the time he was a person who was in and out of politics in Saline County. He had been a judge. He had been a prosecutor and, at the time the boys were murdered, he was in private practice. After they were murdered, he approached the parents of the two boys and [offered] his services to find out who had murdered the two boys. And he was subsequently appointed to be special prosecutor to head a county grand jury. Now for years the parents thought that Dan Harmon was trying to solve their murders, but later found out that he had very wisely put himself into a position of not only orchestrating the coverup, but being in the position of controlling the information that came in and the information that went out.

TERRY: Well, it's worse than that. People who came forward and said that they had information on these murders ended up getting murdered, themselves.

DUFFY: There have been several murders of potential witnesses. Anyone who could have solved this murder many years ago has been systematically eliminated.

TERRY: What did these boys see that was so critical that they were murdered that night - that the third boy who was with them, and then escaped - who ran away, was tracked down and murdered a year later - what was so critical to this whole process that all these people had to be killed? It's just - it sounds crazy!

DUFFY: It really does. I've been called crazy before - that's for sure.

TERRY: Before you answer the question, I just want to say in your defense ... these people are just doing their duty as law enforcement agents and they stumbled into this black, bloodstained hole involving the murder of these two boys. So go ahead Jean, if you could answer my question.

DUFFY: I will - what you said brought up a point I would like to make. When I was investigating this, I was crucified in the media by public officials who were involved in this, and I was labeled a whacko, a fruitcake, a nut case. In the U.S. attorney's office there were people who were supposed to be helping in this investigation who turned their backs on any of the information that my drug task force took in, because we had been so discredited. When this video came out, one of the people [who had been] in the

U.S. Attorney's office back then saw the video, took it to work and asked every person in the U.S. Attorney's office to sit down and watch [it]. He said, "this will change your mind about what we thought about Jean Duffy back in 1990."

TERRY: I am going to take a break and when we come back you can ask Jean Duffy why it was necessary that these two boys be murdered, and subsequently nine other witnesses were murdered.

[Break for commercials]

TERRY: Before we took the break, Jean, I asked what these boys saw that caused not only them, but several other potential witnesses to their murder to be murdered.

DUFFY: Well, it's really quite simple. They stumbled upon a drug drop from an airplane that was part of the major drug-smuggling operation out on Mena, Arkansas.

TERRY: But, drug drops happen every day and there aren't 11 people murdered as a result.

DUFFY: This drug-smuggling operation had been set up in Mena by Barry Seal and was part of a CIA covert operation. To expose the murders would have exposed some very high[ly placed] political people - in fact I believe if it's ever solved completely it's going to expose a lot of Democrats and Republicans alike. And it's my personal opinion that's why it is not going to be investigated thoroughly by either party. Both parties stand to lose from exposure of this drug-smuggling operation.

TERRY: Have you heard of Terry Reed's book, "Compromised?"

DUFFY: Yes, I have. I've read it.

TERRY: Do you think it's credible?

DUFFY: Ah - I think without really knowing...

TERRY: Terry was a co-pilot with Barry Seal, and Barry Seal was the one who was gunned down - he was murdered a little more than 10 years ago. The book "Compromised" basically says the same thing you guys are saying, only that Terry Reed was an insider.

DUFFY: Yes, absolutely. I try not to report anything that I don't really know first hand. But reading his book certainly helped me to understand how the Mena operation was set up. And nothing in his book is inconsistent with what I know first-hand from what my task force developed.

TERRY: Tell us some of the things. You had several informants working for you. Tell us some of the things your informants uncovered.

DUFFY: They immediately linked drug traffic at the local level to local political people - local public officials. And because of that we routed-out information to a federal U.S. Assistant Attorney, who was a good guy.

TERRY: You say you found local political figures involved. And your informants were credible? They had concrete evidence?

DUFFY: Oh yeah. When one informant tells you something independently of one or two or three other informants, and they're all giving exactly the same information...

TERRY: Do they know that the other informants exist?

DUFFY: No.

TERRY: These are all separate?

DUFFY: They would be independent. It would be foolish to just listen to one informant and try to build a case based on what that one informant said. But the informants we used had been tested and proven. Also we had informants and witnesses who passed polygraph tests. So there are several different ways to determine the credibility of an informant or a witness and one is corroborating testimony.

TERRY: So you gave this testimony to federal law enforcement agents and thought that you were going to get justice at that point. And you were told by the federal prosecutor that they were going to indict some of these local political figures?

DUFFY: Oh, I was absolutely assured that there would be indictments and prosecutions of key public officials and in fact the same public officials who were conducting the media smear campaign against me at the time. This had been going on for several months, but the U.S. Attorney that was in charge of the federal grand jury investigation said "just hang on, because any day now..." I heard this week after week, and the weeks turned into months. Then he said I'm ready to have the grand jury indict and I'm ready to prosecute these same people, and as soon as that happens then everyone will understand the smear campaign and why they tried to discredit me professionally.

TERRY: We have about a minute left. You can say anything you want. This is Jean Duffy who headed up a drug task force. How many investigators did you have working for you?

DUFFY: I had seven undercover officers.

TERRY: And five of them resigned in protest over the way you were treated.

DUFFY: They did.

TERRY: That's unbelievable. You have the last minute to tell the listeners anything you want.

DUFFY: [Encourages listeners to order the video "Obstruction of Justice" which will be used to fund a civil action].

TERRY: I want to commend you again for putting your life on the line by stepping forward like this. Obviously some very powerful people have already murdered nearly a dozen people in connection with the drug smuggling there, starting with the two young boys. Certainly other law enforcement officials have been threatened. Were you threatened at all?

DUFFY: There was a \$50,000 price on my head back in 1990, when I left the jurisdiction, and I was actually in hiding for nine months.

TERRY: They put a \$50,000 hit fee on you?

DUFFY: That was the information my parents were given, and they were certainly very fearful. But once I left the jurisdiction and stopped doing the investigation they left me alone. They stopped the smear campaign. I didn't feel like anyone was looking for me. What they wanted me to do was just shut up and go away. When I did

that they didn't have a problem. When I got involved again in 1994, at the request of the FBI, the FBI agent I was working with got the information that Harmon was looking to have my body laid out on the railroad track and run over. The agent was pretty fearful that that was a direct threat, but I was really not very intimidated by that.

TERRY: Ben Harmon, folks, is the fellow who eye-witnesses said was seen with the boys on the tracks the night they were murdered. And he is a prosecutor there.

DUFFY: He is the prosecuting attorney there and has been since 1991.

TERRY: If the allegations that our friend Jean Duffy has been telling us are true, then he is a monster. Jean, thank you very much for taking the time, and we applaud your courage...We hope that justice is done for these boys, and that all of the political power players who are involved in this coverup are exposed.

DUFFY: Thank you very much for your concern.

[End of interview]

Number to call for video: 1-800-323-LIVE

-----  
Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice" in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>

- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96052001 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Prediction: Watch for a middle eastern connection to be revealed in connection with the Oklahoma City bombing story.]

PIML

Date: Mon, 20 May 1996 01:23:48 -0700 (MST)

From: Free Speech <ron@grapevinenews.com>

Subject: [FreeSpeech-NewsWire] Did agents bungle US terror bomb?

Did agents bungle US terror bomb?

By Ambrose Evans-Pritchard in Junction City, Kansas and Andrew Gimson in Berlin

ANDREAS Strassmeir lives quietly with his parents in a well-to-do area of West Berlin. His father was once a top aide to German Chancellor Helmut Kohl. His brother is a city councillor. For seven years he served in the German army, at one point doing a tour of duty as a liaison officer with the Welsh Guards.

It is hard to imagine a more unlikely figure to surface in the drama of the 1995 Oklahoma City bombing, the worst act of terrorism ever committed on US soil. But last week an Oklahoma couple, Glenn and Kathy Wilburn, announced that they were going to name Strassmeir, 36, in a lawsuit as a "US federal informant with material knowledge of the bombing". They say that Strassmeir became involved with the far-Right underworld when he lived with the Elohim City "Christian Identity" sect on the Arkansas-Oklahoma border from 1991 to 1995.

The Wilburns lost two grandchildren in the attack on the Alfred Murrah federal building, which killed 168 people including 19 children. After

taping more than 300 hours of testimony in their own investigation, they have concluded that the government had prior knowledge of the blast.

They say that the FBI has refused to pursue and arrest a number of suspects seen near the crime scene with Timothy McVeigh, who is said to have been in contact with paramilitary groups in the area and has been charged with the bombing. The Wilburns say the refusal is presumably because the FBI is afraid of exposing the government's negligence. "This was a sting operation that went berserk," said Glenn Wilburn.

The family has accumulated evidence which they claim indicates Strassmeir was an undercover US agent who, while based at Elohim City, penetrated the white separatist movement and alerted the authorities about the impending attack. "Andy did his best, he tried to stop this thing, we're not blaming him for what happened," said Wilburn. "But we're going to sue the US government to break this wide open."

The Wilburns now believe that they have evidence from witnesses that five to seven men were involved in the bombing, and indications that several of these had connections with Elohim City. So far only two people have been charged: McVeigh and Terry Nichols. The FBI now says that nobody else was involved. Strassmeir denies that he was an informant. "I've never worked for any US government agency, and I've not been involved in any intelligence operation since my discharge from the German army in 1988," he said. "This family [the Wilburns] is on a fishing expedition."

"The FBI asked where I was on the day of the bombing"

The decision to name him in the lawsuit comes after witnesses allegedly identified him at the end of April as one of a number of men seen in Junction City, Kansas, when McVeigh was also there during the days leading up to the bombing. One of the witnesses said she contacted the FBI as soon as she was shown a photograph of Strassmeir by a US news organisation

investigating the Oklahoma affair.

Within days, a US Justice Department team questioned Strassmeir, calling him in Berlin on April 30 and again on May 1 to ask about his alleged ties to McVeigh. "The FBI asked where I was on the day of the bombing," he said. "They wanted to help debunk the rumours spread about me." Strassmeir said he was at work near Elohim City at the time of the blast.

In a series of five interviews with The Telegraph he said that he first lived in the US in 1989 because he was planning to work on a special assignment for the US Justice Department. "I discussed the job when I was in Washington. I was hoping to work for the operations section of the DEA [Drug Enforcement Agency]," he explained. "It never worked out."

Vincent Petruskie, a retired US Air Force colonel, said that he helped Strassmeir try to get a job in the DEA and the US Treasury. "We took him under our wing when he first came to the United States, and to be quite honest he's a little immature," he said. "I mean he's a good kid, but he fantasises." In the end, Strassmeir says that he went to Texas and started working as a salesman for a computer company. From there he seemingly drifted into the sub-culture of the Ku Klux Klan, the Aryan Nations, and the extreme fringes of the Christian Right. In 1991 he went to live in Elohim City, a primitive community of huts, guns and impenetrable theology. He established himself as chief of security and weapons training, he said.

"I met the guy once at a gun show. We spoke for five minutes, that's all"

On April 5 1995 McVeigh - or somebody using his telephone billing card - telephoned Elohim City. It was minutes after McVeigh had reserved the Ryder rental van that was allegedly used to blow up the Oklahoma City building. According to Joan Millar, who answered the telephone, the caller asked to speak to "Andy".

"I don't know why McVeigh was trying to contact me," said Strassmeir. "I met the guy once at a gun show. We spoke for five minutes, that's all. I sold him a US Navy combat knife."

Without identifying himself, McVeigh also called the offices of Strassmeir's American lawyer, Kirk Lyons, for 15 minutes on April 18, 1995, the day before the bombing. He apparently talked about the controversial raid by federal agents on the Branch Davidian compound near Waco, Texas, which resulted in more than 80 deaths, and the need to "send a message to the government".

Strassmeir says that McVeigh never visited Elohim City. But McVeigh was stopped for speeding on October 12 1993, 10 miles from Elohim City, on the road to the compound. Strassmeir says that his four years at Elohim were among the happiest of his life. But it was a curious existence for a man who had once been a lieutenant in the Panzer Grenadiers. He told The Sunday Telegraph that he had received military intelligence training. Part of his work was to detect infiltration by Warsaw Pact agents, he explained, and then feed them disinformation.

He is scathing in his criticism of the ATF - the US Treasury's Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms - saying that it did not have the anthropological skills to infiltrate the Christian militias. "The Right-wing in the US is incredibly easy to penetrate if you know how to talk to them," he said. "Of course it's easier for a foreigner with an accent; nobody would ever suspect a German of working for the federal government."

" He was just a weird cookie"

In February 1992 Strassmeir's maroon station wagon was impounded by the Oklahoma highway patrol for a traffic violation. The police found in his briefcase a collection of documents, some of them in German. According to

the tow-truck driver, Kenny Pence, Strassmeir soon brought heavy pressure to bear. "Boy, we caught hell over that one," he said. "The phone calls came in from the State Department, the Governor's office, and someone called and said he had diplomatic immunity. He was just a weird cookie."

Strassmeir said the man must have been confused about some of the details. "Some calls did come in to rattle their cage," he said. "Something may have been said about my father's position."

In hours of conversations with The Sunday Telegraph, over several days, Strassmeir remained adamant that he had met McVeigh only once. He also claimed that he had copper-bottomed information about the bombing, but seemed torn over how much he felt able to impart.

"The ATF had an informant inside this operation. They had advance warning and they bungled it," he said. "What they should have done is make an arrest while the bomb was still being made instead of waiting till the last moment for a publicity stunt."

Asked if he thought the alleged informant would ever speak out, he replied with passion: "How can he? What happens if it was a sting operation from the very beginning? What happens if it comes out that the plant was a provocateur? What then? The relatives of the victims are going to go crazy, and he's going to be held responsible for the murder of 168 people? Of course the informant can't come forward. He's scared stiff right now." Before and after this outburst he kept repeating that he was not making veiled references to himself.

Lyons, Strassmeir's lawyer, says that his client has been dragged into the Oklahoma bombing story by McVeigh's defence team. He says the defence tactic is to muddy the waters by sketching a vast conspiracy involving neo-Nazis in Europe and even Middle Eastern terrorists. "I call it the Space Alien Elvis

Presley theory, and it's been fuelled by nutcases and conspiracy theorists," he said.

"Andy has been damaged. Anybody who puts out the lie that he was linked to the Oklahoma bombing in any way is going to pay for it."

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

Jon:

Here is a revised and expanded version of PIML 96051801. You might want to use it to replace the version on the page. It includes the PIML 960527XX postings.

Bill

=====

The Republic of Texas Movement  
a Dream of 'Quick-Fix' Liberty with No Foundation

by Bill Utterback

The Republic of Texas movement (RT) is calling for Texas patriots to join in their dream of 'quick fix' liberty. There is, regrettably, no quick fix for our problems with our state and, especially, federal governments having gone out-of-control. We can regain control of our out-of-control governments - but it will require hard work and dedication. RT's dream is based on the myth that Texas was never annexed as a state in the Union. Many Texas patriots have joined in this dream, almost to the point of becoming a political religion - but the fact is that the dream has no foundation.

I purchased fifty copies of the new RT magazine for sale in my bookstore and asked Laurie Wiglesworth of WOAI Radio to see if she could schedule the publisher, Wes Burnett, on Carl Wiglesworth's talk show. Then I eagerly read the magazine, looking for the proof of RT's claims. When I did not find proof in the magazine I read everything on the RT internet web page. When I did not

find proof on the web page I asked for evidence of RT's claims April 30 on the RT computer e-mail mailing list. RT has promised to answer my questions. I'm still waiting for answers.

First of all, we are talking about the geographical area known as "Texas" and the people who either were born there or who have lived there long enough to claim Texas citizenship. All legalisms aside, there is only one physical "Texas" and only one physical "people of Texas." The people of Texas have the inalienable right of self determination; which is to say that the current people of Texas have the right to alter, reform, or abolish their government in such manner as they may think expedient. This means that, notwithstanding constitutions or the so-called "law of nations", it has been in the past and is now up to the people of Texas to choose the government they desire. No outside group (such as the federal government) or no subset of the people of Texas (such as the RT General Council) has the right to impose any form of government upon the people of Texas. No outside group or subset of the people of Texas has the right to claim to be the government of Texas until specific, limited powers of government are delegated to that group or subset by the people of Texas.

RT recently published a document on their internet web page. This document, dated April 21, 1996, is entitled "Diplomatic Notice of Perfection of International Relations Between the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and the "Republic of Texas."" I would think that RT would want to put forth their strongest arguments in a document of this importance. If this is all that RT has to offer, then RT has no foundation at all. I have moved from being a RT supporter to feeling that RT is a dream of liberty which will vanish when RT supporters awaken to the fact that RT has no foundation. Until this happens, Texas patriot energy and resources are being diverted from useful causes which offer opportunities for



effective action.

Paragraph one of the RT document states:

That no clause of perpetuity or the right of annexation of a foreign nation ever existed in the Constitution of the united States of America or within the Constitution of the Republic of Texas in 1845 to perfect a permanent union in accord with the law of nations either then or now in effect in accordance with the Foreign Relations Law of the United States with respect to a Joint Resolution dated March 1, 1845.

Even though the paragraph is worded to say that no clause of right of annexation of a foreign nation existed in the federal Constitution to effect a permanent union, what it suggests is that nothing in the federal Constitution allows annexation of a foreign nation. This is untrue. Article IV, Section 3 of the U.S. Constitution states, "New States may be admitted by the Congress into this Union . . ." This is followed by three specific limitations on this broad power, all three of which are concerned with existing states, and none of which are concerned with foreign nations. Congress has the delegated power to annex Cuba or the moon as a state if that seemed desirable, and the manner of annexation is left up to the discretion of Congress.

Annexation of a foreign nation would need to be accomplished by a treaty with that nation which would require a two-thirds vote of the federal Senate. This was attempted in 1844 in regard to Texas but the federal Senate rejected the proposed treaty by a vote of thirty-five to sixteen. This was a good thing, too, as the treaty - if accepted by Texas - would have given all Texas government lands to the federal government.

The next step was for the federal Congress to suggest to the people of Texas that annexation would be possible if they chose to rescind their status as a foreign nation and become a geographical area with a state constitution (that was not a foreign nation) which desired to be annexed by the federal government as a state on equal footing with the other states. In this scenario there would be no need for a treaty or a two-thirds vote by the federal Senate. In fact, this scenario is very similar to the process whereby most of the other states were formed from territories.

On 2/28/1845 the federal House and Senate voted to approve a Joint Resolution that told the people of the Republic of Texas, in effect, that if they would enact a new constitution to be a state in the Union and that new state constitution was approved by the federal Congress, then Texas could be annexed to the Union as an equal state.

The Texas Congress voted on 6/23/1845 in favor of annexation. A Texas Annexation Convention, called for the purpose (as required by the federal Joint Resolution), accepted the offer in the Joint Resolution on 7/4/1845 by passing an Ordinance of annexation. The Annexation Convention wrote the 1845 Texas Constitution and completed it on 8/28/1845. The preamble states that the 1845 State Constitution was established "in accordance with the provisions of the joint resolution for annexing Texas to the United States" and the 7/4/1845 Texas Ordinance of annexation was included as the last section of the 1845 State Constitution. The people of Texas voted on 10/13/1845 to approve annexation and to enact the 1845 Texas State Constitution.

At this point the Republic of Texas ceased to exist and the State of Texas came into being (subject to the approval of the federal Congress).

On 12/16/1845 the federal House, on 12/22/1845 the federal Senate, and on 12/29/1845 the federal President recognized Texas as a state in the Union.

A closer examination of the authority delegated to Congress to admit new states to the Union reveals that the ultimate source of confirmation on the Constitution is the Constitution itself. There is nothing written by man of higher authority (and a good case can be made that the Constitution itself is a spiritually inspired document). Fortunately, it is written in plain, simple language. A Section of an Article in the Constitution deals with either a single topic (such as Article IV, Section 1) or a group of related topics (such as Article IV, Section 2). In Section 1 there is only one topic so there is only one paragraph. In Section 2 there are three different related topics: (1) all citizens have equal privileges and immunities; (2) extradition requirements; and (3) slavery or indentured servitude. These are all related because they come under the general category of individual citizens and persons, but they are put in different paragraphs because they are different topics within the same greater category.

The reason to have paragraphs is to separate topics or ideas. The very fact that two different topics are in two different paragraphs indicates that they are not directly connected. In order to establish a connection between topics in two different paragraphs there would have to be language in one or both paragraphs to indicate that connection. This is just basic english grammar structure.

In the case of the Section in question, Article IV, Section 3, the first paragraph is on the topic of new states and the second

paragraph is on the topic of federal territory or other property.

These are the three different types of real estate that can be owned or governed by the federal government. Since there is not much practical difference between the way that the federal government deals with territories (Guam, Virgin Islands) and property (District of Columbia, arsenals, navy yards - by cession to the federal government by a state) both territory and other property are put in the same paragraph. Since there is a difference in how the federal government deals with territory/other property and new states, new states are put in a separate paragraph.

The first paragraph delegates power to Congress to admit new states into the union with three limitations. The second paragraph delegates power to Congress to dispose of and make rules/regulations concerning territory/property with two limitations. Both paragraphs are self-contained. There is absolutely no language in either paragraph to indicate that there is any connection between the two, other than the fact that both paragraphs come under the general category of real estate.

There is, however, language in the second paragraph to indicate that both paragraphs are entirely separate. That is the wording that places both territory and other property in the second paragraph. If the language about territory was supposed to apply to new states, then new states would be in the same paragraph with territory and other property.

The Constitution is like a filing cabinet, Articles are like file drawers, Sections are like file folders, and paragraphs are like documents. Say that you have a file folder (section) on real estate and in that folder are two documents (paragraphs). One document is a list of real estate you received from a family

estate (new states) and the other document is a list of property you purchased from Mr. Smith (territory/other property). Saying that a new state must first be a federal territory is like telling you that you can not own any of the estate property that had not been purchased by your family from the same Mr. Smith. There is simply no connection between the two.

Even if both paragraphs were run together and written as one paragraph, the wording is still so clear that no additional limitation would be added to the Congressional power to admit new states. The Constitution means what it says and it says what it means. The wording about territory and other property does not even begin to hint that there is any requirement for a new state to first be a federal territory. This to me is so crystal clear that I have difficulty in understanding how anyone can have any other interpretation. You don't have to interpret the Constitution; you simply read each word carefully and derive the only meaning. That meaning is just what the Constitution says. Possibly some other portions of the Constitution are more open to interpretation, but I can not see that there is any question whatsoever about Article IV, Section 3.

On the question of "Must a state first be a federal territory?", The key of understanding is the word "new" in front of the word "states". A new state is a "state" that did not previously exist in the condition of being a "state". If it did not exist in the condition of being a "state", then it had to exist in some other condition: such as a federal territory, a foreign nation, or in the case of Texas "a geographical area with a U.S. federal system State Constitution that had rescinded their foreign nation government by voting to enact a new State Constitution which replaced the previous Republic Constitution, expecting to be

recognized as a state in the Union by the federal Congress and President under the terms of the Congressional legislation known as the U.S. Joint Resolution for the Admission of Texas as one of the states of the Union."

There is no, repeat no, constitutional limitation on the previously existing political status of a new state before it becomes a state and there is no limitation on the manner in which a new state can be admitted to the Union, that decision being left up to the discretion of Congress. There are other limitations to this broad power delegated to Congress by the people, but they do not, repeat not, apply to the previous political status of a state as long as it was not part of an existing state, nor do those other limitations apply to the manner in which a new state can be admitted.

The U.S. Constitution says:

Section 3. New states may be admitted by the Congress into this union; but no new state shall be formed or erected within the jurisdiction of any other state; nor any state be formed by the junction of two or more states, or parts of states, without the consent of the legislatures of the states concerned as well as of the Congress.

Paragraph 3 of the RT document states:

That in February of 1861 the People and their agents of delegation of Texas declared the invalid treaty of 1845, styled as a joint Resolution of March 1, 1845, to be invalid in accordance with the law of nations, and dissolved the union with the United States of America, joined with the lawful states of the Union known as the Confederate States of America, and made war on the

remaining members of the UNITED STATES.

This is untrue. In February of 1861 the people of Texas and their state government did not declare the Joint Resolution (which was not a treaty) to be invalid. Instead they confirmed the validity of Texas' status as a state in the Union and acted to repeal and annul the 7/4/1845 Texas Ordinance of annexation so as to dissolve the union they recognized as proper and lawful.

Paragraph 4 of the RT document states:

That the Republic of Texas after the Civil War became a captured nation of war under reconstruction and war powers, and thus remained until by acts of the Sovereign aggregate body of Citizens as described in the preamble to the Texas Constitution of December 29, 1845, in a political subdivision so declared and operational, by acts in convention under the law of nations, the People and Citizens of the Republic of Texas did lawfully dissolve the war powers acts, and did so by reconstituting the common law for remedy under a provisional government and developing a foundational court system and full national character of government for the nation of Texas;

All of this legalese apparently means that the Republic of Texas after the Civil War became a captured nation of war under reconstruction and war powers, and thus remained until Rick McLaren and friends recently started generating pseudo-legal paperwork. The fact is that Texas was a captured nation of war from 1865 until 1869 (or 1876 - see following), when the people of Texas chose to rejoin the Union by voting to enact the 1869 Texas State Constitution.

It is true that the 1869 vote was taken while Texas was occupied

by the Union Army and it could be said that the vote was invalid because it was made under duress. For the purpose of this discussion, that really does not matter, as the people of Texas freely voted in 1876 to enact another (the current) Texas State Constitution. The federal view is that Texas has been a state in the Union continuously since 1845, based on the Articles of Confederation declaring the Union to "be perpetual". My view is that the U.S. Constitution replaced the Articles of Confederation as the supreme law of the land, that Texas was a state in the Union from 1845 to 1861, and that Texas has been a state in the Union from 1876 until the present.

The people of Texas have the right to again secede at any time and, as a matter of fact, there is a plank in the Libertarian Party of Texas platform calling for a binding referendum on Texas secession. If elected to the Texas Legislature, I plan to introduce legislation calling for a binding referendum held automatically at each election of the Governor. I will, however, cast my personal vote in the referendum against secession as long as there is any hope of returning the Union to limited, constitutional government.

As if it were not enough for the so-called "General Council of the Provisional Government of the Republic of Texas" to claim to be the government of Texas and of the people of Texas, this self-styled "government" has also taken it upon themselves to enact "amendments" to the Texas Constitution. Strangely, instead of the 1836 Republic Constitution, they selected the 1845 State Constitution to amend. Someone needs to tell RT that the people of Texas enacted the Texas Constitution in 1876 and only the people of Texas can enact a Texas Constitution - or amend a Texas Constitution - or elect a Texas government; and there is only one "people of Texas." The RT internet web page currently shows the



1836 constitution being amended by amendments to the 1845 constitution, which is confusing. The amendments to the 1845 constitution do not even attempt to change the sections of that constitution which make Texas a state.

It is unfortunate that no one until now has publicly questioned RT's claims that Texas was never a state in the Union. "Question authority" is always a good idea, even when the authority being questioned is that of a pseudo-government. The actual Texas government seems to have decided to ignore RT, giving rise to more claims from RT that RT is legitimate because it is being ignored. What is even more unfortunate is that many of the cream-of-the-crop of Texas patriots are accepting RT's claims at face value without taking the time to investigate.

Fellow Texan patriots, be careful what you are swallowing. RT has published in the RT Magazine, ". . . anyone, citizens, businesses, governments, or banks, holding money or credit for the STATE OF TEXAS has legal authority to pay those funds directly to the Republic of Texas . . ." and "Citizens have option to send sales tax to Republic." The \$1,000 worth of sales tax you send to RT today will almost equal the \$1,000+ worth of money from your pocket which you will later be required to pay to the actual government of Texas for sales tax plus penalty and interest.

I sincerely understand the intense desire on the part of Texas patriots for a 'quick fix' to get the federal government out of Texas. It is an unhappy fact that RT has no 'quick fix' to offer. The only option that RT can truly offer is the option for Texas to again secede from the Union. This option is always available but I, for one, am not at this time desiring to accept the consequences which would follow such an action.

The best answer I can perceive is to elect patriots to the Texas Legislature who will pass a Tenth Amendment Act and tell the feds, in effect, "If you can't show Constitutional authority for your attempted actions - then get the hell out of Texas!" It is for this primary reason that I am a candidate for District 45 of the Texas House of Representatives.

The RT General Council has been desiring to be recognized by other governments; well, it has finally been "recognized" by the government of the State of Texas.

The following is an excerpt from a letter dated May 20, 1996, sent by Dan Morales, Texas Attorney General, to 55 people believed to be associated with the General Council of the Provisional Government of the Republic of Texas:

. . . My office has further reason to believe that you and those acting with you under the purported auspices of the "Republic of Texas" have been engaged in a common scheme and design to violate and undermine the laws of this state to the detriment, damage and prejudice of the functions of state and local government, the functions of the governments of the state's political subdivisions, and the property rights of the citizens and residents of the state.

Accordingly, I hereby direct that you and all persons acting in concert with you, including but not limited to all purported members of the "Republic of Texas", as well as the organization itself, immediately cease and desist engaging in any and all unlawful or illegal activity under the purported authority of the "Republic of Texas", involving, without limitation:

- (1) representing the "Republic of Texas" to be an "official government,"
- (2) representing members of the "Republic of Texas" to be "official government" personnel,
- (3) unlawfully and without license using the official Texas state seal (including any close approximation thereof) and gaining benefits from such unauthorized use,
- (4) improperly filing with district or county clerks, the Texas Secretary of State, or any other official, "Republic of Texas" documents which purport to encumber any property,
- (5) representing "Republic of Texas" "courts" to be duly commissioned, officially sanctioned courts of law,
- (6) representing that the "Republic of Texas" possesses any right to authorize the arrest, prosecution, or sanctioning of any persons,
- (7) taking any action to arrest, prosecute, try or sanction any person under the purported authority of the "Republic of Texas", and
- (8) violating the judgement.

Your failure, and that of the "Republic of Texas", to adhere to the laws of the State of Texas and the United States, may subject you to civil liability to the state and to others, contempt of court by reason of the judgement, and criminal prosecution by this office and/or local prosecutors. My

office cannot and will not condone deliberate and willful violations of state and federal law. I am duty-bound to enforce the law of the land and can make no exceptions for those who profess political or philosophical disagreement with our government. You, the "Republic of Texas", and those acting in concert with you, have every right to protest our government, but no right to violate its laws. . .

The General Council of the Provisional Government of the Republic of Texas is now history. It will be another footnote in Texas history books, not unlike the 1869 "State of West Texas."

There may yet be those few die-hards who fail to see the handwriting on the wall: Mene, Mene, Tekel, Upharsin. Those who persist in acting as if they represent the (unelected by the people of Texas) so-called RT government may well find themselves crying "martyr, martyr" from behind bars.

The RT government will be remembered by those who participated as a noble effort to regain independence for Texas. A noble effort it was, although misguided and without a lawful foundation, but perhaps it will have lit the fire for a true Texas independence movement.

What now? What can be done to strive for Texas independence in an effective manner? Well, you are going to have to forget about a 'quick fix' and begin to really work for independence.

1. Find out who are the candidates for State Representative and State Senator in your district. Go in a group to meet with those candidates and find out which ones will pledge (publicly and on paper) to work to enact legislation calling for a binding referendum on the subject of Texas secession, a referendum to be

held soon and then automatically repeated at each election of the Governor. This will enable the people of Texas to decide whether they want their government to be a State or a separate Republic. Your candidate does not have to support secession to support a referendum. A binding referendum on the subject of Texas secession is already a plank in the Libertarian Party of Texas platform.

2. Arm yourselves with voter registration cards and Fully Informed Jury brochures (1-800-TEL-JURY), along with flyers for your chosen House and Senate candidates, and go door-to-door explaining why you feel people should vote for those candidates. Ask if the people are registered to vote. If not, either give them a voter registration card or, better yet, become a Deputy Voter Registrar (simple to do) and register them on the spot. It may help to begin your conversation with, "Hello, I'm a XXX County Deputy Voter Registrar, and . . ."

3. Become knowledgeable about candidates for other offices and find out which candidates will act for Liberty. Find out which candidate for Governor in 1998 will pledge (publicly and on paper) not to veto legislation calling for a binding referendum on Texas secession. Ask those other candidates for flyers you can hand out while going door-to-door.

4. Join or create local organizations to coordinate these activities and to arrange for public meetings to give your candidates an opportunity to speak to the public. Most Liberty candidates will be attempting to campaign on a minimal budget, so dig deep into your pocket and contribute generously. If you are a convincing talker and an inspiring leader you can be more effective in encouraging others to contact the public than you can

in contacting the public directly yourself. If you can be a productive fund raiser, you are a jewel beyond price to your candidates.

5. Plan ahead to volunteer on election day to work with your local political party or other organization to serve as a poll watcher to help prevent election fraud.

6. If you simply do not have any Texas House or Senate candidates in your district who will pledge to work to get a binding referendum, you can campaign for Liberty candidates for other offices. You can also campaign in other districts for Liberty House and Senate candidates and start now working for the next election. Why not consider running yourself as the Libertarian Party candidate for State Representative of your district in 1998 if the other parties refuse to nominate Liberty candidates? Under state law, you must file your papers by early January 1998.

And, if you are not willing to work for Liberty, but just want to talk -- then talk about how you deserve the government you get.

## 11. TEXAS INDEPENDENCE

Because of federal violation of the rights of Texans, the subjection of Texans to rules foreign to their condition and their interests, and the unquestioned right of the people of Texas to self-determination, we call for the legislature of Texas to place the issue of the independence of the Republic of Texas before the people in the form of a binding referendum.

-- 1994 State Platform of the Libertarian Party of Texas

=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051708 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Maybe we should fax this to Morris Dees so that he can  
investigate this private army.] PIML

Date: Thu, 16 May 1996 17:01:26 -0400 (EDT)  
From: tma95588@pegasus.cc.ucf.edu  
Subject: Wackenhut, Intel Thugs of Choice - Volume 1 (fwd)

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: Thu, 16 May 1996 00:20:30 -0500 (CDT)  
From: Metalhead <metal@winternet.com>  
Subject: Wackenhut, Intel Thugs of Choice - Volume 1 (fwd)

This was taken from alt.conspiracy.area51 and hopefully will be of  
interest to someone.

It is kinda big, if your not interested, kill it now.

metal@geeks.org <http://www.geeks.org/~metal>

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: 12 MAY 1996 06:46:37 GMT  
From: hugger@gonzo.Colorado.EDU  
Newgroups: alt.alien.visitors, alt.paranet.ufo, alt.conspiracy.area51,  
alt.conspiracy, alt.alien.research  
Subject: Wackenhut, Intel Thugs of Choice - Volume 1

\* Originally by Don Allen  
\* Originally to All



\* Originally dated 17 Jan 1993, 20:34

I scanned this in from the Sept 1992 edition of SPY magazine article on Wackenhut. This will go a long way into explaining "Who is Wackenhut and what are they up to?" Not mentioned in this article is the fact that Wackenhut is also in charge of security at Area-51, the place where UFO activity has often been sighted.

It's being posted for informational purposes only.

-----

INSIDE THE SHADOW CIA

by

John Connolly

SPY Magazine - Sept 1992 - Volume 6

=====

What? A big private company - one with a board of former CIA, FBI and Pentagon officials; one in charge of protecting Nuclear-Weapons facilities, nuclear reactors, the Alaskan oil pipeline and more than a dozen American embassies abroad; one with long-standing ties to a radical ring-wing organization; one with 30,000 men and women under arms - secretly helped IRAQ in its effort to obtain sophisticated weapons? And fueled unrest in Venezuela? This is all the plot of a new best-selling thriller, right? Or the ravings of some overheated conspiracy buff, right? Right?

WRONG.

--

In the WINTER OF 1990, David Ramirez, a 24 year-old member of the Special Investigations Division of the Wackenhut Corporation, was sent by his superiors on an unusual mission. Ramirez a former Marine Corps sergeant based in Miami, was told to fly immediately to San Antonio along with three other members of SID-a unit, known as founder and chairman George Wackenhut's "private FBI," that provided executive protection and conducted undercover investigations and sting operations. Once they arrived, they rented two gray Ford Tauruses and drove four hours to a desolate town on the Mexican border called Eagle Pass. There, just after dark, they met two truck drivers who had been flown in from Houston. Inside a nearby warehouse was an 18 -wheel tractor-trailer, which the two truck drivers and the four Wackenhut agents in their rented cars were supposed to transport to Chicago. "My instructions were very clear," Ramirez recalls. "Do not look into the trailer, secure it, and make sure it safely gets to Chicago." It went without saying that no one else was supposed to look in the trailer, either, which is why the Wackenhut men were armed with fully loaded Remington 870 pump-action shotguns.

The convoy drove for 30 hours straight, stopping only for gas and food. Even then, one of the Wackenhut agents had to stay with the truck, standing by one of the cars, its trunk open, shotgun within easy reach. "Whenever we stopped, I bought a shot glass with the name of the town on it," Ramirez recalls. "I have glasses from Oklahoma City, Kansas City, St. Louis."

A little before 5:00 on the morning of the third day, they delivered the trailer to a practically empty warehouse outside Chicago. A burly man

who had been waiting for them on the loading dock told them to take off the locks and go home, and that was that. They were on a plane back to Miami that afternoon. Later Ramirez's superiors told him-as they told other SID agents about similar midnight runs-that the trucks contained \$40 million worth of food stamps. After considering the secrecy, the way the team was assembled and the orders not to stop or open the truck, Ramirez decided he didn't believe that explanation.

Neither do we. One reason is simple: A Department of Agriculture official simply denies that food stamps are shipped that way. "Someone is blowing smoke," he says. Another reason is that after a six-month investigation, in the course of which we spoke to more than 300 people, we believe we know what the truck did contain-equipment necessary for the manufacture of chemical weapons-and where it was headed: to Saddam Hussein's Iraq. And the Wackenhut Corporation-a publicly traded company with strong ties to the CIA and federal contracts worth \$200 million a year-was making sure Saddam would be getting his equipment intact. The question is why. In 1954, George Wackenhut, then a 34-year old former FBI agent, joined up with three other former FBI agents to open a company in Miami called Special Agent Investigators Inc. The partnership was neither successful nor harmonious-George once knocked partner Ed Dubois unconscious to end a disagreement over the direction the company would take-and in 1958, George bought out his partners.

However capable Wackenhut's detectives may have been at their work, George Wackenhut had two personal attributes that were instrumental in the company's growth. First, he got along exceptionally well with important politicians. He was a close ally of Florida governor Claude Kirk, who hired him to combat organized crime in the state; and was also friends with Senator George Smathers, an intimate of John F. Kennedy's. It was Smathers who provided Wackenhut with his big break when the senator's law firm helped the company find a loophole in the Pinkerton law, the 1893 federal statute that had made it a crime for an employee

of a private detective agency to do work for the government. Smathers's firm set up a wholly owned subsidiary of Wackenhut that provided only guards, not detectives. Shortly thereafter, Wackenhut received multimillion-dollar contracts from the government to guard Cape Canaveral and the Nevada nuclear-bomb test site, the first of many extremely lucrative federal contracts that have sustained the company to this day.

The second thing that helped make George Wackenhut successful was that he was, and is, a hard-line right-winger. He was able to profit from his beliefs by building up dossiers on Americans suspected of being Communists or merely left-leaning-"subversives and sympathizers," as he put it-and selling the information to interested parties. According to Frank Donner, the author of "Age of Surveillance", the Wackenhut Corporation maintained and updated its files even after the McCarthyite hysteria had ebbed, adding the names of antiwar protesters and civil-rights demonstrators to its list of "derogatory types." By 1965, Wackenhut was boasting to potential investors that the company maintained files on 2.5 million suspected dissidents-one in 46 American adults then living. In 1966, after acquiring the private files of Karl Barslaag; a former staff member of the House Committee on Un-American Activities, Wackenhut could confidently maintain that with more than 4 million names, it had the largest privately held file on suspected dissidents in America. In 1975, after Congress investigated companies that had private files, Wackenhut gave its files to the now-defunct anti-Communist Church League of America of Wheaton, Illinois. That organization had worked closely with the red squads of big-city police departments, particularly in New York and L.A., spying on suspected sympathizers; George Wackenhut was personal friends with the League's leaders, and was a major contributor to the group. To be sure, after giving the League its files, Wackenhut reserved the right to use them for its clients and friends.

Wackenhut had gone public in 1965; George Wackenhut retained 54 percent of the company. Between his salary and dividends, his annual compensation approaches \$2 million a year, sufficient for him to live in a \$20 million castle in Coral Gables, Florida, complete with a moat and 18 full-time servants. Today the company is the third-largest investigative security firm in the country, with offices throughout the United States and in 39 foreign countries.

It is not possible to overstate the special relationship Wackenhut enjoys with the federal government. It is close. When it comes to security matters, Wackenhut in many respects *is* the government. In 1991, a third of the company's \$600- million in revenues came from the federal government, and another large chunk from companies that themselves work for the government, such as Westinghouse.

Wackenhut is the largest single company supplying security to U.S. embassies overseas; several of the 13 embassies it guards have been in important hotbeds of espionage, such as Chile, Greece and El Salvador. It also guards nearly all the most strategic government facilities in the U.S., including the Alaskan oil pipeline, the Hanford nuclear-waste facility, the Savannah River plutonium plant and the Strategic Petroleum Reserve.

Wackenhut maintains an especially close relationship with the federal government in other ways as well. While early boards of directors included such prominent personalities of the political right as Captain Eddie Rickenbacker; General Mark Clark and Ralph E. Davis, a John Birch Society leader, current and recent members of the board have included much of the country's recent national-security directorate: former FBI director Clarence Kelley; former Defense secretary and former CIA deputy director Frank Carlucci; former Defense Intelligence Agent director General Joseph Carroll; former U.S. Secret Service director James J.

Rowley; former Marine commandant P. X. Kelley; and acting chairman of President Bush's foreign- intelligence advisory board and former CIA deputy director Admiral Bobby Ray Inman. Before his appointment as Reagan's CIA director, the late William Casey was Wackenhut's outside legal counsel. The company has 30,000 armed employees on its payroll.

We wanted to know more about this special relationship; but the government was not forthcoming. Repeated requests to the Department of Energy for an explanation of how one company got the security contracts for nearly all of America's most strategic installations have gone unanswered.

Similarly, efforts to get the State Department to explain whether embassy contracts were awarded arbitrarily or through competitive bidding were fruitless; essentially, the State Department said, "Some of both. " Wackenhut's competitors-who, understandably, asked not to be quoted by name-have their own version. "All those contracts;" said one security-firm executive, "are just another way to pay Wackenhut for their clandestine help. And what is the nature of that help? "It is known throughout the industry," said retired FBI special agent William Hinshaw, "that if you want a dirty job done, call Wackenhut." We met George Wackenhut in his swanky, muy macho offices in Coral Gables. The rooms are paneled in a dark, rich rosewood, accented with gray-blue stone. The main office is dominated by Wackenhut's 12-foot-long desk and a pair of chairs shaped like elephants- "Republican chairs," he calls them-complete with real tusks, which, the old man says with some amusement, tend to stick his visitors. The highlight of the usual collection of pictures and awards is the Republican presidential exhibit: an autographed photo of Wackenhut shaking hands with George Bush (whom Wackenhut, according to a former associate, used to call "that pinko") as well as framed photos of Presidents Reagan, Nixon and Bush, each accompanied by a handwritten note. The chairman looks every

inch the comfortable Florida septuagenarian. The day we spoke, his clothing ranged across the color spectrum from baby blue to light baby blue, and he wore a lot of jewelry-a huge gold watch on a thick gold band, two massive gold rings. But Wackenhut was, at 72, quick and tough in his responses. Near the end of our two-and-a-half hour interview, when asked if his company was an arm of the CIA, he snapped, "No!"

Of course, this may just be a matter of semantics. We have spoken to numerous experts, including current and former CIA agents and analysts, current and former agents of the Drug Enforcement Administration and current and former Wackenhut executives and employees, all of whom have said that in the mid-1970's, after the Senate Intelligence Committee's revelations of the CIA's covert and sometimes illegal overseas operations, the agency and Wackenhut grew very, very close. Those revelations had forced the CIA to do a housecleaning, and it became CIA policy that certain kinds of activities would no longer officially be performed. But that didn't always mean that the need or the desire to undertake such operations disappeared. And that's where Wackenhut came in.

Our sources confirm that Wackenhut has had a long- standing relationship with the CIA, and that it has deepened over the last decade or so. Bruce Berckmans, who was assigned to the CIA station in Mexico City, left the agency in January 1975 (putatively) to become a Wackenhut international-operations vice president. Berckmans, who left Wackenhut in 1981, told SPY that he has seen a formal proposal George Wackenhut submitted to the CIA to allow the agency to use Wackenhut offices throughout the world as fronts for CIA activities. Richard Babayan, who says he was a CIA contract employee and is currently in jail awaiting trial on fraud and racketeering charges, has been cooperating with federal and congressional investigators looking into illegal shipments of nuclear-and-chemical-weapons- making supplies to Iraq. "Wackenhut has been used by the CIA and other intelligence agencies for years," he told

SPY. "When they [the CIA] need cover, Wackenhut is there to provide it for them." Canadian prime minister Pierre Trudeau was said to have rebuffed Wackenhut's effort in the 1980's to purchase a weapons propellant manufacturer in Quebec with the remark "We just got rid of the CIA-we don't want them back." Phillip Agee, the left-wing former CIA agent who wrote an expose' of the agency in 1975, told us, "I don't have the slightest doubt that the CIA and Wackenhut overlap."

There is also testimony from people who are not convicts, renegades or Canadians. William Corbett, a terrorism expert who spent 18 years as a CIA analyst and is now an ABC News consultant based in Europe, confirmed the relationship between Wackenhut and the agency. "For years Wackenhut has been involved with the CIA and other intelligence organizations, including the DEA," he told SPY. "Wackenhut would allow the CIA to occupy positions within the company [in order to carry out] clandestine operations." He also said that Wackenhut would supply intelligence agencies with information, and that it was compensated for this- "in a quid pro quo arrangement," Corbett says-with government contracts worth billions of dollars over the years.

We have uncovered considerable evidence that Wackenhut carried the CIA's water in fighting Communist encroachment in Central America in the 1980s (that is to say, during the Reagan administration when the CIA director was former Wackenhut lawyer William Casey, the late superpatriot who had a proclivity for extralegal and illegal anti-Communist covert operations such as Iran-contra). In 1981, Berckmans, the CIA agent turned Wackenhut vice president, joined with other senior Wackenhut executives to form the company's Special Projects Division. It was this division that linked up with ex-CIA man John Phillip Nichols, who had taken over the Cabazon Indian reservation in California, as we described in a previous article ["Badlands," April 1992], in pursuit of a scheme to manufacture explosives, poison gas and biological weapons-and then, by virtue of the



tribe's status as a sovereign nation, to export the weapons to the contras. This maneuver was designed to evade congressional prohibitions against the U.S. government's helping the contras. Indeed, in an interview with SPY, Eden Pastora, the contras' famous Commander Zero, who had been spotted at a test of some night-vision goggles at a firing range near the Cabazon reservation in the company of Nichols and a Wackenhut executive, offhandedly identified that executive, A. Robert Frye, as "the man from the CIA. " (In a subsequent conversation he denied knowing Frye at all; of course, in that same talk he quite unbelievably denied having ever been a contra.)

In addition to attempted weapons supply, Wackenhut seems to have been involved in Central America in other ways. Ernesto Bermudez who was Wackenhut's director of international operations from 1987 to '89, admitted to SPY that during 1985 and '86 he ran Wackenhut's operations in El Salvador, where he was in charge of 1,500 men. When asked what 1,500 men were doing for Wackenhut in El Salvador, Bermudez replied coyly, "Things." Pressed, he elaborated: "Things you wouldn't want your mother to know about." It's worth noting that Wackenhut's annual revenues from government contracts--the alleged reward for cooperation in the government's clandestine activities--increased by 150 million, a 45 percent jump, while Ronald Reagan was in office. "You've done an awful lot of research, George Wackenhut said to me as I was leaving. "How would you like to run all our New York operations ? "

If that was the extent of Wackenhut's possible involvement in a government agency's attempt to circumvent the law, then we might dismiss it as an interesting footnote to the overheated, cowboy anti- Communist 1980s. However, the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of Florida has been conducting an investigation into the illegal export of dual-use technology--that is, seemingly innocuous technology that can also be used to make nuclear weapons to Iraq and Libya. And SPY has learned that Wackenhut's name has come up in the federal investigation, but not at

present as a target.

Between 1987 and '89, three companies in the United States received investments from an Iraqi architect named Ihsan Barbouti. The colorful Barbouti owned an engineering company in Frankfort that had a \$552 million contract to build airfields in Iraq. He also admitted having designed Mu'ammar Qaddafi's infamous German-built chemical- weapons plant in Rabta, Libya. According to an attorney for one of the companies in which Barbouti invested, the architect owned \$100 million worth of real estate and oil-drilling equipment in Texas and Oklahoma. He may also be dead, there being reports that he died of heart failure in Hospital in London on July 1, 1990, his 63rd birthday. Barbouti, however, had faked his death once before, in 1969, after the Ba'ath takeover in Iraq which brought Saddam Hussein to power as the second-in-command. That time, Barbouti escaped Iraq; resurfacing several years later in Lebanon and Libya. There are no reports that he is living in Jordan -or, according to other reports, in a CIA safe house in Florida. Those reports can be considered no better than rumor; what follows, though, is fact.

As reported on ABC's "Nightline" last year, the three companies in which Barbouti invested were TK-7 of Oklahoma City, which makes a fuel additive; Pipeline Recovery Systems of Dallas, which makes an anti-corrosive chemical that preserves pipes; and Product Ingredient Technology of Boca Raton, which makes food flavorings. None of these companies was looking to do business with Iraq; Barbouti sought them out. Why was he interested? Because TK-7 had formulas that could extend the range of jet aircraft and liquid-fueled missiles such as the SCUD; because Pipeline Recovery knows how to coat pipes to make them usable in nuclear reactors and chemical-weapons plants; and because one of the by-products in making cherry flavoring is ferric ferrocyanide, a chemical that's used to manufacture hydrogen cyanide, which can penetrate gas

masks and protective clothing. Hydrogen cyanide was used by Saddam Hussein against the Kurds in the Iran-Iraq war.

Barbouti was more than a passive investor, and soon he began pressuring the companies to ship not only their products but also their manufacturing technology to corporations he owned in Europe, on which, he told the businessmen, it would be sent to Libya and Iraq. In doing so, Barbouti was attempting to violate the law. First, the U.S. forbade sending anything to Libya, which was embargoed as a terrorist nation. Second, the U.S. specified that material of this sort must be sent to its final destination, not to an intermediate locale, where the U.S. would risk losing control of its distribution. According to former CIA contract employee Richard Babayan, in late 1989 Barbouti met in London with Ibrahim Sabawai, Saddam Hussein's half brother and European head of Iraqi intelligence, who grew excited about the work Pipeline Recovery was doing and called for the company's technology to be rushed to Iraq, so that it could be in place by early 1990. And the owner of TK-7 swears that Barbouti told him he was developing an atom device for Qaddafi that would be used against the U.S. in retaliation for the 1986 U.S. air strike against Libya. Barbouri also wanted the ferrocyanide from Product Ingredient.

Assisting Barbouti with these investments was New Orleans exporter Don Seaton, business associate of Richard Secord, the right-wing U.S. Army general turned war profiteer who was so deeply enmeshed in the Iran-contra affair. It was Secord who connected Barbouti with Wackenhut. Barbouti met with Secord in Florida on several occasions, and phone records show that several calls were placed from Barbouti's office to Secord's private number in McLean, Virginia; Secord has acknowledged knowing Barbouti. He is currently a partner of Washington businessman James Tully (who is the man who leaked Bill Clinton's draft-dodge letter to ABC) and Jack Brennan, a former Marine Corps colonel and longtime aide to Richard Nixon both in the White House and in exile. Brennan has

gone back to the White House, where he works as a director of administrative operations in President Bush's office. He refused to return repeated calls from SPY. Interestingly, Brennan and Tully had previously been involved in a \$181 million business deal to supply uniforms to the Iraqi army. Oddly, they arranged to have the uniforms manufactured in Nicolae Ceaucescu's Romania. The partners in that deal were former U.S. attorney general and Watergate felon John Mitchell and Sarkis Soghanalian, a Turkish-born Lebanese citizen. Soghanalian, who has been credited with being Saddam Hussein's leading arms procurer and with introducing the demonic weapons inventor Gerald Bull to the Iraqis, is currently serving a six-year sentence in federal prison in Miami for the illegal sale of 103 military helicopters to Iraq. According to former Wackenhut agent David Ramirez, the company considered Soghanalian "a very valuable client."

Unfortunately for Barbouti, none of the companies in which he made investments was willing to ship its products or technology to his European divisions. That, however, doesn't necessarily mean that he didn't get some of what he wanted. In 1990, 2,000 gallons of ferrocyanide were found to be missing from the cherry-flavor factory in Boca Raton. Where it went is a mystery; Peter Kawaja, who was the head of security for all of Barbouti's U.S. investments, told SPY, "We were never burglarized, but that stuff didn't walk out by itself."

What does all this have to do with Wackenhut? Lots: According to Louis Champon, the owner of Product Ingredient Technology, it was Wackenhut that guarded his Boca Raton plant, a fact confirmed by Murray Levine, a Wackenhut vice president. Champon also says, and Wackenhut also confirms, that the security for the plant consisted of one unarmed guard. While a Wackenhut spokesperson maintains that this was the only job they were doing for Barbouti, he also says that they were never paid, that Barbouti stiffed them.

This does not seem true. SPY has obtained four checks from Barbouti to Wackenhut. All were written within ten days in 1990: one on March 27 for \$168.89; one on March 28 for \$24,828.07; another on April 5 for \$756; the last on April 6 for \$40,116.25. We asked Richard Kneip, Wackenhut's senior vice president for corporate planning, to explain why a single guard was worth \$66,000 a year; Kneip was at a loss to do so. He was similarly at a loss to explain a fifth check, from another Barbouti company to Wackenhut's travel-service division in 1987, almost two years before Wackenhut has acknowledged providing security for the Boca Raton plant .

Two former CIA operatives, separately interviewed, have the explanation. Charles Hayes, who describes himself as "a CIA asset " says Wackenhut was helping Barbouti ship chemicals to Iraq, "Supplying Iraq was originally a good idea," he maintains, "but then it got out of hand. Wackenhut was just in it for the money." Richard Babayan the former CIA contract employee, confirmed Hayes's account. He says that Wackenhut's relationship with Barbouti existed before the Boca Raton plant opened: "Barbouti was placed in the hands of Secord by the CIA, and Secord called in Wackenhut to handle security and travel and protection for Barbouti and his export plans." Wackenhut, Babayan says was working for the CIA in helping Barbouti ship the chemical-and-nuclear-weapons-making equipment first to Texas, then to Chicago, and then to Baltimore to be shipped overseas. All of which makes the story of the midnight convoy ride of David Ramirez, recounted at the beginning of this article rather less mysterious. SPY has learned that this shipment is now the subject of a joint USDA- Customs investigation.

When we asked George Wackenhut what was being shipped from Eagle Pass to Chicago, the sharp, straightforward chairman at first claimed they were protecting an unnamed executive. He then directed an aide to get back to me. Two days later, Richard Kneip did, repeating the tale that had been

passed on to David Ramirez-that the trucks contained food stamps. We told him that we had spoken to a Department of Agriculture official, who informed us that food stamps are shipped from Chicago to outlying areas, never the other way around, and that food stamps, unlike money, are used once and then destroyed. All Kneip would say then was, "We do not reveal the names of our clients."

Wackenhut's connection to the CIA and to other government agencies raises several troubling questions:

First, is the CIA using Wackenhut to conduct operations that it has been forbidden to undertake? Second, is the White House or some other party in the executive branch working through Wackenhut to conduct operations that it doesn't want Congress to know about? Third, has Wackenhut's cozy relationship with the government given it a feeling of security-or worse, an outright knowledge of sensitive or embarrassing information - that allows the company to believe that it can conduct itself as though it were above the law? A congressional investigation into Wackenhut's activities in the Alyeska affair last November began to shed some light on Wackenhut's way of doing business; clearly it's time for Congress to investigate just how far Wackenhut's other tentacles extend.

Additional reporting by Erzc Reguly, Margie Sloan and Wendell Smith

\*\* End of article \*\*

-> SNETNEWS Mailing List & Fidonet Echo  
-> Post to: listserv@xbn.shore.net  
-> subscribe snetnews

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051707 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: 16 May 96 16:49:19 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:2nd "Brown" suicide

Apparently they just can't kill themselves fast enough at the airport  
Ron Brown's plane crashed while trying to land at.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is  
being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any  
other assumption is *\*not\* \*safe\**.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

16-May-96 15:57 EDT

Sb: (Fwd) 2nd suicide re Ron Brown crash

Fm: Patricia Neill > INTERNET:pnpij@db1.cc.rochester.edu

Date: Wed, 15 May 1996 20:15:37 -0400

From: bxs29@cas.org (Barry Skaggs-djv26)

Subject: 2nd suicide re Ron Brown crash

>From feustel@netcom.com (David Feustel)

Newsgroups:

alt.conspiracy,alt.journalism,alt.radio.talk,talk.politics.guns

Subject: *\*2nd\** Ron Brown Plane Crash Suicide? Organization: DAFCO

Date: Sat May 11 21:23:54 EDT 1996



I heard tonight a report of a \*2nd\* suicide at the airport where Ron Brown's airplane crashed. This 2nd suicide was that of the air traffic controller who handled Ron Brown's plane. This suicide was \*in\* \*addtion\* to the suicide of the beacon maintenance supervisor at that same airport.

Has anyone seen reports of this 2nd suicide \*anywhere\* in the US press?

--

feustel@netcom.com

Dave Feustel            N9MYI - NRA Life

Fort Wayne, IN            For PGP Public Key, finger feustel@netcom.com

219-483-1857            Or else access <http://www.mixi.net/~feustel/>

-> SNETNEWS Mailing List & Fidonet Echo

-> Post to: [listserv@xbn.shore.net](mailto:listserv@xbn.shore.net)

->            subscribe snetnews

-----

Date: 17 May 96 09:04:52 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <[102052.3716@CompuServe.COM](mailto:102052.3716@CompuServe.COM)>

Subject: MJN:OKC police suicide

It looks like a policeman in Oklahoma City may have had some sort of "help" or "encouragement" when he committed suicide. Apparently just before he was to be decorated for his bravery in rescuing people from the bombed out Federal building there. As one of the first people on the scene, maybe he noticed some things that others didn't?

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any

other assumption is \*not\* \*safe\*.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

16-May-96 22:09 EDT

Sb: Suicide in OKC- Being Investigated

Fm: Brenda C. Jenkins [70762,154]

Sometimes it's not so bad to have a little insomnia. Last night on the Roger Fredenberg radio show, the guest was Bill Jasper of the New American Magazine. He talked about the OKC bombing and the gaps in the government's case. Interestingly enough, he is in OKC pursuing other leads right now. One is a "suicide" of a young officer who was one of the first on the bombing scene. Has Arkancide spread to OKC? It's possible. Think as you read the following news story why a 30-year-old \*policeman\* would try to slash his wrists ( a woman's way to try suicide), and then shoot himself after moving 1/2 mile from his bloody car.

=====

5/10/96

AP

El Reno, Okla.

A policeman who would have received a bravery medal on Saturday for rescuing people from last year's Oklahoma City bombing was found dead of apparently self-inflicted wounds. Police dogs and helicopters led deputies to the body of Oklahoma City police Sgt. Terrance Yeakey Wednesday night, about a half-mile from the spot where a sheriff's deputy had found his abandoned car with blood around it. the 30-yearold officer apparently tried to cut his wrists and ultimately

shot himself.

=====

Brenda C. Jenkins

-----

Date: Thu, 16 May 1996 21:56:43 -0500 (CDT)

From: bigred@duracef.shout.net

Subject: Conspiracy Nation -- Vol. 7 Num. 89

The following is brought to you thanks, in part, to the kind  
assistance of CyberNews and the fine folks at Cornell University.

Conspiracy Nation -- Vol. 7 Num. 89

=====

("Quid coniuratio est?")

-----

TEDDY BEAR TIME

ADMIRAL BOORDA: GONE TO GLORY

=====

Yes, sometimes a suicide really is a suicide. And let me  
emphasize that at this point we don't have all the facts.

But, sometimes a "suicide" is something else. Sometimes a  
"boating accident" is something else also. At this point it's

hard to say for sure what happened, but here is what I have gathered so far, from early press reports and other sources.

Admiral Boorda is said to have been a short man, about 5 feet 6 inches or less. It has also been reported that he shot himself in the chest with a shotgun. This would be hard for a tall man, with long arms, to accomplish. It would be even more difficult for the presumably shorter-armed Boorda.

Early reports hinted that Boorda had been "caught in the act" of wearing a "v" for valor emblem on his uniform. Boorda supposedly felt disgraced and it is hinted that this led to his "suicide". Yet Boorda himself had already issued a statement indicating the issue was no big deal, words to the effect of "I had thought I was qualified to wear the 'v' emblem but was informed otherwise. I have accordingly stopped wearing it."

Admiral Boorda had risen to the rank of Chief of Naval Operations; he was the highest ranking Navy officer and was on the Joint Chiefs of Staff. Hence this death absolutely *\*demands\** that a full, *\*open\** investigation be conducted. The late Admiral Boorda presumably was of higher equivalent rank than the late Vincent W. Foster, Jr. His death deserves that public officials must strive to avoid the appearance of cover-up. Will they do so? Or will they make "mistakes" again?

Sherman Skolnick, in his latest recorded message (312-731-1100), sees a larger significance in the Admiral's death. Reminding us of recent (and not so recent) history, he points to the April 17, 1995 Alabama plane crash which killed high-ranking military personnel, the Bosnian plane crash that killed Commerce Secretary Ron Brown, and the recent death by "boating accident/heart

attack" of former CIA Director William Colby, as links in a chain. Is Boorda's death part of a bigger picture? Are all these violent deaths connected somehow, a part of something going on beneath the surface, something \*we\* aren't allowed to see?

According to Mr. Skolnick, a long-time investigator into such matters, a homicide investigation team was turned away, at gunpoint, from the Washington Navy Yard where Boorda is said (already) to have been an "apparent suicide". This smacks of a similar dispute of jurisdiction in Dallas in 1963, when JFK's corpse was whisked away to Washington, D.C. and away from Dallas County authorities.

Supposedly \*two\* "suicide notes" were found, according to television broadcasts. Not said is that this information most likely comes from federal authorities, the same authorities who are said to have blocked the homicide investigation team from investigating. These would also be the same federal types who "discovered" the "suicide note" of the late Deputy White House Counsel Foster.

Chuck Hayes, whistleblower on insider skullduggery, misses his Value Jet flight, which then explodes in mid-air; Bob Dole startles us all by suddenly abandoning his powerful Senate position; and Bill Clinton is seen wiping a little tear from his eye: Hey. What's going on?

-----  
I encourage distribution of "Conspiracy Nation."  
-----

If you would like "Conspiracy Nation" sent to your e-mail address, send a message in the form "subscribe cn-l My Name" to listproc@cornell.edu (Note: that is "CN-L" \*not\* "CN-1")

-----  
For information on how to receive the improved Conspiracy  
Nation Newsletter, send an e-mail message to bigred@shout.net  
-----

Want to know more about Whitewater, Oklahoma City bombing, etc?  
(1) telnet prairienet.org (2) logon as "visitor" (3) go citcom  
-----

See also: <http://www.europa.com/~johnlf/cn.html>  
-----

See also: [ftp.shout.net pub/users/bigred](ftp://ftp.shout.net/pub/users/bigred)  
-----

Aperi os tuum muto, et causis omnium filiorum qui pertranseunt.  
Aperi os tuum, decerne quod justum est, et judica inopem et  
pauperem. -- Liber Proverbiorum XXXI: 8-9

-> SNETNEWS Mailing List & Fidonet Echo  
-> Post to: [listserv@xbn.shore.net](mailto:listserv@xbn.shore.net)  
-> subscribe snetnews  
-----

Date: 17 May 96 09:05:57 EDT  
From: Mike Johnson <[102052.3716@CompuServe.COM](mailto:102052.3716@CompuServe.COM)>  
Subject: MJN:Commentary

I will be off line for the next few days. I am going to be going to Jeff  
Randall's to meet with the other militia people there. So, I probably  
will not be back on the net until sometime late Sunday at the earliest.

I notice that the Chief of Naval Operations, if we are to believe press reports, decided to commit suicide by shooting himself once in the chest. He was supposedly going to be interviewed by somebody from Newsweek who was making allegations that the Admiral was wearing combat ribbons and decorations that he was not entitled to. My personal opinion is that anybody who thinks that the person selected to be Chief of Naval Operations, or for that matter, any flag rank, command at sea, or any other important assignment hadn't already been checked for little discrepancies like that needs to report to the nearest psychiatrist to have their head examined.

Every member of the U.S. armed forces has a personnel service record. Part of that record is a listing (usually scattered throughout several different pages) of all the ribbons, medals and awards that the person has received. If somebody's record has not been properly updated to reflect those awards, then there are procedures involved for correcting that. In any case, service records are carefully examined each time a person is eligible for promotion, because the medals, ribbons and awards that they have received help to determine those who will make the cut and those who won't. I can assure you that *\*anybody\** who takes to wearing ribbons, medals and awards which they have not received and are not eligible for would be cashiered, not promoted, and that this would likely be caught relatively quickly. Thus, I find the implication that the Admiral shot himself because he was wearing a decoration he hadn't actually earned to be not only totally *\*absurd\** but highly insulting to boot.

I will note one other thing about the Admiral. He was a mustang. For the benefit of all you benighted civilians out there, that means he originally enlisted in the service, and then rose through the ranks to become the senior Naval Officer in the chain of command. Mustangs traditionally tend to be people who take no shit from nobody. Therefore, I am left to wonder whether or not the Admiral had either (1) become

privy to information about something that had already happened which he wasn't going to cover up, or (2) got wind of something about to happen that he was going to make sure the Navy took no part in.

I will also note, that unless my memory has failed me, the wording of the Posse Comitatus law that prohibits the use of military personnel to enforce civilian laws within the several states, actually only forbids the \*Army\* from performing such activities. The \*Navy\* is not so restricted by that law. Probably because when it was written back in the late 1800s nobody thought that Naval personnel would be prone to be used in that manner. However, approximately a century has passed since that law was written, and it strikes me that naval SEAL teams (which did not exist back then) could potentially fulfill such a role in limited applications. Could it be that the deceased Chief of Naval Operations was taking too great an interest in what his personnel were being tasked for and how they were being (or going to be) used? Could it be that a \*mustang\* Naval Officer had a different understanding of what his oath of service meant and the proper role the Constitution plays in our government than the son of a World War II SS Officer [Chairman of the JCS General Shalikashvili's father was an officer in the Waffen SS], a draft dodger who protested the Vietnam war, and a collection of cabinet officials that reads like the who's who of the Council on Foreign Relations?

One thing is sure. Inquiring minds are getting mad as hell about this bullshit.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

LTJG USNR (inactive), Mustang

-----  
\* Patriot Information Mailing List



- \* <http://www.constitution.org/piml/piml.htm>
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051705 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: 16 May 96 19:43:42 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

Subject: MJN:SPLC is rich!!

Interesting information on the financial status of the Southern Poverty Law Center.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is *\*not\* \*safe\**.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

#: 940331 S8/LIBERTY [OUTFORUM]

16-May-96 17:55:13

Sb: #939630-Dees Sleaze Info...

Fm: John Rich/Houston, TX 70764,1135

To: Bruce "Loz" Schoenfelder 72162,1174

>> I direct your attention to a couple of quotes from "Gathering Storm",  
>> his latest effort to scare wealthy liberals out of their hard-earned  
>> donations...

Also:

Msg #940118, Bruce "Loz" Schoenfelder;

>> Dee's has a \$70 million war chest with which to harrass the patriotic  
>> and right wing community.

And:

Msg #939255, Ada Coddington;

>> Morris Dees of the Southern Poverty Law Center is investigating  
>> domestic terrorists. Anyone having information for Mr. Dees should  
>> fax it to (334) 264-3121.

Here is an Financial Summary Report for the Southern Poverty Law  
Center that I received by writing to the New York Department of State,  
162 Washington Ave., Albany, NY 12231-0001

-----  
New York Department of State

Charities

Financial Report Summary (Charitable Organization)

Statistics Filed on Annual Financial Report

Southern Poverty Law Center

400 Washington St.

Montgomery, AL 36195

Registration Number: 43173

Fiscal Year Ending: July 31

Statement of Support, Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Balances

|                         | 1993         | 1994         |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Support and Revenue     |              |              |
| Direct Public Support   | \$11,442,090 | \$12,599,779 |
| Indirect Public Support | 0            | 0            |
| Government Grants       | 0            | 0            |
|                         | -----        | -----        |
| Total Contributions     | \$11,442,090 | \$12,599,779 |

|                           |              |              |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Program Service Revenue   | \$81,524     | \$58,835     |
| Other Revenue             | \$2,040,924  | \$2,320,400  |
|                           | -----        | -----        |
| Total Support and Revenue | \$13,564,538 | \$14,979,014 |

## Expenses

|                                   |              |      |              |      |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| Program Expenses                  | \$6,937,076  | 66%  | \$4,800,445  | 47%  |
| Public Info & Fund Raising        | 0            | 0%   | \$2,234,518  | 22%  |
| Payments & Services to Affiliates | 0            | 0%   | 0            | 0%   |
|                                   | -----        | ---- | -----        | ---- |
| Total Program Expenses            | \$6,937,076  | 66%  | \$7,034,963  | 69%  |
| Management and General Expenses   | \$923,744    | 9%   | \$909,502    | 9%   |
| Fund Raising Expenses             | \$2,617,186  | 25%  | \$2,270,912  | 22%  |
|                                   | -----        | ---- | -----        | ---- |
| Total Expenses                    | \$10,478,006 | 100% | \$10,215,377 | 100% |

|                                |              |              |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Excess/Deficit                 | \$3,086,532  | \$4,763,637  |
| Fund Balance at Begin of Year  | \$48,052,593 | \$51,139,125 |
| Other Changes in Fund Balances | 0            | 0            |
|                                | -----        | -----        |
| Fund Balances at End of Year   | \$51,139,125 | \$55,902,762 |

## Balance Sheet

|               |              |              |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Assets        | \$51,417,370 | \$56,414,351 |
| Liabilities   | \$278,245cr  | \$511,589cr  |
|               | -----        | -----        |
| Fund Balances | \$51,139,125 | \$55,902,762 |

Some suggested calculations to interpret the financial data contained on the enclosed report are as follows:

- > To determine how dependent the charity may be on continued public support, compare total expenses with fund balances. This should indicate how long the charity could continue its activity without further support.

$\$51,139,125 / \$10,478,006 = 4.9 \text{ years}$

- > To determine the amount of revenue the organization is spending on their stated purpose, compare program expenses with total support and revenue.

$\$6,937,076 / \$13,564,538 = 51\%$

Only 51% of income is used towards the stated program purpose.

- > To determine how much it costs to raise the contributions received, compare direct public support with fund raising expenses.

$\$2,617,186 / \$11,442,090 = 23\%$

23% of contributed funds are spent on fund raising activities.

Management and overhead accounts for 7% of revenue.

23% of revenue is accumulated in their bank account as assets.

He adds over \$4 million per year to this account, which now sits at a very fat \$55 million! What's the purpose of this large unused accumulated excess? Heck, save your money - he doesn't need contributions!

John Rich/70764,1135

Houston, Texas

- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051704 - Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: 16 May 96 08:56:02 EDT

From: Mike Johnson <102052.3716@CompuServe.COM>

To: BlindCopyReceiver;;

Subject: MJN: Government terror

An editorial in the Washington Weekly. Must read.

- Mike/North Central Florida Regional Militia

It is safe to assume that any traffic going to or from this address is being recorded, stored and analyzed somewhere by government employees. Any other assumption is *\*not\* \*safe\**.

-----Forwarded Message(s)-----

#: 939635 S8/LIBERTY [OUTFORUM]

13-May-96 17:12:07

Sb: Government Terrorism?

Fm: Bruce "Loz" Schoenfelder 72162,1174

To: all

THE INVOLVEMENT OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN DOMESTIC TERRORISM

Editorial

Question: is there any domestic terrorist attack in which the federal government was not involved? The answer is that we don't know, because the Oklahoma bombing has yet to go to trial. But in all other terrorist attacks we find government involvement.

In the most recent example, the alleged bomb making by

Georgia Militia members, the government involvement became clear in a Macon, Georgia courtroom last Monday. Several federal informants had participated in the plans to make pipe bombs, and the attorney to the defendant Robert Starr claimed that Starr was the only conspirator not working for the government. In fact, his attorney claims that bomb making parts were buried on his property by federal agents without his knowledge. Two days before his arrest, Starr appeared on a talk radio show saying that there was a government conspiracy against him and that he was going to expose it.

A BATF agent also admitted in court that Starr's co-defendant, William James "Jimmy" McCranie, had said, "I don't want to know anything about it" and walked away when the government informant was talking about building bombs.

Strangely, CBS news reported on the day of Starr's arrest that it had been a plot to bomb the Olympic Games in Atlanta this summer. Who told them that and why did they believe it? Who is CBS news working for? The uncritical attitude with which the mainstream media repeats everything the government tells it is distasteful.

As for previous terrorist attacks, we know that at the very least, World Trade Center bombers were trained by a federal agent, and we know that a government informant inspired the New York harbor tunnel attacks that were averted at the last moment.

The residents of Oklahoma City were not that fortunate. Was it a government sting operation gone awry? The federal government shows surprising little interest in the John Doe #2 who was seen in the truck with McVeigh. Was he working for the federal government? If that were the case the government would be likely



to cover up that fact rather than face the ensuing public outrage.

The question that presents itself, then, is whether any of these terrorist plots would have materialized without the involvement and assistance of the federal government? The new antiterrorism bill is designed to allow the Clinton administration to better control and monitor the American people. Perhaps what we need more is a bill to keep a better check on what the government is doing. We obviously cannot depend on the mainstream media for that.

The Washington Weekly (<http://www.federal.com>) excellent publication, strongly suggest that you subscribe!

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051703 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

-----  
ADVISORY FROM THE LIBERTARIAN PARTY

News from the National LP headquarters for  
members & supporters of the Libertarian Party

-----  
Watergate Office Building

2600 Virginia Avenue, NW, Suite 100

Washington DC 20037

Phone: (202) 333-0008

E-mail: 73163.3063@CompuServe.com

For information about the party: (800) 682-1776

-----  
May 15, 1996  
-----

Survey: 17% of Americans have "no confidence" in any level of government

WASHINGTON, DC -- When a Washington, DC research group asked  
Americans: "In general, do you have more confidence in local, state, or  
federal government?" -- the response stunned them.

Almost one in five Americans -- black or white -- volunteered that  
they had no confidence in the government at any level.

"We were surprised that 19% of blacks and 17% of whites said they have  
no confidence in any level of government," said Katherine McFate, Associate  
Director of Research at the Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies.  
"This is a very high level of volunteered response. It seems clear that these  
attitudes on government are deeply felt and widespread."

But the Libertarian Party said it was not surprised at the results.

"Actually, it tracks very closely with the 20% of the population identified in a recent Gallup poll as politically libertarian," said party Chairman Steve Dasbach.

"That 20% equals 50 million people who don't think the government should try to solve economic problems, or morality problems. And that makes sense. As this new survey shows, millions of Americans don't think government works, they don't trust it, and they have no confidence in it," he said.

The JCPES is a non-partisan research organization. They conducted their poll in January.

Dasbach said the JCPES results have been confirmed by two other recent surveys that show a distrust of government policies and promises.

For example:

\* What has caused incomes for working Americans to remain stagnant for the past 15 years? Government, say a vast majority of Americans. In a survey taken February 23-26 by Louis Harris & Associates, 92% point a finger at "increased government spending," and 91% say "high taxes" are to blame for stagnant wages.

\* Do Americans want to "soak" the rich with more taxes? Not according to a survey from Grassroots Research. The group polled 1,000 voters March 4-7, and found that 51% believe families earning \$200,000 pay too much in taxes. And a whopping 90% of Americans say that if politicians claim taxes are being increased only for the wealthy, then taxes will actually go up for most Americans.

# # #

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051702 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[More on Hayes (who was supposed to have been on the plane that crashed in Florida, but missed the flight) from the December 1995 issue of Media Bypass Magazine] PIML

-----

Date: Thu, 16 May 1996 17:06:16 -0700

From: geno@caprica.com (Gene Orrico)

Subject: Re: Avenging Angels?

Someone is trying "To Shine Us On Again"?. This appears to be plagerism at it's worst. Here is the original copyrighted story:

-----

THE STILL BEFORE THE STORM

by James Norman

By all appearances, things are business as usual in Washington. There is the traditional budget bickering this time of the year, the partisan sniping and the backstabbing among Republican presidential hopefuls angling for pole position next year. Meanwhile, President Clinton and his ambitious wife manage to hold a comfortable approval rating in the polls, enjoy favorable press and seemingly have managed to shrug off persistent "Whitewater" allegations that have dogged his presidency.

But don't be lulled by the mainstream media, which has been hard at work pooh-poohing these corruption charges and doubts about the official "suicide" of former White House Deputy Counsel Vincent W. Foster. This

is just the eerie still before a storm. A hurricane lurks just over the horizon. Thunderheads are gathering and looming darker. Distant lightning can be seen but not yet heard. Straws are starting to blow in the wind.

This government may be on the threshold of upheaval unprecedented since the Civil War. That is the cryptic message coming from multiple well-connected sources close to the intelligence community, who say big things are quietly afoot that could fundamentally shuffle this country's political deck. And that may be just fine with Speaker of the House Newt Gingrich (R-Ga.), who sources say is quietly maneuvering to use this impending turmoil not just to "re-engineer government" but to purge both political parties and effect what amounts to a bloodless coupe d'etat.

Swept away will be not only a raft of big-name Democratic senators and congressmen, but also many prominent, oldline Republicans who have been feeding at the trough of corruption for years. It won't stop with politicians, either.

Prominent Wall Streeters, bureaucrats, military officers and a slew of intelligence bigshots are also said to be about to take a fall. Well over 300 names are said to be involved in the scandal.

"Apocalypse soon!" predicts P.P. Willie.

P.P. Willie is actually a dog. But it is also the pen name for a legendary World War II military intelligence veteran, now living in St. Petersburg, Fla., who writes for a semi-weekly newsletter called WASHINGTON REPORT. It is a pithy, irreverent Capitol gossip sheet with a penchant for pink paper. But it is read with considerable interest by its 5,000 subscribers - mostly in Washington and overseas - because Willie is known to be well-connected within the intelligent community.

In the past he has been uncannily accurate about goings-on in the spook

world. And times there now are very troubled.

Willie's latest:

"Remember reading about TEA POT DOME? How about WATERGATE? Then came IRAN-CONTRA. Not bad. All the past WASHINGTON political SCANDALS are ITTY BITTY compared to one that should surface next year, about early spring.

"You don't suppose a few GOOD GUYS in the CIA, FBI, IRS, and NSA (they are not many, but they exist) are fed up with tucking their tails and running in the face of WHITE HOUSE pressure? What if they went public with TRUE DOCUMENTED stories of ESPIONAGE, MURDER, TAX EVASION, DRUG DEALING, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS STASHED IN OFFSHORE BANK ACCOUNTS AND OTHER BIG TIME HANKY PANKY at the very TIP TOP of the WASHINGTON manure pile? The MAJOR MEDIA would not want to embarrass the LIBERAL DARLINGS. But WHAT IF A LONDON newspaper and PINK newsletter broke the story? Talk RADIO would then spread the word across the nation...The odds are this scandal will break. We hear it's a DONE DEAL."

Whispers of such a spilling of the beans are being heard from multiple, separate intelligence sources. Specifically, as reported in the August and October editions of MEDIA BYPASS, a small, vigilante team of CIA computer hackers dubbed the Fifth Column has managed to penetrate Swiss and other foreign banks to quietly withdraw what is now an astounding \$2.5 billion in illicit money from coded accounts they have identified as belonging to government figures.

Starting in 1991, this five-man Fifth Column team has been using its own Cray supercomputer to break into foreign bank computers, download vast libraries of data and trace this money to a wide range of illegal activities, from kickbacks on drug and arms deals to insider trading

profits, software piracy and the sale of state secrets. Oh yes, don't forget tax evasion.

The money has been moved to a U.S. Treasury holding account at several Federal Reserve Banks, escrowed for use by the CIA if and only if the CIA gets rid of its own bad apples. How could the government hide that much money, denying under Freedom of Information Act requests that it even exists? Just ask the National Reconnaissance Office, the government's spy satellite agency, which recently fessed up to having \$1.7 billion stashed in secret accounts.

More important than the money, however, are the NAMES. Who had these accounts? Are they still in office? Who has the list and the proof? Are they using this information to extort political blackmail? Will the bad guys be able to buy their way out of exposure? One thing is certain: Whoever controls this phantom roster of corrupt politicians and money men has this government's private parts in a tightening vise. One man who may know is Charles S. Hayes, an irascible Kentucky computer salvage dealer and recently-retired CIA contractor believed to be part of, or closely involved with, this Fifth Column.

Hayes, corroborating P.P. Willie's report, does declare that all the names will come out. Eventually. "When we get good and ready," Hayes says. The Powers That Be can do little to stop him. Any move against the three remaining Fifth Column compatriots (one has died and another is disabled) would be certain to unleash the information in a flood.

At least one big name has already been revealed: Vince Foster. Multiple sources say one of Foster's several Swiss accounts was raided just before his death of \$2.73 million in proceeds from the sale of sensitive codes and other secrets to Israel's Mossad. Which may explain why the government is so anxious to portray Foster's death as a simple suicide.

In reality, it could be the loose thread that unravels a massive



tapestry of corruption.

That suicide cover story is rapidly fraying, despite intense efforts by the White House and Washington establishment to hold it together. For instance, credible independent handwriting analysts concluded in late October that Foster's supposed suicide note is a forgery. Indeed, there are a number of recent tell-tale events that suggest that something very big is at hand. Among them:

- CIA briefings. Beginning in late October, high-level CIA officials began sensitive one-on-one briefings with key members of Congress and those with intelligence committee assignments. No staff members are allowed. All parties are sworn to secrecy. Less than a dozen lawmakers are involved. The subject, according to two sources: Espionage activities of Vincent Foster and his alleged partner - First Lady Hillary Rodham Clinton - on behalf of Israel's Mossad and perhaps other foreign governments.

The purpose of these briefings appears to be to prep key leaders for cataclysmic political events ahead, including the likely indictment and possible flight to a foreign country of the First Lady and what would surely be the inevitable removal of Bill Clinton from office.

- Resignations. There has been an unusually large number of veteran congressmen and senators announcing their resignations, retirements or switching parties. Among them, Sen. Sam Nunn (D-Ga.), former chairman and now ranking minority member of the powerful Armed Services Committee. Another is Rep. Norman Minetta (D-Calif.), former chairman of the pork-laden House Transportation Committee. The official explanation: It's no fun now that the Republicans control Congress. But sources claim the real reason is that some of these departees have been quietly confronted with evidence that they took bribes or payoffs through Swiss

or other offshore bank accounts. Rumor has it that about 30 current members of the House and Senate have been identified as having such foreign slush-fund accounts and that Gingrich is trying to weed them out before the names become public.

[Since this article was written, three more senators have announced their retirements. Schroeder of Colorado; Hatfield of Oregon; and Simpson of Wyoming. This is now the most retirements in Congress since 1896. Jim Norman was on several radio talk shows recently stating that Schroeder and Hatfield have been presented with evidence of these secret Swiss accounts. The Simpson retirement was announced after these radio appearances- krc]

- Curious denials. Multiple good sources have confirmed a report by veteran Washington correspondent Sarah McClendon that over the Labor Day weekend, Gingrich attended a "party" at the home of Vice President Al Gore that included Sen. Bill Bradley, Attorney General Janet Reno and some other prominent Democrats, after which Gore and Clinton had a shouting match.

But everyone said to be involved in the meeting claims it never took place. Is it because Gingrich was there to deliver the bad news: a mountain of hard evidence of high-level corruption? Gingrich declines to be interviewed by MEDIA BYPASS.

This Fifth Column team apparently began its work in the late 1980s. Their primary assignment was to break into foreign intelligence agency databases by a variety of physical and electronic means. But the main means of entry was via secret "back doors" programmed into the modified "PROMIS" tracking software which our government, under the direction of CIA spymaster William Casey, managed to market all over the globe, even to our enemies.

This initial phase of the computer spy effort succeeded in downloading data from more than 50 foreign intelligence services, including the KGB, the Mossad and most of Europe's spy agencies, according to various CIA-related sources. Contrary to boastful claims by the FBI, this is apparently how Russian mole Aldrich Ames was identified as a double agent more than two years before his dramatic arrest in February 1994. It is also how the CIA found out Foster was working for the Mossad, after learning that someone in our government was delivering highly sensitive computer codes to the Israelis; Foster had been a long-time handler of sensitive computer spy deals and covert money-laundering for the NSA (MEDIA BYPASS, Aug. 1995). Found along with Foster's name in the Mossad data base was that of Hillary Clinton, whose name also cropped up as an operative for at least two other European intelligence services, as yet unidentified.

At the time of his death, MEDIA BYPASS has previously reported, Foster was under close counter-espionage surveillance involving members of the CIA, FBI, Secret Service as well as an IRS team. Two good sources say they have heard that Saudi Arabia also had agents surveilling Foster. He knew he was being investigated and was apparently under pressure to cop a plea to prevent the probe from bringing down others in the White House. The FBI apparently also knew that Foster's life was in danger: According to two reliable intelligence sources, on the day of his death, FBI agents used a pair of bomb-sniffing dogs to inspect Foster's Honda in the White House parking lot - and probably planted a transponder on the car so they could track the movements.

White House videotapes of the parking lot, which would have shown that inspection, have allegedly disappeared, according to this intelligence source. But sources say the surveillance teams have still photos and videotapes of Foster's activities on the afternoon he died, July 20, 1993, showing him entering an apartment. It is here that Foster was

apparently killed, after a sexual encounter with a brownish-blond woman photographed leaving the apartment afterwards. Also photographed, these sources say, was the hit squad, apparently "assets" contracted by the Mossad but not including any agents of the Mossad itself. Foster's body was apparently rolled up in a rug and deposited at Fort Marcy Park - which is still closed to visitors as the FBI supposedly searches for the bullet that killed him.

Doggedly, the White House and official Washington, aided by a blinded mainstream press, continue to claim it was just a suicide, despite the seriously flawed and widely discredited report to that effect by original Whitewater Special Counsel Robert Fiske, and a cursory Senate inquiry.

Sources say it is the continuing coverup, now more than two years after Foster's death, and the brazen nature of Foster's assassination, that has soured CIA professionals and has prompted them to consider going public with what they know. Adding to their frustration was the resignation of reform-minded CIA director James Woolsey last year and his replacement by John Deutch.

Deutch's job appears to be to keep a lid on things and to protect the Clintons and the massive, ongoing illegal drug and arms trade that provides the agency with billions of dollars in revenue completely out of view of Congress and government watchdogs.

Deutch's recent attempt to use the Aldrich Ames case as a club to attack the CIA and its past directors has brought the agency's simmering revolt to a boil. "Deutch had better watch his altitude and attitude," said one veteran CIA man. "He'd better get off his soapbox before he gets indicted himself."

Rumors of CIA involvement in drug trafficking go back to before the

Vietnam war, with heroin trade from Laos' Golden Triangle. But in the late '70s or early '80s, the agency virtually took over and nationalized the wholesale importation of cocaine into this country through obscure airfields like the one at Mena, Ark., on the grounds that it was the only way to control the drug trade. In other words: "If you can't lick them, join them." The operation was soon generating vastly more money than ever imagined - which has come back to line the pockets of top government officials from the Reagan, Bush and Clinton administrations. The money-laundering operations have likewise drawn in major banks and brokerage houses. By some accounts, the revenues still run upwards of \$7 billion a year.

Details of government involvement in this society- wrecking drug trade are now emerging from various sources. Bits and pieces emerged during the Iran-Contra and Iraqgate hearings of the 1980s, which produced a million pages of documents but only a handful of indictments, and fewer convictions. Perhaps the biggest fish caught was Reagan Defense Secretary Caspar Weinberger, charged with lying to Congress. He was pardoned by President George Bush just as Bush was leaving office in 1992. Curiously, Weinberger's right-hand-man through most of that period was would-be presidential contender Gen. Colin Powell, who Weinberger had brought up to be a top military advisor, out of Casey's intelligence empire where he is said to have served with the NSA and to have been intimately familiar with the drug and arms flow.

There is also a growing court record of sworn testimony in the wrongful prosecution case of former CIA contract pilot Terry Reed. Reed claims in his book, "Compromised: Clinton, Bush and the CIA," that Bill Clinton, while governor of Arkansas, was well aware of the drug trafficking there but played along with the game to earn himself the status of an "approved" candidate for the presidency. With that would come plenty of financing.

Another source now openly discussing the massive drug business is retired Navy Lt. Commander Alexander Martin. Martin was, in effect, the chief accountant for the Reagan/Bush drug operations run by Marine Lt. Col. Oliver North, through an obscure arm of the White House National Security Council called the Natinal Programs Office. In a radio interview with talk show host Tom Valentine last July, Martin spoke not only of drugs and money, but death. "Out of roughly 5,000 of us who were originally involved in Iran-Contra, approximately 400, since 1986, have committed suicide, died accidentally or died of natural causes. In over half those deaths, official death certificates were never issued. In 187 circumstances, the bodies were cremated before the families were notified." Martin then said he was lying low.

Not low enough. In late October, Martin was arrested and jailed without bond in Broward County, Fla., for supposed violation of his probation on a 1990 bad-check charge. Such small-time charges are a common device used to squelch and discredit former players in this government-run drug and arms racket.

Corruption. Most Americans like to think their government is somehow immune to the kinds of bribery and abuse of public power rampant in "Third World" countries. The emerging reality is that the exact opposite is true. The more wealth and power at stake, the greater the incentive to subvert and corrupt. Sources say the dark truths predicted to be unveiled by P.P. Willie will be utterly damning.

END OF JAMES NORMAN ARTICLE FOR DEC 1995 MEDIA BYPASS

Transcribed and first posted to Internet by Ken Cook

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051701 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

To: Bill Utterback <butterb@sagenet.net>

From: 14th Alabama <jpfo@bham.net>

Subject: fwd: <http://www.mcs.net/~lpyleprn/jpfacts.html>

Date: Fri, 17 May 1996 01:05:15 -0700

### JPFO Facts -- ADL Lies

Help Jews For The Preservation of Firearms Ownership (JPFO) tell the truth about how the Anti-Defamation League lies about Militias, law abiding firearms owners and Judaism.

Please help distribute the following FACT SHEET! - PEOPLE MUST KNOW THE TRUTH!

#### 1. ADL LIE: Militias threaten you.

JPFO FACT: The ADL reports on militias offer virtually no facts - hard evidence, documentation - to support the ADL's allegations that militias threaten public order. Why are the ADL's reports nearly empty of references to newspaper articles with verifiable specifics as to date, place, time, participants, etc.? The ADL's reports suggest that a tiny minority of militias is run by neo-Nazis, racists, or other bigots. Why should the doings of a tiny, nasty minority be a reason to condemn the vast majority of decent, law-abiding militia-members?

#### 2. ADL LIE: "Gun control" makes you safer, at the cost of "minor" inconvenience, e.g., permits, waiting periods, registration bans on, and confiscation of, some firearms and magazines.



JPFO FACT: The down-side to "gun control" is genocide, and mortal peril to those in the U.S. armed services, who have recently been deployed overseas thanks to "gun control".

In this century there have been at least seven major genocides in which at least 56,000,000 persons, including millions of children, have been murdered by officials of governments "gone bad". The seven cases are:

- o 1915 - 1917 Ottoman Turkey, 1.5 million Armenians murdered;
- o 1929 - 1953 Soviet Union, 20 million people that opposed Stalin were murdered;
- o 1933 - 1945 Nazi occupied Europe, 13 million Jews Gypsies and others that opposed Hitler were murdered;
- o 1948 - 1952 China, 20 million anti communists;
- o 1960 - 1981 Guatemala, 100,000 Mayan Indians Murdered;
- o 1971 - 1979 Uganda, 300,000 Christians and Political Rivals of Idi Amin murdered;
- o 1975 - 1979 Cambodia, 1 million educated persons murdered.

TOTAL VICTIMS: 56 MILLION!

In every case, there was on the books before the murdering began, at least one "gun control" law, sometimes the last of a series. In five of the seven cases, "gun control" was first enacted by a regime that came before the genocide regime - sometimes decades before.

Most genocides unfold without outside intervention, except after the

fact. For example, during World War II, the U.S. government absolutely refused to bomb the rail lines leading to the Nazis' main murder camp, Auschwitz, in Poland. Had that been done many lives might have been saved.

The ADL's strategy - of watching hate groups and urging ever-more severe "gun control" - has a lethal flaw. If vigilance fails, and if a hate group seized control of the U.S. government, prior enforcement of "gun control" will ensure the hate groupies can easily pile up mountains of corpses.

Those who say, "It couldn't happen here...we would never do that...", are quite wrong. The U.S. government took all but the last step of a major genocide just over 50 years ago. On 19 February 1942, President Franklin Delano Roosevelt signed Executive Order No. 9066. Under that order, some 125,000 Japanese-Americans - 70% of whom were U.S. citizens - were forced to leave homes and businesses on the west coast of the U.S. and were sent to places that the New York Times of that era called "concentration camps". That Executive Order was affirmed by Congress in the form of a public law at end-March 1942. The Supreme Court ultimately concurred that these deportations were legal (*Korematsu v. U.S.*, 1944).

U.S. armed forces have recently gone to Haiti ("Gun Control" Law, 22 Dec 1922, amended 1 Oct 1980), and to Bosnia (ex-Yugoslavia "Gun Control" Law, 17 Sep 1964) to try to rescue people made helpless thanks to "gun control". Had we simply shipped rifles to the Haitians, they likely could have freed themselves from their murderous government. U.S. troops may be needed to rescue the Bosnian Muslims, because an arms embargo keeps them from defending themselves against Bosnian Serb "ethnic cleansing". Why does the ADL back a policy, "gun control", that forces U.S. soldiers, sailors, marines, and flyers to go in harm's way?

3. ADL LIE: Judaism and "gun control" go together.

JPFO TRUTH: "Gun control" violates and subverts Jewish Law. The sanctity of life is a core Jewish value. By promoting genocide, "gun control" poses a clear and present danger to the lives of decent people, everywhere.

Life is so precious that under Jewish law, there are only three things a Jew may NOT do to save his/her own life:

- o a) commit murder (willfully take an innocent life);
- o b) bow down to idols;
- o c) engage in prohibited forms of sexual activity.

Thus, a Jew threatened with death unless he eats pork (prohibited to Jews), must eat the pork, if doing so will save his life.

From the sanctity of Life comes an imperative to safeguard Life. Thus, it is written in the Talmud - the 70-volume Code of Jewish Law - in at least three places, "And the Torah says, 'If someone comes to kill you, arise quickly and kill him.'" The Torah is the Five Books of Moses; this injunction is rooted in Exodus, Chapter 22, Verse 1. This injunction occurs twice in the section of the Talmud dealing with Blessings (Tractate B'rachos), on pages 58A and 62B. It also is found in the section of the Talmud dealing with Administration of Justice (Tractate Sanhedrin) page 72A. The contexts are all different, but the message is consistent: for Jews, self-defense is an individual duty. As nothing in the Five Books of Moses, or the Talmud, is ever repeated without a reason, that this injunction is three times repeated suggests it is a powerful injunction, indeed.

History shows clearly that the biggest murderer - of Jews and of other minorities (ethnic, political, linguistic, etc.) - has NOT been hate groups, but governments that have "gone bad". To varying degrees, these murders of Jews occurred because Jews neglected to learn and to practice our religion's teachings which mandate self-defense. We have paid a ferocious price for this neglect. Millions of lives will be saved if Jewish law on self-defense is faithfully followed.

4. ADL LIE: JPFO is a danger to Jews. The ADL lies about JPFO's mission and research (See letter by Abraham Foxman, ADL National Director, to the Editor of the New York Times, 21 May 1995). The ADL says it fights anti-Semitism and neo-Nazis.

JPFO TRUTH: The ADL dismisses JPFO research that shows the U.S. Gun Control Act of 1968 (GCA '68) likely is based on the Nazi Weapons Law of 18 March 1938. Nazi laws have no place in America. Yet, the ADL backs GCA '68 and advocates further restrictions on firearms-ownership by the law-abiding.

JPFO has a new bumper sticker called ADL LIES. We would like to get 1 MILLION or more of these on firearms owners automobiles, campers and homes. The sticker is white with red, blue and black letters. It is 4 and 1/4 inches high X 14 inches wide. Large enough for the ADL to see from their headquarters at the United Nations Plaza, New York.

Stop the Lies - Destroy "Gun Control" Buy and Display this Bumper Sticker Today!

\* 2 for \$5.00 postage paid in the United States

\* 25 for \$30.00 postage paid in the United States

\* 50 for \$50.00 postage paid in the United States

\* 100 for \$75.00 postage paid in the United States

Jews For The Preservation of Firearms Ownership, Inc.

2872 So. Wentworth Ave.

Milwaukee, WI 53207

(414) 769-0760

-----  
From: Alan M. Rice, 76500,3727

DATE: 5/13/96 11:52 AM

RE: JPFO WWW SITE...

Jews For The Preservation of Firearms Ownership is pleased and honored to announce that we have joined the Paul Revere Network. Our new new "home" on the internet is at: <http://www.mcs.net/~lpyleprn/home1.html>

Many in the pro firearms ownership community are aware that the Paul Revere Network was created and founded by LEROY PYLE.

All are encouraged to visit the site and explore. The Paul Revere Network is home to Gun Owners of America, The American Shooting Sports Council and The Law Enforcement Alliance of America. JPFO is honored to be in the company of these fine organizations.

Any feedback on the format and content of the site can be sent via EMAIL to: [leroy.pyle@prn-bbs.org](mailto:leroy.pyle@prn-bbs.org) OR [76500.3727@compuserve.com](mailto:76500.3727@compuserve.com)

Please send all EMAIL for JPFO to: [76500.3727@compuserve.com](mailto:76500.3727@compuserve.com)

Alan M. Rice

Board Member - Jews For The Preservation of Firearms Ownership

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051605 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[The following is unverified information on why Colby may have been killed.] PIML

-----  
[The following is a transcript of a recorded phone message put out by a group in Chicago called "Citizens' Committee to Clean Up the Courts [CCCC]." (312) 731-1100 and (312) 731-1505.]

Hi! Sherman Skolnick, Citizens' Committee to Clean Up the Courts, 9800 South Oglesby.

Some claim former CIA Director William Colby was murdered. Others claim that was *\*not\** his body they buried.

Colby was a contributing editor to a British-linked newsletter, Strategic Investment. His data showed Clinton White House Deputy Counsel Vincent W. Foster, Jr., was murdered, part of an international bank spying scandal -- *\*and\**, Foster and Hillary Rodham Clinton reportedly sold U.S. nuclear missile secrets to a foreign power.

One of Colby's close friends is John DeCamp, a lawyer from Lincoln, Nebraska.

JOHN DeCAMP: He was like a father to me, a very close friend. And of course we know he was head of the CIA and a lot of other things. Did he die accidentally as is said -- heart attack and a stroke, and fell out of his boat -- or did he get killed by somebody? My personal belief is that he did not die accidentally. He was in *\*fabulous\** condition. He was in absolute, impeccably perfect condition. And he was more cautious than

Jesus Christ Himself when it came to being careful.

SHERMAN SKOLNICK: Mr. DeCamp, were some of those POWs in the dope trade used as "mules" and therefore cannot come home?

JOHN DeCAMP: I think he suggested that... No. He went stronger than that. He made it very clear there were a lot of Americans involved in the dope trade who chose to stay, he believed.

SHERMAN SKOLNICK: Did you know about the interview with your close friend William Colby at CBS network, the 60 Minutes program, just prior to his disappearance?

JOHN DeCAMP: He told me he had done a number of interviews, yes.

SHERMAN SKOLNICK: Is it your knowledge or opinion that the POWs that remained in Southeast Asia, that got into the dope trade, that they got involved with dope traffic with George Bush, Richard Armitage, and General Colin Powell being involved reportedly in that dope trade. Do you have an opinion or knowledge about that?

JOHN DeCAMP: I have an opinion: I happen to agree with you. But I don't want to go into why and how and everything else.

SHERMAN SKOLNICK: If you saw the 60 Minutes program that I referred to, do you believe that that was a watered-down, censored version of the interviews with your friend William Colby?

JOHN DeCAMP: Yeah. That was a watered-down version. You've got to understand Bill Colby. Bill Colby believed, above and beyond everything, that the greatest thing that this country had, and the most \*dangerous\* thing this country had, was the CIA: the greatest thing for protecting us from "blah blah blah" and winning the Cold War and all that; the most



dangerous thing because it had the ability and had developed the processes of operating outside the law. He was the one, if you'll remember, that exposed it. And he believed, more than anything else, that it wasn't \*government\* that would control things, it was the free press. I predict, within the next year or so, it will come out that he \*also\* is the one that engineered the release of the Pentagon Papers and made that possible. People used to say, "Your press members are owned by the CIA." He'd be the first to tell you, "Absolutely. We owned every one of them", or "almost every one of any value" would be his phrase. And we did control them.

Among the motives to murder William Colby:

#1) He was about to state that the U.S. government has lied by saying there are no POWs left in Southeast Asia.

#2) Colby knew, from inside data, that Foster was murdered and that the Clinton White House has covered it up.

#3) Colby directly, and through his wife, a super bank expert, knew Household International reportedly secretly transferred \$50 million of federal funds to try to cover up the embezzlement of an Arkansas Savings & Loan, incriminating Bill and Hillary Clinton.

Colby was general counsel of the CIA proprietary, Nugan-Hand Bank, and had a similar secret role with the bank that is its successor, Household International and Household Bank -- the world headquarters being in Prospect Heights, a Chicago suburb.

Details of Household's high crimes are being covered up by a crooked chief bankruptcy judge in Chicago, John D. Schwartz, in case number 84 B

10338.

In Chicago, see us on cable tv, channel 21, 9 pm [cst] most Monday evenings.

New message Friday [312-731-1100]; we change it several times a week.

Donations appreciated. Citizen's Committee to Clean Up the Courts, 9800 South Oglesby, Chicago, [Illinois] 60617. For the latest on courts, banks, espionage agencies, political assassinations, and the news media. On 24 hours a day.

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051604 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[The following are unverified scraps of conversations picked up on the net. Read and ponder.] PIML

Who is Hayes?

He is supposedly either one of, or a contact person for, "The Fifth Column", a group of ex-CIA computer hackers who have become so torqued off about corruption in the government that they have started their own crusade against it. Supposedly they were able to acquire their own Cray Supercomputer (rumored to be the one that had been in Clark Air Force Base, it was air cooled) which they keep in a semi-tractor trailer and move around at random intervals. They have been getting the goods on corrupt politicians, and sending them envelopes containing all the information on their favorite Swiss bank accounts with transactions to and from. Included in the envelope is a little letter which requests that they resign or the information will be made public. Allegedly this is the reason there have been so many resignations from Congress lately.

Now, the only part of this that I \*can\* verify is that there have been a lot of people resigning from Congress lately. I have seen Hayes get articles in some of the alternative media publications (I think Media Bypass may have done a story on him). If even part of all that is true, then there would be a lot of people who would have a lot of reasons to make sure that Mr. Hayes ceased his activities.

-----

Some of my headers necessarily get somewhat cryptic due to space limitations. But it looks like the "Angel of Death" had tickets on the Valu-Jet plane that crashed out of Miami. Lucky for him he got delayed

at a restaurant, huh?

-----

An explosion in the cargo hold is now reported as a possible cause of the Valu-Jet crash.

Note: I do not necessarily endorse the conspiracy theory that will inevitably flow from the following.

1. AoD has more envelopes to deliver.
  2. AoD misses ValueJet flight.
  3. ValueJet now reported as having been crippled by an explosion.
  4. Dole announces resignation from Senate.
- 

You don't suppose someone slipped ole Bob a plain white envelope, do you? Since we know he can't beat Clinton, what more honorable way to fade into the sunset with his \$7 million pension?

Sorry, but the thought just won't go away.

-----

Hayes has been preoccupied with other matters lately, but says he is getting ready to deliver another batch of envelopes.

Curiously, he and a colleague had tickets to fly out of Miami on that crashed ValueJet DC9. They got stuck in a restaurant too long and decided to take a later flight. Lucky for them.

-----

Hayes and colleague saw news reports of the ValuJet crash while sitting in the restaurant, drove to nearby AFB (Homestead?), borrowed a Wigen (seaplane that Hayes is very familiar with flying) and took an airboat and other investigators to the crash scene that afternoon. From the beginning, they suspected an explosion.

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 06051601 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Interesting subject - right to keep and bear arms argument  
without a second amendment] PIML

Date: Thu, 16 May 1996 10:14:14 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: UK: Libertarian Alliance Submission on Gun Control

From: Sean Gabb <cea01sig@gold.ac.uk>

Subject: Libertarian Alliance Submission on Gun Control

Enclosed is the text of the Libertarian Alliance's  
submission to the Cullen Enquiry on gun control,  
established after the Dunblane massacre in Scotland.

It is probably the only submission that denounces all  
gun control in whatever form. Please pass round the  
Internet.

Sean Gabb

London

19:42 14/05/1996

=====

Submission by the Libertarian Alliance

To The Honourable Lord Cullen

on the Subject of Firearms Control,

in the Wake of the Dunblane Massacre

Submitted by Chris R. Tame & Sean Gabb

The Libertarian Alliance

14 May 1995

The Libertarian Alliance

25 Chapter Chambers

Esterbrooke Street

London

SW1P 4NN

Tel: 0171 821 5502

Fax: 0171 834 2031

Email: liberty@capital.demon.co.uk

#### Contents:

1. Introduction
2. The Case Against Further, or Any, Controls on Firearms
3. Conclusions

#### Appendices:

- \*Appendix I: Gun Control in Britain, by Sean Gabb, Political Notes No. 33, Libertarian Alliance, London, 1988
- \*Appendix II: The Case Against Gun Control, by David Botsford, Political Notes No. 105, Libertarian Alliance, London, 1990
- \*Appendix III: A Selected Critical Bibliography on Gun Control

1. Introduction

The Libertarian Alliance is an non-party political civil and economic libertarian group which promotes its ideas by means of publications, evidence to government and parliamentary inquiries, conferences and seminars and media appearances. We publish a quarterly journal, Free Life, and have over 400 publications in print. Our academic Advisory Council is detailed on the accompanying letterhead. The Alliance is entirely independent and funded by publications sales, subscriptions and voluntary donations. It receives no funding from any other political, religious, organisational or commercial interests.

The following brief points concerning firearms controls are submitted in the wake of the Dunblane massacre. They should not be seen as an exhaustive statement of the Libertarian Alliance case - which is to be found in the annexed documents which include as part of this formal submission.

## 2. The Case Against Further, or Any, Controls on Firearms

We believe that the Dunblane massacre should not be used to justify the extension or tightening-up of existing legal controls of firearms. Indeed, we believe that the massacre does not represent any form of refutation of our more fundamental argument that firearms controls generally are undesirable and unnecessary.

We believe that any adult should be able to walk into a gun shop and - without showing any permit or any form of identification - buy as many guns and as much ammunition as he or she can afford.



We believe further that people should be allowed to keep guns at home and carry them in public to protect their life, liberty and property.

This was a state of affairs that existed in Great Britain before 1920. Before then it was widely understood that what distinguished freedom from slavery was the right to effective self defence.

The restrictions on this right made during the twentieth century have all been justified on the grounds of public safety in all those countries previously free. There is an extensive literature that reveals these justifications as wholly untrue. We will not try to repeat in this necessarily short document the wealth of statistical and other arguments that have been deployed against the notion of gun control - arguments that for the most part have never received a reply or refutation. However, we do supply in our Appendices two Libertarian Alliance publications and a Selective Bibliography that in our view cover the main literature. We will also briefly summarise these arguments thus:

\*Gun control laws will be obeyed only by those who are not likely to hurt anyone. The burglars, street criminals, bank robbers and all the psychotics like Michael Ryan or Thomas Hamilton will take

the law says and regardless of any restriction in the number of guns in honest hands. Guns are easy to manufacture illegally and easy to transport to any part of the world where there is an criminal demand for them.

\*All gun control laws do are to disarm honest citizens in the face of criminal aggression and predation. For example, supposing somebody else in Hungerford High Street other than Michael Ryan had been carrying a gun; it is most unlikely that he would have been able to kill sixteen people. Even in the case of ultimately suicidal psychotics like Thomas Hamilton the prospect of armed resistance can be a real deterrent, robbing them of their sense of total control of the situation.

\*A further effect of gun control laws - and a especially notable one in the twentieth century, when even previously civilised nations have descended to the depths of totalitarian and genocidal barbarism - is to deprive the people of their means of opposing tyranny. This is an ancient and established constitutional right in England and there is no reason to believe why the justification for its existence has passed, even in our country.

### 3. Conclusion

Bearing in mind what we have said above our proposals for reform involve the immediate and total repeal of all the Firearms Acts, 1920 to 1988, together with all subsidiary and supportive legislation. We also recommend the restoration of capital punishment for murder and the establishment of a severe but rational scheme of deterrence in criminal sentencing. We believe that such legal reforms, together with other necessary reforms in the currently

demoralising and pauperising systems of state welfare and education, will begin the process of restoring law and order in this country. However, it is equally vital that "pseudo-crimes", such as drug trafficking and consumption, and all nonconformist consensual sexual behaviour, prohibitions of which in actuality only generate real crime and corruption, should be abolished.

But, we must emphasise, the responsibility for protecting individuals against public and private predation must lie with the individuals themselves. The community and the law must once again allow the maximum freedom for individuals to take control of their own lives and by the protection of themselves and their property to help restore a better society.

#### APPENDICES

\*Appendix I: Gun Control in Britain, by Sean Gabb, Political Notes No. 33, Libertarian Alliance, London, 1988

\*Appendix II: The Case Against Gun Control, by David Botsford, Political Notes No. 105, Libertarian Alliance, London, 1990

\*Appendix III: A Selected Critical Bibliography on Gun Control

#### APPENDIX II: A SELECTED CRITICAL BIBLIOGRAPHY ON GUN CONTROL

The following bibliography is a partial listing of material - largely American - currently known to us, critical of gun con

trol. Dots indicate publication details not currently known.  
Photocopies of some of these items are available on request from  
the Libertarian Alliance.

\*\*\*

Botsford, David, The Case Against Gun Control, Political Notes  
No. 47, Libertarian Alliance, London, 1990

Bruce-Biggs, B. (Fall 1976), "The Great American Gun War", The  
Public Interest (New York), No. 45, pp. 37-62

Carter, Harlon (November-December 1974), "The Issue Is Crime Not  
Guns", Option (Canada), 2(6), pp. 7-9

"The Company of Freeman", (1990), "The Real Reason for Gun Owner  
ship", Hoy, Michael, ed., Loompanics' Greatest Hits: Articles &  
Features From The Best Book Catalogue in the World, Loompanics  
Unlimited, Port Townsend, Washington, pp. 186-190

Cramer, Clayton E., For the Defense of Themselves & the State:  
The Original Intent and Judicial Interpretation of the Right to  
Keep & Bear Arms, Frederick A. Praeger, New York, 1995

Davidson, Bill R., To Keep & Bear Arms, Arlington House, New  
Rochelle, New York, 1970

Editorial (Winter 1981), "Gun Contro? No Thanks", Free Life : A  
Journal of Classical Liberal & Libertarian Thought, 2(1), pp. 3-7

Feder, Donald,

(1976), "A Libertarian Look at Gun Control", Reason, 7(11), pp.

24-28

(26 July 1982), "The Guns of Frisco: The Trigger Pulls the Finger", On Principle, 1(4), pp. 25-28

(16 May 1983), "Seven Myths of Gun Control and the Reality of Ownership", On Principle, 11(10), pp. 73-75, 80

Fury, Gordon (1990), "Gun Control as Class Warfare", Hoy, Michael, ed., Loompanics' Greatest Hits: Articles & Features From The Best Book Catalogue in the World, Loompanics Unlimited, Port Townsend, Washington, pp. 181-185

Gabb, Sean,

(November 1991), "Gun Control: The Lesson of Killeen", Free Life: A Journal of Classical Liberal & Libertarian Thought, No. 15, pp. 4-6

Gun Control in Britain, Political Notes No. 33, Libertarian Alliance, London, 1988

Gottlieb, Allan,

The Rights of Gun Owners, Merril Press, Bellevue, Washington, 1981

The Gun Grabbers, Merril Press, Bellevue, Washington, 1986

Gun Rights Fact Book, ...

Greenwood, Colin, Firearms Control: A Study of Armed Crime & Firearms Control in England & Wales, Routledge & Kegan Paul, London, 1972

Halbrook, Stephen P., That Every Man Be Armed: The Evolution of a Constitutional Right, The Independent Institute, Oakland, California, 1979

Hardy, David (November, 1982), "Gun Control: Arm Yourself With Evidence", Reason, pp. 37-41

Hook, Donald H., ed., Gun Control: The Continuing Debate, ...

Karl, Jonathan, The Right to Bear Arms: The Rise of America's New Militias, ..., 1996

Kates Jr., Don B.,

(1977), "Gun Control Doesn't Work", Reason, 9(1), pp. 22-23

(November 1979), "If You Liked Watergate, You'll Love Handgun Prohibition", Reason, 11(7), pp. 35-38

(6 March 1995), "Shot Down", National Review, XLVII(4), pp. 49-52, 54

Guns, Murder & the Constitution, Pacific Research Institute, San Francisco, 1990

ed., Restricting Handguns: A Just

eral Skeptics Speak Out, North

River Press, Croton on Hudson, New York, 1979

ed., Firearms and Violence: Issues of Public Policy, Pacific Research Institute for Public Policy Research, San Francisco, 1984

Kell, John (October 1991), "Positive Externalities of Gun Owner

ship", The Freeman (Foundation for Economic Education, Irvington  
on Hudson, New York), 41(10), pp. 374-379

Kleck, Gary,

(February 1988), "Crime Control Through the Private Use of Armed  
Force", Social Problems

Point Blank: Guns & Violence in America, Aldine de Gruyter, New  
York, 1991

Kopel, David B.,

(July 1993), "Gun Play: What Kid's Don't Know About Guns Can Kill  
Them", Reason, 25(3), pp. 19-23

(November 1993), "The Violence of Gun Control", Policy Review  
(Heritage Foundation, Washington, DC), No. 63

(Winter 1993), "Hold Your Fire: Gun Control Won't Stop Rising  
Violence", Policy Review, No. 63

Trust the People: The Case Against Gun Control, Policy Analysis  
No. 109, Cato Institute, Washington, DC, 1988

The Samuarai, The Mountie & the Cowboy: Should America Adopt the  
Gun Controls of Other Democracies?, Prometheus Books, Buffalo,  
New York, 1992

ed., Guns: Who Should Have Them?, Prometheus Books, Amherst, New  
York, 1995

LaPierre, Wayne, Guns, Crime and Freedom, Regnery Publishing,

Chicago, 1994

LaRosa, Benedict D.

(June 1994), "Gun Control: A Historical Perspective", Pt. I,  
Freedom Daily, 5(6), pp. 32-39

(July 1994), "Gun Control: A Historical Perspective", Pt. II,  
Freedom Daily, 5(7), pp. 31-39

Levinson, Sanford (1995?), "The Forgotten Second Amendment", Yale  
Law Review

McGarth, Roger D., Gunfighters, Highwaymen and Vigilantes, ...

Malcolm, Joyce Lee, To Keep & Bear Arms: The Origins of an Anglo  
American Roight, Harvard University Press, 1994

Munday, R. A. I. (March 1991), "On Liberty", Salisbury Review,  
9(3) pp. 4-9

Nisbet, Lee, ed., The Gun Control Debate: You Decide, Prometheus  
Books, Buffalo, New York, 1990

Norval, Morgan (1975), "Why We Need Guns", Reason, 7(6), pp. 25  
27

Polsby, Daniel D. (October 1993), "Equal Protection", Reason,  
25(5), pp. 34-39

Pratt, Larry, ed., Safeguarding Liberty, the Constitution &  
Citizen Miltias, Gun Owners Foundation, Springfield, Virginia,  
1995



Richman, Sheldon

(June 1994), "The Right to Life Equals the Right to Possess Firearms", Freedom Daily, 5(6), pp. 19-25

(October 1995), "What the Second Amendment Means", Freedom Daily, 10(6), pp. 28-33

Schulman, J. Neil,

Stopping Power: Why 70 Million Americans Own Guns, Synapes-Centurion, ..., 1994

Self Control Not Gun Control, ... 1995

Selick, Karen (March 1995), "Gun Control Laws: Portents of Tyranny", Fraser Forum (Fraser Institute, Vancouver), pp. 31-32

Simkin, Jay,

Gun Control: Gateway to Tyranny, ....

et al, Lethal Laws, Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 1995

Smith, L. Neil (May-June 1975), "Gun Ownership and Individual Liberty", Libertarian Option, III(3), pp. 14-16

Sullum, Jacob, (October 1994), "Voodoo Social Policy: Exorcising the Twin Demons, Guns & Drugs", Reason, 26(5), pp. 26-31

Tonso, William, ed., The Gun Culture and Its Enemies, ...

Warner, James H., Guns, Crime & the Culture War, Heritage Lecture  
No. 393, Heritage Foundation, Washington, DC, 1992

Whisker, James B., The Right to Hunt, North River Press, Croton  
on Hudson, New York, 1981

Wollstein, Jarret B.

(August 1969), "Gun Control: The Death of Private Property", The  
Rational Individualist, 1(10), pp. 2-4

(July 1994), "Will You Be Safer if Guns Are Banned?: Part I",  
Freedom Daily, 5(7), pp. 20-27

(August 1994), "Will You Be Safer if Guns Are Banned?: Part II",  
Freedom Daily, 5(8), pp. 21-25

Worsthorne, Peregrine (22 August 1993), "More Guns, More Safety  
for Britons", The Sunday Telegraph (London), p. 22

Wright, James D.

(August/September 1990), "In the Heat of the Moment", Reason,  
22(4), pp. 44-45

(6 March 1995), "Bad Guys, Bad Guns Down", National Review,  
XLVII(4), pp. 50-51

ENDS

"These may not be the opinions of Goldsmiths' College"

\*\*\*\*\*

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: [freematt@coil.com](mailto:freematt@coil.com) with the words subscribe FA  
on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-30 messages per week)

Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

\*\*\*\*\*

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051503 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Don't stop reading because this concerns only black people; it doesn't. It concerns all citizens.] PIML

Date: Tue, 14 May 1996 16:00:49 -0700 (MST)

From: "L. A. ANDERSON" <landers@azstarnet.com>

Subject: FIJA> The need for jury veto

Excerpt from.... The Zychik Chronicle-

-----Righteous Racism & The War on Drugs

(New York Times) Essentially the political class, the elected gangsters, the mobsters with a friendly face don't think past the next vote. To them there is no reality except the puffs of smoke that billow from the latest poll. You, on the other hand, must look to reality to outsmart the "common good" and the "will of Congress" and the "power of the presidency." Let's not forget the DEA, the Department of Motor Vehicles, the housing inspectors, and every other brand of petty Gestapo who want to take your freedoms and your hard-earned money. What they have is the guns. What you have are your wits and the remaining fragments of the Constitution.

So the first question is: are you smart enough and is the Constitution powerful enough to outlast Fascism in America brought to you by the Democrats and the Republicans? Answer: absolutely yes. The Constitution provides basic protections that are not dependent on anything other than the fact of citizenship. Those protections were set up to defeat the fascism we live with today. Personally, I believe that the Founding Fathers were a hell of a lot smarter than Bill Clinton and Bob Dole. I feel sorry for anyone who doesn't

grasp the importance of the previous sentence.

Now let's look at the future. We'll start off by discussing the present.

Yesterday, the Supreme Court upheld racism in the war on drugs. I've written extensively on this issue in the past, so only a brief recap follows: Higher sentences are mandated for users of crack cocaine. Crack cocaine is a primarily Black drug. Hence Blacks are sentenced to jail for periods of up to 10 times longer than non-Blacks. The pretense behind this sentencing abomination is that crack is associated with a higher level of crime. However, on that basis, all Blacks who are given parking tickets should be arrested for auto-theft; all Blacks who drink should be arrested for drunken disorderly; all Blacks who show anger should be arrested for assault with a deadly weapon. The reason I say this is that culturally Blacks have a higher rate of crime than the rest of the population.

By singling out crack as a high crime drug, the courts merely singled out Blacks. To put it another way, if the preference of Blacks had been speed, then the courts would have singled out speed as the high crime drug. In other words, the law by design is racist.

The Supreme Court's reasoning was that although Blacks are primarily prosecuted for crack offenses, these prosecutions were not racist because the law was being followed. In other words, slavery was not racist because it was legal. The Holocaust was not incomprehensibly evil. It was legal. Welcome to Fascism in AmeriKKKa, comrade.

Unfortunately most of my readers have not had first hand contact with the drug world or with the oppression that Blacks live with. So let me give you a simple story. It's so simple and apparently harmless that the evil underlying it can be easily missed:

To support the drug rehab center that I started I opened a thrift shop to be run by the drug addicts. Most of them were Black and most of the clientele

were Black. One day a customer who I had a good rapport with came in and bought a broken TV. He wanted it for parts. Total cost: \$5. Before he left he asked me for a receipt. Curiously, I asked, "What do you want a receipt for. It's a broken down piece of junk?" He said, "In my neighborhood you don't carry a TV down the street without a receipt."

Think about that. This man was afraid to carry a piece of junk not worth more than \$5 down the street - without proof that it belonged to him. Do you live with that fear?

Now imagine that the Supreme Court just told you that if you are found with .03 grams of cocaine in your possession you can be sent to jail for the rest of your life. And the gov't that you pay taxes to will do everything it can to make sure you rot in prison.

Who said racism in America is dead? Tell it to my friend who won't carry a piece of junk in his neighborhood. Tell it to the Black woman who told me that when she was growing up, her and her mother were constantly afraid that her two brothers would get beaten. By who? The gangs or the cops. Take your pick.

Racism is alive and well in the US. Only this time it doesn't hide under the Constitutional protection of slavery. It doesn't hide under the cloak of national security - which led to Japanese Americans being sent to prison camps, oops make that internment camps. Just as most people were caught up in the wave of hate, ignorance and fear that made slavery and race-based internment possible, most people today are drowning in the whirlpool of lies, deception, fear mongering and demagoguery called the War on Drugs. However, every evil ends. The War on Drugs will end. The only question is how and when.

The politicians don't bother with that kind of question. Instead, they look for your deepest fears and try to sell you the magic antidote that will make your

tummy ache go away. If you have the independence of a thinking adult, your reaction will be "Drop dead." If you don't, you'll ask for another round of snake oil because the first one didn't work.

The War on Drugs is snake oil. It's promise is to cure the pain of rampant crime. Well, even pathetic children get sick of lousy medicine that doesn't work. Which brings us to another factor in the end of the war on drugs: An American public nauseated by the sickness of crime and the snake oil cure of a war on drugs.

There won't be riots in the streets this time. Instead a concept that is weaving its way back into the fabric of America's thinking will become virtually undeniable. It's called jury-nullification.

When the Constitution was written, jury nullification was taken for granted. It wasn't expressly stated in the Constitution because it would have been the equivalent of saying "a jury should deliberate conscientiously."

In its drive to wrest power from the citizenry, in 1895, the Supreme Court declared that juries did not have to be told about their rights to nullify the law.

However, OJ Simpson and the War on Drugs have brought Jury Nullification back into America's thinking.

Enter professor Paul Butler. Butler, a Black man, is a former federal prosecutor. He has been on national talk shows, has had articles in national magazines and is a leading figure in the Black legal scene. Butler's message: Blacks should use jury-nullification to let Black drug defendants go free. Yes, it's racist in its theme. But, without Blacks as the target, the war on drugs could not have gone on as long as it has. Also, Butler is being approached by other activists to widen his message to include defendants of all races.

If you want to find out more about the movement that's going to become

more powerful than the Repubs or the Dems call 1-800-TEL-JURY (1-800-835-5879)

One of the factors that brought Alcohol Prohibition to a screeching halt was the inability of prosecutors to get convictions because of jury nullification. Now that the Supreme Court has blatantly declared itself as racist - and forget about Clarence Thomas, there were Black slave owners also - Butler's message is the Blacks' best hope. In fact, it was jury nullification that protected Black slaves escaping to the North.

The war on drugs has always been racist. Check out my homepage for details <http://www.pacificnet.net/~jzychik/JoeJav.html> Anyway, live by racism, die by racism. The War on Drugs is about to meet righteous racism. The War on Drugs will lose. Because there's more than righteous racism opposing it. The War on Drugs is a violation of "life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness." It's a violation of the 4th, and 5th amendment - for starters.

The politicians are betting their political careers on the War on Drugs. In the short run, they'll win. In the long run, the question is who do you want to bet on: Dole/Clinton or Washington/Jefferson? I feel sorry for anyone who has to think about the answer.

Joe Zychik

Editor, The Zychik Chronicle

-----

Posted daily Mon-Fri after 3 pm PST at

<http://www.pacificnet.net/~jzychik>

To receive the ZC, free, contact:

[jzychik@pacificnet.net](mailto:jzychik@pacificnet.net)

=====



ACLU News

\*From Prison, Ex-Cops Call Offenses Routine\*

PHILADELPHIA -- In a front-page copywritten report, The Philadelphia Inquirer on Sunday detailed the stories of three police officers involved in the biggest police scandal in Philadelphia's history.

All three officers readily admit that they committed serious misdeeds in stealing an estimated \$100,000 from suspected drug dealers. the Inquirer said. But they also say that much of their illegal activity -- including perjury and fabricating evidence -- was part of the system that police everywhere use in the war on drugs.

"Its the system, they say -- they only did what they believed their commanders, politicians and yes -- you the public wanted," Inquirer reporter Mark Fazlollah wrote. He quoted one of the former officers, John Baird: "We didn't own and operate the system. We didn't invent it. We were just some of the many thousands of custodians. We inherited it."

The ex-officers made a series of serious allegations, the Inquirer said, including:

-- Hundreds of arrests were "bad." Baird told the Inquirer that he never saw a legal drug arrest.

-- Groups of black youths hanging out on corners were routinely searched illegally. When drugs were found, the Inquirer said, police reports were fabricated to indicate that a drug sale had been witnessed.

The Inquirer said that the ex-officers allegations are likely to add fuel to charges by civil rights lawyers that the Police Department has failed to

police itself.

David Rudovsky, a lawyer who is leading negotiations between city officials and civil rights groups -- and a member of the ACLU National Board -- told the Inquirer that what the ex-officers have said "reflects a pattern that we have seen independently."

Rudovsky told the Inquirer that what the ex-officers said "rings true."

"It's not only individual officers," he said. "It was a department that was indifferent to those facts."

The Philadelphia Inquirer can be found on the Web at

[http://www.phillynews.com/inq/front\\_page/](http://www.phillynews.com/inq/front_page/)

-----  
For general information about the ACLU, write to [info@aclu.org](mailto:info@aclu.org)

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96051502 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Today the military, tomorrow the citizens] PIML

From: ray@strategicsw.com (Ray Gano)

Subject: Sergeant guilty for refusing to give DNA

Date: Tue, 14 May 1996 13:59:35 -0700

Forwarded message:

To: act@efn.org

From: Larry Holley <patriot@wyoming.com

Subject: RE: DNA II

"Sergeant guilty for refusing to give DNA"

By FRANK FISHER

Associated Press

SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, Ill. - An Air Force sergeant was demoted and sentenced to 14 days at hard labor Friday for refusing to give a blood and saliva sample for a DNA registry designed to help identify servicemen's remains.

Tech. Sgt. Warren Sinclair, 33, of Hampton, Va., became the third serviceman this year to be convicted at a court-martial of disobeying an order to provide such samples.

Sinclair had claimed that the requirement violated his Fourth Amendment protection against unreasonable search and seizure. He also declared: "It is our Godgiven right to maintain possession of our genes."

He contended that the Pentagon did, not have adequate safeguards to prevent the DNA from being used for other purposes than the identification of remains. Prosecutors disputed that.

Some experts have warned that genetic information that can indicate whether someone is susceptible to certain diseases could be used to deny people insurance or employment.

Sinclair was demoted two ranks and sentenced to hard labor without confinement by the military judge who found him guilty. He could have received a bad-conduct discharge, six months' confinement at hard labor, and forfeiture of two-thirds of his pay.

"I'm kind of indifferent to the sentence right now," he said. "I feel like we basically have irreconcilable differences and I'm trying to resolve it through some kind of administrative discharge."

In closing arguments, prosecutors warned Sinclair was a threat to military discipline.

"The military ordered B-17s to make daylight bombing raids over Germany during World War 11 with a 70 percent casualty rate, but this accused won't provide a blood sample," said Capt. Joe Pinjuh, a prosecutor.

Defense attorney Eric Seitz likened the 14-year veteran to a conscientious objector, "There are certain orders that are illegal. There are certain rights that people can uphold," Seitz said.

The Pentagon began ordering genetic samples in 1993. It now has more than 1 million samples.

Sinclair testified that "not in my wildest dreams" did he expect to have to give a DNA sample when he enlisted in 1982.

Dr. Paul Billings of Stanford University's medical school testified that the program could not guarantee a serviceman's DNA would not be used for other purposes. But he acknowledged under cross-examination that he knew of no instances of misuse by the Pentagon.

Last month in Hawaii, two Marines were convicted at Kaneohe Marine Base of refusing to give blood for the DNA registry.

Source: "Casper Star-Tribune" Saturday MAY 11, 1996

--

\*\*\*\*\*

"The strength and power of despotism consists wholly in the fear of resistance."

--Thomas Paine

\*\*\*\*\*

"Necessity is the plea for every infringement of human freedom, It is the argument of tyrants; it is the creed of slaves."

-- William Pitt, to the House of Commons, 18th November, 1783.

\*\*\*\*\*

timr@efn.org

(503) 895-4681 (FAX)

(503) 895-4417 (VOICE)

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051501 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Tue, 14 May 1996 12:25:54 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: ACTION ITEM: massive copyright "protection"

From: jwarren@well.com (Jim Warren)

Subject: (fwd) ACTION ITEM: massive copyright "protection"

Check out the examples, below, of how your net access to *\*any\** information will soon be repressed, prohibited and/or criminalized for corporate benefit.

THIS REALLY IS AS BAD AS IT SOUNDS.

Although this happens to come from the Americal Library Association and is thus focused on library concerns with the Beltway ripoff-artists' pervasive copy-suppression legislation that is being rammed through Congress, *\*everyone\** online and on computers needs to be aware of this impending repression.

I donno who Clinton's Copyright Office and Gingrich-Dole's Congress are representing, but they sure as hell aren't representing the *\*public's\** interests.

Howl now to your(?) "representatives" ... or bend over for the corporate hustlers, henceforth.

--jim, a disloyal subject of the Washington Royalty

Jim Warren, GovAccess list-owner/editor, advocate & columnist (jwarren@well.com)

345 Swett Rd., Woodside CA 94062; voice/415-851-7075; fax/<# upon request>



[puffery: John Dvorak Lifetime Achievement Award (1995); James Madison Freedom-of-Information Award, Soc. of Prof. Journalists - Nor.Cal. (1994); Hugh M. Hefner First-Amendment Award, Playboy Foundation (1994); Pioneer Award, Electronic Frontier Foundation (its first year, 1992); founded the Computers, Freedom & Privacy confs, InfoWorld; blah blah blah :-).]

>Date: Fri, 10 May 1996 17:58:00 -0400

>Sender: owner-ala-wo@ala1.ala.org

>From: ALAWASH E-MAIL (ALAWASH E-MAIL) <alawash@ALAWASH.ORG>

>To: ala-wo@ala.org

>Subject: ALAWON, Vol. 5, No. 24 - ACTION ITEM (220 lines)

>

>

>ALAWON

Volume 5, Number 24

>ISSN 1069-7799

May 10, 1996

> American Library Association Washington Office Newsline

>

>

> URGENT: IMMINENT CONGRESSIONAL ACTION ON NII COPYRIGHT

>LEGISLATION THREATENS TO LEAVE LIBRARIES AND SCHOOLS IN THE LURCH

>

>IMMEDIATE ACTION NEEDED: Your immediate faxes and calls to key

>House Committee Members critical.

>

>BACKGROUND:

>The House is rushing the "NII Copyright Protection Act" bill to

>"mark up" in the House Courts and Intellectual Property

>Subcommittee on Wednesday of next week, May 15! The House

>completed its hearings in February on this bill. (Earlier

>ALAWON's have described in detail the "NII Copyright Protection  
>Act" taken from the Administration's "White Paper" and introduced  
>in Congress last September.)  
>  
>Worse yet, the Courts and Intellectual Property Subcommittee is  
>also considering wrapping the Copyright Term Extension Act (which  
>would lengthen the term of copyright protection by 20 years) into  
>the "NII Copyright" package on May 15. That action could short-circuit  
>negotiations between ALA and copyright owners that began  
>last October to craft an exemption from the term extension for  
>libraries, archives and non-profit educational institutions. If  
>approved in its current form, the bill would:  
>  
>\*\*\* make it a copyright violation to simply browse the Net  
>without a license from copyright owners;  
>  
>\*\*\* subject computer system operators -- such as on-line services  
>and networks at schools and libraries -- to potentially crippling  
>liability for the copyright violations of their users, even if  
>the operator;  
>  
>\*\*\* cripple "distance education" efforts especially vital to  
>rural communities and the disabled; and  
>  
>\*\*\* make it illegal to manufacture, import or distribute devices  
>and software (including computers and VCRs) needed by industry,  
>schools and libraries to make "fair use" of encrypted information  
>by overruling long-standing Supreme Court precedent.  
>  
>The Senate is moving deliberately on this tremendously imbalanced  
>package and has indicated that changes in it need to be made to  
>protect libraries and schools. The Senate Judiciary Committee,

>which just held the first of its own (non-joint) hearings on this  
>bill on May 7, and is taking a far more deliberate approach to  
>these complicated issues.

>

>In fact, Chairman Hatch appeared open at the hearing to many of  
>the proposals backed by libraries and educational groups put  
>forward by Prof. Robert Oakley (of AALL) on behalf of the Digital  
>Future Coalition, in which ALA has been very active. (The DFC  
>was given one of only five total witness slots at this important  
>hearing held coincidentally on ALA's annual Legislative Day.)  
>Sen. Hatch also indicated that he would hold at least one  
>additional hearing which is likely to include a "library"  
>witness.

>

>ACTION NEEDED NOW:

>Please immediately fax a letter to AND CALL all Members of the  
>House Intellectual Property Subcommittee listed below who  
>represent you or an institution with which you are affiliated.  
>These contacts must be made NO LATER THAN Tuesday, May 14 and  
>preferably sooner. Contact info and a sample letter follow.

>

>For more information about the bill, the dangers it poses and the  
>constructive solutions offered, please see the DIGITAL FUTURE  
>COALITION WEBSITE at <http://www.ari.net/dfc>

>

>\*\*\*\*\*

>Using appropriate style for addressing Congress, please address  
>all letters to Members, as listed below e.g., "2346 RHOB" for  
>"Rayburn House Office Building", LHOB=Longworth and CHOB=Cannon)  
>+ Washington, DC 20515.

>

>Info appears as:

>

>Member and Home City

>Address Phone Fax

>

>Carlos Moorhead      Glendale, CA

>2346 RHOB 225-4176   226-1279

>

>F. James Sensenbrenner   Brookfield, WI

>2332 RHOB 225-5101   225-3190

>

>George Gekas      Harrisburg, PA

>2410 RHOB 225-4315   225-8440

>

>Howard Coble      Asheboro, NC

>403 CHOB           225-3065   225-8611

>Elton Gallegly Oxnard, CA

>2441 RHOB 225-5811   225-1100

>

>Charles Canady Lakeland, FL

>1222 LHOB 225-1252   225-2279

>

>Bob Goodlatte      Roanoke, NC

>123 CHOB           225-5431   225-9681

>

>Martin Hoke      Fairview Park, OH

>212 CHOB           225-5871   226-0994

>

>Sonny Bono           Palm Springs, CA

>512 CHOB           225-5330   225-2961

>

>John Conyers, Jr.   Detroit, MI

>2426 RHOB 225-5126   225-0072

>

>Patricia Schroeder Denver, CO

>2307 RHOB 225-4431 225-5842

>

>Howard Berman Mission Hills, CA

>2231 RHOB 225-4695 225-5279

>

>Rick Boucher Abingdon, VA

>2245 RHOB 225-3861 225-0442

>

>Jerry Nadler New York, NY

>109 CHOB 225-5635 225-6923

>

>Xavier Becerra Los Angeles, CA

>1119 LHOB 225-6235 225-2202

>

>Xavier Becerra Los Angeles, CA

>1119 LHOB 225-6235 225-2202

>

> SAMPLE LETTER

> \*\*\*\*\*

>

> [DATE]

>

>[Hon. \_\_\_\_\_]

>United States House of Representatives]

>\_\_# \_\_ \_\_\_\_ Office Building

>Washington, D.C. 20515

>

>Dear Representative\_\_\_\_\_:

>

> As a member of the American Library Association and an

>active {your connection to libraries and their work, e.g.,

>librarian, trustee, volunteer, etc.}, I am writing today to ask

>that you do everything in your power to assure that two bills now  
>pending before the House Courts and Intellectual Property Subcom-  
>mittee are not voted out of Committee unless and until they are  
>amended to help libraries serve the public in the following ways.  
>

> First, the "NII Copyright Protection Act of 1995" (H.R.  
>2441) must be changed to permit libraries to use the latest  
>technologies to preserve crumbling older works and to have  
>sufficient copies of those works on hand to guarantee their  
>survival. Provisions that will continue to foster "distance  
>education" also are critically important. More broadly, balance  
>must be restored to the legislation by adopting a series of  
>amendments proposed by the Digital Future Coalition (DFC), many  
>of which are based on a strong commitment to the Fair Use  
>Doctrine. I share that commitment. If Congress is to update  
>copyright law for the digital age, the rights of copyright owners  
>and the needs of information users must both be fully respected  
>and advanced. I support the DFC's package of amendments to the  
>Copyright Act, particularly those related to Sections 106, 107  
>and 108.

>  
> Second, and just as critically, the "Copyright Term  
>Extension Act"(H.R. 989) must also be rebalanced to protect and  
>foster library preservation efforts and education at all levels.  
>In its current form, this bill would extend the length of  
>copyright in published materials by 20 years. It would also  
>lengthen the term of copyright for unpublished works by 10 years.  
>In other words, the bill will impose a 10 or 20 year moratorium  
>on works entering the public domain. The costs of tracking down  
>the owners of these works (often 100 or more years old) imposes  
>costs on libraries better spent on serving the public. ALA's  
>representatives in Washington have been negotiating a suitable

>amendment to this bill with major copyright industries since  
>December of last year. The Register of Copyrights is mediating  
>those talks. Please do everything that you can to allow that  
>process, which I am told is going well, to bear fruit. Premature  
>action on this bill would be disastrous for libraries and  
>schools.

>  
> Thank you very much for helping libraries make the most of  
>new technology and the Internet to bring the benefits of  
>information technology to all Americans, and especially those in  
>[INSERT THE NAME OF YOUR STATE, CITY OR COUNTY REPRESENTED BY THE  
>MEMBER TO WHOM YOU'RE WRITING]. ALA's Washington Office staff  
>would be pleased to provide you or your office with more  
>information. They can be reached at 202-628-8410.

>  
> Sincerely,  
>  
>  
> \_\_\_\_\_

>ALAWON is a free, irregular publication of the American Library  
>Association Washington Office. To subscribe, send the message  
>"subscribe ala-wo [your\_firstname] [your\_lastname]" to <listproc  
>@ala.org>. ALAWON archives gopher.ala.org; select Washington  
>Office Newslines. Web page [HTTP://www.ala.org/alawashington.html](http://www.ala.org/alawashington.html).

>  
>ALA Washington Office 202.628.8410 (V)  
>1301 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, #403 202.628.8419 (F)  
>Washington, DC 20004-1701 Lynne E. Bradley, Editor  
><alawash@alawash.org> <leb@alawash.org>  
>Contributor to this issue: Adam M. Eisgrau  
> <ame@alawash.org>

>  
>All materials subject to copyright by the American Library  
>Association may be reprinted or redistributed for noncommercial

>purposes with appropriate credits.

\*\*\*\*\*

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: [freematt@coil.com](mailto:freematt@coil.com) with the words subscribe FA  
on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-30 messages per week)

Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

\*\*\*\*\*

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96051404 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

At XXXX, you wrote: (in reference to Morris Dees)

>In the local militia organization, it is suspected that we have amongst  
>us someone who is on his "payroll" of investigating the militia. Either  
>that or this guy is a gov't snoop. His background just doesn't check  
>out; I suspect he's had . . . training . . .

>

> . . . however I'm curious, do you have  
>a lot of information about Mr. Dees and his operations involving his  
>"investigations?" My job partly is countering his efforts as I . . .

>

> Sincerely,

>

> XXXX

-----

XXXX:

I am aware of who and what Dees is but I don't really have any  
information on him that is not common knowledge. I just happened  
to stumble across his unlisted fax number.

The nature of a militia unit is that of a public organization.  
Any authentic militia unit must post public notices of meetings  
and elections of officers. \*ALL\* persons residing in the area  
(usually county) are allowed and should be encouraged to  
participate. Any para-military unit that is not publicly formed  
and can not trace a line of authority to the people (usually of  
a county), is not a militia unit but rather "a private army which

does not exist under the authority of the people" and may run into trouble because of that.

Only if and when an individual performs actions detrimental to the unit should that individual be ejected from the unit and then only through a vote of the participants at a public militia meeting for which public notice has been given. Ideally the person would be given an opportunity to explain or defend his actions at that meeting. Simply reporting public information to Dees or some government agency should not be detrimental to the unit.

Of course it may well be necessary to hold private staff meetings for obvious reasons. Unless the person in question has been elected to a staff position, he has no "right" to attend staff meetings. If he has been elected, you have more of a problem - and may need to post public notice and hold a new election. Some information in the unit should be on a "need to know" basis, such as arms and supply cache locations or alert rosters with names and telephone numbers.

Members of the militia are the whole people less any exceptions that may be listed in constitutional state law. People who actively participate in unit activities are only militia members in the sense that all are militia members. The active ones are more properly referred to as "participants", not members. Continued use of the word "member" suggests that the militia is some kind of private organization, which it is not.

It is my feeling that militia units should be as public as possible. In Texas, when an organization volunteers to police (meaning pick up trash) a section of highway, the highway department posts signs saying X organization has volunteered to keep this section of highway clean. I would like to see militia

signs on all Texas highways. Fourth of July and other parades are good PR opportunities. The Public Relations Officer should be one of the most highly qualified staff officers in a unit. Units need to coordinate with the County Sheriff and let him know that organized manpower is available to search for lost children and other such needs.

Secret organizations claiming to be militia cast discredit upon the actual militia and tend to cause the erroneous public impression that the militia is something like the Klu Klux Klan. Public militia units need have no great concern that their public activities might be reported to the authorities.

The above is only my personal opinion, although it is also the general philosophy of the Texas Constitutional Militia. I do not speak for the TCM, as I resigned from my leadership position in January 1995 when I decided that guns in general and the militia in particular were not going to \*solve\* our problems with the state and, especially, federal governments being out of control.

for Liberty,  
Bill Utterback

PIML 96051402 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[The following message (below) is "inside" information on Perot and the Reform Party. Perot, as a New World Order lackey, ran for President in 1992 to act as a spoiler against Libertarian and other alternative candidates. Since the major media is largely controlled by the NWO gang, he was quite successful in diverting attention to himself and squelching the message of Marrou and other candidates. It looks as though he will again attempt to confuse and splinter patriots through the vehicle of the Reform Party. The following message also shows how difficult it is under current laws to organize an alternative party.

The Libertarian Party is the LIBERTY party; it is the third largest political party in the United States. Millions of Americans have voted for Libertarian Party candidates in past elections throughout the country, despite the fact that many state governments place every imaginable roadblock in our path in order to keep our candidates off the ballot and deprive voters of a real choice.

Libertarians believe the answer to America's political problems is the same commitment to freedom that earned America its greatness: a free-market economy and the abundance and prosperity it brings; a dedication to civil liberties and personal freedom that marks this country above all others; and a foreign policy of non-intervention, peace, and free trade as prescribed by America's founders.

The Libertarian party was on the ballot in all 50 states in 1992 (not the first time) and there is every reason to expect that it will be on the ballot in all 50 states in 1996. Patriots are

going to have to learn to join together in strength to return liberty to America. Fragmentation and splintering serve no one except the NWO gang.

I will now put on my psychic hat and make two predictions:

(1) Buchanan will endorse Dole and patriots will have no one to vote for who has the remotest chance of being elected except the Libertarian candidate who will be selected at the convention in July. (2) That candidate will be Harry Browne. His web page is <<http://www.harrybrowne96.org/>>. Support of tiny parties and splinter groups like the U.S. Taxpayers' Party, while their aims may be admirable, only acts to elect the Republicrat candidate Clinton/Dole.] PIML

[end unpaid and unashamed political advertisement]

[Note on another subject: As mentioned before, AOL has not seen fit to add PIML to their registry of mailing lists, although they solicit new additions. I recently noticed they had added a "patriots" mailing list (Patriots List <[patriots@world.std.com](mailto:patriots@world.std.com)>.) Thinking that AOL must have relaxed their censorship screening, I subscribed to the new list. Don't bother to subscribe - it is a football team mailing list.] PIML

-----

From: "Philip D. Madsen" <[phil.madsen@thend.com](mailto:phil.madsen@thend.com)>  
To: "'Marilou Stanley'" <[ms@primenet.com](mailto:ms@primenet.com)>  
Cc: "uwsa@shell.portal.com" <[uwsa@shell.portal.com](mailto:uwsa@shell.portal.com)>  
Subject: Answers To Your Reform Party Questons  
Date: Tue, 14 May 1996 11:46:24 -0500

Marilou,

In answer to your questions (below) here is what I know.

The national Reform Party does not yet formally exist as a proper national political party. To do so, it would need state party organizations in all 50 states, a constitution or similar charter document which all 50 organizations have agreed to, and sta

tus as a national political party committee under Federal Election Commission (FEC) rules. Ross Perot and Reform Party activists are busy building such an organization.

Minnesota was easier than most states because we already had a third major party established when the Reform Party effort began. Having considered all the factors, the MN Independence Party (founded in 1992) is now in the process of associating itself w

ith the Reform Party. In so associating, we have yeilded none of our self-governing power and democratic ways.

Some states do not permit political parties to become established until after they have run a candidate for office. I believe about a dozen states have such a requirement. In those cases it will be necessary for a candidate to file as a Reform Party can

didate. That candidate's voting results will then determine if the party qualified in that state.

It will not be until after November, 1996 when all 50 states have officially recognized Reform Party organizations in them. This recognition comes from each state's Secretary of State, not from Dallas. Once a state party organization is properly establi

shed, what Dallas wants or does not want is relevant only to the extent that the state organization considers it to be relevant.

When Ross Perot announced his support of a national third party organizing effort, the initial organization was called "Citizens To Establish a Reform Party (CERP)." That organization was a sole proprietorship owned and operated by Ross Perot. It consis

ted of Mr. Perot and a number of paid employees, many of whom were former UWSA paid employees. A few weeks ago, FEC rules required Mr. Perot to re-organize this entity into a political committee (not a political party committee, but a political committe

e as defined by FEC rules). That committee is known as the "Perot Reform Committee."

The Perot Reform Committee recently filed its first FEC report, the details of which were reported by

the press. As I recall, Mr. Perot is the sole contributor. He has contributed somewhere between \$500,000 and \$1,000,000 to date, most of which went to

pay for staff, travel, and petition efforts. This report is an item of public record. The FEC staff can tell how to receive a copy. The FEC number is 800-424-9530. FEC reports are quite detailed, showing the dollar amounts expended and the recipients of

those expenditures. I believe you will be able to find the answers to many of your questions by obtaining a copy of this report.

I cannot provide you with current detailed information about who runs the Perot Reform Committee simply because I do not know. Obviously, Ross Perot and Russ Verney have key roles. My guess is that the body is essentially the same as CERP was.

Is this a top-down committee accountable only to itself? Yes it is. Does that bother me? No it doesn't. When I founded the Independence Party of Minnesota in 1992, there was no way to do so without autocratically stepping forward and declaring that the

IP now exists. It is a chicken and egg problem. How do you get democratically elected leaders for an organization that does not yet exist? The solution is to simply take charge, provide the leadership needed to develop a free-standing organization, dev

elop a constitution, and elect people under those rules.

Robert's Rules of Order show how to establish a permanent organization where one does not yet exist. Under Robert's Rules, the Perot Reform Committee is regarded as a sponsoring organization. The sponsors have all the power and do the initial organizing

work. The direction the organization takes from there is a function of what the members and sponsors agree to. The agreement is codified in the organization's constitution or other charter document. That document spells out the powers, rights, and rela

tionships of all members, leaders, and organizational entities. If the sponsors dictate the terms and the members agree, you have a top-down organization. If the sponsors facilitate a democratic process for drafting and adopting the document, you have a

bottom-up organization. If the sponsors dictate the terms and the majority of members depart, you have a lot of bitterness and regrets.

A national political party is nothing more than a collection of 50 state party organizations. I believe it unlikely that any official national Reform Party constitution work will be done until after the 1996 elections. First we need to get state party

organizations established in all 50 states. Once that occurs, constitution work can be properly done, with each state party organization having a voice and vote in drafting the document.

Before we can get established in all 50 states, we need a national candidate. That's what the national nominating convention is for. Note that this nominating convention does not establish a national party. It only nominates a candidate. After the elect

ion, and after the charter document is adopted by those who will live under it, the national Reform Party will properly exist.

Until then, all there is is the Perot Reform Committee; which is doing this nation a great service by supporting citizens in forming the state party organizations that will one day make up the national Reform Party. Without Mr. Perot's support, money, a

nd willingness to fight the court battles, it would be nearly impossible to establish the third major national party we need to do battle with the Democrats and Republicans to reform our government.

My answers to your other questions are as follows:

I know nothing of Dick Tolliver. To find out if he is a paid worker, check the FEC report.

I have had no occasion to track or know the AZ petition figures. From our Minnesota perspective, we simply note that in state after state, the petition objectives are being achieved as the petition dates come and go. As an officer of the MN IP, I am rel

uctant to inquire about the details of another state's petition drive. Such a move would be discourteous to my counterparts in AZ. If someone in another state wanted to know the facts and figures about the MN IP, I would prefer that they ask us.

"Thousands" may be the best answer that is available to you right now. You know how petition drives go. Until all the sheets are gathered in one place and counted, the precise number of signatures is difficult to determine. In time the exact number will

be known. Based on the petitioning success in other states, I have little doubt that the AZ requirements will be met.

>From news reports, Internet comments, and personal contact with one paid petitioner, I know that paid petitioners have been used in several states. Some people suggest that paid petitioners make the drive less real or somehow illegitimate. I disagree.



Given the obscene and unfair legal restrictions the Demcorats and Republicans have placed on citizens who wish to form third parties, I am glad Mr. Perot is paying to get the petition job done where it is necessary to do so. This helps level the playi

ng field.

Why should we have such a difficult time getting our candidates on the ballot when the Democrats and Republicans have such an easy time? Once we elect our own Reform Party candidates we can change the laws to make elections fair for all people. Until th

en, it does little public good to critizize paid petitioners. Expecting volunteers to rise up and do this hard work for an obscure outcome (estabilshing a third party) only reinforces the unfair ballot access laws the Democrats and Republicans have impo

sed.

Thank you for your questions which gave me this opportunity to share this information.

Phil Madsen

-----  
From: Marilou Stanley[SMTP:ms@primenet.com]

Sent: Monday, May 13, 1996 5:17 AM

To: Philip D. Madsen

Cc: uwsa@shell.portal.com

Subject: Re: UWSA Lesson Learned

Phil,

Thanks for your open attitude. Can you tell me who runs the national Reform Party? Who is on the board of directors? Who are their paid staff? How many former directors of UWSA are now on their payroll? Is Dick Toliver a paid worker?

All phone calls I receive at our UWSA-AZ h.q. with questions about the Reform Party or the chances Ross will run again are referred to their phone number. To date, I probably have had about 3 dozen calls. When I inquired as to how many signatures they had collected, I was told they had thousands. Are you privy to these figures? If so, are you allowed to share them?

How many states are using paid circulators? I was told that in Nevada they were paying 50 cents per signature. Since they needed less than 3700, didn't they have enough Reform Party volunteers to do this?

Thanks for your help.

Marilou

=====

>=snip=

>If the Reform Party is going to belong to the people, then the Reform Party information must also belong to the people. Our Minnesota party has been setting a good open information example throughout the four years we have existed. Let's all work hard for open information in all 50 states.

>

>If anyone reading this message is aware of any "secret keeping" now going on in the Reform Party, I want to know about it. I am prepared to speak out against any such activity and to take whatever action is necessary and appropriate to nip such activity in the bud. I trust other Reform Party leaders are willing to do the same.

>

>Phil Madsen

>Independence Party of Minnesota

>(soon to be the Reform Party of MN)

>

==snip==

Marilou Stanley

+++++

To be born free is a blessing.

To live free is a privilege.

To die free is a responsibility.

Broussard

+++++

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051401 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: "Cravens, Roger D." <rbg3@CCDOS1.EM.CDC.GOV>

Subject: Is the Constitution Suspended?

Date: Mon, 13 May 96 13:21:00 EST

Is the Constitution Suspended? - The New American (02-05-96)

THE NEW AMERICAN -- February 5, 1996

Copyright 1996 -- American Opinion Publishing, Incorporated

P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, WI 54913

ARTICLE: Nation

TITLE: Is the Constitution Suspended?

AUTHOR: Thomas A. Burzynski

In recent years, an ever-increasing number of alarming rumors have been paraded before Americans concerning their nation's future. Fears of United Nations forces in Montana, a concentration camp in downtown Indianapolis, and black helicopters everywhere are but a few of the sensational stories that have been spread through talk radio, the Internet, and tabloid newspapers.

The most recent addition to this maelstrom of false alarms offers the theory that the U.S. Constitution has been suspended since 1933 through a declaration of national emergency by President Franklin Roosevelt. This alarming assertion is being promoted chiefly by Dr. Eugene Schroder, a Colorado veterinarian, through his book Constitution: Fact or Fiction. According to a biography provided by his publisher, Dr. Schroder "uncovered the use of emergency powers to set aside the Constitution" several years ago and has been investigating the situation ever since. Dr. Schroder's efforts to publicize the issue have been

boosted by interviews in the Spotlight and Anti-Shyster publications as well as through appearances on talk-radio programs.

Dr. Schroder has apparently influenced others. Larry Pratt, executive director of Gun Owners of America (GOA), endorsed and recommended Dr. Schroder's work, declaring that "America needs to read this book!" GOA has released a video, Enemy Public Number One, which mirrors the arguments of Dr. Schroder. Likewise, the Republican Party of Texas, the nation's largest state Republican party, issued a resolution claiming that the Constitution has been suspended and calling for its restoration. John Tello, a member of the executive committee of the Texas Republican Party and the primary backer of the resolution, told The New American, "Dr. Eugene Schroder worked with us." Seemingly, these groups have taken Dr. Schroder's theory as fact without checking the accuracy of the information on which it is based.

### The Schroder Theory

According to Schroder, the foundation for the current crisis was laid on October 6, 1917 with the passage of the Trading With the Enemy Act. This act gave the President the power to regulate, during time of war, all financial transactions involving any "individual, partnership, or body of individuals residing within any nation with which the U.S. is at war." On March 9, 1933, five days after Roosevelt's inauguration and four days after he had declared a national emergency, Congress passed the Emergency Banking Act, which amended the Trading With the Enemy Act to include regulation of transactions not only with the enemy but also between Americans during time of war or national emergency.

Since FDR had already declared a national emergency to deal with the bank "crisis," this unconstitutional legislation immediately gave the President much of the confidence he needed to launch the economic segment of his "New Deal" agenda. The result, of course, was an assault

on the traditional form of American government and the free enterprise system under which America had flourished. FDR's declaration of a national emergency has never been terminated and has been joined by numerous other declarations of national emergency by subsequent presidents. Dr. Schroder cites this, as well as various government reports and laws, as "proof" that our nation has slipped into an "unconstitutional dictatorship." But as we shall see, he overlooks the fact that the Constitution is the supreme law of the land and cannot be suspended or superseded via a law or presidential decree. In effect, he confuses violations of the Constitution with a suspension of the Constitution.

#### Fact and Fiction

One piece of Schroder's documentation comes from a 1973 Senate report which ominously stated:

"Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency.... Under powers delegated ... [during a national emergency] the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and, in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens."

Aside from being unconstitutional, the level of tyranny described by this 22-year-old Senate report has never been reached. Harold Relyea, a specialist in American national government with the Congressional Research Service, explained to The New American: "The emergency declared in 1933 still exists as a matter of law but not as a matter of policy. It has never been terminated but all authority conferred by the

declaration has gone into dormancy."

In his book Constitution: Fact or Fiction, Dr. Schroder contends: "The [U.S.] Constitution can be suspended by any president of the US who ascertains and proclaims a widespread territorial revolt." This claim, however, is not sustained by the Constitution itself, which is the sole legitimate source of federal power. The writ of habeas corpus, as specified in Article I, section 9, is the lone provision of the Constitution which may be suspended -- and even then, only in "cases of rebellion or invasion." Under the Constitution the writ could be suspended during a period of national emergency only if the national emergency in question were a "rebellion or invasion." As Relyea emphasized to The New American, "The Constitution has never been suspended."

So how does Schroder reach his conclusion in light of the Constitution? Largely by way of faulty research. For instance, one of the primary pieces of evidence he cites involves Title 12, Section 95(b) of the United States Code. Writes Schroder:

"[I]f we went to the library today and picked up a copy of 12 USC and went to section 95(b) ... we will find a law which states: 'The actions, regulations, rules, licenses, orders and proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken, promulgated, made, or issued by the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury since March 4, 1933, pursuant to the authority conferred by subsection (b) of section 5 of the Act of October 6, 1917 [Trading With the Enemy Act], as amended, are hereby approved and confirmed.' "

Schroder claims that this section of the U.S. Code means that everything the President or the Secretary of the Treasury has done since March 4, 1933 and anything that the President or the Secretary of the Treasury is hereafter going to do is automatically "approved and confirmed."

However, research reveals that Dr. Schroder is wrong. Doing as he suggests, this author went to the library, picked up a copy of Title 12 USC, and went to section 95(b), where he found the following:

"The actions, regulations, rules, licenses, orders and proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken, promulgated, made, or issued by the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury since March 4, 1933, pursuant to the authority conferred by section 95a of this title, are approved and confirmed."

Looking in section 95(b) of USC Title 12, this writer found, in place of Dr. Schroder's reference to the 1917 Trading With the Enemy Act, a reference to "section 95(a) of this title." Section 95(a) refers only to a time of war, not to a period of national emergency. Dr. Schroder quoted from an outdated version of the U.S. Code.

In addition, the 1995 resolution passed by the Texas Republicans contains the very same error. John Tello told The New American that Schroder "became aware of the change in 1987." But Tello added that the change in this law is reflected neither in his resolution nor in Schroder's book because they both believe the government is still operating under the older phraseology.

### Ignoring the Constitution

The current emergency power supplied to the President and the Secretary of the Treasury by section 95(a) authorizes them to regulate America's banking system, even to order the closing of banks. But such powers are clearly unconstitutional on two counts: The Constitution contains no authorization for any federal authority to declare a national emergency, and it likewise contains no authorization for regulating or closing



banks. The absence of such grants of power in the body of the Constitution are, of course, reinforced by the Tenth Amendment. Therefore, the Constitution cannot be suspended as Dr. Schroder believes. Instead, its fundamental limitations are being ignored and the power of the executive branch of government is being permitted to grow to ominous proportions.

Dr. Schroder makes another misstep when he cites the Trading With the Enemy Act. To buttress his claim that we live in a dictatorship brought on via the use of emergency powers, he cites the following portion of the act: "During time of war or during any other period of national emergency declared by the President, the President may ..." regulate to the point of prohibiting any transaction involving Americans. But even this portion of the act, though always unconstitutional, was amended in 1977 to read: "During time of war, the President may...." What was deleted from the original by the 1977 amendment, of course, is the reference to a "national emergency declared by the President."

In 1976 Congress passed the National Emergencies Termination Act, which specified that any national emergency not extended by the President on an annual basis will be automatically terminated. Also, the act recognized the power of Congress to terminate a national emergency. Schroder claims that the national emergency declared by FDR in 1933 was expressly exempted from this act. But he fails to note that this exemption was done away with one year later in a measure amending the Trading With the Enemy Act.

#### Danger of Rumors

The rumor of a suspended Constitution represents only the latest in what has become a labyrinth of false trails and dead-ends, all with the potential to confuse and neutralize well-meaning conservatives.

Mysterious executive orders prohibiting food hoarding, undue concern

about yellow fringes around the American flag, and tales of Russian weather modification all damage the credibility of otherwise effective conservative activists. But the rumor that the Constitution has already been suspended delivers a double blow to the conservative movement since it is not only patently false, but is infecting good Americans with a sense of hopelessness. If the Constitution has been suspended, then constituent pressure on congressmen is useless, all checks and balances designed into our system of government no longer apply, and the government is incapable of safeguarding our God-given rights.

Fortunately, as long as the Constitution still stands, any government action that is based on extra-constitutional powers is ipso facto unconstitutional. What America needs are congressional declarations that expose as nullities all illegally usurped powers. In addition, the people must learn to resist the distractions of the rumor mill and, instead, generate constant and informed vigilance lest their freedoms disappear at the hands of those who always claim that they mean to rule well, but who most of all mean always to rule.

END OF ARTICLE

THE NEW AMERICAN -- February 5, 1996

Copyright 1996 -- American Opinion Publishing, Incorporated

P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, WI 54913

birch@athenet.net

SUBSCRIPTIONS: \$39.00/year (26 issues) 1-800-727-TRUE

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051305 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: HPBIII@aol.com

Date: Sat, 11 May 1996 00:34:28 -0400

To: 100705.1252@compuserve.com

Subject: L&J: Del.Reg.Mil.assists Gulf War Vets

Subject: L&J: Del.Reg.Mil. assists Gulf War Vets

Date: Sat, 11 May 96 3:03:03 GMT

This has been personally verified via voice phone in conversations with both Mr.Charles Hamden, and Mr. Andrew Brown, by myself.

On April 28, 1996, a request was made by Army Captain Charles Hamden of Fort Meade, Maryland, and his wife, Julianne, for a protective escort from the Delaware Regional Militia. The Hamdens have been active in exposing the Gulf War illnesses and had testified in previous hearings. On several occasions they had experienced varying degrees of harrassment and intimidation from unknown sources in, what appeared to be, efforts to silence them. Julianne Hamden was scheduled to provide her testimony to the Presidential Advisory Committee on Gulf War Illnesses at the Omni Shorham hotel, in Washington D.C. May 1, 1996. Having attempted repeatedly to fax a copy of her testimony to the Advisory Committee, the attempts were all mysteriously unsuccessful, and they became concerned for their safety. The Delaware Regional Militia hastily assembled a small detachment to provide for their request. Most members are veterens, and so were eager to assist.On the morning of May 1, the unit, and the Hamdens rendevouzed, and proceeded to Wash. D.C.via rail. Even on the train they followed S.O.P. in their seating deployment, and later found it was a wise precaution. They arrived safely at the hotel and found it 'crawling' with observors. They had come with a small arsenal

of electronic counter surveillance equip. After testing, they found the building to be totally sheilded from outside radio sources. "We kicked on a bug detector and it lit up like a Christmas tree" said a member. At no time were any of them approached, or spoken to by any of the 'obvious watchers' that they could only guess were agents of alphabet authority. Julianne was able to give testimony without incident, after which the couple were begged an interview with NBC News as well as a Swedish News Org. These interviews were intercepted by the 'guardian group' in mid trans. for authenticitys' sake, (love those cordless mics!). It was on the train out of the city that they recognized a few faces and realized they had been tailed throughout the day. Maybe it should be expected, yet is still a bit eerie. This operation was a resounding success. "This was the most fun I've had in a very long time" said one of the more trained members.

\*\*\*\*\* From Capt. Hamden:

To Delaware Militia To All,

My wife and I want to thank you for your support today in getting us safely to the Presidential Advisory Committee meeting on the Gulf War Syndrome in Wash. D.C. We had sent a letter citing harrassment in trying to get our testimony to the committee before.

The letter asking for protection in getting my wife over to testify was sent to: President Clinton, the FBI, the Secret Service, the Park Police, the ACLU, the American Legion, and others. None but you responded. Only you helped us get the info on contagious weaponized biological agents present in some of the Gulf War Vets like ourselves, onto the public record. Thank you all for your kindness, and your patriotism. God Bless You, Charles and Julianne Hamden

myself=.....dusk

=====

Liberty for All!

SELF-EMPLOYMENT FOR ALL : e-mail : dusk@success.net > ask for info<

No "intellectual property" rights reserved | "The world is the property  
of every man,

Direct from the 'Blue Moon' | if he just had the sense  
to know it.

'dusk' is a nom de plume, for 30yrs | His feet are in the mud,  
real=david taylor,lancaster,Pa. | and his eyes on the  
stars."

| Grandmother

Grace N. Curtiss Taylor, 1972

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051304 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Note on another subject: PIML is changing from a 7 day per week schedule to a 5 day per week schedule. There will be no Saturday or Sunday postings unless something really hot comes up.]

Date: Wed, 8 May 1996 02:52:53 -0500

From: Mike Vanhooser <novatech@compuTek.net>

Subject:

Cc: patriots@kaiwan.com

I am posting this reply and checklist per request for all who may find use in it. The first part is a previous reply, but relevant.

What is a Notice of Levy? Sir, get thee to a law library, post haste!

Seriously, a Notice of Levy is a de facto (bogus) document which purports to authorize government seizure of your salary/wages, property and/or rights to property, found in Internal Revenue Code (26 USC) 6331.

The notices are not valid for several reasons, to begin with there must be a lein against you, enacted by court order (there never is), you must have received a proper Notice and Demand (you never do), it must be in response to nonpayment of taxes arising under subtitle E (alcohol/tobacco/ firearms), and it must be physically signed (no rubber stamps) by an authorized agent (any agent for ATF taxes, a Criminal Investigator for taxes other than subtitle E, see IRC 7608) (it never is), and it must be served on an employer as defined in IRC 3401(d) (a government employer). I will post a checklist which you can use to educate your bank/employer/whoever as to the validity of the Notice. By best success is convincing the recipient to just write the IRS agent and ask a few questions, such as; "Agent Lestat, my customer/ employee/ whatever has raised a couple of legal points that I need some clarification on. Could you please respond to these so I can be guaranteed that I am not acting improperly in turning over this property to you, otherwise I face legal

liability which will result in my being sued. Please answer the questions in the accompanying checklist, and provide documentation supporting your positions, and when I see that all legal requirements have been met and due process is being followed, I will be happy to honor your Notice of Levy. Thank you for your cooperation."

The silence from the agent will be deafening. Happens every time.  
Here is the checklist, wear it in good health.

### THIRD PARTY CHECKLIST FOR DETERMINING VALIDITY OF IRS NOTICES OF LEVY

INSTRUCTIONS: Do not proceed beyond each step unless the answer to each question is YES. If the answer to any question is NO, the levy is not valid Inform the IRS that you are unable to honor the levy until all legal requirements are met.

[ ] Is there a copy of the court ordered warrant of distraint and Notice of Lien included with the Notice of Levy, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, #69?

[ ] Does the tax that the IRS claims is owed arise from taxable activities subject to miscellaneous excise taxes under 26 USC subtitle E or those that would pertain to the enabling regulations of 27 CFR Part 70 (alcohol, tobacco and firearms), or are you a federal employer as defined in section 3401(d) (in one of the U.S. territories and responsible for administering provisions under 26 USC subtitle C)?

[ ] Was a valid Notice and Demand sent to the individual whose property is the target of the levy?

[ ] Has a valid Notice of Lien been filed with the appropriate court at least 10 days after the Notice and Demand was received and has the court issued a warrant of distraint pursuant to 26 USC section 7403?



[ ] Has the IRS sent at least three notices to the individual asking for payment and has the individual refused to pay, pursuant to 26 USC section 6303?

[ ] Has the IRS sent a Notice of Intent to Levy to the individual at least 30 days prior to the date on the Notice of Levy you received?

[ ] Is the Notice of Levy signed in ink by a Criminal Investigator of either the Intelligence Division or the Internal Security Division of the IRS and is there a Delegation Order in existence giving that particular agent the authority to issue a Notice of Levy, pursuant to 26 USC section 7608?

If all of the above conditions have been satisfied, the levy could be valid. However, if you turn over property in response to an improper levy, the individual who owns the property can sue you personally for punitive as well as actual damages, pursuant to 26 CFR 301.6332-1(c).

IT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY AS A FIDUCIARY TO INSURE THAT ALL LEGAL REQUIREMENTS ARE MET!

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051303 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[We need this in every state!]

Date: Sat, 11 May 1996 10:26:20 -0500

From: Pat Owens <powens19@mixcom.com>

Subject: CAJI! ; Sen. D. Rogers

Date: Wed, 8 May 1996 23:50:35 -0700 (PDT)

From: lfgmgtwheel@earthlink.net (James H. Wheeler)

Subject: CAJI! California Senate Joint Resolution No. 43; Sen. D. Rogers

California Senate Joint Resolution No. 43

Introduced by Sen. Don Rogers

March 21, 1996

WHEREAS, "We the people" created the states, which in turn drafted the United States Constitution, the first three articles of which created the federal government as their agent responsible for exercising very specific powers on their behalf; and

WHEREAS, Under the law of agency, the principle to a contract retains the ultimate authority and responsibility for its proper interpretation and implementation; and

WHEREAS, The 10th Amendment to the United States Constitution says, "The powers not delegated to the United States by the constitution, nor prohibited by it to the states, are reserved to the states respectively, or to the people"; and

WHEREAS, The federal government either through bad judgment or deliberate misconstruction and usurpation of the powers delegated it by the United

States Constitution, led the nation into bankruptcy by means of a fraudulently created and mathematically unsound system of "money," or debt, creation, thereby creating a national emergency; and

WHEREAS, According to the United States Senate Special Committee on the Termination of the National Emergency (Report No. 93-549), the United States Constitution has been subverted by a "compendium" of several thousand provisions of law that has transferred emergency powers to the President of the United States that are beyond those delegated to him or her by the United States Constitution; and

WHEREAS, The Senate committee characterized these emergency powers by saying, "This vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough power to rule the country without reference to normal constitutional processes"; and

WHEREAS, The federal government used these powers to subvert the nature of each of our states that the Declaration of Independence says, "are, and of right ought to be free and independent" by committing them to take joint and separate action in pursuit of United Nations policies and edicts; and

WHEREAS, The federal government admitted to the unconstitutionality of this action in PL 82-313, enacted April 14, 1952, by stating, "Whereas the existing state of war . . . and the termination thereof . . . would render certain statutory provisions inoperative", and "Whereas some of these statutory provisions are needed to insure the . . . capacity of the United States to support the United Nations . . . it is desirable to extend these needed statutory provisions"; and

WHEREAS, It is self-evident, after 61 years of ruling the states under emergency powers, that the federal government has no intention of solving the emergency and returning government to within the limits prescribed by the United States Constitution; and

WHEREAS, The United States Supreme Court ruled in *New York v. United States*, 120 L. Ed. 2d, 120, that Congress cannot simply commandeer the legislative and regulatory process of the states; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Senate and Assembly of the State of California, jointly, That the legislature of the State of California hereby memorializes the President and Congress of the United States to remedy these undesired,

unwise and unconstitutional acts by doing all of the following within 90 days of the adoption of the language of this resolution or similar language by the legislatures of 38 states:

(a) Providing this state and the nation a non-interest bearing and debt-free medium of exchange meeting the spirit and intent of paragraph 5 of Section 8 of Article I of the United States Constitution.

(b) Terminating all emergency powers permitting the federal government to "rule the country without reference to normal Constitutional processes" and providing this state a plan by which all unconstitutional federal activities will be phased out no later than 120 days after the adoption of the language of this resolution or similar language by the legislatures of 38 states.

(c) Outlining a plan to restore the American system of economic independence set forth by our Declaration of Independence and United States Constitution; and be it further

Resolved, That if the President or Congress of the United States fails to take these actions, the Legislature of the State of California, on behalf of the people of the state, and when joined by at least 37 of its sister states, shall declare its agent, the federal government, to be in violation of its assigned responsibilities, and under the power reserved to the states by the 10th Amendment to the United States Constitution shall authorize that a meeting be held by the governors of the states adopting the language of this resolution or similar language, within 48 hours of the declaration, to do both of the following:

(a) Discharge all federal elected and appointed officials whom the governors determine to have violated the United States Constitution.

(b) Select, by a 2/3 vote, an interim Chief Federal Administrative Officer and cabinet from among themselves to manage the affairs of the federal government, pending the results of elections to be held within 90 days of the declaration; and be it further

Resolved, That should the interim Chief Federal Administrative Officer selected by the governors, or any administrator of a federal agency, subsequently take an action found repugnant to the United States

Constitution by 50 percent or more of the state legislatures adopting the language of this resolution or similar language, he or she shall be prosecuted under the applicable laws of Title 18 of the United States Code, or according to the principles adopted by the Nuremburg international tribunal, or both, by a state attorney general to be selected by a majority of the governors of the states adopting the language of this resolution or similar language, with the prosecution to be in addition to any action that might result from the convening of grand juries by citizens, county attorneys, or other state attorneys general; and be it further

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate transmit copies of this resolution to the President and Vice President of the United States, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, to each house of each state legislature in the United States, and to each Senator and Representative from California in the Congress of the United States.

{end of resolution}

\*\*\*\*\*

To subscribe or unsubscribe send mail to:

majordomo@pobox.com with:

(un)subscribe caji youremail@yourprovider.com

in the body of the message.

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051302 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Dees in the news - wonder if he will need to reload paper into his fax machine Monday morning?]

From: Mo10Cav@aol.com

Date: Mon, 13 May 1996 00:35:58 -0400

To: butterb@sagenet.net

Subject: "Gathering Scorn": DeesWatch Bulletin

12 May 1996

"Gathering Scorn" -- a DeesWatch Bulletin on "Gathering Storm" by Morris Dees and James Corcoran. POST or COPY AS YOU LIKE. As they say, "the truth shall make you free."

If Morris Dees exaggerated sense of self-importance were linked with a modicum of competence he might be more dangerous a neo-McCarthyite than he is. For proof positive I direct your attention to a couple of quotes from "Gathering Storm", his latest effort to scare wealthy liberals out of their hard-earned donations:

"Without good information, law enforcement will never be in a position to respond adequately to the militia threat. Presently the Militia Task Force at the Southern Poverty Law Center-- a group of less than ten people-- knows more about the militia world than the FBI." (GS, page 226)

DW commentary: My goodness, what a shock this will be to the poor old FBI! What ARE our tax dollars buying when ole Morris Sleaze knows more than the FBI? This will be all the more remarkable when considered in the light of another Morris' muck-up presented below:



"Senator Specter opened his Waco hearings on June 15, 1995. The key issue, he said, was whether the government had been candid about the episode. The Reverend Pete Peters and his Christian Identity brethren had grappled with how to embrace Waco without embracing the lifestyle of David Koresh. They resolved the matter, seeing the government's murder of innocent people as the greater transgression. The same problem would also plague Specter."

"One of the first witnesses on the opening day was Kiri Jewell. Fourteen-year-old Kiri tearfully gave graphic testimony about how she was repeatedly sexually abused by Koresh. She was the Democrats' best witness, a favor allowed but later regretted by Republicans. Her testimony was powerful, taking a lot of steam out of Specter's planned assault on the ATF. The best evidence Specter offered of government misconduct was proof that the ATF had lost the element of surprise, yet proceeded with the raid." (GS, page 190.)

DW commentary: Hellooooo. Anybody at Harper-Collins fact-checking these two neo-McCarthyite bozos Dees and Corcoran? Now we might well ask the FBI if they think that Morris really does know more than they do about the militia movement, but I think we can safely assume that the FBI recalls WHERE THE WACO HEARINGS WERE HELD AND WHO CO-CHAired THEM!!!!!!-- LET US GIVE YOU A LITTLE HINT, MORRIS: IT WASN'T THE SENATE AND SENATOR SPECTER DIDN'T CHAIR IT!

Indeed, one wonders how this entertainingly told, yet completely false, peroration on the allegedly befuddled Sen. Specter ever made it into a supposedly non-fiction work. Would someone like to call Sen. Specter and tell him that Morris sez he should quit messing up hearings CONDUCTED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES!?!?

Kiri Jewell (and I'm sure the FBI recalls this as well) testified before

the House hearings on Waco. However, as a classic example of how "factually-challenged" Morris is, this one is hard to beat. Hard to beat, but not unbeatable.

Sen. Specter, I sure hope you can find out what Morris was smoking when he wrote this one. And ask yourself this question: if these two stellar "crack investigators" Dees and Corcoran can be so wrong about something so clearly and unchallengeably a part of the public record, then what else are they wrong about? Well, I'll tell you-- just about everything when it comes to the constitutional militia movement. Before you start making public policy based on these lies-for-money you ought to look a little closer at the bumbling and venally incompetent messengers who bring them to you.

Mike Vanderboegh, Deeswatch Project

P.O. Box 926

Pinson, AL 35126

Mo10Cav@aol.com

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051301 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[It is a direct violation of the Gun Control Act of 1968 for the  
Feds to compile national gun registration records. You can bet  
they will be keeping a copy of these Massachusetts records. This  
is well worth asking your Congressman to look into.]

Date: Sun, 12 May 1996 12:27:53 -0400

From: freematt@coil.com (Matthew Gaylor)

Subject: Feds (BATF) computerizing state records

Date: Sat, 11 May 1996 14:00:02 -0700

From: "Scott B. Lacey" <scottl@world.std.com> (by way of jwarren@well.com  
(Jim Warren))

Subject: Feds computerizing state records

Attached is an article from the Boston Globe re. a federal agency (the  
ATF) "helping" Massachusetts to computerize their firearms registration  
records. Of course we KNOW that they wouldn't copy these into their own  
database. I find the description of the ability to scan handwritten  
records quite interesting. What will they be "helping" with next????

>From the Friday, May 10, 1996 Boston Globe:

--- FEDERAL AGENCY HELPS STATE COMPUTERIZE FIREARMS RECORDS ---

The federal government stepped in yesterday to help the state  
computerize records of more than 800,000 gun purchases in Massachusetts,  
clearing an 11-year backlog that has left police with no quick way to  
track individuals who might be stockpiling weapons.

The state is also five months behind in processing records of permits to carry handguns, own rifles or purchase ammunition.

Records of some 31,000 pistol permits and 27,000 Firearm Identification Cards issued have been sitting unfiled in crates and boxes at the Department of Public Safety.

The two backlogs, long a source of concern in law enforcement circles, were assailed by Rep. Paul Caron (D-Springfield), House chairman of the joint Public Safety Committee, as a serious safety problem for police officers on the front line.

"Many times law enforcement officers are flying blind," Caron said. Caron said that police officers, when serving warrants or responding to domestic violence complaints, need information quickly to let them know if they will face someone who owns a firearm.

Stoneham Police Chief Eugene Passaro, chairman of the firearms committee for the Massachusetts Chiefs of Police Association, agreed.

"The backlog is very much a concern. Some guy could be out there buying a hundred guns, but we would have no way to know it," said Passaro.

Caron is pushing a bill that would hike the fees that gun owners pay for licenses and applications. The extra revenue would be used to computerize and update the state's record-keeping system.

"We're supposed to be the computer Mecca of this country, yet we have a government agency still storing valuable information in paper form," Caron said. Public Safety Commissioner Winthrop Farwell, who five months ago took over a department that is woefully behind in computerizing gun sales in Massachusetts, pledged that by Oct.1, he will have eliminated the backlogs.

"We will not fall behind again. Everything will be cleared up for a problem that dates back to 1985," Farwell said.

This is the problem that Farwell confronted: When a pistol or rifle is sold in Massachusetts, the dealer must send a paper record of the transaction to the Department of Public Safety. But since 1985, not one such transaction has been entered into computers. Instead, the receipts were stuffed into storage boxes. Farwell said his understanding was that the failure to computerize was the result of staff shortages.

To tackle the gun registration backlog, late last week Farwell started sending boxes of records to the federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms headquarters in Washington. Yesterday, workers using equipment designed to scan and enter handwritten information into computers began processing the Massachusetts data at the rate of about 2,000 records every eight minutes, Farwell said.

The ATF also has about 14 million federal records to process. The Massachusetts job will cost state taxpayers \$70,000.

Caron's proposed bill would raise the \$2 fee for a Firearms Identification Card to \$25. The identification card currently is issued for life. Caron would like to see it renewed every five years, similar to the \$25 license to carry a pistol.

Michael Yacino, director of the Gun Owners Action League, vowed to fight Caron's legislation.

"To be an honest gun owner and citizen, you need a license. But because of the backlogs, we end up being criminals," Yacino said.

Farwell said that in part to new computers in his office, he also will be current with FID card registrations and pistol permits by October.

\*\*\*\*\*

Subscribe to Freematt's Alerts: Pro-Individual Rights Issues

Send a blank message to: [freematt@coil.com](mailto:freematt@coil.com) with the words subscribe FA on the subject line. List is private and moderated (7-20 messages per week)

Matthew Gaylor, 1933 E. Dublin-Granville Rd., #176, Columbus, OH 43229

\*\*\*\*\*

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Pol-Mailer: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051109 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Tue, 7 May 1996 09:12:20 -0700

From: atra@ix.netcom.com (William Alcorn )

Subject: Fwd: SF: ADL & Common Law Courts

To: butterb@sagenet.net

----- Begin Forwarded Message

From: michael@nile.com (Michael)

Date: Sat, 4 May 96 13:51:57 MDT

Subject: SF: ADL & Common Law Courts

Organization: NileNet Limited

ADL WRITES LEGISLATION?

The Dallas Morning News, May 3, 1996 in an article "Waging 'Legal War,' Common-law courts tangle judicial system in Texas, other states," staff writer Thomas G. Watts weaves an interesting story about common law courts in America.

In Texas all the MAIN players are involved in putting down these courts.

"On Thursday, Attorney General Dan Morales issued a legal opinion that district and county clerks should refuse any document from common-law courts. The common-law courts of the Republic of Texas or any other similar body have no legal existence, except in the minds of the partisans of these movements, No one is above the law."

Perhaps the most interesting part of this front page article deals with the Anti-Defamation League. "Last week, the Anti-Defamation League proposed model legislation that states could enact to specifically counter common-law courts. These bogus courts use legal-sounding



language and official-looking documents to charge government officials with treason and other crimes, to file phony liens against both public officials and ordinary citizens and harass and threaten legitimate judicial and law enforcement personnell, said Abraham H. Foxman, ADL national Director. Our goal is to fill in the cracks where existing laws may not penetrate, he said."

Texas legislators are lining up to adopt the ADL's position.

-----\* Patriot Information Mailing List

- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051108 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Fri, 03 May 1996 17:53:37 -0700

From: Liberty or Death <ghostpwr@europa.com>

Subject: L&J: Desecrating the Flag (00)

One more from the Oregon Observer, from a few months back:

-----  
  
There Is More Than One Way To Desecrate Our Flag

There is no doubt in any American's mind as to what Our American Flag represents. From the moment Betsy Ross stitched the last star in its field of blue, our American Flag has been the world's most recognizable symbol of freedom.

Everywhere we went, we proudly took our flag with us; we flew it on our ships, it led us into battle, it guarded our consulates and embassies and we proudly planted it on the moon when we arrived there.

At home, we used to pledge allegiance to our flag every morning before school and saluted it while singing the national anthem before every sporting event. With hands over our hearts, we meant every word. My God, how we used to be proud of our country.

We were proud to be Americans. We were free and the rest of the world looked up to us with envy. We were not only the greatest nation on earth, but our Founding Fathers had given birth to the greatest nation the world had ever seen. And Old Glory, The Stars and Stripes, our American Flag was the Red, White and Blue symbol of the freedom that made us great.

And now, in the same breath our government deliberates to amend our Constitution for a "balanced budget"(little more than a cruel joke), it deliberates to add another amendment to make it a crime to desecrate our flag.

There is more than one way to desecrate our flag and most of them do not involve the physical act of injuring it.

I suggest to you that burning, ripping and shredding our flag is an effect rather than a cause. Anybody, whether they be an American or otherwise, that takes it upon themselves to physically desecrate our flag is doing so out of anger or frustration--not attributable to the symbol itself, but attributable only to the entity our flag symbolizes.

Therefore, if our flag is being desecrated, the act itself is symbolic. It is being desecrated because the nation that flies the stars and stripes no longer deserves to use them to symbolize the freedom and bravery they were born to represent.

Oh, how do we desecrate Thee? Let me count the ways:

Every time our legislators pass another unconstitutional law, they burn our flag. Every time our government uses its power to infringe upon an American's freedom, it stomps it into the mud. Every time we take our flag to a foreign land and use our military might to invade another nation's sovereignty, we rip our flag to shreds.

Every time an American citizen ruins another American citizen's day, they burn our flag. Every time we lie, cheat or steal, we stomp all over our flag to get what doesn't belong to us.

We desecrate our flag every time we call ourselves Americans and haven't

the guts to stand up to those we have allowed to steal our freedom.

I don't have to see a pile of ashes to know when somebody has desecrated our flag.

And now, the people who have made rewarding careers for themselves by sticking our flag in a blender and drinking it every morning for breakfast are planning to amend the Constitution. They are heatedly debating whether or not they should pass another, unconstitutional law that makes it a crime to desecrate our flag.

Isn't it ironic that it will soon be a crime to desecrate the very thing that symbolizes our God given right to desecrate it? Our American Flag?... and that the legislators who will make it a crime are the ones we elect to protect our God given rights?

What is our flag, really? It is what you and I and our elected officials are supposed to stand for: Truth, honesty, decency, morality--Freedom. Good luck, America. Your government is desecrating THEE.

With hope, Don Harkins, The American

Sincerely,

Don Harkins

Editor, The Oregon Observer

15033 SE McLoughlin,

Milwaukie, Oregon 97267-2800

(503) 786-8245 FAX (503) 786-7035

-----

>>> Don't Tread On Me! <<<

-----  
\* Psalm 33 \*  
-----

"If ye love wealth greater than liberty, the tranquility of servitude  
greater than the animating contest for freedom, go home from us in peace.  
We seek not your counsel, nor your arms. Crouch down and lick the hand  
that feeds you. May your chains set lightly upon you; and may posterity  
forget that ye were our countrymen. - Samuel Adams  
-----

O- TweedleBill & TweedleBob - the evil of two lessers

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"  
in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

-----

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96051107 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: ray@strategicsw.com (Ray Gano)

Subject: UNconscription...

Date: Fri, 10 May 1996 17:45:29 -0700

From: James Fish <jfish@execpc.com>

Subject: [FWIW] UNconscription...

FWIW

Are They Catching On?

The matter of Army Specialist Michael New, who has become a cause celebre after being court-martialled for refusing to wear the insignia of the United Nations in a peace-enforcement mission to Macedonia, is familiar to readers of THE NEW AMERICAN. Despite New's bad-conduct discharge (still under appeal), the decorated medic remains the subject of congressional attention and legislation, as in a bill introduced by Congressmen Roscoe Bartlett (R-MD) and James Traficant (D-OH), dubbed the American Hero Restoration Act.

In addition, scores of congressmen have backed other legislation to make it unlawful to require U.S. armed services personnel to wear insignia indicating affiliation with or allegiance to the UN. Recently at a conference in Washington, DC sponsored by the American Sovereignty Action Project (ASAP), Bartlett and several legal experts concurred that the order requiring New to don the UN blue beret and insignia "clearly violates the law" and the U.S.

Constitution.

The conference also was addressed by retired Marine Colonel Ron Ray, chief counsel for Specialist New. Ray, who has briefed Kentucky Senator Mitch McConnell extensively, told THE NEW AMERICAN that UN peacekeeping issues are going to be part of hearings to be held soon by the senator. Senator McConnell, noting legal discrepancies in the Macedonia deployment, has sent a letter to the President, threatening to withhold peacekeeping funds. Ray displayed a copy of the insignia worn by U.S. members of the force in Macedonia (which is headed by a Finnish officer); the shield for Operation Able Sentry includes the insignia of the UN at the heart of the American eagle.

Security concerns for "peacekeepers" not identified as U.S. troops were also discussed at the ASAP conference. Retired Navy Captain "Red" McDaniel, who was brutalized and held captive by the North Vietnamese for more than six years, fears that any captive Americans held as UN "detainees" might have even fewer protections. Forcing uniformed Americans to ignore the oath of allegiance to which they swore has struck a chord within the services.

The ASAP conference heard from Sergeant First Class Edward Rasor, a Special Forces medic at Ft. Bragg in North Carolina. An 11-year veteran with an excellent service record, Rasor has been reprimanded and is on the verge of being cashiered because -- on his own time -- he expressed support for Specialist New. Rasor said he was called on the carpet at the direct instigation of the Clinton Administration, which conveyed the message that either he was to stop speaking against the UN or leave the Army.

END



=====

THE NEW AMERICAN -- May 27, 1996

Copyright 1996 -- American Opinion Publishing, Incorporated

P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, WI 54913

SUBSCRIPTIONS: \$39.00/year (26 issues)

WRITTEN PERMISSION FOR REPOSTING REQUIRED: Released for informational purposes to allow individual file transfer and non-commercial mail-list transfer only. All other copyright privileges are reserved. Address reposting requests to <birch@execpc.com> or the above address.

=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML gra Smallesission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051106 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: Ragnar Danneskjold <65142357@mmu.ac.uk>

To: libernet@listserv.rmii.com

Date: Wed, 8 May 1996 14:44:20 GMT

Subject: UN Taxes

I got the following article out of the current issue of American Survival Guide (yes, I've got strange taste in reading).

-----

United Nations Taxes by M Pietrantonì

UN Secretary General Boutros Boutros-Ghali recently proposed a series of worldwide taxes that would be paid to the United Nations. He said the revenue generated by the taxes could be used for the day-to-day operation of the UN and for UN peace keeping missions. The tax proposals he said were necessary because many member states are way behind in their assessments and the UN is currently running a large deficit.

Some of Mr Ghali's proposed UN taxes would fall on international money transfers, large arms and munitions deals between countries, and even a ticket tax on international airline travellers.

To counter this UN tax plan, a number of US senators, including Bob Dole, have introduced a bill, S-1519, that would end any US payments to the UN, either voluntary or assessed, if the UN passes or even continues to try to pass any tax which affects American citizens.

S-1519 is called the "Prohibition on United Nations Taxation Act of 1996." In the "Findings" section of the bill it notes that:

"(3) United Nations officials have made numerous and repeated proposals to provide financing for the United Nations outside the scrutiny of Member States of the United Nations, including borrowing from international financial institutions, assuming control of bonds issued by Member States, and imposing taxes on an extensive range of transactions, goods, and services;

"(4) the 1994 'Human Development Report' of the United Nations Development Program stated that 'it is appropriate that the proceeds of an international tax be devoted to international purposes and be placed at the disposal of international institutions.';

"(5) on January 14, 1996, United Nations General Secretary Boutros Boutros-Ghali stated that an international tax would mean that [he would] not be under the daily financial will of the Member States'...(8) the power to tax is an attribute of sovereignty; (9) the United Nations does not have the attributes of sovereignty and is not a sovereign power; and (10) the United Nations has no legal authority to impose taxes on United States citizens."

A duplicate bill has been introduced into the House of Representatives as HR 2867. Its sponsors include both Democrats and Republicans. Sponsors in both houses of Congress say the bills are likely to pass, but they are uncertain whether or not Bill Clinton would sign them into law.

-----

My only comments are to point out that the specified taxes are all on forms of international commerce, and to wonder why I haven't read anything about this in the British press.

c/o Galt's Gulch Post Office, are those who know what the  
People's Republic of Manchester people need.

- 
- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Integrity Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051102 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[I'm glad to see that there are such public-spirited folks in Alabama who are willing to help Mr. Dees with his domestic terrorist investigations.]

-----

PIML 96051101 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Morris Dees of the Southern Poverty Law Center is investigating domestic terrorists. Anyone having information for Mr. Dees should fax it to (334) 264-3121. Please avoid sending excessively long faxes as they tend to tie up the fax machine and waste paper.

Note: Do not lose the above fax number; it is unlisted.

-----

From: JHCapt@aol.com

Date: Sat, 11 May 1996 09:47:46 -0400

To: butterb@sagenet.net

Subject: Re: PIML 96051101 - Domestic Terrorists

God Bless You !!!!!

Since it is an unlisted number

I will give it to all my friends so

I don't lose it.

All of my people will want to

report terrorist activity to Mr Dees.

Thanks again!

Sincerely,

Col. John Hassey

State Commander

-----

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List

- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

- \* constitutional government

- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051105 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: ray@strategicsw.com (Ray Gano)

Date: Thu, 9 May 1996 14:36:16 -0700

From: Jan Farmer <jfarmer@StarText.NET>

Subject: HCI Tactics and Nazi Tactics

[http://www.shadeslanding.com/firearms/hci\\_nazi.html](http://www.shadeslanding.com/firearms/hci_nazi.html)

-----

HCI Tactics and Nazi Tactics

-----

The following is taken from the March, '92 issue of Survival Guide. It is a comparison of Nazi propaganda techniques and those used by the anti-gun groups. The author has finally put on paper what I've been saying for years, and he's done a fine job of it. HCI and their ilk have learned very well from Hitler's Mein Kampf. All text in the article is included, with the exception of captions from the three illustrations.

The author of the article is president of the Keystone Second Amendment Association, a pro-firearms ownership organization based in Pennsylvania. (P.O. Box 361, Curwensville, PA 16833)

Transcribed to disk by Doug Burnham, NRA Life, CRPA Life and staunch pro- gun activist. Any errors in transcription are solely mine.

-----

National Socialist/Socialist:

### Anti-Gun-Ownership Propaganda

A comparison of Nazi propaganda techniques and those used by the powerful anti-firearms- ownership movement in America and disseminated by our national media. . .

by Doan Boal

You have heard that the anti-gun movement is duplicating the tactics that Hitler used to disarm Germany prior to World War II, but is this true?

Handgun Control, Inc, (HCI) is waging a major propaganda war against the United States, with the help of the socialist media elite, and some powerful politicians. Their lies were once totally disregarded by most people, but today, the majority of the people seem to believe the anti-gunners. Why is this?

In 1925 Adolf Hitler wrote Mein Kampf, and gave the world an opportunity to see into his mind. Hitler wrote about the Nazi movement from its infancy, and gave us insights as to his intentions. In 1939, Mein Kampf was translated into English and distributed in America. But few people took the time to read Mein Kampf. After all, the predictions being made about Hitler's intentions were crazy, and few people could comprehend them, much less believe them.

Today we must deal with people, even fellow gun owners, who do not believe that the present gun control attempts are leading to total gun confiscation and a police state. They, like the "unbelievers" in 1939,



will not take the time to research this movement. If they did, they would see that these warnings are indeed accurate and timely.

Mein Kampf contains two chapters on propaganda (War Propaganda, and Propaganda and Organization), and Hitler laid out an extensive propaganda plan in his book, a plan that would change the history of the world. From reading these two chapters, we can learn that Hitler was an expert on psychology, psychological warfare, and brainwashing. Hitler probably knew more about the human mind and behavior -- and how to control both -- than almost anyone else of his time.

I do not think that we need to argue his knowledge or success in this area. History quite clearly shows us the powerful effect that Hitler had on people and what he motivated them to do.

And today, in America, Hitler's technique are being used again, to destroy the United States and personal liberties.

The anti-gun movement's main propaganda themes seem to be that (1) guns and gun owners are the root of all evil, and (2) that they (the socialist anti-gunners) are our only hope against the spreading plague of crime. In Mein Kampf, Hitler's main propaganda themes seem to be that (1) Jews and Jewry are the root of all evil, and (2) that Hitler was Germany's best hope against the spreading communist revolution.

To understand the movements, we must understand what propaganda is. Simply put, propaganda can be outright lies, distorted facts, and/or truth, combined to change a person's thoughts on a subject.

According to Hitler, "The task of propaganda lies not in a scientific training of the individual, but rather in directing the masses towards certain facts, events, necessities, etc., the purpose being to move

their importance into the masses' field of vision." (page 231)

We can see this in HCI's propaganda, as they also stick to a few main points and repeat them over and over. How many times do they draw out attention to the Hinkley shooting, the Purdy shooting, or the AK47 rifle? Rather than use many different crimes as examples of their propaganda, they concentrate on a handful of well known crimes.

Hitler believed that propaganda had to be very simple, so the average person, with a very short attention span, could understand it. He stayed away from complicated ideas and stuck to a few basic and easy to understand ideas.

Hitler said, "The more modest then, its scientific ballast is, and the more it exclusively considers the feelings of the masses, the more striking will be its success. . . This is just the art of propaganda that it, understanding the great masses' world of ideas and feelings, finds, by a correct psychological form, the way to the attentions, and further to the heart, of the great masses." (pages 232-233)

Basically, Hitler says, KEEP IT SIMPLE!

He goes on to say, "The great mass of people is not composed of diplomats or even teachers of political law, nor even of purely reasonable individuals who are able to pass judgement, but of human beings who are as undecided as they are inclined towards doubts and uncertainty." (pages 236-7)

This is quite true, as very few people have taken the time to learn how the political system and legislative process works, not to mention the U.S. Constitution, and what it means. These people are "ripe for the harvest" of a propaganda expert.

Hitler also said, "The people, in an overwhelming majority, are so feminine in their nature and attitude that their activities and thoughts are motivated less by sober consideration than by feeling and sentiment." (page 237)

Look again at the gun control battle. Are people taking the time to study the issue individually before making a decision? No, rather they see images on TV of dead bodies, body bags, pools of blood, and a gun lying next to a corpse. They see victims in pain and they see next of kin crying over lost loved ones. They allow their emotions to take over, and base their opinions on these emotions, rather than "sober consideration." Television has become the most effective brainwashing tool to turn gun control into an emotional issue. We are all human, and none of us enjoys seeing weeping relatives or crime victims lying in the street. Those among us who are strong see these images and decide to prepare to resist an attack to ourselves and our families. Those among us who are weak, succumb to the brainwashing techniques and think that guns are evil.

Why is HCI, a relatively small organization (in comparison to the large number of citizens who own firearms), so successful in their repeated attacks against us?

Hitler answers this: "When propaganda has filled a whole people with an idea, the organization, with the help of a handful of people, can draw the consequences. Propaganda and organization -- that means followers and members -- have thus a definite mutual relationship. The better propaganda has been working, the smaller may be the organization, and the greater the number of members and vice versa: the worse propaganda is, the greater must and will be the organization and the smaller the host of followers of a movement remains, the greater must be the number of members, if it still wants to count on success at all." (page 851)

Chipping Away -- From Hitler's words, and HCI's example, we can see that they will continue to chip away at our firearms freedoms. As long as the vast majority of gun owners and freedom loving Americans remain sitting on the sidelines, we can expect things to get even worse. It is insane to think that HCI will back down, or be satisfied with only the Brady Bill, they have only just begun their attack, and we have much more to come.

We can consider the leaders of the anti-gun movement (and their media elitist friends) to be experts in the art of propaganda. They most definitely know what they are doing, and they are very successful.

Hitler said, ". . . for this (propaganda) is also only a weapon, though a frightful one, in the hands of an expert." (page 230)

Frightful indeed! We can see the propaganda masters taking our freedom and our fellow citizens (the "masses") are practically begging them to do it.

HCI is known for sticking to a handful of basic lies or statements, which they repeat constantly. The result is that the average person (Hitler calls them "the masses," while Lenin called them "useful idiots") has these ideas hammered into him/her, until eventually they agree with HCI, and are won over to HCI's side.

According to Hitler, "Now the purpose of propaganda is not continually to produce interesting changes for a few blase little masters, but to convince; that means, to convince the masses. The masses, however, with their inertia, always need a certain time before they are ready even to notice a thing, and they will lend their memories only to the thousandfold repetition of the most simple ideas." (page 239)

Do you not see HCI using the same lies thousands of times, per Hitler's instructions? We are referred to as "the lunatic fringe," "gun nuts," and "bullies." The scary sounding terms they use repeatedly, such as "assault weapon," "cop killer bullet," or "Saturday Night Special" hammered into our heads as a brainwashing tool. Add to this the slogans "Has the NRA gone off the deep end?" "How many more have to die?" "If we can save just one life . . ." "We're working to keep guns out of the wrong hands" etc., and you have a very effective propaganda campaign in use. We are merely hearing slight variations of the main propaganda theme, that guns and gun owners are the root of all evil. They make sure that they stick to their main theme, as this is absolutely critical to the success of their movement.

Hitler said, "All advertising, whether it lies in the field of business or of politics, will carry success by continuity and regular uniformity of application." (page 240)

Hitler goes on to say, "The great masses' receptive ability is only very limited, their understanding small, but their forgetfulness is great. As a consequence of these facts, all effective propaganda has to limit itself only to a very few points and to use slogans until even the last man is able to imagine what is intended by such a word. As soon as one sacrifices this basic principle and tries to become versatile, the effect will fritter away, as the masses are neither able to digest the material offered nor to retain it. Thus the result is weakened and finally eliminated." (page 234)

This is true, as most people rely solely on the mass media for their news, and never take the time to see if the news reports are actually true. We tend to have very short memories as well. Can you remember what you were doing nine days ago, what the lead story was on the news three days ago, or just one or two campaign promises that George Bush has not

broken?

In the beginning of the anti-gun movement, most people did not believe the anti-gun lies. They knew that all the scheming and dreaming would not eliminate crime, but rather that it would strip them of their liberties. By utilizing effective propaganda techniques, HCI has managed to change quite a few minds. This is especially evident when we look at the Brady Bill votes in the U.S. House of Representatives. A few years ago, when the House voted on the Brady Bill, it was defeated by 24 votes. This time it won by 53 votes. Why the drastic change? Simple, by not giving up on their never ending propaganda campaign, they brainwashed more people into joining their side, including many who used to be pro-gun (at least seven of those "Representatives" that voted anti-gun had received NRA PAC money for their prior support).

Hitler said, "Here, too, the enemy's war propaganda (he is referring to World War I) set a typical example. It was limited to a few points of view, calculated exclusively for the masses, and it was carried out with untiring persistency. Basic ideas and forms of execution which had once been recognized as being right were employed throughout the entire war, and never did one make even the slightest change. At the beginning it was apparently crazy in the impudence of its assertions, later it became disagreeable, and finally it was believed." (page 240)

Spreading The Idea -- Hitler goes on to say, "In every great revolutionary movement propaganda will first have to spread the idea of the movement. That means, it will untiringly try to make clear to the others the new train of thought, to draw them over to its own ground, or at least to make them doubtful of their own previous conviction." (page 852)

HCI has managed to change society's view of gun ownership. Guns used to be considered normal, healthy and in many instances, necessary. Today,

more and more people believe that guns are evil, and somehow responsible for crime. This change of thought did not just "happen," it was brought about by clever propaganda use.

Make no mistake about it, HCI and the gun grabbing elitists want nothing short of taking all of our guns. The Brady Bill is just their first step. Sound crazy? Sure, and that is why it is working! But don't take my word for it, let's see what the anti-gunners have said about their devious plan, in their own words:

"This is the first step" -- U.S. Representative Edward Feighan, referring to the Brady Bill (which he introduced) at recent House hearings.

"We're going to have to take one step at a time, and the first step is necessarily -- given the political realities -- going to be very modest... So we'll have to start working again to strengthen that law, and then again to strengthen the next law, and maybe again and again. Right now though, we'd be satisfied not with half a loaf but with a slice. Our ultimate goal -- total control of handguns in the United States -- is going to take time... The first problem is to slow down the increasing number of handguns being produced and sold in this country. The second problem is to get handguns registered. And the final problem is to make possession of all handguns and all handgun ammunition -- except for military, policemen, licensed security guards, licensed sporting clubs, and licensed gun collectors -- totally illegal." -- Pet Shields, Chairman Emeritus, Handgun Control, Inc. (interview appearing in The New Yorker, July 26, 1976)

"This is not all we will have in future Congresses, but this is a crack in the door. There are too many handguns in the hands of citizens. The right to keep and bear arms has nothing to do with the Brady Bill." --

U.S. Representative Craig Washington, at the mark-up hearing on the Brady Bill, April 10, 1991. "Handguns should be outlawed. Our organization will probably take this stand in time but we are not anxious to rouse the opposition before we get the other legislation passed." -- Elliot Corbett, Secretary, National Council For A Responsible Firearms Policy (interview appeared in the Washington Evening Star on September 19, 1969).

"It is our aim to ban the manufacture and sale of handguns to private individuals. . .the coalition's emphasis is to keep handguns out of private possession -- where they do the most harm." Recruiting flyer currently distributed by The Coalition to Stop Gun Violence, formerly called The National Coalition to Ban Handguns.

"Yes, I'm for an outright ban (on handguns)." -- Pete Shields, Chairman emeritus, Handgun Control, Inc., during a 60 Minutes interview.

"We are at the point in time and terror where nothing short of a strong uniform policy of domestic disarmament will alleviate the danger which is crystal clear and perilously present. Let us take the guns away from the people. Exemptions should be limited to the military, the police, and those licensed for good and sufficient reasons. And I would look forward to the day when it would not be necessary for the policeman to carry a sidearm." -- Patrick V. Murphy, former New York City Police Commissioner, and now a member of Handgun Control's National Committee, during testimony to the National Association of Citizens Crime Commissions.

"My experience as a street cop suggests that most merchants should not have guns. But I feel even stronger about the average person having them...most homeowners...simply have no need to own guns." -- Joseph McNamara, HCI spokesman, and former Chief of Police of San Jose, California.



"I don't want to go for confiscation, but that is where we are going."

-- Daryl Gates, Police Chief of Los Angeles, California.

"There may be other things that will happen later... It may not be the end... the bottom line is what we are seeking now is the Brady Bill." -- U.S. Representative Charles Schumer, interviewed on CNN Crossfire.

"The Brady Bill is the minimum step Congress should take...we need much stricter gun control, and eventually should bar the ownership of handguns, except in a few cases." -- U.S. Representative William Clay, quoted in the St. Louis Post Dispatch on May 6, 1991.

"It's only the first step, it's not going to be enough...we've got to go beyond that, and I hope we'll do it this session of Congress." -- U.S. Representative Edward Feighan during an interview on ABC News Nightline.

These people are quite clear as to their true intentions, that is, to totally disarm the American citizenry. Make no mistake about it, they are winning, and we are losing.

If you are not already involved in fighting to preserve our right to possess firearms, I strongly urge you to get involved. Join one or more of the national pro-gun organizations. But do not stop there. If you expect to win this war, you must be willing to stand up and get involved. The national progun groups simply are unable to hold the tide anymore. We must supplement their work with grass roots work at the local level.

Join a local pro-gun grass roots group, and if there aren't any in your area, start one of your own. Meet with politicians when they are in your area. Write letters, make phone calls, and vote. Organize people and

educate the "masses."

While we may have truth on our side, the people are not listening to the truth. They believe the lies and will not take the time to find out that they are indeed lies. This will only get worse. We do not have the odds in our favor, as the anti-gun forces are well entrenched and powerfully armed. But we must resist or we will most certainly lose. Make no doubt about that. Get involved immediately!

World-Wide-Web html format by

Scott Ostrander: [scotto@cica.indiana.edu](mailto:scotto@cica.indiana.edu)

- 
- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
  - \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051004 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Wed, 08 May 1996 02:26:48 -0400

From: Helen Johnson <eplurib@megalinx.net>

Organization: E Pluribus Unum

To: eplurib@megalinx.net

Subject: KILL THE CAREERS BILL

KILL THE CAREERS BILL!

Please feel free to print, photo-copy, distribute or store this article  
by any means desired. Arlynn Afton

e-mail: jafton@wingsbbs.com

or call: 1-616-243-4790 for more information

---

Update on the Workforce Development Act

Otherwise Known as 'Womb to Tomb' Federal Control of our Children Act

There is still no time like the present to contact your senators and  
representatives in your state capitol and also in the U. S. House and  
Senate, and let them know that you know that the federal government has  
no authorization under the Constitution of the United States to be  
involved in education in any way!

The so-called 'Careers Bill', an acronym for Consolidated And Reformed  
Education, Employment, and Rehabilitation System, which passed the House  
as H.R.1617, and the Senate Bill 143, or Workforce Development Act which  
has already passed the Senate, are still in the process of being  
combined into one bill. Since the House and Senate passed similar but  
substantially different bills, a conference committee of house and  
senate members are hammering out the details and compromises to make one

horrific bill - - - OR ARE THEY?

The conference committee was appointed in October of 1995, but it seems that they have yet to meet to discuss the bill! I know this will come as a big shock to those of you who think that our senators and representatives are actually required to READ a bill before they vote on it, or that their conscience would morally dictate that at the very least people who are supposedly running the country OUGHT TO READ bills before they vote on them - but NOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO! When you call, be sure and ask if they have read the bill!

Since October of 1995, the senator's and representative's AIDES have been working on the bill compromise. In December they completed a side-by-side sort of analysis of the bills, which is approximately two inches thick. Since that time, the aides have been hashing out the details, and claim that it is very slow going, not much being accomplished, etc. If this follows true-to-form for the sneaky, underhanded bill-passing that has been going on in Washington, we can expect it to suddenly pop out of committee for a vote with about a five-minute warning. For those voting on it, of course. We, the people it affects, probably won't hear about it until after it's all over.

There is a ray of hope, however. There have already been several senators and representatives that have changed their minds on the bills, after having been informed by private citizens what the wording of the bills actually creates - namely, a giant federal bureauracy that sets up unidentified requirements that states, schools, businesses, and individuals will be forced to follow. INCLUDING smart cards showing family history, attitude throughout school, certificate of mastery for those who wish to be employed, etc.

Kids will be railroaded into a particular career (they call it career 'tracking') from kindergarten. High School kids will be forced to work

10-15 hours per week WITHOUT PAY! Every teen I know will surely go along with that! One teen told me of her concern for the kids self-esteem - those who will be labeled, ostracized and teased at even earlier ages if they are not placed on the 'smart track' or the same track as their friends.

Last week, I spoke with Heather Stevens, an aide working on the bill compromise for Representative Thomas Sawyer, and I asked her if there has been any discussion in the committees about the UNCONSTITUTIONALITY of the federal government being involved in education. Dead silence on the other end of the phone line. After a long pause, she replied, "Why no! The federal government already IS involved in education." I then asked her if that made it CONSTITUTIONAL, and she said, "All that I can say is that everyone on the conference committee believes that we should have a federal workforce development act." For some reason our conversation then came to an abrupt end.

You should know that NO conference committee bill has ever been stopped before, yet WE MUST STOP THIS BILL FROM BECOMING LAW! Call or write these conference committee members and your own senators and representatives. Tell them that it is UNCONSTITUTIONAL! While you have their attention, you might also mention that you would like the unconstitutional federal Department of Education abolished right along with the workforce development act.

By the way, Senator Abraham of Michigan has introduced a bill to require all bills to state under what constitutional authority they are proposed. While this sounds like a great bill to support, we might ask him under what constitutional authority does he think the Workforce Development Act falls, since he is a co-sponsor of the senate bill.

These are the Conference Committee Members, Workforce Development Act:

They can be reached by dialing Washington switchboard TOLL-FREE:

1-800-962-3524

Representatives:

William Goodling

Steve Gunderson

Randy Cunningham

Howard McKeon

Frank Riggs

Lindsey Graham

Mark Souder

William Clay

Pat Williams

Dale Kildee

Thomas Sawyer

Gene Green

Senators:

Nancy Kassebaum

James Jeffords

Dan Coats

Judd Gregg

Bill Frist

Mike DeWine

John Ashcroft

Spencer Abraham

Slade Gorton

Edward Kennedy

Claiborne Pell

Christopher Dodd

Paul Simon

Tom Harkin

Barbara Mikulski

Paul Wellstone

KILL THE CAREERS BILL!

Please feel free to print, photo-copy, and distribute or store by any means  
this article. Arlynn Afton

e-mail: [jafton@wingsbbs.com](mailto:jafton@wingsbbs.com) or call: 1-616-243-4790 for more information

--

Helen Johnson - E Pluribus Unum & The Ohio Unorganized Militia

PO Box 44404; Columbus, Ohio 43204; 614-444-5840; Fax: 614-444-5865

E Pluribus Unum - <http://www.lookup.com/Homepages/65177/home.html>

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051003 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Thu, 09 May 1996 16:55:52 -0400

From: Helen Johnson <eplurib@megalinx.net>

Organization: E Pluribus Unum

To: eplurib@megalinx.net

Subject: UNBELIEVABLE !!!

At 4:12 pm today (5/9/96) Judge Hodges determined that there is enough probable cause to send: "U.S. v Robert E. Starr III and William James McCranie,Jr." to the grand jury!

The case number is 5-96M0407

I am still trying to clean the skid marks off the linoleum left by my jaw upon hearing this news!

UNBELIEVABLE!!!!!

-----

Date: Wed, 08 May 1996 17:03:00 -0400

From: Helen Johnson <eplurib@megalinx.net>

Organization: E Pluribus Unum

To: eplurib@megalinx.net

Subject: Truthful Georgia Press !

The Macon Telegraph

Tuesday, May 7, 1996

Page 1, carried over to page 10A



"Agent says Starr wasn't present

when bomb parts were burried"

"Magistrate delays ruling to examine evidence more closely"

By Audrey Post

The Macon Telegraph

---

A federal agent testified Monday that the founder of a Georgia militia group was not present when alleged pipe-bomb components were buried on his property, and the agent admitted that he did not know for sure whether Robert Edward Starr III was aware the materials were there.

Agent Stephen W. Gillis of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms also acknowledged that Starr's co-defendant, William James "Jimmy" McCranie, had said, "I don't want to know anything about it" and walked away when the government's informant was talking about building bombs.

Starr, 34, and McCranie, 30, were in federal court Monday afternoon for a preliminary hearing on charges they conspired to possess unregistered explosive devices.

At the end of the three-hour hearing, U.S. Magistrate Judge Richard L. Hodge of Albany delayed ruling on whether to send the case to a grand jury, saying he wanted to examine the evidence more closely. Hodge presided over the hearing because the federal magistrate based in Macon, Claude W. Hicks Jr., attends the same church as Starr.

Part of that evidence is a tape-recording of a short-wave radio program on which Starr spoke, that was broadcast two nights before the defendants were arrested. A homemade tape of the program was made available to the judge until a certified copy arrives from the Nashville, Tenn., radio station that broadcast it.

On that radio show, Starr called in and said he was getting ready to expose a government set-up against him. He said FBI agents had informed him they had received an anonymous telephone call threatening Starr's life, and that's why he was going public.

The ATF's Gillis confirmed the FBI said it had received the death threat against Starr, but he said there was no connection between Starr's calling the radio show and ATF's decision to get the warrants against Starr and McCranie the next day.

"Mr. Starr was arrested not because he intended to build those bombs, but because he intended not to," Starr's attorney, Nancy Lord said. "He intended to expose the government's confidential informants."

'Agent provocateurs'

Starr and McCranie have been jailed since their April 26 arrests, when agents seized chemicals, pipes and other materials from two parcels of property in Crawford County, one where McCranie lives and the other on land Starr owns.

Last week, U.S. District Court Judge Wilbur D. Owens Jr. denied bond for the two men, saying the charges were "serious offenses involving allegations of manufacturing explosive devices which are capable of ... destruction of persons or property."

As more than 50 people packed the courtroom Monday, some leaning against the back wall, defense attorneys used what is often a quick, routine proceeding to attack the core of the government's case.

"This is beyond entrapment," Lord said. "It is manufactured evidence. The

materials were put on Mr. Starr's property without his knowledge."

"The whole evidence upon which this case was based was fraudulent," she said.

Lord contended that the government's confidential informants were actually "agent provocateurs," instigators sent to infiltrate and set up the 112th Battalion of the Militia-at-Large for the Republic of Georgia.

Lord said it was the "agent provocateurs" who actually buried the pipes and chemicals on Starr's property, which made it easy for agents to find them when they got a search warrant.

"You went right to it. You didn't have to search the whole 16 acres, did you?" Lord asked. Gillis conceded agents did not.

#### Defining conspiracy

Prosecuter Sharon Ratley objected repeatedly, and the judge agreed, when Lord questioned the agent about whether anyone other than Starr and the government's confidential informants took part in discussions or activities concerning pipe bombs.

Ratley's position was that answering the questions would reveal the identity of the informants. Lord argued that there is no conspiracy against the government when all the participants but one are government agents.

At last week's bond hearing, Lord said Starr pretended to go along with suggestions that the militia build pipe-bombs to find out who was behind the plan. In essence, she said, Starr was conducting an internal investigation of the organization he founded.

Prosecutors contended that other people besides Starr and the informants were present during bomb discussions, as well as when the pipes and chemicals were moved. However, under Lord's questioning, Gillis acknowledged that he had no way of knowing from a confidential informant's tape-recording whether the group was talking about bombs or survival gear when members talked about needing supplies.

Lord finally persuaded the judge to allow the agent to answer one question about confidential informants.

Gillis had just testified that someone other than the government's informants had shown up at McCranie's place the day the materials were to be moved to Starr's property -- which would have met the legal definition of a conspiracy. When Lord asked Gillis whether the other militia member actually participated in moving the materials, Ratley objected, again citing the need to protect identities.

"With all due respect, the identity has been revealed," Lord told the Judge.

Hodge instructed the agent to answer.

"I don't know what the other individual did," Gillis said.

One of McCranie's attorneys, Brian Randall, also coaxed Gillis into an admission that the government has no way of knowing exactly what materials were on McCranie's property before they were moved to Starr's land.

Gillis testified that the informant said McCranie was present when the material was moved, but he also acknowledged that he had no way to dispute the defense argument that McCranie wanted the stuff moved because

he wanted no part in bomb-making.

--

Helen Johnson - E Pluribus Unum & The Ohio Unorganized Militia  
PO Box 44404; Columbus, Ohio 43204; 614-444-5840; Fax: 614-444-5865  
E Pluribus Unum - <http://www.lookup.com/Homepages/65177/home.html>

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96051002 /Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Plan on nationwide demonstrations Saturday, April 19, 1997.]

Date: Thu, 9 May 1996 17:52:17 -0400 (EDT)

From: cmoore@capaccess.org

Sender: SnetNews Mailing List <SNETNEWS@xbn.shore.net>

Reply-To: snetnews@xbn.shore.net

To: Multiple recipients of list SNETNEWS <SNETNEWS@xbn.shore.net>

Errors-to: Postmast@xbn.shore.net

Subject: Waco Update 5/9/96 (fwd)

From: Carol Moore in D.C.

cmoore@capaccess.org or cmoore@upx.net

-----  
WACO UPDATE--MAY 8, 1996

by Carol Moore, member, Committee for Waco Justice, author of the mass  
market paperback \*The Davidian Massacre\*

\*\*APRIL 18 IN WASHINGTON D.C.

Committee for Waco Justice members erected 82 crosses on the Ellipse  
directly south of the White House and displayed a banner reading "FBI  
KILLED A VILLAGE AND ITS CHILDREN," and flew the Davidian flag. (As the  
Washington Times noted, this was a "dark humored reference to fist lady  
Hillary Rodham Clinton's book n child-rearing.") Over twenty-five  
members of the press showed up for the event and news clips were shown on  
local stations around the country. Associated Press distributed a  
photograph of Carol Moore planting crosses. The "FBI TANKS KILLED 76"  
writing on her black t-shirt was clearly visible, as was the peace sign

necklace she was wearing. When the press asked her what she would say to any anti-government types planning future bombings, she answered, "Read Gandhi."

Unfortunately, Bill and Hillary Clinton were out of the country that week. Could it be because in the previous two years the Committee had set up their crosses and a "Waco Never Again" banner at the Ellipse not one day, but all five days leading up to and including April 19? Perhaps the Clintons could not deal with that much of a reminder of their sins yet a third year running. They had to get out of the country! Photos of the event and the signs have been sent to Hillary.

#### **\*\*APRIL 19 IN WACO**

Davidians held a small memorial with about 50 people at Mount Carmel. They placed the first forty of the 82 markers they will be placing at crepe myrtle trees planted last year to represent the spirits of the martyred Davidians. Survivor and current Davidian minister Clive Doyle spoke eloquently of those lost, and of the plight of the 8 remaining Davidian prisoners, especially Livingstone Fagan who has been in solitary confinement for most of the last 21 months because he refuses to cooperate with the system by working. And Doyle chastised the government for refusing to prosecute those responsible for the deaths of family members.

Survivor David Thibodeau roused the audience when he asked, "How do you spell murder?" "ATF! FBI!" answered the audience. In an interview replayed on Nightline, he said that he does not want to forget what happened there and it is good therapy for him to remember what happened.

"The Voice of Liberty" radio show sponsored a "Waco conference" April 19-21 which attracted 50-100 participants. According to attendees, little was presented about government crimes against the Davidians and much information presented was questionable.

To contribute to the Davidian's fund or get their resource list, write: Mount Carmel Survivors Memorial Fund, Inc., Box 120, Axtell, TX 76624. David Thibodeau has a separate list of video materials available,

including a video presentation of a slide show he did at a conference.

Write him at: 904 N. Gardiner, Box 4, Los Angeles, CA 90046.

**\*\*APRIL 19 IN D.C.**

The Committee for Waco Justice sponsored a press conference outside the FBI building, which is now surrounded by a fence preventing the public from getting closer than 25 feet from the building. Again more than 25 press people showed to hear speakers from the Committee, FIJA, the Libertarian Party, the Leonard Peltier Freedom Campaign and a local peace group talk about the government's actions at Waco and the "anti-terrorism" bill. The press conference ended with a rousing chant of "Free the Branch Davidians." I have heard of only one replay of anything from the press conference on a local television station outside of D.C.

The Committee also paid for a 4" x 3" advertisement in the Washington Times reading "FBI KILLED A VILLAGE AND ITS CHILDREN -- In Memoriam for the Branch Davidians -- April 19, 1993 -- Prosecute ATF-FBI Perpetrator -- Free the 8 Davidian Prisoners"

**\*\*OKLAHOMA BOMBING AND WACO ANNIVERSARY**

Network television coverage of the April 19th anniversary seen by this author seemed to stress memorials for the dead of Oklahoma City and specific evidence--and important problems with that evidence--against Tim McVeigh and Terry Nichols. There was relatively little mention of either Waco or "extremist anti-government militia groups." (However, at the end of a long interview with Militia of Montana's John Trochmann, one reporter did manage to bug him sufficiently that he accused her of being an "evil woman working for the British," in what he evidently thought was a private conversation. However, the video longshot and her tape recorder got it all.)

Two networks interviewed Tim McVeigh and showed footage the evening of the 18th, even as his attorney said he didn't want to upstage the memorial in any way. And early on the 19th an amateur video photographer



looking through his Waco footage found a shot of Tim McVeigh at Waco two weeks into the siege, commenting on people's warning him he should be afraid to come to Waco. A network news show aired it that night.

**\*\*NEXT APRIL 19 IS A SATURDAY**

The last three April 19th anniversaries were work days. Next year is a Saturday, so all of our libertarian, patriot, constitutionalist, gun rights, civil liberties, etc. groups will have a wonderful opportunity to sponsor local rallies, conferences, etc. to commemorate the many special freedom-related anniversaries the converge on April 19. So start thinking about what you want to do in your home town. And hopefully we can get a nice big event going here in D.C.--maybe a freedom rally at the \*Jefferson\* Memorial for a change.

**\*\*DAVIDIAN PRISONER APPEALS**

The six Davidians who appealed their sentences of a total of 195 years are waiting for a decision from New Orleans' Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. As reported in an earlier update, the January 4, 1996 oral arguments went very well. The government did not contest the defenses two primary claims--that U.S. District Judge Walter J. Smith should have given the jury the instruction that the defendants could be found innocent of aiding and abetting voluntary manslaughter on the grounds of self-defense and that he should not have sentenced five of them to an extra 25 years for allegedly carrying illegal weapons. Moreover, two of the three judges on the panel seemed skeptical that there was enough evidence to convict some of the defendants of anything! If there is any justice in this country, the 25 year sentences will be eliminated and some or all of the prisoners will get new trials and even be freed immediately!

Davidian prisoner Brad Branch is still waiting for the results of his hearing after a guard falsely accused him of threatening him. (Branch had merely commented that he had a witness to the guard's harassing him, as he had done a number of other prisoners.) And prisoner

Kevin Whitecliff is still at the Springfield, MO medical facility in treatment for a bloodclot in his leg. He has written to family that his treatment is adequate and he does not have major complaints at this time.

Meanwhile, almost two years ago the Committee for Waco Justice filed a complaint with the Justice Department's Office of Professional Responsibility of prosecutorial misconduct in the trial of the Davidians against chief prosecutor Ray Jahn and his two cohorts. They have not yet given us a response. Ray Jahn, of course, is now lead prosecutor in the Arkansas cases against McDougal and Governor Tucker, trying to implicate Clinton in Whitewater and other illegalities. However, we must wonder how seriously Jahn is trying to prosecute Clinton, one of Jahn's many co-conspirators in the coverup of mass murder of the Davidians!

#### **\*\*CIVIL SUITS**

There are three sets of lawsuits being litigated for family members and survivors against federal agents and officials and Bill Clinton, those undertaken by Ramsey Clark, the Cause Foundation and the Houston firm of Caddell & Conwell. A Houston judge recently ruled that the Waco court is the proper venue for the civil suits. The hated Judge Smith is, of course, the U.S. District Judge for Waco and he already dismissed the first civil suit brought to him. Civil suit attorneys are now filing motions to recuse Judge Smith as being biased and to transfer the case to another judge. However, Smith himself will be deciding that matter. If he decides to keep himself on as the judge, attorneys will just have to appeal to a higher court.

Meanwhile, according to Kirk Lyons of the Cause Foundation, there was some excitement over the possibility that the incriminating missing half of the front door had been found by a BBC film crew on the property in January of 1996. A rather indistinct still photograph of the hunk of metal was taken. However, by the time the Texas Rangers and Davidian attorneys went searching for it, no such door could be found. Davidians had sold some scrap metal in the interim. Most researchers remain

convinced that federal agents conveniently "lost" that half of the door somewhere very far from Mount Carmel.

#### **\*\*HOUSE AND SENATE WACO REPORTS**

The House Joint Subcommittees are now in the process of editing the final version of their reports and the transcripts of the 10 day July, 1995 hearings. It probably will be another two months before they are released. The Senate has experienced difficulty getting out the report on their two days of hearings because of staff overload and one staffer's maternity leave, so no date has been set on the release of that report.

#### **\*\*COMMENT ON THE FREEMEN OF MONTANA SITUATION**

Members of the Committee for Waco Justice are glad that federal authorities have controlled themselves in this situation, neither violently attacking the Freeman to serve a warrant nor harassing and insulting them during negotiations. They've even allowed third party negotiations and even press visits. There has been relatively little deionization of the Freeman, by federal agents or the press, despite evidence that the Freeman have committed actual fraud and made threats against innocent citizens. If agents and the press had been this considerate of citizens' rights at Waco, 82 people would still be alive today.

However, the FBI has warned the Freeman that the velvet glove contains an iron fist and we still may see the kinds of brutal attacks we saw at Waco. This despite the fact that Freeman have killed no federal agents and the fact that we have not heard of the Freeman having any vast stores of food. Inevitably, they will come out. However, fearful of the prospect that someday they may face hundreds of Davidian/Freeman/Randy Weaver situations as the uppity people protest the ever tightening federal thumb screws of laws and taxes, federal agents may try to make one more example of the Freeman--execution without trial remains a favorite tactic of tyrants.

**\*\*PLEASE HELP THE COMMITTEE\*\***

Yes, we are in the hole from the last six months of activism and owe some members some money. To encourage us to keep active--so we'll be ready to do a press conference at Janet Reno's office as soon as the Davidian appeals are announced, so we can keep getting information out to the public and press, so that we can start thinking about new efforts to work for prosecution of the perpetrators--please feel free to contribute.

In the last two and a half years of activism, the Committee has spent almost \$5,000, mostly on newspaper ads, postage and Xeroxing. And no, we still don't have a bank account cause we're so low budget and we're asking checks to be made to Carol Moore.

However, to help fundraising, Carol is donating autographed copies of her mass market paperback \*The Davidian Massacre\* to be sold at a special price of \$9.00 book rate until the end of May. (Five or more still \$8.00 each.) Please note other offers below.

\$9.00            The Davidian Massacre

\$2.00 each      Buttons: Waco, Never Again -or- Free the Branch Davidians  
-or- FBI Killed a Village and Its Children

\$8.00            Information pack of miscellaneous articles, documents,  
etc. regarding Waco.

\_\_\_\_\_      TOTAL

Checks to: Carol Moore, c/o Committee For Waco Justice, Box 65518,  
Washington, DC 20035 202/635-3739 202/797-9877.

FOR LIBERTY AND JUSTICE!!

-> SNETNEWS Mailing List & Fidonet Echo

-> Post to: [listserv@xbn.shore.net](mailto:listserv@xbn.shore.net)

-> subscribe snetnews

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050708 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Mon, 6 May 96 16:00 EDT

To: patriots@KAIWAN.COM

From: Max Kennedy <mkennedy@iglou.com>

Subject: Freeman: May Indictment (Goes with Bounty)

May 1995 indictment

Contents:

- \* Count 1
- \* Conspiracy
- \* Count II
- \* Count III
- \* Count IV

JAMES E. SEYKORA

Assistant U.S. Attorney

P. O. Box 1478

Billings, Montana 59103

Telephone: 406/657-6101

ATTORNEY FOR THE UNITED STATES

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA

BILLINGS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

1 - EMMETT CLARK  
2 - RICHARD CLARK  
3 - DANIEL PETERSEN  
4 - LEROY M. SCHWEITZER  
5 - RODNEY O. SKURDAL,  
Defendants.

CR 95-51-BLG-JDS

Count 1: 18 USC 371

Penalty: 5 years

Count 2: 18 USC 272

Penalty: \$5,000 and/or 6 years

Count 3: 18 USC 115

Penalty: \$5,000 and/or 5 years

Count 4: 18 USC 876

Penalty: \$5,000 and/or 20 years

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

COUNT 1

INTRODUCTION

That at all times mentioned herein:

1) Jack D. Shanstrom was and is a Federal, United States District Court  
Judge;

2) Lou Aleksich Jr. is the Clerk of Court for the United States District Court for the District of Montana;

3) Charles Phipps is the duly elected Sheriff of Garfield County, Montana;

4) Lou Aleksich Jr, in his official duties as Clerk of the United States District Court, received for filing in CV 93-144-BLG-JDS, a Notice of Removal of a real property foreclosure action located in Garfield County, Montana by Farm Credit Bank of Spokane against Emmett Clark, Richard Clark and others, and the Honorable Jack D. Shanstrom became the presiding judge. This filing occurred on September 2, 1993.

5) On October 4, 1993 Rodney Skurdal (not a party to the action) and Richard Clark filed a pleading in CV 93-144-BLG-JDS calling for the arrest of plaintiffs counsel in document #17 of the court file and referenced "other accomplices now engaged in criminal conversion of private property".

6) On November 1, 1993 Richard Clark, Emmett Clark and Daniel Peterson (not a party to the action) filed document #22, a Notice and Demand in the court file.

7) On May 10, 1994 the Honorable Jack D. Shanstrom filed his order setting forth appropriate procedures in CV-93-144-BLG-JDS as to proposed future filings in the case in light of Richard Clark's attempt to file "certain documents bearing archaic title and containing irrelevant, incomprehensible and incorrect interpretations of law."

8) On May 20, 1994 Lou Aleksich, Clerk of Court, received, but did not file, a document entitled "Common Law Affidavit of Richard E. Clark" addressed to Jack D. Shanstrom. The document referred to an Order of Judge Shanstrom in the case as "bogus". Richard Clark, Leroy Schweitzer (not a



party to the action) and Daniel Petersen (not a party to the action) signed the document.

9) On October 3, 1994 Judge Shanstrom signed a Judgment, Decree of Foreclosure and Order of Sale against the real property of Emmett Clark and Richard Clark and others, and authorized the Sheriff of Garfield County, Charles Phipps to sell the same with a sale scheduled for November 16, 1994.

10) Sheriff Charles Phipps posted the real property of Emmett Clark and Richard Clark for foreclosure sale on October 12, 1994.

11) On November 16, 1994 Sheriff Phipps sold the real property at a Sheriff's Sale.

12) That according to foreclosure law, Richard Clark and Emmett Clark would have one year after the sale to redeem the real property.

13) That on or about December 13, 1994, Jack D. Shanstrom, United States District Judge; Lou Aleksich, Jr., Clerk of the United States District Court; Charles Phipps, acting as an agent for the United States District Court as a seller of real property in a foreclosure action against Richard Clark and Emmett Clark and others, received, along with other individuals, a document titled "Constructive Notice and Caveat - No Trespass".

14) That document in part stated it was a warning directed to "known foreign agents including Kenneth R. Wilson, A.Lance Tonn, Rodd A. Hamman, Marty Connell, Mike Fielding, Charles Phipps, Jack D. Shanstrom but not limited to said parties".

15) The document was signed by Emmett Clark, Richard E. Clark, Daniel Petersen, Leroy M. Schweitzer and Rodney O. Skurdal.

16) Language therein and signed by the individuals included:

a. "Will enforce by whatever means necessary. . . will be subject to our immediate lawful and forceful arrest of their Private property and their bodies. . . and for those parties who do not comprehend this special Public Notice, we will not hesitate to use our Lawful deadly force by whatever means necessary to fully support, protect, guarantee and defend our Law."

b. The language also included "Our special Orders . . . is for our special appointed Constables and our Lawful Posse to shoot to kill any public hireling or fourteenth amendment citizen who is caught in any act whatsoever of taking Private property . . ."

c. The document ends "This is your final WARNING."

#### CONSPIRACY

That beginning at some time unknown but during the pendency of civil action CV 93-144-BLG-JDS and continuing up to and including the present, in the State and District of Montana, EMMETT CLARK, RICHARD CLARK, DANIEL E. PETERSEN, LEROY M. SCHWEITZER, and RODNEY O. SKURDAL did unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly conspire, combine, confederate, and agree or did aid, abet, counsel, command, induce or procure, together with other individuals, both known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit an offense against the laws of the United States, that is, to impede the governmental function of the United States, in violation of 18 USC 371 and 2.

In furtherance of the aforementioned conspiracy, EMMETT CLARK, RICHARD CLARK, DANIEL E. PETERSEN, LEROY M. SCHWEITZER, and RODNEY O. SKURDAL and others presently unknown to the Grand Jury committed one or more of the following overt acts:

1. That on or about December 13, 1994, the defendants caused to be sent to Jack D. Shanstrom, United States District Judge; Lou Aleksich, Jr., Clerk of the United States District Court; Charles Phipps, acting as an agent for the United States District Court as a seller of real property in a foreclosure action against Richard Clark and Emmett Clark and others, received, along with other individuals, a document titled "Constructive Notice and Caveat - No Trespass".

2 The document sent by the defendants in part stated it was a warning directed to "known foreign agents including Kenneth R. Wilson, A. Lance Tonn, Rodd A. Hamman, Marty Connell, Mike Fielding, Charles Phipps, Jack D. Shanstrom but not limited to said parties".

3. The document was signed by Emmett Clark, Richard E. Clark, Daniel Petersen, Leroy M. Schweitzer and Rodney O. Skurdal.

4. Language in the "No Trespass" document signed by the five individuals included:

a. "Will enforce by whatever means necessary. . . will be subject to our immediate lawful and forceful arrest of their Private property and their bodies. . . and for those parties who do not comprehend this special Public Notice, we will not hesitate to use our Lawful deadly force by whatever means necessary to fully support, protect, guarantee and defend our Law."

b. The language also included "Our special Orders . . . is for our special appointment Constables and our Lawful Posse to shoot to kill any public hireling or fourteenth amendment citizen who is caught in any act whatsoever of taking Private property . . ."

c. The document ends "This is your final WARNING."

5. During the period of the conspiracy, individuals named herein,

individually or collectively, caused various documents to be filed in VC 93-144-BLG-JDS, or assisted in the same, that threatened individual litigants, parties, attorneys and the court.

6. The parties involved used the mails to deliver threats of kidnapping and murder to various persons or parties involved in this litigation.

All in violation of 18 USC 371 and 2.

## COUNT II

That beginning at some time unknown but during the pendency of civil action CV 93-144-BLG-JDS and continuing up to and including the present, in the State and District of Montana and elsewhere, the defendants EMMETT CLARK, RICHARD CLARK, DANIEL E. PETERSEN, LEROY M. SCHWEITZER, and RODNEY O. SKURDAL, knowingly and wilfully did conspire and agree together and with each other and with other persons to the Grand Jury unknown, to prevent by force, intimidation, and/or threat Jack D. Shanstrom, United States District Judge, and Lou Aleksich, Jr., United States District Court Clerk, and Charles Phipps, acting on behalf of the U. S. District Court Order, from discharging the duties of their offices or to injure Jack D. Shanstrom, United States District Judge, and Lou Aleksich, Jr., United States District Court Clerk, and Charles Phipps, in their person or property on account of the lawful discharge of the duties of their offices, in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 372.

## COUNT III

On or about December 13, 1994, in the State and District of Montana, EMMETT CLARK, RICHARD CLARK, DANIEL E. PETERSEN, LEROY M. SCHWEITZER, and RODNEY O. SKURDAL did threaten to assault, kidnap and murder Hon. Jack D. Shanstrom, United States District Judge with intent to impede, intimidate,

or interfere with the Hon. Jack D. Shanstrom, United States District Judge, while he was engaged in his official duties, in violation of 18 United States Code, Section 115.

COUNT IV

That on or about December 13, 1994, in the State and District of Montana, EMMETT CLARK, RICHARD CLARK, DANIEL E. PETERSEN, LEROY M. SCHWEITZER, and RODNEY O. SKURDAL, knowingly did deposit in an authorized depository for mail matter, to be sent and delivered by the Postal Service or knowingly caused to be delivery by the Postal Service according to the directions thereof, a written communication dated December 13, 1994, addressed to the Honorable Jack D. Shanstrom, United States District Court, Room 5405, Federal Building, 316 North 26th Street, Billings, Montana state, United States of America, and containing a threat to kidnap the Honorable Jack D. Shanstrom, in violation of 18 United States Code, Section 876.

A TRUE BILL.

---

FOREPERSON

---

SHERRY SCHEEL MATTEUCCI

United States Attorney

-----  
Created for the Web: Monday, April 01, 1996, 09:16 PM

////////////////////////////////////

9/12

subject to statutes nor federal Codes nor acts of congress, d/b/a public policy.

Suspects include but are not limited to "Panama Paul" a/k/a Paul G. Hatfield, John W. Whelan, Gordon L. Smith, Frank Davis, Thomas A. Olson, Jack D. Shanstrom and Larry W. Moran.

The substance for Dollars of Money are in the form of non-standard non-negotiable perfected securities duly filed, recorded, and made public record in this Court of Justice in and for Gallatin county with the office of our clerk and recorder. These perfected securities will be assigned over to the freemen characters who lawfully assist us in our supremacy Common Law arrest of foreign agents who have invaded our venue, original and exclusive jurisdiction which is beyond the scope of authority of acts of congress.

This Bounty is bona fide in Law!!!!!!!!!!

And duly signed, sealed, and proclaimed by this Lawful Edict from our Honorable Justice LeRoy M. Schweitzer in and for Musselshell county/comitatus invoking our one Supreme Court original and exclusive jurisdiction, with full protections, secured and guaranteed in our Constitution of Montana in the year of 1889.

One Supreme Court:

---

by Honorable Justice LeRoy M. Schweitzer, in Law.

Common Law Clerk of our one Supreme Court of necessity

---

Honorable Justice Rodney O. Skurdal, in Law

Common Law Witnesses:

---

Honorable Justice Warren Stone, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Daniel E. Petersen, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Richard E. Clark, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Edwin Clark, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Ralph Clark, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Gary DesPois, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Claude L. Keith, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice William L. Stanton, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Lavon Thomas Hanson, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Larry L. Wrobetz, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Robert F. Kramlich, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Lyle Chamberlin, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Lavern Stellflug, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Craig Schweitzer, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Scott Schweitzer, in Law

---

---

Honorable Justice Delbert Cole, in Law

---



---

Honorable Justice Frank Meade, in Law

---

Honorable Justice Curtis D. Haskins, in Law

---

Honorable Justice James W. Murnion, in Law

---

Honorable Justice Richard E. Wilson, in Law

Page 2 of 2      Bounty

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050704 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Sat, 04 May 1996 10:23:51 -0400

From: liberty@gate.net (Jim Ray)

Subject: Announce: Windows PGP QuickStart

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

You may want to try this if you don't already use PGP due to the complexity of installation. Good luck, and let me know how it goes.

JMR

- From Joelm on cypherpunks:

- -----

>Sender: owner-cypherpunks@toad.com

>Precedence: bulk

>

>Due to a fair amount of demand from Private Idaho users who had  
>novice friends that wanted to use PGP, but were frustrated at the  
>installation and configuration process of the DOS version, I wrote  
>a Windows utility called PGP QuickStart.

>

>This is an extremely simple app that handholds the user from  
downloading PGP with their Web browser to creating key rings.  
It automatically unzips the files, creates the appropriate directory,  
and modifies the AUTOEXEC.BAT file. The user just follows easy to  
understand dialog boxes and clicks buttons.

>

>This is not a full-featured front-end. Its purpose is only to  
simplify the PGP installation process so a user can later use Private  
Idaho or any of the other Windows shells that are available.

&gt;

&gt;The beta version is located at:

&gt;

><http://www.eskimo.com/~joelm/pi.html>

&gt;

&gt;As with Private Idaho, this utility is free...

PIdaho is incredibly cool software these days. You can create

a "nym" for each one of your personalities

(up to 8, "sorry Cybill") &lt;g&gt;

JMR

Regards, Jim Ray &lt;liberty@gate.net&gt;

"The FAA, FBI, Customs, CIA, Justice, DEA and the IRS were all involved in Mena. They won't say how they were involved, but they will tell you there is nothing there." -- Bill Plante, CBS News Correspondent, & Michael Singer, Producer, CBS News, New York. in Tuesday, May 3, 1994's Wall Street Journal letters to the editor section.

---

PGP key Fingerprint 51 5D A2 C3 92 2C 56 BE 53 2D 9C A1 B3 50 C9 C8Public Key id. # E9BD6D35 -- <http://www.shopmiami.com/prs/jimray>

---

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: 2.6.2

Comment: Freedom isn't Freeh.

iQCVAwUBMYtn+W1lp8bpvW01AQGA0gQAtCxUfmCwcjqzkFrD+YcKj6cziDErm1zf

5nB852tVqb2p0ii01QA5VD1CMY5vmhXWVBXqZyzm7yhkCPUse1dpBccE5cLnVNFF

IRn2wlQmsZjelxzQloiYk+QeBRcHNLRSz5YP+MDoiunDK+9ZgeUN6abYRL1E+AK1

oqEYxZx5nFA=

=VXqt

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

-----

Subject: Re: PGP

Date: Sun, 05 May 96 19:15:41 GMT

From: unittest@AZStarNet.com

References: <n1381580362.79191@qmail.eonetworks.com>

Regarding your search for lessons and software, UniTest resells Ventana Press's PGP Companion at a discount. Winfront PGP and SPECIFIC instructions WHERE to download PGP program are all included, even shipping, for under 30 FRN's. Contact: UniTest at: unittest@azstanet.com or call (520)751-1940 for more details.

On 4/26/96 3:05AM, in message <n1381580362.79191@qmail.eonetworks.com>, "John Burr" <john.burr@qmail.eonetworks.com> wrote:

> Winfront is an application that allows PGP 2.62 to run under windows. PGP  
> itself cannot run under Windows. You can get a copy of it along with PGP at  
> the MIT server or ViaCrypt Server on the WEB...search for PGP with your  
> browser.

>

> Yours in Liberty

> John Edward: Burr

> -----

> Date: 4/26/96 5:02 PM

> From: Phillip E. Gerring

>

> >Is there anybody around that would be willing to e-mail me some short

> >lessons on how to use PGP?

> >

> >I have version 2.2. Also, if there is a more recent version, could someone

> >supply the URL from which to download it?

> >

> >Thanks,

> >

> >Daffydd MacNab

>

> There is a very easy to use commercial version of PGP sold by:

>

> ViaCrypt

> 9033 North 24th Ave., Suite 7

> Phoenix, Arizona 85021-2847

> 602-944-0773

>

> They make it for Windows, Macintosh, and Unix. I don't know

> what it costs, it's what we've been using at work.

>

> -- Phil

-----

Date: Sun, 05 May 1996 21:50:38 -0700

To: uwsa@shell.portal.com

From: Bill Selmeier <bills@aimnet.com>

Subject: Warning: Deadly Black Widow on the Web

Personally I am very enthusiastic about the prospects and uses for a technology such as JAVA. But at this time it is only prudent to take precautions until safety can be assured.

The only list members at risk are those on native Internet accounts.

Anyone on AOL, Prodigy, Compuserve or any on-line service can be sure

that service will have to take the actions necessary to protect against a malicious use of JAVA.

Bill

>Date: Sun, 5 May 1996 17:42:54 -0400 (EDT)  
>X-Sender: mail06614@alterdial.uu.net  
>To: java@hpp.com  
>From: "Home Page Press, Inc." <staff@hpp.com>  
>Subject: Warning: Deadly Black Widow on the Web  
>  
>Deadly Black Widow on the Web:  
>Her Name is JAVA  
>  
>"Don't trust Java online" That's the message from computer  
>and Internet security watchdogs, in response to reports that  
>"hostile" Java applets are stalking the WWW. These malicious  
>applets can destroy data, interfere with mission critical intranets,  
>and gain access to sensitive data.  
>  
>"The situation is scary," said Stephen Cobb, Director of Special  
>Projects for the National Computer Security Association (NCSA).  
>"Software companies are releasing products on the Internet without  
>even considering the hacker perspective. Enterprise IT managers  
>have to understand there is a real danger allowing users to freely  
>access the WWW. They have to set up policy now to prevent users  
>from downloading malicious applets and viruses. Users should only  
>be allowed to access trusted domains and Web sites."  
>  
>According to the NCSA, "a malicious 'applet' can be written to  
>perform any action that the legitimate user can do. The security  
>enhancements announced by Sun Microsystems and Netscape do not

>fix this flaw CERT (Computer Emergency Response Teams)

>recommends disabling Java in Netscape Navigator [only Netscape

>browsers are at issue] and not use Sun's 'appletviewer' to browse

>untrusted web sites until patches are made available from the

>vendors." The warnings apply to Netscape Navigator 2.0 and 2.01,

>and Sun's HotJava browser.

>

>And according to a white paper being released by researchers at

>Princeton University, "The Java system in its current form cannot

>easily be made secure." The scientists, Drew Dean, Edward Felten

>and Dan Wallach, will present their white paper at the 1996 IEEE

>Symposium on Security, which starts in California Monday, May 6.

>

>According to the scientists, and other sources interviewed by Online

>Business Consultant (OBC), innocent surfers on the Web who download

>Java applets into Netscape's Navigator and Sun's HotJava browser, risk

>having "hostile" applets interfere with their computers (consuming RAM

>and CPU cycles) or, worse, having an applet connect to a third party on

>the Internet to upload sensitive information from the user's computer.

>

>The scientists say that even firewalls, software designed to fence-off

>LANs and Intranets from cyberthugs, are ineffective against the malicious

>Java code . . . "because the attack is launched from behind the firewall."

>

>This information was made public some weeks back. However, the

>browsing public, and particularly online business users, are ignorant

>of the Java risks. In a survey conducted by OBC the vast majority of

>Netscape users had no idea that Java applets presented a grave risk,

>and many felt the proponents of Java as an Internet technology,

>particularly Sun Microsystems, Inc. and Netscape Communications

>Corporation, were not paying enough attention to the issue. "I have to

>report this information to my senior executives," said one IT manager.

>"They are especially anxious to have clarity on the (Java) security issue."

>

>"They are hoping the security issues will just go away," said another  
>responder, one of the few who has researched the security issue. "But it  
>will not. The hackers will continue to find the loopholes and exploit  
>the opportunities."

>

>OBC also interviewed hackers who have designed Java applets to turn  
>cancerous at a future date. Said one hacker: "Even legitimate Java applets  
>can be targeted on the Web and attacked. I have written a Java virus that  
>changes one line of code in a Java applet to render it useless." [A sample  
>of this type of hostile code is included in the complete Java report in the  
>May issue of OBC]

>

>A computer security expert, Mark Ladue, has set up a "Hostile Applets"  
>site on the Internet. The site is a free service to alert business to the  
>potential  
>dangers. "I've read that article by Dean, Felten, and Wallach, and I agreed  
>with what they had to say as far as they went, but I would paint the picture a  
>little more darkly. It's to the business community that they (Java applets)  
pose  
>the most serious threat."

>

>Back in March the Princeton group released the following Java report to  
>Sun Microsystems, Netscape and Cern: "We have discovered a serious  
>security problem with Netscape Navigator's 2.0 Java implementation.  
>[The problem is also present in the 1.0 release of the Java Development Kit  
>from Sun] An applet is normally allowed to connect only to the host from  
>which it was loaded. However, this restriction is not properly enforced. A  
>malicious applet can open a connection to an arbitrary host on the Internet.  
>At this point, bugs in any TCP/IP-based network service can be exploited.  
>We have implemented (as a proof of concept) an exploitation of an old  
>sendmail bug [to reproduce the problem].



&gt;

>Sun issued a patch that plugs the possibility of "spoofing." Netscape  
>modified its software (in version 2.00). However, Netscape's Navigator is  
>readily available in stores and countless millions of World Wide Web users  
>have no idea they are at serious risk. To date OBC has been unable to obtain  
>official response from Sun or Netscape. The following security claim is  
>extracted from their original white paper on Java:

&gt;

>"Java is intended to be used in networked/distributed environments. Toward  
>that end, a lot of emphasis has been placed on security. Java enables the  
>construction of virus-free, tamper-free systems. The authentication techniques  
>are based on public-key encryption."

&gt;

>However, the Princeton group states otherwise, "If the user viewing the  
>(Java) applet is behind a firewall, this attack can be used against any other  
>machine behind the same firewall. The firewall will fail to defend against  
>(Java) attacks on internal networks, because the attack originates behind the  
>firewall.

&gt;

>"The immediate fix for this problem is to disable Java from Netscape's  
>'Security Preferences' dialog. An HTTP proxy server could also disable  
>Java applets by refusing to fetch Java '.class' files. We've sent a more  
>detailed  
>description of this bug to CERT, Sun, and Netscape."

&gt;

>In light of this information, OBC feels it is prudent to avoid using the  
>Netscape Navigator browsers and logging on to insecure Java sites on the  
>Internet until complete safety can be confirmed.

&gt;

>The complete Java report in the May issue of OBC also exposes the  
>mounting dangers of email being attacked by "Trojan horse" Java applets.

&gt;

&gt;

># # #

>

>The report above may be reprinted with credit provided as follows:

>

>Home Page Press, Inc., <http://www.hpp.com> and Online Business Consultant™

>Please refer to the HPP Web site for additional information about Java and OBC.

>=====

>.....Home Page Press, Inc. <http://www.hpp.com> home of Go.Fetch™

>.....Free TEXT version - Online Business Today email: [obt.text@hpp.com](mailto:obt.text@hpp.com)

>....Free PDF version - Online Business Today email: [obt.pdf@hpp.com](mailto:obt.pdf@hpp.com)

>OBC / Online Business Consultant, \$595/year email: [obc@hpp.com](mailto:obc@hpp.com)

>

>

>

Bill Selmeier

[bills@aimnet.com](mailto:bills@aimnet.com)

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML96050701 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: GW

Subject: Fwd: tracking individuals (fwd)

Date sent: Wed, 1 May 1996 22:34:46 -0500

From: MAWeav@aol.com

Date: Wed, 1 May 1996

Subject: Gov't. Tracking of Americans

Linda,

Thanks for the article on the Christian Coalition's opposition to the immigration "reform" bills moving through Congress. You should know, however, that many of those individuals and organizations which opposed the so-called Immigration Reform bills---the Senate version of which will likely have been voted on by the time you read this---did so because of the incredibly ominous national citizen registry and tracking provisions in the bills. I can't speak for the Christian Coalition, but I know that Grover Norquist's opposition was based on these problems---not his consulting work for Microsoft Corporation.

These bills do more than attempt to stop the immigration problem---they set in motion requirements that private employers seek approval from a nationwide government database of "eligible employees" before they can hire anyone. This means that every American citizen would have to be registered in this massive government database. This system would be implemented through a some type of national ID card, verified through a biometric encoder such as a fingerprint or retina scan. The way this will most likely work is through the nationwide standardization of state driver's licences

and consolidation and sharing of this information with the federal government. This is not some Bircher fantasy, this is fact. Please read the attached white paper (now somewhat superceded by events) from the National Center for Home Education, another conservative group vehemently opposed to this legislation.

Yes, illegal immigration is a problem that must be dealt with, but the "reform" moving through the Congress isn't the answer. To mangle Benjamin Franklin, those who will sacrifice liberty for a little security deserve neither.

Mark Weaver

=====

February 1996

Congress Poised To Mandate Government Registration and Tracking of All Americans

Imagine an America in which every citizen is required to carry a biometrically-encoded identification card as a precondition for conducting business. Imagine having your retina scanned every time you need to prove your identification. Imagine carrying a card containing your entire medical, academic, social, and financial history. Now, imagine that bureaucrats, police officers, and social workers have access under certain circumstances to the information on your card. Finally, imagine an America in which it is illegal to seek any employment without approval from the United States government.

This future may be more real than many Americans would like to think if Congressional lawmakers are allowed to proceed with their most recent attempt at monitoring the private lives of American citizens.

Enter S. 269, the latest attempt by Congress to mandate a computer-driven, biometrically-verifiable national identification system. If enacted into law, S. 269 would require the most comprehensive registration and tracking of American citizens by the federal government in history. Some experts have speculated that once the system envisioned by S. 269 is in place, the scope of the identity card could be expanded to include information of a highly personal nature, such as credit and spending history and medical, educational, and social records.

On February 29, 1996, the Senate Judiciary Committee is scheduled to begin deliberation on S. 269, The Immigration Reform Act of 1996. The bill has already passed the Immigration Subcommittee and is being promoted by Senator Alan Simpson (R-WY) and Dianne Feinstein (D-CA). In the House, Republican Congressman Lamar Smith of Texas is the key sponsor of a similar bill, H.R.2202, The Immigration in the National Interest Act. Although the House bill is not as extreme in its proposals as the Senate version, it still contains provisions which should be viewed as objectionable by family privacy advocates. H.R. 2202 is scheduled for a final floor vote on March 18. The Clinton Administration is a strong proponent of both bills.

Why would Congress and the Clinton Administration consider such a plan?

Some Americans believe that America is in the midst of an illegal immigration crisis. Politicians want to show their constituents that they are taking strong action against illegal immigration. These politicians argue that the best way to control illegal immigration is to give the government the right to approve all employee hiring in America. By using advanced technology to register, track and store

information on every citizen, they argue, it will be easy to spot illegal immigrants.

If At First You Don't Succeed . . .

Similar (but unsuccessful) proposals to create a national registry and tracking system were advanced in the early 1980's by a powerful array of government agencies who brushed aside any concerns about personal privacy. Agencies like the Internal Revenue Service, the State Department, and the Central Intelligence Agency, each for their own unique reasons, craved a law which would require every American to carry a national identity card. One attempt to register and track Americans came close to being endorsed by the Reagan cabinet in July 1981, but it was stopped when President Reagan personally vetoed the idea on the grounds that it was a massive invasion of privacy.

In 1993, under the guise of an immunization bill, Congress attempted to register and track every American from birth, but the measure was defanged of its dangerous provisions after tens of thousands of calls and letters poured into Washington D.C. from parents around the country asking Congress to respect their family privacy and individual liberties. Perhaps the most famous attempt to create a national registry came in 1994 as part of the Clinton Administration's ill-fated Health Security Act.

Each time these proposals have been mounted, pro-family forces have rallied to defeat them.

Smart Cards, Retina Scans, Voice Patterns and the Coming Biometric Privacy Invasion

Biometrics is the science of measuring unique physiological or behavioral characteristics. In recent years, the technology which

drives this science has evolved well beyond fingerprinting and dental records. In fact, the technology is available to identify people by the length of their fingers, the pattern of their retinas, the sound of their voices, and the smell of their skin. Senate lawmakers intend to incorporate advanced forms of this technology as part of the most comprehensive identification and information gathering program in history.

On May 10, 1995, the Senate Subcommittee on Immigration met for a hearing entitled, "Verification of Applicant Identity for the Purposes of Employment and Public Assistance." The hearing was chaired by Senator Alan Simpson (R-WY) and was attended by Senators Ted Kennedy (D-MA), Dianne Feinstein (D-CA), and Jon Kyl (R-AZ). Robert Rasor, from the Financial Crimes Division of the Secret Service, provided an explanation to the Subcommittee of the emerging "biometric" technologies' role in personal identification: "The use of biometrics is the means by which an individual may be conclusively identified. There are two types of biometric identifiers: physical and behavioral characteristics. Physiological biometrics include facial features, hand geometry, retinal and iris patterns, DNA, and fingerprints. Behavioral characteristics include voice characteristics and signature analysis."

Although the language of S. 269 does not mandate which specific biometric technique will be used to register, track and identify every American, it clearly calls for the use of biometrics (Section 115(7)). Senator Dianne Feinstein, an original drafter of the proposal, recently explained in a Capitol Hill magazine that it is her intention to see Congress immediately implement a national identity system where every American is required to carry a card with a "magnetic strip on which the bearer's unique voice, retinal, or fingerprint is digitally encoded."



"Fifteen years ago, they would have torn the building down."

Despite the fact that this bill could dramatically increase the role of the federal government in the private lives of Americans, the proposal has received relatively little media attention. Senate sponsors seem to be pleased by the opportunity to act covertly. During his closing remarks following the last panel of the May 10 subcommittee meeting, Senator Simpson mused on the relative lack of media attention given the hearings and the overlap between a national ID card and President Clinton's proposal for a "Health Security Card" two years ago: "There is much to do here, but I was just saying to Ted [Kennedy] before he left, a hearing like this fifteen years ago, they would have torn the building down. And here we are today just a bunch of us, kind of sitting around and no media, no nothing. This is fine with me. I get tired of them on this issue."

#### Key Problems With The Bill

Congressional attempts to include privacy safeguards in the language offer little hope or consolation. Agencies like the IRS and the Social Security Administration (SSA) have recently been subject to criticism for their lack of control over employees who, in violation of the privacy safeguards, were opening confidential files and making the information available to outsiders. Among other things, the bill establishes:

- \* That the federal government create a national database containing information on all Americans and immigrants eligible to work in this country (S. 269, Sec. 111).

- \* That all Americans may be required to obtain a national identification device, like an ID card (S. 269, Sec. 111(b)).

\* Beginning in 1999, all employers must receive authorization from the national computer database before hiring any new employee this does not just apply to immigrants. For each new employee, the company would be required to transmit his name and identification number via modem and then wait for the national database to respond with an authorization code. If the person's name is not in the database, he can not work (S. 269, Sec. 111).

\* All American children must register with the SSA by age sixteen. When they register, they must provide the agency with a "fingerprint or other biometric data." The agency would place the fingerprint "or other biometric data" on the child's birth certificate, hoping to make the birth certificate more fraud-resistant (S. 269, Sec. 116(7)).

\* In violation of the Tenth Amendment, the Senate bill would create federalize rules pertaining to the creation of driver's licenses, and would unconstitutionally mandate that 1) social security numbers be attached to the license; and that 2) all drivers licenses "shall contain a fingerprint or other biometric data." (S. 269, Sec. 116(b)).

A National Database Would Be a Nightmare!

Majority Leader Dick Armey (R-TX) called the national computer registry and move toward a national identity card, "an abomination and wholly at odds with the American tradition of individual freedom." Senator Spencer Abraham (R-MI) recently joined Armey in signing a letter denouncing the tracking system. Jack Kemp wrote in the New York Times, "An anti-privacy, anti-business and anti-American approach is no way to run immigration policy."

These bills would create an unprecedented increase in the government's ability to collect information. For the first time:

\* The government would have a comprehensive registry of every American name, date of birth, place of birth, mother's maiden name, Social Security number, gender, race, and other information. Personal information that is now scattered in many different places would be consolidated in one database, controlled by a single federal agency.

\* Personal information would be accessible to local agencies and anyone who claims to be an employer.

\* The government would have to grant approval before a company enters into private employment contract with a private citizen.

The Legislation Is Likely To Pass Unless Significant Opposition Develops Soon

Under the current political climate, the bill is likely to be enacted into law. Most Senators do not even realize that the bill would create a national, computer-linked registry and tracking system driven by biometric technology. Those who do understand have not properly evaluated the tremendous threat to individual liberties and family privacy posed by the measure.

The House Version

In its current form, H.R. 2202 calls for pilot programs to test the idea of an computer-linked verification system. It calls for new and unprecedented databases and data sharing and computer link-ups between state and federal agencies, thus expanding the government's

ability to monitor private citizens. Like S. 269, it would, for the first time, require private employers to receive approval from a federal computer database before entering into private employment contracts with individuals.

#### Opposition To The Bills

More than fifty influential organizations representing groups on both the right and left of the political spectrum have joined together in an effort to defeat these bills. A number of Representatives and Senators have responded favorably to their concerns. Two of them, Senators Spence Abraham (R-MI) and Rus Feingold (D-WI) have joined together to offer amendments to delete all references to registries, ID cards, or employment verification programs from the Senate bill.

#### Action Is Urgently Needed

The registry and tracking system currently before Congress must be defeated. Now is the time to write and call urging your lawmakers on Capitol Hill to oppose any national registry, tracking and identification system. Tell them that the threat to individual liberty and family privacy far outweigh any potential benefits that such a system might provide in curbing illegal immigration. If your senator is a member of the Judiciary Committee urge him to support the Abraham/Feingold Amendment. Tell them that there are acceptable solutions to America's illegal immigration problem but giving the government the power to register and track its citizens is not one of them. [Note: S. 269 may be officially redubbed S.1394.]

Call your Senator at (202) 225-3121 and your Representative at (202) 224-3121.

This special report was prepared by the legal staff of the National Center for Home Education, P.O. Box 125, Paeonian Springs, VA 22129. Permission is granted to reprint this report in its entirety.

=====end=====

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050605 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Sun, 05 May 1996 20:55:06 -0700

From: jon.roland@the-spa.com (Jon Roland)

Subject: Letter to editors on GA, Worst Nightmare

The following message was sent to every significant U.S. newspaper known to have an email address (the list sent previously to this distribution list).

=====

The main reason the American people are losing confidence in the integrity of mainstream newspapers and journalists is the willingness of these media to relay disinformation from government sources without making any effort to challenge its veracity or offer alternative information that might portray a very different picture, information that many people now seek in alternative media such as the Internet.

A good example is provided by the recent reporting on the arrest of two Georgia militia activists for alleged bombmaking activity, and of a call for attacks on federal assets by militias if there is an assault on the Montana Freeman. Investigations by militia activists reveal that in the case of the Georgia activists, they not only were not engaged in any kind of bombmaking activity, but were in fact engaged in an independent investigation of illegal activities by federal officials, and their arrest appears to be an attempt to stop their investigation and obtain their evidence so that it can be destroyed and the illegal activities of the government agents thereby covered up. Further details on this can be found on the WWW at [http://www.constitution.org/mil/ga/mil\\_usga.htm](http://www.constitution.org/mil/ga/mil_usga.htm).

The call for attacks appeared in the form of a fax, known as the "Worst Nightmare" fax, that is unsigned and lacking in a phone number in the

banner that would permit its origin to be traced. Investigations by militia activists can find no source for that fax, either from some misguided person within the militia movement or from any of the known hate groups who are adversaries of the militia movement. The way it is being played up by the government and the media indicates, however, a federal source, and the crude, artless language of the fax supports this thesis. For the time being, it should be regarded as probable federal disinformation. Responsible militia activists reject any such call for retaliatory attacks. Defensive action against illegal and abusive attacks on citizens by government agents, yes, but not retaliation.

Jon Roland

Constitution Society

<http://www.constitution.org/>

916/927-4935

=====

If you haven't visited the Constitution Society Web site lately, there are a few additions you might like to know about:

(1) A complete set of links to U.S. State Web sites. Completed before NASIRE or Piper did.

(2) The most complete set of U.S. State Constitutions online, including some on the CS site not elsewhere available. We are working with some states on converting their constitutions to HTML.

(3) The most complete set of national constitutions. Almost 100 nations, plus an entry for the U.K. explaining their non-constitutional legal system.

(4) The first completely correct version of the U.S. Constitution.

(5) Numerous additions in the Organizations, Publications, and References pages.

Some works in progress, in various stages of completion:

(1) First correct version of The Federalist Papers. Previous versions contain errors, use all caps for italics, and use some strange punctuation.

(2) An HTML version of the U.S. Jurisdiction over Federal Areas report.

(3) An indexed HTML version of Aristotle's Nichomachean Ethics.

(4) Prototype Web sites for Alabama, Georgia, Iowa, Missouri, Montana, and Texas. More to come. Each contains a table of counties. I would appreciate comments on these. I want to develop pages that militiamen in each state will appreciate. The county tables may have other uses. You might consider setting up promotional Web sites for your counties if they don't already have one, featuring their products and tourist attractions. Consider the impact of the county first getting its Web site from the militia instead of from its Chamber of Commerce. ;-)

--Jon

=====  
Visit our Web site under its new domain name - <http://www.constitution.org/>  
If you need help setting up your own Web site, call us at 916/927-4935.  
=====

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in



- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050505 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Forwarded from:

<http://www.lookup.com/Homepages/65177/news/sitrep.html>

Georgia Militia Situation Report

Report from: Dr. Nancy Lord and J.J. Johnson

From our investigation, we have learned that Robert Starr was in the process of conducting a counter-sting operation against government "agent provocateurs". After an informant taped a militia meeting on Tuesday, April 23, according to the evidence introduced by the government, the BATF could have arrested him immediately. Instead they waited until Friday, April 26. We believe that he was arrested due to a phone call he made to the "Arming You With Knowledge" program on shortwave radio (5.065 MHz), Wednesday, April 24, in which he openly stated that there was a threat on his life.

Evidence that we had received on 30 Apr 96, that will not only clear Robert Starr, but may also identify and possibly indict government agents for illegal activities has been secured and will be made available in federal court, in accordance with Rule 16 disclosure. (For those of you who remember another recent bogus federal case, the Regas FIJA case, a pre-trial diversion offer was made 24 hours after this disclosure was made last October.)

In this case, Nancy Lord was so concerned that the feds would charge her

client with fabricating the evidence, that she called the local Sheriff, at about 2330 hrs on 30 Apr 96 and asked for a Deputy to come to the Starr residence with an evidence kit to establish chain of custody and secure her evidence.

The BATF and other government officials are obviously concerned about the nature of this sensitive information becoming public. In fact at about 1830 hrs on 01 May 96, the home of Robert Starr's parents was visited by at least 7 BATF agents. They appeared with a fraudulent warrant (wrong address) and removed papers and other items from Robert Starr's vehicle. At least one agent drew a rifle.

The warrant listed documents and other items which are clearly protected by the Fifth Amendment which they undoubtedly learned from the Sheriff were exculpatory. Such materials must be provided to the defense anyway, under Maryland v. Brady -- if the agency was following lawful procedures. We believe they came to the house to destroy evidence, because they admitted to the family that the warrant was bad and the evidence could not be used in court.

At that time, I had to host the "Arming You With Knowledge" program, and secure the evidence. After hosting the program, we had reasons to believe that federal agents were after us. Not only because we had prevented them from destroying our client's evidence, but the fact that we received several calls of people wanting to meet with us near the hotel we were staying at. Evidence we had received convinced Nancy and myself that we were being set-up for either an obstruction charge or a possible threat to our lives. For these reasons, we decided to go under a "total electronic blackout" and "become invisible" until the evidence had been lawfully secured.

We apologize for the inconvenience and concern we may have caused individuals across the country, but certain operational security

measures had to be taken.

Since I will be on numerous radio and television shows all weekend, and Dr. Lord will be working on several other cases, any inquiries should be addressed to:

Paul Sullivan (Temporary Local Militia Contact)

(912) 923-2309 voice

1-800-501-3377 ID#2644630 to send faxes

0000 hrs 04 May 96 - Additional updates

J.J. will be in Ohio this weekend and returning in time for the Rally and Pre-trial hearing. We have successfully publicized the illegal raid of the Starr property (including the names of some agents involved) on the local news in Macon, and on radio shows in Macon and Atlanta.

On Friday, 03 May 96, the Judge ordered Starr and McCrannie held without bond

The names of some of the BATF agents who searched the Starr property are:

Mark Osborne - Atlanta office

Steven L. Gerido - Atlanta office

L. Veleg - office unknown

Maury T. Walker - office unknown

Statement From Commander Robert Starr, III

If we all pray to God to send His Holy Spirit upon the agents of the ATF, the evil that gives them their power will flee and leave them without leadership. Always pray for the Holy Spirit to come upon your enemies.

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050401 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: JM

To: butterb@sagenet.net

Subject: Dick Reavis on Montana

"Everybody knows that millions of conformist, God-fearing, patriotic, hard-working Americans have, in the past few years, become radically discontent. As a group, they believe their personal fortunes are imperiled, that the nation is in decline, and that their good intentions have been betrayed. Thousands of them are reading our national history (they may be the only Americans who are still doing that), trying to figure out what led to the disaster they see. They have tried to bring their arguments to the public, as even Timothy McVeigh did, in letters to the editor, on talk radio, anywhere they can. The debate can be conducted by the usual means but not by the usual media, whose experts are off in Yuppieland, far from discontent, and whose reporters are standing by the road, waiting on their editors or the FBI."

"Standoff in Montana," by Dick J. Reavis, in The Met, May 1-8, 1996

This is an excellent article by a reporter who attempted to meet with the Freeman. Dick Reavis, who is if anything more left-wing than the average journalist, has done excellent work on Waco and is pleading for better coverage and more understanding of the alienated segment of the population.

The Met: 5612 Yale Blvd., Suite 207

Dallas, TX 75206

E-Mail: trogers@themet.net      Web site:      themet.net

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
  - \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
  - \* constitutional government
  - \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
  - \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
  - \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
  - \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
  - \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
  - \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
  - \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
- 
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
  - \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050301 / Posted to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Bo Gritz has given up on trying to negotiate with the Freeman.

The FBI seems to be running out of patience and the Freeman reportedly think they are protected from the FBI by an "invisible shield". Let us all pray that this standoff can be concluded without bloodshed.]

Date: Fri, 3 May 96 05:44 EDT

To: patriots@kaiwan.com

From: Max Kennedy <mkennedy@iglou.com>

Subject: Freeman Update M03

By MATT BENDER

Of The Gazette Staff

JORDAN - The Freeman abandoned negotiations with the FBI and began communicating with the media with a video and a pair of press releases Thursday.

On two occasions, Freeman came to the edge of the property they have isolated themselves on northwest of Jordan and left releases explaining themselves for the media. The first was a videotape accompanied by photocopied pages explaining their position; the second was a copy of a letter from the FBI proposing a meeting Saturday with negotiators. The meeting apparently was refused by the Freeman.

Both times, a Freeman representative waved for reporters to come to the barricade they have erected on the west road leading into the property. The Freeman didn't stay to talk to reporters.

The videotape was released to the media with a 13-page document addressed "To the Press" that instructed media members to share the tape



among themselves.

"This tape yours, the media's, private property presented by the `freemen characters' by simple contract rights protected by both national and state constitutions," the document states. "The State of Montana nor the Fed's have any lawful authority to trespass on this private contract in Law."

The FBI confiscated, and has refused to release, a similar tape brought out of the compound by third-party negotiator James "Bo" Gritz on Sunday. Television reporters were able to keep the new tape and make copies of it before the FBI could take it Thursday.

On the tape, a lone Freeman, Russell D. Landers, 44, stands before the seal of the so-called "Justus Township" and explains why the Freemen are the true interpreters on the law. The repetitive message goes on for about 45 minutes. Landers, wanted for unlawful flight to avoid prosecution on federal charges of conspiracy and securities fraud out of Colorado, wears a cowboy hat and loud Western shirt as he expounds on the tape. Landers was indicted in January 1995 in Colorado for his alleged involvement in a scam by the We the People group led by Roy Schwasinger.

Landers explains that the Freemen are actually pro-government and it's the federal government that is abusing the law. The Freemen don't recognize much of the federal government's authority, and several of the 21 people holed up near Jordan are wanted on state and federal charges.

The message on the video is much like the statements the Freemen have preached over the last few years. Landers claims the FBI is not allowing the people of Justus Township to tell the truth.

The truth, according to the Freeman ideology, is that the FBI is not

constitutionally part of the government. The FBI is illegally in Montana, and agents kidnapped sovereign characters LeRoy Michael Schweitzer and Daniel E. Petersen when the men were arrested March 25 to start the standoff, Landers said.

Landers said a difference in wording is key to understanding the movement. The United States is a corporation, while the United States of America is a republic, he said. The state of Montana is also a corporation and "Montana state" is considered a republic by the Freemen.

The Montana Bar Association, Internal Revenue Service, Garfield County, Department of Justice, state of Montana, United States, among other government powers and agencies, are all corporations that aren't registered as such in Montana. Therefore, their authority is not recognized by the Freemen in their interpretation of common law, Landers said.

In the video, Landers recounts in detail the Freemen's investigations of recognized government authority. Often saying "What do you think we found out? ... You guessed it," before explaining how the agencies are not "registered" as corporations.

Ten times Landers repeats, "Who are these masked men?" in reference to the FBI agents in Montana attempting to negotiate the arrest and prosecution of the fugitives.

Landers challenges authorities and the media to prove them wrong. If the Freemen aren't right, they will surrender, he said.

"We're gonna let you be the judge," Landers says.

The tape ends with Landers saying "God bless America" and asking the

American people for their support. After television crews dubbed the tape, the Freeman came out with a second release. They provided reporters with a copy of a letter from the FBI that indicates negotiations are not going well.

The letter is addressed to Freeman Edwin Clark, who is a member of the family that used to own the 960-acre foreclosed wheat farm that is now being called Justus Township. Robin L. Montgomery, FBI special agent in charge of the agency's Critical Incident Response Group, signed the letter asking for a meeting between Clark and negotiators.

A meeting is proposed for 1 p.m. Saturday at the nearby Fairview Hall. The hall is about seven miles from the Clark property and would fall outside the invisible protective barrier that the Freeman believe Yahweh (God) has put around their encampment.

Clark is asked to select another Freeman and meet with state Assistant Attorney General John Connor Jr. and Karl Ohs, R-Harrison. Connor and Ohs have met with the Freeman to negotiate on several occasions. Connor left Jordan on Wednesday, saying that there was no middle ground found in any of the four meetings he attended and he didn't expect to return unless the Freeman came up with different proposals.

Montgomery asked the Freeman to put a green flag on a sign outside the main home on the farm by 6 p.m. Thursday to indicate whether they were coming to the meeting. No flag ever was posted, and from the tone of the letter, the negotiations are at an impasse.

Montgomery wrote: "Currently, there have been no indications that progress toward a peaceful resolution is underway. Failure to pursue meaningful dialogue through this meeting will indicate your lack of genuine interest in seeking a peaceful and equitable solution. In this case, the FBI will reserve the right to take whatever action it deems

necessary to resolve this matter."

The handwritten messages on the photocopies of the letter indicate that the Freeman have no plans to go to Saturday's meeting. They stamped "REFUSAL FOR CAUSE WITHOUT DISHONOR" on the letter and dated it May 2. In addition, the Freeman wrote, "The FBI does not exist as a government agency," and made reference to the Freeman belief that the FBI has no venue and no jurisdiction because it is unlawfully in Montana.

Late Thursday afternoon, FBI agents came to the hill where media members have positioned themselves and asked for copies of the releases made by the Freeman. They were given a tape and copies of the documents.

-----  
To: patriots@kaiwan.com

From: Max Kennedy <mkennedy@iglou.com>

Subject: Re: Montana Constitution (1972), Article II, Section 33

>From: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

>Sender: mike@pobox.com

On Fri, 3 May 96 00:42 EDT Max Kennedy <mkennedy@iglou.com> wrote:

> Montana Constitution (1972), Article II, Section 33

>> >Sec. 33. No armed person or persons or armed body of men shall be  
>> > brought into this state for the preservation of the peace,  
>> > or the suppression of domestic violence, except upon the  
>> > application of the legislature, or of the governor when the  
>> > legislature cannot be convened.

>>

>> Also in the United States Constitution, Article 4 Section 4

For those who don't have it handy:

Section. 4. The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government, and shall protect each of them against Invasion; and on Application of the Legislature, or of the Executive (when the Legislature cannot be convened), against domestic Violence.

See <http://pobox.com/~whig/Constitution.html> for the canonical text.

Specifically, though, the cited section of the Montana Constitution is what makes plainly illegal the FBI presence at the Freeman compound.

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050208 - Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

[Same old BATF story, unchanged after Congressional  
"investigations" - Why not send this to your senators and  
congressman with your comments about our very own American  
GESTAPO? If this man had tried to defend his family, we would be  
hearing about how the BATF had killed another terrorist.]

Date: Thu, 2 May 1996 13:58:14 -0400 (EDT)

From: Patricia Neill <pnpj@db1.cc.rochester.edu>

Subject: L&J: JackBoot ATF, At It Again

Reply-To: liberty-and-justice@pobox.com

Date: Thu, 02 May 1996 12:18:17 -0500

From: "David L. Goad" <dgoad@mo.NET>

Subject: RE: Jack booted thugs

Reply-to: act@efn.ORG

Folks,

ATF is at it again...

This Tuesday night at about 9:30 pm in St. Charles county, Missouri, a  
group of 14 county/fed goons led by an ATF twit raided a suburban  
household of 4; two mid-thirties parents, and 6 and 2 year old children.

They kicked in the back door, threatened to kill the dog, tied up the  
husband on the floor with a gun at his head and generally trashed the  
house searching for weapons. They did not even produce a warrant for  
over an hour. The couple thought they had been invaded by burglars, and  
expected to die. The screams of "ATF!" by the goons did not mean  
anything to the couple.

After an hour & a half, not finding what they were looking for they just left, no apologies, nothing.

It appears that an ATF informant was making the whole thing up. He told the ATF that he had been to this house many times, and that a large stash of machine guns were there as part of a large gun running operation. The local police, upon checking the county records told the informant that the owners name did not match the name of the so-called "gun-runner" he was informing on. His response was that the guy must be a guest of the homeowners.

On this basis a judge authorized the search warrant. Well, so much for the necessity of a sworn statement by a trustworthy individual.

The ATF "official" has indicated that he will apologize at some point.

A few (to me) frustrating points;

1. The local cops took the trouble to (partially) check up on what the informant was telling them, and when conflicting data was obtained, decided to trust the informant over records showing a typical law abiding family. When will local judges start to give their local residents the benefit of the doubt over paid informants?
2. As usual, rather than knocking on the front door in the daytime, serving the warrant, and peacefully checking out the residence, they kicked in the door, and threatened the family. Equally as usual they apparently found an extremist, right wing, terrorist dog and wanted to kill it.
3. Rather than admit the screw up on the spot, the ATF MAY be pressured into an apology.

Oh well, just another day in paradise.

-dave

=====

Unsub info - send to majordomo@pobox.com with "unsubscribe liberty-and-justice"  
in the body (not the subj) of the msg. Listowner: Mike Goldman <whig@pobox.com>

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96050207 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: rdelephant@aol.com (RDElephant)

Newsgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

Subject: USAF decides NO SAFETY PROBE re Brown crash

Date: 1 May 1996 17:23:31 -0400

USA Today reported on p3a of todays edition that the Air Force "in a controversial move" will forgo a safety probe of the Brown crash, skipping straight to a legal inquiry. The article quotes a former accident investigator for both the NTSB and the Air Force, Alan Diehl, as saying "They just want to blame the dead pilots and go home".

The lead paragraph describes this as a "rare move".The decision was made by Gen. Michael Ryan, CINC USAF in Europe, who is overseeing the investigation, according to the article. The Pentagon claims the report will be out in five weeks rather than the year or longer should a full safety probe be conducted. The article goes on to explain that because witness statements in safety probes are privileged and thus may not be used in later legal actions, personnel are far freer to be open in the safety probe than in the legal phase. Ray Poole, a retired AF lieutenant colonel explains in the article that the purpose of the safety probe is to get to people before they talk to lawyers, "If the legal guys get in there they intimidate them." Poole says that "...I can't imagine anyone being to forthcoming" in the legal proceeding and Diehl, who still works for the AF as a consultant adds, " The military values loyalty over truth".

I will be anxious to hear the comments of Sprunt and others re this surprising turn of events. As an aside, the very next page of this morning's USA Today covered the continued search for Ex-CIA director Colby.

[...]

Randy D. Ellison

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050206 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: Eagle Forum <eagle@accessus.net>

Subject: C-NEWS: More on H.R. 1617

Sender: c-news-approval@world.std.com

AMERICAN POLICY CENTER

14140-L Parke Long Court, Chantilly, VA 22021 \* Phone (703) 968-9768 \* de the a

A Summary Critique of the Careers Act, HR 1617

Below are some of the most salient points which need to be addressed in  
assessing HR 1617

- \* Changes the historical function of education. The assumptions behind this shift in the purpose of education cannot be understated. This shift places the emphasis not on the individual but on what the individual can produce for business and government. The end result of this shift will be a reduction in education levels and early career paths for students. People will not be valued as individuals but as "human resources" to be developed for the good of society. Title II, Section 202 (13) (G), Subtitle B, Section 222 (b) (5) and (12).
- \* Subverts our nation's multi-level republic by removing state legislatures from the normal governing process. State legislatures are replaced by Governors as the state authority with final approval of state plans. State legislatures are not given a role in formulating or approving a states workforce development plan which will only insulate the process from the people. Section 131 (c).

- \* Creates a linked national, state, and local workforce data base. Despite the provision for confidentiality in Section 132 (amending Title II, Section 22 (b) (1) (A), (B), and (C)) this Act greatly integrates federal data bases which is a cause for great concern. Given the history of the illegal use of personal data by the National Center for Education Statistics it is clear that no further concentration and integration of data should take place by the federal or state governments. Section 132, Section 23 (4) page 83 mandates the compatibility of government data bases.
- \* Government assumes the responsibility of job placement and development for every individual in the U.S. by mandating the use of skill certificates. This Act unconstitutionally strengthens the control government has over individual lives. Skill certificates could become the only means of obtaining employment.
- \* Mandates Outcome-based Education (OBE) curriculums to be used in vocational education. It is clear by the use of OBE terms and concepts in the bill that the "education training" and skill certificates will also include attitudes, values, and beliefs. Some of the OBE buzzwords in this bill are: "competency based applied learning, higher order reasoning, work attitudes," Title II, Section 202 (14). Life long learning, another OBE concept, is mentioned in Section 401 (3) and (6) and elsewhere.
- \* Establishes Local Workforce Development Boards which govern the Integrated Career Centers (one-stop career centers). These Local Workforce Development Boards are given very difficult tasks in projecting business demands for labor,

future worker skills needed, job search assistance, assessment of skill levels, and other activities "to accomplish the full integration of workforce development plans" and it is not clear if they can accomplish the task. But, regardless, the organizational form raises questions as to the incentives which would influence the normal relationships between federal, state, and local governments and businesses. Clearly the concept behind these boards is anti-free market. Title I, Sections 106 and 107.

- \* Incorporates existing School-to-Work Act frameworks into its structure. This Act is not a repudiation of the School-to-Work Act but a centralizing and solidifying action. Section 103 (c) (2).

-----

Send E-mail to [eagle@accessus.net](mailto:eagle@accessus.net)

-----

To unsubscribe from c-news, send the message UNSUBSCRIBE c-news to [majordomo@world.std.com](mailto:majordomo@world.std.com). Contact [owner-c-news@world.std.com](mailto:owner-c-news@world.std.com) with questions.

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* [butterb@sagenet.net](mailto:butterb@sagenet.net) (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050205 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: ray@strategicsw.com (Ray Gano)

Subject: Military Told To Get Out If They Don't Support NWO

Date: Thu, 2 May 1996 09:25:50 -0700

From: The Minuteman Press

High Ranking Military Officers Told To Get Out

If They Don't Support New World Order

Source: Perceptions Magazine, 10736 Jefferson Blvd., Suite 502, Culver  
City, CA 90230

April 25- The electrifying rumor that "high ranking U.S. Military have been told to "play ball" with the New World Order agenda or get out now," which has been circulating since late March, got further verification yesterday afternoon thanks to an alert patriot in Las Vegas.

\*\*\* A source has reported: "Yes, the Joint chiefs have rewritten the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) to state that the United States will accept orders and command from the United Nations." When asked how he thought the military would "sell" this to the American public, "They don't care what the public thinks."

Anyone Wishing To Verify This Report Can Obtain The Revised Ucmj As Soon As It Is Available.

We have received information that "there have been high ranking officers, that within the last couple of weeks, have abruptly resigned from the military.....we are talking about line officers, full bird colonels through three star generals. There have also been low ranking officers, but the

report that we have that they were concerned about the resignation of line officers as well as full bird's and above. Anyone not wanting to go along with this new world order would be given an honorable discharge. Anyone Who did not make a decision within the allotted 100 days (ending middle of may 96) would be given a dishonorable discharge."

"In addition, gen. Shalikashvili, (chairman of the joint chiefs) was ordered to change the (uniform code of military justice) ucmj so that any soldier or officer not obeying any order, regardless of whether or not such order is lawful or constitutional, they will be court-martialed."

Something is coming! We had better be ready.....

- \* Patriot Information Mailing List
- \* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in
- \* returning our federal and state governments to limited,
- \* constitutional government
- \* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to
- \* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).
- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.



PIML 96050203 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

Date: Wed, 1 May 1996 16:18:11 -0600

From: comminc@fortnet.org (Committee to Restore the Constitution)

Subject: Why America is Bankrupt

#### WHY AMERICA IS BANKRUPT

"I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the government at defiance. The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the government to whom it properly belongs."

THOMAS JEFFERSON

In the present climate of economic emergency it appears that the greatest stumbling block to acceptance of necessary data for financial survival, and the conclusions which must be reached by the individual, is the feeling of 'unreality' which the truth holds for the very people who seek it.

Impending economic/political disaster is permitted its fantastic rate of growth through no other factor as much as incrudulity masked as apathy. The resulting inaction of the people is a powerful propellant to nihilistic doctrine.

Knowledgeable response to crisis is, of course, more difficult than protest. But, protest alone will not defend your family, your money and your property against confiscatory stratagems of the money aristocracy. A vital first requirement for financial survival is identification of the men and the system who direct the course of the Republic to oblivion and her people to an Orwellian twilight zone.

Now you can have the key to unlock the mystery of the secret government of monetary power and learn how to defend your money and property against a predatory government.

Extract from testimony by Col. Roberts, Committee to Restore the Constitution, before House of Representatives, Wisconsin State Legislature and full gallery of supportive citizens, 14 December 1971. Public hearing held in consonance with provisions of Assembly Joint Resolution #34.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### WHY AMERICA IS BANKRUPT

A significant portion of the American public is yet to become aware of the "Invisible Government of Monetary Power", although this knowledge is common in Europe. Americans still believe that they are working toward a better way of life. Surreptitiously, however, social customs and forms of administration in the United States are being carefully and gradually modified. The change from one type of culture to another is thus accomplished without arousing serious public challenge.

The stark truth is that America is now passing from a constitutional republic into a totalitarian, world wide government. World dominion is the ages old dream of the matooids who have mastered the science of control over people.

Their success in the United States is directly related to two central issues:

One - transfer of money control from the people into the hands of an international banking combine, and

Two - creation of a complex and confusing judicial system designed to frustrate justice.

The remainder of this presentation will be concerned with the first

principle - money, and those who control it.

In 1913 the money aristocracy effected a major advance toward their long-range goal of world dominion. They duped the United States Congress into adopting the Federal Reserve Act. This coup resulted in the transfer of the power to coin and regulate US money from the Congress to their private banking combine, the Federal Reserve System.

Since passage of the Federal Reserve Act, the American destiny and the personal life of every citizen has been controlled by a financial elite whose sick-brained policies have spawned depression, war and revolution.

The existence of an "Invisible Government of Monetary Power" was dramatically confirmed in 1933 by the late Louis T. McFadden, Chairman, Banking and Currency Committee, United States Congress, who said: "Every effort has been made by the Fed to conceal its powers but the truth is - the Fed has usurped the government. It controls everything here (in Congress) and it controls all our foreign relations. It makes and breaks governments at will."

Representative John R. Rarick, denouncing President Nixon's plan for deficit spending ("Deficit Financing", Congressional Record, February 1, 1971) also revealed the dominant position held by the Federal Reserve System over the American economy.

"He," (President Nixon) said Mr. Rarick, "has asked the independent Federal Reserve System to come up with enough new money to reach a projected increase in the GNP by \$88 billion in order to achieve his `objective of prosperity without inflation'."

"The Federal Reserve," Congressman Rarick pointed out, "is not an agency of Government. It is a private banking monopoly."

"As I have said many times before," Rarick declared, "the policies of the monarch are always those of his creditors."

Gentlemen, the safety of the State and the peace and security of Wisconsin citizens now urgently require an investigation of the vast powers claimed by the Federal Reserve System.

The first consideration should be a public examination of the authority which the Federal Reserve System says established its legal status as a Government agency. Such authority is quoted in a statement submitted to Congressman Wright Patman, House Banking and Currency Committee, by the Board of Governors, Federal Reserve System and Federal Reserve Banks, dated April 14, 1952.

"The 12 Federal Reserve Banks," said the Federal Reserve Board, "are corporations set up by Federal law to operate for public purposes under government supervision."

The Board further advised Mr. Patman that, "The Board of Governors was created by Congress and is a part of the Government of the United States. Its members," they said assuringly, "are appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate, and it (the Fed) has been held by the Attorney General to be a Government establishment (30 Op.Atty.Gen., 308, 1914)."

Retorting to these impressive claims to "legality" and "public service" Congressman Patman stated: "There is no free market that can cope with a national debt of \$272 billion (1952), with \$85 billion of it to be refunded within one year. Free market," he said, "means private manipulation of (private) credit."

Private manipulation of PUBLIC credit is, of course, the purpose and

objective of the Federal Reserve System. This international banking cartel, as will be shown, manages the credit of the United States for the profit and advantage of its foreign and domestic members. In so doing the Federal Reserve exploits the entire producing strata of the American society for the gain of a select, non-producing few.

"The Federal Reserve Board, to my mind," continued Mr Patman, "is guilty of the grossest kind of misconduct in failing to support the Government of the United States at a time of its greatest economic peril in Government securities."

Congressman Patman then revealed the contradiction in the spurious Federal Reserve claim of "Government agency" status and explained how the Fed generates illegitimate profits for its members.

"The Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve System," he said, "is composed of the 7 members of the Board of Governors and 5 members who are presidents of the Federal Reserve banks and who are selected by private commercial banking interests. The Open Market Committee has the power to obtain, and does obtain, the printed money of the United States - Federal Reserve Notes - (free) from the Bureau of Engraving and Printing, and exchanges these printed notes, which of course are not interest bearing, for United States government obligations that are interest bearing. After making the exchange," Patman explained, "the interest bearing obligations are retained by the 12 Federal Reserve banks and the interest collected annually on these government obligations goes into the funds of the 12 Federal Reserve banks."

Exploding the myth that the Federal Reserve System is an instrumentality of the Federal Government, Mr Patman declared: "These funds (interest from Government obligations) are expended by the (Federal Reserve) system without an adequate accounting to the Congress. In fact, there has never

been an independent audit of either the 12 banks or the Federal Reserve Board that has been filed with the Congress where a Member (of Congress) would have an opportunity to inspect it. The General Accounting Office," he states, "does not have jurisdiction over the Federal Reserve. For 40 years (1952) the system, while freely using the money (credit) of the Government, has not made a proper accounting."

Governor W.P.G. Harding of the Federal Reserve Board, in testimony before Congress in 1921, admitted that the Fed is a private banking monopoly. "The Federal Reserve Bank is an institution owned by the stockholding member banks," he said. "The Government has not a dollar's worth of stock in it."

The Government does, however, give the Federal Reserve System free use of its billions of dollars of credit. This gives the Federal Reserve the characteristic of a central bank; the power to issue currency on the Government's credit.

Americans do not have Federal Government notes or gold certificates as currency. We have Federal Reserve Bank notes, fiat money issued by private banks. Every dollar the Federal Reserve System prints is a dollar in their pocket.

The compatible meshing of the Federal Reserve System with a network of international banking was explained by Mr. W. Randolph Burgess of the New York Federal Reserve Bank in an address before the Academy of Political Science in 1930. "In its major principles of operation the Federal Reserve System is no different," he told Congress, "from other banks of issue, such as the Bank of England, the Bank of France, or the Reichsbank."

It is obvious that when control of money is transferred from the People to private banking centers, as is the case in Europe and America, the sovereignty of the People is surrendered, too. Control of wealth confers

upon those who control it the final decision in the domestic and international affairs of nations. When the financial aristocracy usurp the "coin of the realm", the People are disfranchised and real political authority passes into the hands of an "Invisible Government of Monetary Power".

\*\*\*\*\*

From, THE MOST SECRET SCIENCE, by Archibald E. Roberts, LtCol, AUS, ret.

200 pages (8 1/2 X 11) quality softcover: \$12.00

Library of Congress card #84-70100 ..... ISBN: 0-943-120-08-0

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050103 / Forwarded to Patriot Information Mailing List:

From: Free Speech Newspaper <ron@freespeechnews.com>

Newsgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

Subject: Larry Case Tapes Update

Date: 28 Apr 1996 12:46:01 -0700

Organization: Free Speech Newspaper

CC: alt.politics.elections, alt.politics.radical-left,  
alt.politics.usa.republican, alt.politics.usa.newt-gingrich,  
alt.conspiracy, alt.dear.whitehouse, alt.impeach.clinton,  
alt.politics.correct

#### ANNOUNCEMENT AND UPDATE: LARRY CASE TAPES

During most of the last two weeks the staff of Free Speech Newspaper has been overwhelmed with examining the 26 audio tapes and three video tapes delivered to Free Speech Newspaper by retired Arkansas investigator Larry E. Case. Mr. Case has also provided this newspaper with several hundred pages of supporting documents as support for verification. We have been occupied with discussions with several celebrated Whitewater journalists and other interested parties from Seoul to London to New York and Washington D.C. to Sofia, Bulgaria.

Obviously, Mr. Larry E. Case is a controversial figure. He has unequivocally advised this newspaper he does indeed possess the "Bill Clinton cocaine video" and that the video tape is in several locations for his own protection. It has also been confirmed that Larry E. Case has recently made similar statements to a Los Angeles stock broker and a highly respected London journalist. On the other hand Larry Nichols has made statements on the Jim Quinn show on radio in Pittsburgh warning that Larry E. Case is a disinformation agent in the employ of the Clinton camp. We have also received information that Mr. Case may be receiving



weekly payments since November at a bank in Little Rock from sources near the Democratic National Committee.

We are now in the process of releasing documents and film clips of video and audio tapes provided to Free Speech Newspaper by Mr. Larry Case on this website. We apologize for the delays but journalistic responsibility require our most studied caution because of the potential for this story. We are now posting a series of transcripts of tape recordings apparently made by Mr. Larry E. Case while negotiations were going to transfer the compromising recording to Governor Bill Clinton and his staff during 1990.

Free Speech Newspaper

18631 North 19th Avenue

Suite 128-200

Phoenix, Arizona 85027

ron@freespeechnews.com

<http://www.FreeSpeechNews.com/callme/>

BUS 602-587-9604 FAX 602-587-9628

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

\* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line

\* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"

\* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.

\* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)

\* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)

\* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message

\* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.

PIML 96050102 / Forwarded to Patriot Information List:

Date: Tue, 30 Apr 96 14:20 EST

From: lindat@iquest.net (Linda Thompson)

Subject: Georgia "Probable Cause" Affidavit

United States District Court

Middle District of Georgia

In the Matter of the Search of                    APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT  
Property of ROBERT STARR located in                    FOR SEARCH WARRANT  
Crawford County, Georgia and being  
more particularly described on  
Attachment "A"

I Brad Donnelly being duly sworn depose and say:

I am a(n) Special Agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco &  
Firearms and have reason to believe that on the property or premises  
known as

Property of ROBERT STARR located in Crawford County, Georgia and  
being more particularly described on Attachment "A"

in the Middle District of Georgia

there is now concealed a certain person or property, namely  
items relating to explosives, pipe bombs and firearms, and records  
relating to the purchase, sale or possession of items relating to  
explosives, pipe bombs and firearms

which is

tangible evidence of violations of criminal law

concerning a violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section(s)  
371 i/c/w 26 U.S.C. Section 5861(d).

The facts to support a finding of Probable Cause are as follows:

See Affidavit attached hereto.

#### ATTACHMENT "A"

(Describes location of property)

#### AFFIDAVIT

I, Brad Donnelly, do hereby depose and state the following:

I am a Special Agent with the U.S. Treasury Department, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms, and I have been employed in that position for the past six and one half years. Prior to my employment with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms, I was employed as a Special Agent with the United States Secret Service for six and one half years, and as a police officer in Alabama for eight and one half years.

I am authorized by law to investigate violations of various federal laws relating to explosives, pipe bombs and firearms.

This affidavit is in support of an application for a search

warrant for violations of Title 18, United States Code, SS371 in connection with Title 26, United States Code, SS5861(d), conspiracy to possess firearms (destructive devices) not registered in the National Firearms Registration and Transfer Record.

This affidavit is based upon information which I received on April 25, 1996 from Special Agent Steve Gillis with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms in Atlanta, Georgia.

Agent Gillis related that he had talked to a confidential informant (C-1), who stated to him that on April 5, 1996, he had attended a meeting along with WILLIAM JAMES MCCRANIE, JR., ROBERT STARR, and others. During the meeting MCCRANIE talked about blowing up a bomb on his property. Further, MCCRANIE stated that he had enough chemicals to make forty (40) bombs. Also, MCCRANIE said that nails make great shrapnel.

Agent Gillis related that he had talked to CI-1, who stated to him that on April 18, 1996, a meeting was conducted in the parking lot of 2495 Pio Nono Avenue, Macon, Georgia, and was attended by MCCRANIE, STARR and others. During the meeting, STARR stated that they need to start making the pipe bombs this Saturday, April 20, 1996, while on MCCRANIE's property. STARR said that he wants as many pipe bombs prepared as allowed by the quantity of chemicals they currently have on hand and distribute them among the members. STARR suggested that they go ahead and pack the pipe bombs with explosives and wrap them with nails for fragmentation. MCCRANIE is a plumber by trade, has access to pipe and the equipment needed to thread and cut pipe. MCCRANIE stated that he already has completed pipe bombs stored on his property.

CI-1 related that on April 20, 1996, he attended a meeting

on MCCRANIE's property where he saw pre-mixed explosives - potassium perchlorate, aluminum powder, fuse, thermite igniters in PVC pipes and end caps.

CI-1 related that on April 23, 1996 he, and others, heard STARR say he wanted to fully arm the pipe bombs on Saturday, April 27, 1996. STARR said that whoever wanted one could have it but they were to bury them in their backyards. On other occasions, STARR had said that the pipe bombs would be to defend their rights against the invasion of the government.

CI-1 also stated that everyone at the meeting knew that the explosives had been moved to STARR's property in Crawford County to be buried until April 27, 1996. STARR gave instructions that the group would meet in Knoxville, Georgia and then go to his property to finish making the pipe bombs.

CI-1 has provided information to law enforcement officers on more than ten (10) occasions. Each time, CI-1's information has been corroborated by public records, privated records, surveillance, other individuals, or other investigative techniques.

On each occasion that Ci-1 has observed STARR and MCCRANIE, they have been armed. Further, both have made statements about shooting law enforcement, even if they were serving a search warrant.

CI-1 described to Agent Gillis how he travelled to STARR's property for training. Taking those descriptions and a Crawford County map, a Special Agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms located STARR's property. According to the Agent, the property can be located as follows: Beginning at the Peach County/Crawford County line, travel west on GA Hwy. 96 1.7 miles to

Cumming Road, turning right, and travel 2.8 miles to Lawson Merritt Road (a dirt road), turn left, at the corner is a blue house, and travel .3 miles to first dirt driveway on the right.

The CI-1 stated that the driveway is about one-half mile long and that STARR's property is on either side of the driveway. The property extends at least fifty (50) yards on the left side of the driveway and even further on the right side. At the end of the driveway, a new well has been dug. STARR told CI-1 that he had purchased two (2) 8-acre tracts which were long and narrow.

An ATF Agent, after going to the physical location, talked with the former owner of the property. Using the attached map, the former owner described how he had taken the 63.09 acres in Parcel 180 and divided it into eight (8) 8-acre lots. The former owner had divided the property in half between Magnolia Road and Lawson Merritt Road and then divided each one-half into four (4) separate parcels.

The description given to the ATF Agent by the former owner coincides with the physical description given by the CI-1.

CI-1 further stated that MCCRANIE's property is located several miles from Knoxville, Georgia. To reach this property, begin at the Crawford County Courthouse and GA Hwy. 42 and proceed to the intersection of GA Hwy. 42 and Hortmans Mill Road, turn onto Hortmanns Mill Road, and go to Jackson Road. Then turn left and travel 2.2 miles until you see a silver mailbox with MCCRANIE and 2872 written on it. Across the road from the mailbox is a driveway with a red bar gate. MCCRANIE's property is located inside the gate. A circular driveway leads to a light tan with silver roof doublewide mobile home. Behind the mobile home is an out-building.

This property contains approximately ten (10) acres.

A search of the records of the National Firearms Registration and Transfer Record has been made to determine if ROBERT STARR or WILLIAM JAMES MCCRANIE, JR. had registered any firearm or destructive device. None was found to be registered.

Further, Affiant requests that the warrant authorize agents to enter the property either in the day time or night time, that covert entry be authorized, and that notice to the owners be delayed for seven (7) days due to the extreme danger to the confidential informant, the need to identify other co-conspirators, and to protect the safety of the public from individuals who are conspiring to build pipe bombs.

BRAD DONNELLY, SPECIAL AGENT  
U.S. TREASURY DEPARTMENT, BUREAU  
OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO & FIREARMS

Subscribed to and sworn before me  
this \_\_\_\_ day of April, 1996.

---

WILLIAM L. SLAUGHTER  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE



Kind regards,

\*\*\*\*\* V \*\*\*\*\*

DEATH TO THE NEW WORLD ORDER

\*\*\*\*\*

Linda Thompson

American Justice Federation

Home of AEN News

& news videos, "Waco, the Big Lie," "America Under Siege"

3850 S. Emerson Ave.

Indianapolis, IN 46203

Telephone: (317) 780-5200

Fax: (317) 780-5209

Internet: lindat@iquest.net

Website: <http://aen.aen.org>

\*\*\*\*\*

Remember Waco. The Murderers are still free.

\*\*\*\*\*

Freeeeeeeeedom . . . .freeeeeeeeedom . . . free-ee-dom,

Free-DOM! Free-DOM! Sing it out now!

Freeeeeeee-dom . . . .

\* Patriot Information Mailing List

\* A service to help inform those who have an active interest in

\* returning our federal and state governments to limited,

\* constitutional government

\* Send messages for consideration and possible posting to

\* butterb@sagenet.net (Bill Utterback).

- \* To subscribe or unsubscribe, send message with subject line
- \* "subscribe patriot" or "unsubscribe patriot"
- \* Forwarded messages sent on this mailing list are NOT verified.
- \* See World's Smallest Political Quiz: [www.self-gov.org/quiz.html](http://www.self-gov.org/quiz.html)
- \* Libertarian is to LIBERTY as librarian is to library (DePena)
  
- \* PIML grants permission to copy and repost this message
- \* in its entirety with headers and trailers left intact.